# 粲重子衰变的末态相互作用研究



## Based on [C.P.Jia, H.Y.Jiang, J.P.Wang, FSY, 2405.xxxxx]

2024年BESIII粲强子物理研讨会@郑州, 2024.05.11



- Introduction
- charmed baryon decays
- Final-state interactions
- Summary

# Outline



physics has coming.

Measurements of absolute hadronic branching fractions of $\Lambda_c^+$ baryon $^{\#16}$					Measur	Measurement of the absolute branching fraction for $\Lambda_c^+  o \Lambda e^+  u_e$					
BESIII Collaboration • M. Ablikim (Beijing, Inst. High Energy Phys.) et al. (Nov 26, 2015)				BESIII Co	BESIII Collaboration • M. Ablikim (Beijing, Inst. High Energy Phys.) et al. (Oct 9, 2015)						
Published in: Phys.Rev.Lett. 116 (2016) 5, 052001 • e-Print: 1511.08380 [hep-ex]				Published	d in: <i>Phys.Re</i>	<i>v.Lett</i> . 115 (	2015) 22, 2218	05 • e-Print: 1510.02610 [he	ep-ex]		
占 pdf	Ø DOI	[→ cite	🗐 claim	a reference search	➔ 176 citations	占 pdf	ି DOI	[→ cite	🗟 claim	c reference search	➔ 102 citati

Test flavor SU(3) symmetry in ex							
Ca	i-Dian L	ü (Beijir	ng, In	st. ⊦	ligh En	ergy P	
Jia	Jiaotong U. and Beijing, Inst. Theor. Phys						
Pu	blished	in: <i>Phy</i> s	.Rev.	D 93	3 (201	6) 5, 0	
Ŀ	pdf	∂ DC	DI	⊡	cite		

- After that, a lot of experimental results and theoretical studies.

# **Charmed baryon decays**

### • From 2014, BESIII has studied charmed baryons for ten years! A new period of charm

### • 2016, we performed a theoretical study on non-leptonic $\Lambda_c$ decays in flavor SU(3) symmetry



•Exp: BESIII, Belle, LHCb. Th: C.Q.Geng, H.Y.Cheng, F.R.Xu, Y.K.Hsiao, C.W.Liu and so on.





# **CP** violation in baryons

- Sakharov conditions for Baryogenesis:
  - 1) **baryon** number violation
  - 2) C and <u>CP violation</u>
  - 3) out of thermal equilibrium
- CPV: SM < BAU. => new source of CPV, NP
- CPV well established in K, B and D mesons, **but CPV never established in any baryon**
- Comparison between precise prediction and measurement is helpful to test the SM and search for NP



- Charmed baryon decays are the next opportunity and challenge of charm physics
- No any real CPV predictions
- Dynamics are more complicated
  - Many more topological diagrams + more partial waves
  - SU(3) irreducible representations cannot provide information on penguins
  - Final-state interactions (FSI) are necessary

# **Charmed baryon decays**



See topologies in H.Y. Cheng's and F.R.Xu's talk See FSI in En Wang's and C.W.Liu's talk



- Rescattering mechanism for charm CPV [Bediaga, Frederico, Magalhaes, PRL2023; Pich, Solomonidi, Silva, PRD2023]

$$|\Delta A_{CP}^{\text{short-distance}}| < 2 \times 10^{-4}$$
 V.S.

Power of predictions is limited due to only few channels of available data

• Data-driven extraction of magnitudes and phases of the  $\pi\pi \to KK$  scatterings

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\rm FSI} = -(6.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$$

Model-independently manifest on the enhancement of final-state interactions!!!

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{\exp} = -(15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$$

See H.Y.Cheng's talk



# **Rescattering mechanism**

of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  [FSY, Jiang, Li, Lu, Wang, Zhao, '17]





- Theoretical uncertainty is under control in the **ratio** of branching fractions of different processes

• Rescattering mechanism have been successfully used to predict the discovery channel



• It deserves to develop the rescattering mechanism to study CPV of charmed baryons

# **Triangle diagrams**

### Much more channels are included in the rescattering mechanism



**CPV can be easily obtained within the rescattering mechanism** 

 $\lambda_d A_d + \lambda_s A_s$ 

See C.W.Liu's talk

### > Conventional method: optical theorem + Cutkosky cutting rule

H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 71, 014030 (2005)...... 



### Strong model-dependent in charmed baryon decay:

decay mode	Topology diagram	Experiment(%)	Short-distance	η
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^+ \phi$	E <sub>1</sub>	0.39 ± 0.06	_	6.5
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p\omega$	$C, C', E_1, E_2, B$	$0.09 \pm 0.04$	$2.83 \times 10^{-6}$	0.65

Only a part of the imaginary contribution is included...... 



$$\begin{split} & \delta[\mathcal{M}(P_i \to P_3 P_4)] & \Lambda = m_k + \eta \Lambda_{QCD} \\ & \sum_{P_1 P_2\}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_3 + p_4 - p_1 - p_2) \\ & P_i \to \{P_1 P_2\}) T^*(P_3 P_4 \to \{P_1 P_2\}). \end{split}$$

- Off-shell effects  $\bullet$
- Lost contribution  $\bullet$

J.J. Han, H.Y. Jiang, W. Liu, Z.J. Xiao, and F.S. Yu, "Chin. Phys. C 45, 053105 (2021).

### Improving method : Loop integral

The complete amplitudes with real part and strong phase •

$$\begin{pmatrix} \{0., 0., -1.57956 \times 10^{-7} + 6.40596 \times 10^{-8} i\} & \{4.65132 \times 10^{-7} + 1.10998 \times 10^{-6} i, 0., 0.\} \\ \{0., -1.00635 \times 10^{-6} + 1.46048 \times 10^{-7} i, 0.\} & \{0., 0., 4.56956 \times 10^{-7} - 2.83047 \times 10^{-7} i\} \end{pmatrix}$$

The process dependence of the parameters is greatly reduced



### The contribution of the real part is on the same order as the contribution of the imaginary part!

# **Branching Ratios**

### Only one parameter explains all the 8 experimental data!

$$BR(\Lambda_c^+ \to p\pi^+\pi^-) = (4.60 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$$

Decay modes	Topology	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{SD}}(\%)$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{LD}}(\%)$	$\mathcal{B}R_{ ext{tot}}(\%)$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{exp}}(\%)$
$\Lambda_c^+\to\Lambda^0\rho^+$	$T, C', E_2, B$	6.12	$2.30\substack{+1.18\-1.94}$	$6.26\substack{+2.44 \\ -1.39}$	$4.06\pm0.52$
$\Lambda_c^+\to \Sigma^+\rho^0$	$C', E_2, B$	_	_	$0.77\substack{+1.38 \\ -0.53}$	< 1.7
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^+ \omega$	$C', E_2, B$	_	_	$2.06\substack{+0.40\\-1.78}$	$1.7\pm0.21$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^+ \phi$	$E_1$	_	_	$0.33\substack{+0.08\\-0.29}$	$0.39 \pm 0.06$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p \bar{K}^{*0}$	$C, E_1$	$3.26  imes 10^{-3}$	$3.76\substack{+1.37 \\ -3.43}$	$3.70^{+1.29}_{-3.39}$	$1.96 \pm 0.27$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Xi^0 K^{*+}$	$E_2,B$	_	_	$1.94\substack{+0.40\\-1.68}$	_
Decay modes	Topology	$\mathcal{B}R_{\rm SD}( imes 10^{-3})$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{LD}}( imes 10^{-3})$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{tot}}( imes 10^{-3})$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{exp}}( imes 10^{-3})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda^0 K^{*+}$	$T, C', E_2, B$	2.92	$2.78^{+1.28}_{-1.02}$	$4.71_{-0.20}^{+0.48}$	_
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 K^{*+}$	$C', E_2, B$	_	_	$1.60\substack{+0.89\\-0.62}$	_
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^+ K^{*0}$	$C', E_1$	_	_	$2.10^{+1.37}_{-0.86}$	$3.5\pm1.0$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p\phi$	C	$1.78\times 10^{-3}$	$1.44^{+1.14}_{-0.66}$	$1.37\substack{+1.13\\-0.65}$	$1.06\pm0.14$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p\omega$	$C, C', E_1, E_2, B$	$1.48\times 10^{-3}$	$1.28\substack{+0.46\\-0.37}$	$1.26\substack{+0.45\\-0.37}$	$0.83 \pm 0.11$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p \rho^0$	$C, C', E_1, E_2, B$	$1.81\times 10^{-3}$	$2.79\substack{+1.89 \\ -1.29}$	$2.72^{+1.87}_{-1.27}$	_
Decay modes	Topology	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{SD}}( imes 10^{-4})$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{LD}}( imes 10^{-4})$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{tot}}( imes 10^{-4})$	$\mathcal{B}R_{\mathrm{exp}}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^{*0}$	C, C'	$9.28\times10^{-4}$	$0.53\substack{+3.67 \\ -0.38}$	$0.55\substack{+3.71 \\ -0.39}$	
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n K^{*+}$	T, C'	3.66	$0.44\substack{+1.64 \\ -0.30}$	$5.08\substack{+1.95 \\ -0.66}$	_

表 I: The branching ratio of  $\Lambda_c^+ \to \mathcal{B}_8 V$  processes with  $\eta = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ .

C.P.Jia, H.Y.Jiang, J.P.Wang, FSY, 2405.xxxx



## **Decay Asymmetries**



表 II: The decay asymmetry parameters of  $\Lambda_c^+ \to \mathcal{B}_8 V$  processes with  $\eta = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ .

$\alpha$	eta	$\gamma$	$P_L$
$-0.30\substack{+0.45\\-0.40}$	$-0.67\substack{+0.06\\-0.28}$	$0.30^{-0.20}_{+0.19}$	$-0.58\substack{+0.06\\-0.28}$
$-0.82^{-0.17}_{+0.04}$	$-0.54_{+0.02}^{-0.08}$	$0.74\substack{+0.95\\-0.14}$	$-0.66\substack{+0.05\\-0.16}$
$0.85\substack{+0.002 \\ -0.07}$	$0.58\substack{+0.12 \\ -0.001}$	$3.27^{+1.17}_{-1.17}$	$0.81\substack{+0.02 \\ -0.07}$
$-0.11\substack{+0.002\\-0.03}$	$0.47\substack{+0.11 \\ -0.10}$	$2.12^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$0.08\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.05}$
$0.15\substack{+0.01 \\ -0.15}$	$0.73\substack{+0.21 \\ -0.52}$	$3.15\substack{+1.35 \\ -0.02}$	$0.29\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.12}$
$-0.12\substack{+0.06\\-0.15}$	$-0.03\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.005}$	$1.56\substack{+0.14 \\ -0.03}$	$-0.08\substack{+0.05\\-0.10}$
$-0.77\substack{+0.14\\-0.08}$	$-0.39\substack{+0.25\\-0.22}$	$1.54_{-0.27}^{+0.21}$	$-0.62\substack{+0.17\\-0.13}$
$-0.03\substack{+0.02\\-0.01}$	$0.31\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.07}$	$2.21^{+0.48}_{-0.33}$	$0.08\substack{+0.04\\-0.03}$
$0.07\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.004}$	$0.40\substack{+0.02\\-0.03}$	$1.52\substack{+0.08\\-0.07}$	$0.20\substack{+0.02\\-0.01}$
$-0.11\substack{+0.06\\-0.004}$	$-0.16\substack{+0.12\\-0.07}$	$5.98\substack{+1.07 \\ -0.76}$	$-0.12\substack{+0.07\\-0.01}$
$0.31\substack{+0.14 \\ -1.02}$	$0.08\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.05}$	$0.12\substack{+0.17 \\ -0.06}$	$0.11\substack{+0.02 \\ -0.11}$
$-0.29\substack{+0.15\\-0.05}$	$-0.54\substack{+0.01\\-0.04}$	$2.12_{-0.17}^{+0.14}$	$-0.37\substack{+0.10\\-0.05}$
$-0.95\substack{+0.003\\-0.004}$	$-0.61\substack{+0.14\\-0.11}$	$0.36\substack{+0.01 \\ -0.01}$	$-0.70\substack{+0.10\\-0.08}$
$0.45\substack{+0.07 \\ -0.14}$	$-0.27\substack{+0.48\\-0.16}$	$9.87^{+3.61}_{-3.64}$	$0.39\substack{+0.09\\-0.18}$
$-0.89\substack{+0.29\\-0.05}$	$-0.83\substack{+0.37 \\ -0.10}$	$1.04\substack{+0.34 \\ -0.14}$	$-0.86\substack{+0.32\\-0.07}$

C.P.Jia, H.Y.Jiang, J.P.Wang, FSY, 2405.xxxxx





































## **CP** violation

$$A_{CP}^{\rm dir} = \frac{\Gamma - \bar{\Gamma}}{\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma}}. \qquad \alpha_{CP} = \frac{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}, \qquad \beta_{CP} = \frac{\beta + \bar{\beta}}{\beta - \bar{\beta}}, \qquad \gamma_{CP} = \frac{\gamma - \bar{\gamma}}{\gamma + \bar{\gamma}}, \qquad P_{L,CP} = \frac{P_L - \bar{P}_L}{P_L + \bar{P}_L}.$$

Decay modes	$A_{CP}^{ m dir}$	$\alpha_{CP}$	$\beta_{CP}$	$\gamma_{CP}$	$P_{L,CP}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda^0 K^{*+}$	$0.89\substack{+0.91\\-0.61}$	$-0.84\substack{+0.62\\-0.97}$	$-2.12\substack{+1.60\\-8.07}$	$0.61\substack{+0.40 \\ -0.31}$	$-1.07\substack{+0.77\\-1.43}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 K^{*+}$	$2.28\substack{+0.92\\-0.85}$	$13.75\substack{+18.22\\-2.24}$	$2.55\substack{+2.02 \\ -0.76}$	$-1.00\substack{+0.18\\-0.50}$	$0.69\substack{+2.28\\-0.89}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Sigma^+ K^{*0}$	$-1.99\substack{+0.80\\-0.74}$	$5.51\substack{+0.06\\-1.11}$	$0.95\substack{+0.02 \\ -0.20}$	$0.64\substack{+0.08\\-0.05}$	$1.64\substack{+0.26\\-0.18}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p\omega$	$4.55\substack{+0.36\\-0.81}$	$19.61\substack{+8.95 \\ -9.35}$	$-14.80\substack{-0.30\\-1.99}$	$8.32\substack{+0.28\\-8.17}$	$-2.16\substack{+0.01\\-2.21}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p \rho^0$	$3.73\substack{+0.95\\-1.16}$	$0.48\substack{+0.54\\-0.98}$	$2.88\substack{+0.09\\-0.71}$	$-1.23\substack{+0.90\\-0.42}$	$1.77\substack{+0.20\\-0.05}$
$\Lambda_c^+  o n \rho^+$	$-1.45\substack{+0.29\\-0.52}$	$0.01\substack{+0.32\\-0.07}$	$1.86\substack{+1.34 \\ -1.00}$	$-1.21\substack{+0.40\\-0.01}$	$1.08\substack{+0.71 \\ -0.59}$

## 表 III: The *CP* asymmetries of $\Lambda_c^+ \to \mathcal{B}_8 V$ processes with $\eta = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ (×10<sup>-4</sup>).

C.P.Jia, H.Y.Jiang, J.P.Wang, FSY, 2405.xxxxx



## **Dependence on** $\eta$



$$A = \frac{\left|H_{1,\frac{1}{2}}\right|^{2} - \left|H_{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}\right|^{2}}{\left|H_{1,\frac{1}{2}}\right|^{2} + \left|H_{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}\right|^{2}} \qquad A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma - \bar{\Gamma}}{\Gamma + \bar{\Gamma}}$$

C.P.Jia, H.Y.Jiang, J.P.Wang, FSY, 2405.xxxxx

# Summary

- Final-State-Interaction (FSI) is a physical picture of long-distance effect.
- Improved FSI method is develop to successfully study charm-baryon decays
- Using only one parameter, it could explain almost all the experimental data.
- It has reasonable strong phases, thus can predict CP violation.
- Theoretical uncertainties can be lowered down in the decay asymmetries and CPV by the ratios.



- We will next study psuedoscalar modes.
- The current uncertainty comes from the form factor. How many? What order? Or what formula?
- Our current formula can contribute strong phase. We are testing some other formula without strong phases.
- BESIII has measured the decay asymmetries of  $\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda^0 \rho^+$ . More processes are required to measure the decay asymmetries. They could tell something about strong phases, so tell what model is better. Like  $\Lambda_c^+ \to \Xi^0 K^+$

# Outlooks

$$\mathcal{F} = \left(rac{m^2 \pm \Lambda^2}{p^2 \pm \Lambda^2}
ight)^n$$

$$\Lambda = m + \eta \Lambda_{QCD}.$$

 $\alpha \propto Re(S^*P)$  $\beta \propto Im(S^*P)$ 





- What opportunities in charm physics?
- CPV is most important one. Dynamics is required for predictions. Branching fractions and decay asymmetries to be measured by BESIII. [See H.Y.Cheng's, F.Xu's, C.W.Liu's talks]
- Lifetimes have not been well predicted. Non-perturbative matrix elements can be extracted from inclusive decays [See Q.Qin's talk] or by Lattice QCD [See W.Wang's talk].
- Spectroscopy in multi-body decays of D and  $\Lambda_c$  [See Y.K.Hsiao's, E.Wang's, C.W.Xiao's, S.Cheng's talks]
- FCNC for searching new physics. Long-distance dominant, but difficult in theory. Local operators from Lattice QCD [See Z.F.Liu's talk]

# Outlooks

Thank you very much!

Backups

### The dependence on $\eta$



- The branching ratio is sensitive to the parameter  $\eta$ .
- The decay asymmetries are not sensitive to the parameter  $\eta$ .

### The dependence on heavy to light form factor



Comparison of form factors with LQCD calculations. FIG. 3. The bands show the total uncertainties.



- $\bullet$

$$f_1(q^2) = \frac{(m_i + m_f)^2 f_+(q^2) - q^2 f_\perp(q^2)}{s_+},$$
  
$$g_1(q^2) = \frac{(m_i - m_f)^2 g_+(q^2) - q^2 g_\perp(q^2)}{s_-},$$



The branching ratio is sensitive to the value of heavy to light form factor. The decay asymmetries and CP asymmetries are not sensitive to FF.

The dependence on strong coupling constants





- The branching ratio is sensitive to the value of strong coupling.
- The decay asymmetries and CP ulletasymmetries are not sensitive to strong coupling.