"Workshop on Grand Unified Theories: Phenomenology and Cosmology" *Hangzhou April* 2024

> **F-theory GUTs:** Prospects and Challenges

> > George Leontaris

University of Ioannina $I\omega\alpha\nu\nu\nu\alpha$ **GREECE**

Outline of the Talk

- ▲ Introductory remarks
- \blacktriangle \mathcal{F} -Theory basics
- \blacktriangle Building \mathcal{F} -Theory **GUTs**
- \blacktriangle *F*-GUTs and Discrete Symmetries
- ▲ Concluding Remarks



-3-

Topology, Algebraic Geometry and Elliptic Fibrations

The aim of this talk is to describe the methodology in building effective unified theories (GUTs) and discuss possible phenomenological predictions



SL(2, Z)-invariance

1. The dilaton ϕ determines the string coupling:

$$g_{_{IIB}} = e^{\phi}$$

2. The RR axion C_0 , and the dilaton ϕ are combined to one modulus, the axio-dilaton field:

$$\tau = C_0 + i e^{-\phi} \rightarrow C_0 + \frac{i}{g_{IIB}}$$

3. The importance of τ is that it can be used to write the type IIB action in an SL(2, Z) invariant way

$$S_{IIB} \propto \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_{\mu}\tau \partial^{\mu}\bar{\tau}}{(\mathrm{Im}\tau)^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|G_{3}|^{2}}{\mathrm{Im}\tau} - \frac{1}{4} |F_{5}|^{2} \right)$$
$$-\frac{i}{4} \int \frac{1}{\mathrm{Im}\tau} C_{4} + G_{3} \wedge \tilde{G}_{3}$$

Elliptic Curves & **Elliptic Fibration**

An extremely important implication of the variation of the axio-dilaton τ is that it gives rise to an elliptic fibration over the physical space-time. In order to see this, let's start with II-B theory which is defined in 10-d space described by: $\mathcal{R}^{3,1} \times \mathcal{B}_3$



 $\blacktriangle \mathcal{R}^{3,1}$ is the usual 4-d space-time

 $\land \mathcal{B}_3$ Calabi-Yau (CY) manifold of 3 complex dimensions (3-fold)

▲ ► F-theory is compactified on an elliptically fibered manifold where \mathcal{B}_3 is the base of the fibration.

Fibration is implemented by the axio-dilaton modulus $\tau = C_0 + i e^{-\phi}$ which can be thought as describing a torus



More precisely, we make a continuous mapping of τ to the points of the base B₃. Thus, we say that:
▲ F-theory is defined on R^{3,1} × X ▲

where \mathcal{X} , elliptically fibered **CY** 4-fold over the base B_3 This is depicted below where τ -tori are associated with points of B_3 . Red points correspond to possible geometric singularities of the fiber



Mathematically, the **Elliptic Fibration** is described by the vanishing locus of the Weierstraß \mathcal{E} quation

 $y^2 = x^3 + f(z) x w^4 + g(z) w^6$

1. $f(z), g(z) \to 8^{th}$ and 12^{th} degree polynomials.

- 2. Equivalence relations of homogeneous (projective) coordinates $(x, y, w, z) \simeq (\lambda^2 x, \lambda^3 y, \lambda w, z)$ and $(x, y, z, w) \simeq (\lambda^4 x, \lambda^6 y, \lambda z, w)$
- 3. The zero section σ_0 is described by the intersection w = 0 which marks the point $[x : y : w] \rightarrow [1 : 1 : 0]$.
- 4. The elliptic fibration is a CY, as long as f(z) and g(z) are holomorphic sections of line bundles^a $\mathcal{O}(K_B^{-4})$ and $\mathcal{O}(K_B^{-6})$ respectively.

^a K_B is the canonical class of the base B_3 .

Two important quantities characterise the fibration:

▲ The discriminant: $(24^{th}$ -degree in z)

$$\Delta(z) = 4 f(z)^3 + 27g(z)^2$$

▲ The zeros of the discriminant determine the fiber singularities:

▲ the *j*-invariant: $j(\tau) = \frac{4(24f(z))^3}{\Delta(z)}$

▲ The *j*-invariant provides a relation between the modulus τ and the *coordinate* z:^a

$$j(\tau(z)) = 4 \frac{(24f(z))^3}{\Delta(z)} \propto e^{-2\pi i \tau} + \cdots$$
 (1)

^a $j(\tau) \sim e^{-2\pi i \tau} + 744 + \mathcal{O}(e^{2\pi i \tau}) \sim e^{2\pi/g_s} e^{-2\pi i C_0} + 744 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\pi/g_s}).$

Its solution determines the axio-dilaton τ around the zeros z_i of Δ :

$$au \approx rac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z - z_i)$$

Now recall that the log is a multivalued function

▲ Hence, while Encircling a root z_i , the real component of τ shifts:

 $\tau \to \tau + 1 \Rightarrow C_0 \to C_0 + 1$

In other words, τ and thus C_0 undergo Monodromy.

▲ The **Interpretation** of this picture is that at each root

 $z = z_i$

there is a source of RR-flux which is associated with a D7-brane **perpendicular** to the "tangent plane".



modulus shifts by $\tau \to \tau + 1$

Geometric Singularities

Summarising the analysis so far, the elliptic fibration is represented by the Weierstraß equation (fixing w = 1):

 $y^2 = x^3 + f(z)x + g(z)$

- At the points where the discriminant $\Delta = 27g^2 + 4f^3$ vanishes, the elliptic fiber **degenerates**.
- The type of Manifold **singularity** is specified by the vanishing order of Δ and the polynomials f(z), g(z) of Weierstraß eqn
- As proved by Kodaira (in '60s), these geometric singularities are classified in terms of ADE Lie groups.

In F-theory these singularities are interpreted as:

 CY_4 -Singularities \rightleftharpoons gauge symmetries

▲ The above description concerns the non-abelian part of the effective theory which according to \mathcal{ADE} classification will result to an effective model with one of the following gauge groups (in standard notation)

Non Abelian
Gauge Groups
$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} SU(n) \\ SO(m) \\ \mathcal{E}_n \end{cases}$$

▲ There are also Abelian symmetries associated with the elliptic fibers of the CY_4 and will be discussed shortly

© The Non Abelian Sector

Rôle of Geometric Singularities in EFTs

Kodaira classified the type of singularities in terms of the vanishing order of f(z), g(z) and $\Delta(z) = 4f(z)^3 + 27g(z)^2$.

For phenomenological applications in local model building it is more convenient to use

Tate's Algorithm

 $y^2 + a_1 x y + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$

All information is encoded in the coefficients a_i

 $a_n = \sum_{\ell=k\geq 0} a_{n,\ell} z^\ell$

An ADE classification of the Geometric Singularities w.r.t. vanishing order of a_i and Δ is shown in the following Table:

	Group	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_6	Δ
T	SU(2)	1	1	1	1	2	3
a	SU(3)	1	1	1	2	3	4
t	SU(2n)	1	1	n	n	2n	2n
e	SU(2n+1)	0	1	n	n+1	2n + 1	2n+1
A	SO(4k+1)	1	1	k	k+1	2k	2k + 3
g	SO(4k+2)	1	1	k	k+1	2k + 1	2k + 3
0	SO(4k+3)	1	1	k+1	k+1	2k + 1	2k + 4
1	SU(5)	0	1	2	3	5	5
i	SO(10)	1	1	2	3	5	7
t	\mathcal{E}_6	1	2	3	3	5	8
h	\mathcal{E}_7	1	2	3	3	5	9
m	\mathcal{E}_8	1	2	3	4	5	10

EXAMPLE

Define $b_k = b_{k,0} + b_{k,1}z + \cdots$, $(b_{k,0} \neq 0)$, then choose a_i to be:

$$a_1 = -b_5, \, a_2 = b_4 z, \, a_3 = -b_3 z^2, \, a_4 = b_2 z^3, \, a_6 = b_0 z^5$$

Then, the vanishing orders of each a_n is:

Vanishing	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_6	Δ	
order	_	z^1	z^2	z^3	z^5	z^5	$ ightarrow {f SU(5)}$

 \Rightarrow Weierstraß' equation for the SU(5) singularity

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + b_{0}z^{5} + b_{2}xz^{3} + b_{3}yz^{2} + b_{4}x^{2}z + b_{5}xy$$
(2)

* A useful notion for local model building is the spectral cover obtained by defining homogeneous coordinates $z \to U$, $x \to V^2$, $y \to V^3$ and affine parameter $s = \frac{U}{V}$, so that (2) implies:

$$\mathcal{C}_5: \quad 0 = b_0 s^5 + b_2 s^3 + b_3 s^2 + b_4 s + b_5$$

\mathcal{E}

F-theory Model Building (Original papers: Beasley, Heckman, Vafa : 0802.3391, 0806.0102 Donagi et al 0808.2223,0904.1218) Early reviews: 1001.0577, 1203.6277, 1212.0555 Recent: 1806.01854; 2212.07443

<u>A Class of 'semi-local' constructions</u> The final effective (GUT) model depends on the choice of:

 \downarrow

1) Manifold 2) Fluxes 3) Monodromies

 \downarrow

▲ <u>The manifold:</u> ▲

▲ The candidate GUT is embedded in \mathcal{E}_8 which is the maximal exceptional group in elliptic fibration.

Thus, we consider a CY with a divisor accommodating our choice while the rest is the symmetry commutant to it.

 $\mathcal{E}_8 \to \mathbf{G_{GUT}} \times \mathcal{C}$

Example: Assuming a Manifold with SU(5) divisor:

 $\mathcal{E}_8 \rightarrow SU(5) \times SU(5)_{\perp}$ $\rightarrow SU(5) \times U(1)_{\perp}^4$

Matter descends from the \mathcal{E}_8 -Adjoint which decomposes as:

 $248 \to (24,1) + (1,24) + (10,5) + (\overline{5},10) + (\overline{10},\overline{5}) + (5,\overline{10})$

When branes intersect, the singularity increases and the **gauge** symmetry is further enhanced. Yukawa couplings are formed at tripple intersections . For example, in the SU(5) case:^a

 $\lambda_b \, 10 \cdot \overline{5} \cdot \overline{5} \in \mathbf{SO(12)}, \ \lambda_t \, 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 5 \in \mathbf{E_6}$



^aHere we assume that there is a Z_2 monodromy so that λ_t exists.

▲ The fluxes: ▲ Three important implications

determine SU(5) chirality
 trigger SU(5) Symmetry Breaking
 (fluxes act as the surrogate of the Higgs vev)
 Split the SU(5)-representations

SU(5) chirality from perpendicular $U(1)_{\perp}$ Flux $U(1)_{\perp}$ -Flux on \in **10**'s:

$$\#10 - \#\overline{10} = M_{10}$$

 $U(1)_{\perp}$ – Flux on \in 5's:

 $\#5 - \#\overline{5} = M_5$

SM chirality form Hypercharge Flux

 $U(1)_Y$ -**Flux**-splitting of **10**'s:

$$n_{(3,2)_{\frac{1}{6}}} - n_{(\bar{3},2)_{-\frac{1}{6}}} = M_{10}$$

$$n_{(\bar{3},1)_{-\frac{2}{3}}} - n_{(3,1)_{\frac{2}{3}}} = M_{10} - N_{Y_{10}}$$

$$n_{(1,1)_{1}} - n_{(1,1)_{-1}} = M_{10} + N_{Y_{10}}$$

 $U(1)_Y -$ **Flux**-splitting of **5**'s:

$$n_{(3,1)_{-\frac{1}{3}}} - n_{(\bar{3},1)_{\frac{1}{3}}} = M_5$$
$$n_{(1,2)_{\frac{1}{2}}} - n_{(1,2)_{-\frac{1}{2}}} = M_5 + N_{Y_5}$$

The Spectral surface $C_5 \leftrightarrow U(5)_{\perp}$ is described by 5^{th} degree equation:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{5} b_k t^k = 0$$

 \blacktriangle Topological properties are encoded \in b_k coeffs

however:

 \land the description of the EFT model relies on the roots t_i

Solutions $t_i(b_k)$ induce branch-cuts and a non-trivial monodromy.

▲ Simplest case:

 \mathbb{Z}_2 monodromy reduces the "perpendicular symmetry":

 $Z_2: t_1 \leftrightarrow t_2 \implies U(1)^4_{\perp} \to U(1)^3_{\perp}$

A simple \mathbb{Z}_2 model

(GKL & GG Ross), (GKL & Q. Shafi 1706.08372)

$SU(5), U(1)_i$	SM spectrum	Exotics	<i>R</i> -parity
$10_{i}, t_{i}$	Q_i, u^c_i, d^c_i	_	—
$\bar{5}_1, t_3 + t_4$	d_1^c,ℓ_1	_	—
$\bar{5}_2, t_1 + t_3$	d_2^c,ℓ_2	_	—
$\bar{5}_3, t_1 + t_4$	d_3^c,ℓ_3	_	_
$5_{H_u}, -2t_1$	H_{u}	D	+
$\bar{5}_{H_d}, t_3 + t_5$	H_d	—	+
$5_x, -(t_1+t_5)$	—	$(H_{u_i}, D_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$	+
$\bar{5}_{\bar{x}}, t_4 + t_5$		$D^{c} + (H_{d_{i}}, D_{i}^{c})_{i=1,,n}$	+
$ heta_{12,21}$		S (singlet)	—





$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$

Abelian Symmetries from Elliptic Fibration In \mathcal{F} -Theory, Abelian gauge symmetries (other than those embedded in E_8) are encoded in rational sections of the Elliptic Fibration and constitute the so called Mordell-Weil group. Simplest Case (Morrison-Park: 1208.2695): Rank-1 Mordell-Weil

 $\label{eq:GUT} \begin{matrix} \downarrow \\ \text{GUT accompanied by new } U(1): \\ \hline G_{\text{GUT}} \times U(1)_{\text{MW}} \end{matrix}$

but now Tate's coefficients are not all independent! (Antoniadis, GKL: 1404.6720)

$$y^{2} + 2\frac{b_{3}}{a_{2}}xyz \pm b_{1}a_{2}yz^{3} = x^{3} \pm \left(b_{2} - \frac{b_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}^{2}}\right)x^{2}z^{2}$$
$$-b_{0}a_{2}^{2}xz^{4} - b_{0}a_{2}^{2}\left(b_{2} - \frac{b_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}^{2}}\right)z^{6}$$

Comparing with standard general Tate's form:

$$y^{2} + \alpha_{1}xyz + \alpha_{3}yz^{3} = x^{3} + \alpha_{2}x^{2}z^{2} - \alpha_{4}xz^{4} - \alpha_{6}z^{6}$$

we observe
$$\boxed{\alpha_{6} = \alpha_{2}\alpha_{4}}$$
$$\downarrow$$

This eliminates most of the groups in Tate's algorithm!

	Group	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_6	Δ
T	SU(2)	1	1	1	1	2	3
a	SU(3)	1	1	1	2	3	4
\mathbf{t}	SU(2n)	1	1	n	n	2n	2n
е	SU(2n+1)	0	1	n	n+1	2n + 1	2n + 1
A	SO(4k+1)	1	1	k	k+1	2k	2k + 3
g	SO(4k+2)	1	1	k	k+1	2k + 1	2k + 3
Ο	SO(4k+3)	1	1	k+1	k+1	2k + 1	2k + 4
1	SU(5)	0	1	2	3	5	5
i	SO(10)	1	1	2	3	5	7
\mathbf{t}	\mathcal{E}_6	1	2	3	3	5	8
h	\mathcal{E}_7	1	2	3	3	5	9
m	\mathcal{E}_8	1	2	3	4	5	10

Restricted Tate's Algorithm for one $U(1)_{MW}$

$$y^{2} + a_{1}x y z + a_{3}y z^{3} = x^{3} + a_{2}x^{2}z^{2} + a_{4}x z^{4} + a_{2}a_{4}z^{6}$$

Group	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_6	Δ
SU(2)	0	1	1	1	2	2
SU(3)	0	1	1	2	3	3
\mathcal{E}_6	1	2	3	3	5	8
\mathcal{E}_7	1	2	3	3	5	9

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ **Discrete Symmetries** from Modular String Symmetries ▲ In String Theories, Dualities imply modular invariance w.r.t. various moduli fields, in particular, the axio-dilaton, Kähler and Complex Structure (CS) moduli. They appear in the fluxed induced superpotential (we restrict here in type-IIB)

$$W_{\rm IIB} \propto \int G_3 \wedge \Omega \equiv \int (F_3 - \tau H_3) \wedge \Omega$$

as well as in the Kähler potential

$$\hat{K} = -\ln(-i(\tau - \overline{\tau})) - 2\log(\mathcal{V}) + \int \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} + \cdots$$

where $\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ijk} t_i t_j t_k$, $(t_i = \text{Im}T_i)$

• Supersymmetric conditions $D_{\tau_i}W_{\text{IIB}} = 0$ impose restrictions and reduce the initial SL(2, Z) symmetry to some congruence group (note that flux parameters are integers)

• Symmetry may further break down from the Yukawa sector, $W \supset \lambda_{ij}(g_s) f_i f_j h$ unless certain criteria are imposed. \mathcal{A}). Axio-dilaton τ Noticing that

$$\operatorname{Im}\tau = \frac{\tau - \bar{\tau}}{2i} = \frac{1}{g_s}$$

we can readily deduce that $e^K \to |c\tau + d|^2 e^K$. Since the gravitino mass $m_{3/2}^2 = e^K |W|^2$ must stay invariant, W must transform as

$$W \to \frac{W}{c\tau + d}$$
, (3)

In most common cases the Yukawa couplings are $\lambda \propto {g_s}^{-1/2}$

$$\lambda \propto g_s^{-1/2} \rightarrow \frac{g_s^{-1/2}}{|c\tau+d|} \rightarrow \frac{g_s^{-1/2}}{|C_0^2+g_s^{-2}|^{1/2}} \sim g_s^{+1/2}$$

 $(\rightarrow \text{ i.e., strong-weak coupling duality!})$

\mathcal{B}). Kähler moduli T_i

Let Q^a various fields,

$$K = \hat{K} + \tilde{K}_{a\bar{b}} Q^a \bar{Q}^{\bar{b}} + \cdots, \ \tilde{K}_{a\bar{b}} = \tilde{K}_{a\bar{b}} (T_i)$$

Canonical kinetic terms imply

$$\tilde{K}_a Q^a \bar{Q}^{\bar{a}} = \hat{Q}^a \hat{\bar{Q}}^a, \quad \hat{\bar{Q}}^a = \sqrt{\tilde{K}_a(T_i)} \bar{Q}^a$$

and a redefinition of the Yukawa couplings in the superpotential

$$W = \frac{\lambda_{ijl}}{\sqrt{\tilde{K}_i \tilde{K}_j \tilde{K}_l}} \hat{\bar{Q}}_i \hat{\bar{Q}}_j \hat{\bar{Q}}_l \implies \tilde{\lambda}_{ij} = e^{\hat{K}/2} \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\sqrt{\tilde{K}_i \tilde{K}_j \tilde{K}_h}}$$

 \mathcal{C}). CS moduli τ_i : similar analysis...

(Basiouris, Crispin-Romao, King, GKL, work in progress)



Another origin of Non-Abelian Discrete Groups is from the group "perpendicular" to the GUT group, (both $\in E_8$)

 $E_8 \supset SU(5) \times SU(5)_{\perp}$ (4)

- A wide class of Discrete Groups is $PSL_2(p)$, p prime A Requirements:
- \bullet must be subgroups of $\mathbf{SU}(5)_{\perp} \to p \leq \mathbf{11}$
- must have 3-d representations $(m_{\nu} \rightarrow 3 \times 3) \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{7}$

A promising candidate: $PSL_2(7) \in SU(3)_{\perp}$

Then, the maximal symmetry embedded in E_8 is

₽

 $E_8 \supset E_6 \times SU(3)_{\perp} \supset E_6 \times PSL_2(7)$ promising low energy phenomenology! (see arXiv:1612.06161)



F-theory models :

$\Downarrow \Downarrow \Downarrow \Downarrow$

Provide a Geometric interpretation of GUTs Calculability, form a handful of topological properties Predict natural Doublet-Triplet splitting... May accommodate a Variety of new states for New Physics Discrete symmetries emanate from various sources and can be used to interpret CKM and the Neutrino data







-44-

▲ Superstring Theories are characterised by dualities associated with the modular group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. The latter is represented by 2×2 matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
 with $\det A = 1, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}.$

▲ SL(2, Z) describes the equivalence class of diffeomorphisms of the torus and as such it is related to toroidal compactifications.

▲ Because the action of A and -A on the modulus is the same, we define the projective group $\overline{\Gamma} = PSL(2, Z) \equiv SL(2, Z)/\{I, -I\}$.

▲ The principal congruence subgroup of level N is defined by the subset of matrices $\Gamma(N) \in SL(2, Z)$ which are equal to identity matrix modN. Identification of positive and negative unit matrices results to $\overline{\Gamma}(N)$.

In Physical applications we deal with the quotient (finite) groups

 $\Gamma_N = PSL(2,Z)/\bar{\Gamma}(N), \ S^2 = (ST)^3 = T^N = 1$

▲ Construction of 3-d. irreducible representation of $PSL_2(7)$ (E.G.Floratos, GKL arXiv:1511.01875)

 $\mathfrak{a}^2 = \mathfrak{b}^3 = (\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})^7 = ([\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}])^4 = I$

Method: use of Weil's Metaplectic Representation (based on *Balian & Itzykson* Acad. Dc. Paris 303 (1986).)

Defining $\eta = e^{2\pi i/7}$, we generators are found to be:

$$\mathfrak{a} \to A^{[3]} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{7}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^2 - \eta^5 & \eta^6 - \eta & \eta^3 - \eta^4 \\ \eta^6 - \eta & \eta^4 - \eta^3 & \eta^2 - \eta^5 \\ \eta^3 - \eta^4 & \eta^2 - \eta^5 & \eta - \eta^6 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{b} \to B^{[3]} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{7}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta - \eta^4 & \eta^4 - \eta^6 & \eta^6 - 1 \\ \eta^5 - 1 & \eta^2 - \eta & \eta^5 - \eta \\ \eta^2 - \eta^3 & 1 - \eta^3 & \eta^4 - \eta^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Application to neutrino mixing:

Invariance of M_{ν} under $PSL_2(7)$ (sub)group A_i

 $[M, A_i] = 0$

 \Rightarrow common eigenvectors, \rightarrow mixing matrix.

Observation: $PSL_2(7)$ generators have Latin square structure:

$$U \propto \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \\ r_2 & r_3 & r_1 \\ r_3 & r_1 & r_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Imposing conditions: orthogonality, unitarity , \ldots , roots satisfy:

$$x^3 + x^2 - r_1 r_2 r_3 = 0$$

for $PSL_2(7), r_1r_2r_3 = \frac{1}{7}$

classification of all 168 elements : (Aliferis, GKL Vlachos) **Example:** The following elements give the correct mixing (commuting with $[M_{\nu}, U_1] = 0$, $[M_{\ell}, U_2] = 0$] respectively)

$$U_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{3} & -r_{1} & -r_{2} \\ -r_{1} & r_{2} & r_{3} \\ -r_{2} & r_{3} & r_{1} \end{pmatrix}, U_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -e^{\frac{6\pi i}{7}} \\ e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{7}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{7}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\boldsymbol{U}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.802e^{0.57i} & 0.577e^{2.39i} & 0.153e^{-1.27i} \\ 0.366e^{0.1065i} & 0.577e^{-0.87i} & 0.729e^{-0.35i} \\ 0.471e^{-1.66i} & 0.577e^{3.05i} & 0.667e^{0.64i} \end{pmatrix}$

Comparison with experimental data:

- $\land \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}$ in agreement with experimental values.
- $\land \theta_{13}$ automatically non-zero (see arXiv:1612.06161)