

## **CEPC** beam loss and machine protection considerations

Yuting Wang, on behalf of MP Group Supervisor: Yuhui Li





- Motivation—Collimation for CEPC
- Current arrangement of collimators
- Beam dynamic simulation
- SAD-FLUKA coupling
- Impedance
- Summary

## **Motivation—Collimation for CEPC**

#### ➢ Global equipment protection Reduce the background near IP ✓ Active protection (beam dump) ✓ CEPC active machine protection system can only work for the beam failure of time scale larger than 1ms $\checkmark$ passive protection(collimators, shielding...) ✓ Very fast beam loss, synchrotron radiation (SR)... SIS (TCDQ Position, missing energy) Magnet Powering (Orbit Feedback, etc..) >> Fast Losses (UFOs) Collimator interlocks during ramp Magnet Powering (QPS, CRYO, PC,..) **BEAM DUMP** SW Permit (Orbit, BLM lost in IR7...) RAMP 11% 21% **Electrical Perturbations** STABLE BEAMS Magnet Powering (OFB/QFB, 21% FLAT TO QPS sector trip, ...) Loss Maps, Collimator setup, Fast losses ATLAS ADJUST SQUEEZE 18% 15% Magnet Powering (Mostly PC issues + FB, CRYO,..) Loss maps, wire scanner tests, collimators moving... Fast losses, loss maps,... SW Permits (TCDQ position....) SW Permits (TCDQ position, trip of DOCs) Magnet Powering (Mostly PC issues, ...)

CEPC is e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider designed for 4 beam operation modes

• Such beam is highly destructive

Machine Protection

• The energy stored in the machine is very high, compared to other lepton colliders

•

•

[2] M. Zerlauth, Do we understand everything about MP system response, LHC beam operation workshop, 2010

#### Table 1: Parameters for the CEPC machine protection

	Higgs	Z	WW	tī
Beam Energy (GeV)	120	45.5	80	180
Bunch Population/1010	13	21.4	13.5	20
Number of Bunches	446	13104	2162	58
Total Energy (MJ)	1.1	20	3.7	0.33

Damaged tip of D09V1 (KEKB type) in HER due to the sudden beam loss



[1]T. Ishibashi and S. Terui, SuperKEKB collimator design, FCC-EIC Joint & MDI Workshop 2022

accidental-firings



Damaged jaw of D02V1 in LER due to the sudden beam loss



3

#### Fig.2 Damage to collimator jaw due to accident beam loss in the SuperKEKB

- Motivation—Collimation for CEPC
- Current arrangement of collimators
- Beam dynamic simulation
- SAD-FLUKA coupling
- Impedance
- Summary

### **Arrangement of the collimators**

• Consideration of collimation installation(where is the better position to install collimator):

Safeguarding IR region, cleaning efficiency, Impedance, different operation modes, beam lifetime

----Larger beta function

----Drift

----Gaps of collimators > beam-stay-clear region

----Moveable collimator

- Current arrangement (58 collimators (14 moveable collimators) in the single ring)
  - Three horizontal and two vertical collimators are installed upstream and downstream of RF stations, totaling 10 collimators. In each region, the first horizontal and vertical collimators are moveable.
  - Two horizontal and vertical collimators are installed for both LS1, LS2, LS3, and LS4, totaling 16 collimators. In each region, the first horizontal and vertical collimators are moveable.
  - Four horizontal and vertical collimators are installed upstream and downstream of IPs for the MDI purpose, which has already been studied. This amounts to 16 collimators for MDI purpose.
  - Four horizontal and four circular collimators are installed upstream and downstream of IPs for machine protection, totaling 16 collimators.

#### **Beam-stay-clear region**



#### **Arrangement of the collimators**



- Motivation—Collimation for CEPC
- Current arrangement of collimators
- Beam dynamic simulation
- SAD-FLUKA coupling
- Impedance
- Summary

#### **SAD simulation—aperture model**

- Aperture model around the collider ring is required in the simulation.
- Pipe radius in MDI can be found in the following figure. Except for MDI, pipe radius is 28 mm.



Figure 2. CEPC MDI layout

## **SAD** simulation--failure case

#### Scenarios of the fast equipment failures (Four modes)

In current simulation, different starting points (LS1,LS2,LS3,LS4 and arc regions) are considered to provide a comprehensive analysis

	$\tau \approx 773 \mu s$ J	.Y Zhai
Critical RF failures		
<ul> <li>Quenches of superconducting quadrupole magnets</li> </ul>	$\tau: 10 \sim 100 \ ms$	Y.S Zhu
<ul> <li>Powering failure of normal magnets</li> </ul>	$\tau: 10 \sim 100 \ ms$	B. Chen
<ul> <li>bending magnets</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>quadrupole magnets</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>sextupole magnets</li> </ul>		
<b>Failure model:</b> $Q = Q_0 e^{-t/\tau}$		

- Single passage for CEPC ~ 331 μs
- $\tau = 10 ms$  for the magnet failures

### **Higgs modes**



Ζ



#### ttbar



- Motivation—Collimation for CEPC
- Current arrangement of collimators
- Beam dynamic simulation
- SAD-FLUKA coupling
- Impedance
- Summary

## **SAD-FLUKA** coupling

 SAD is responsible for tracking the electron throughout the full lattice, while FLUKA simulates the electron-matter interactions within the collimators.



### **Heat deposition @Higgs: failure of all components**



The peak energy in the 58-collimator case decrease by 3 orders, compared with the 16-collimator case Need more check, such as

Energy depositions in the collimators, beam-pipes, etc. More scenarios.

### **@Higgs: around IP3**



- The peak energy in the 58-collimator case decrease by 3 orders, compared with the 16collimator case
- Need more check, such as
  - Energy depositions in the collimators, beam-pipes, etc.
  - More scenarios.

s/Joule

Will optimize the collimator parameters.

## **Background @Higgs**

- Beam induced background
  - Beam-gas bremsstrahlung
  - Beam-gas coulomb
  - Beam thermal photon



- Motivation—Collimation for CEPC
- Current arrangement of collimators
- Beam dynamic simulation
- SAD-FLUKA coupling
- Impedance
- Summary



- Impedances of collimators have been preliminarily optimized compared with the impedances shown in CEPE2023 [1].
- Impedance evaluation of the preliminary design of the collimators for the machine protection
  - 18 horizontal+12 vertical extra collimators
  - Rough estimation take the same impedance model as for the IR region (with collimator jaw gap of 4.4mm)



- □ Their contributions to the transverse broadband impedance is the main concern → Comparable to the total TDR transverse impedance budget ⇒ further optimizations are required.
- Their contributions to the longitudinal impedance budget are trivial.

#### Vertical dipolar impedance [2,3]

[1] https://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/19316/sessions/11549/#20231023
[2] DOI: 10.1016/j.nima.2022.166928

[3] https://github.com/amorimd/CloneIW2D



- The resistive wall impedance is calculated with IW2D
- The form factor of taper is considered.
- Considering that the transverse impedance scales with the cubic power of the half gap, the current contribution is substantially lower.



- In the current collimator design, 58 collimators are installed in a single collider ring.
- SAD-FLUKA coupling is achieved.
- More scenarios should be taken into consideration. In addition, simulation of beam halo is required.
- Need more check, such as

--Energy depositions in the collimators, beam-pipes, etc.

Will optimize the collimator parameters.

# Your comments and suggestions are highly appreciated! Thanks



### **Methods for Simulation studies**

- Designing the collimation system requires:
  - > The particle tracking studies
  - Beam-mater interaction studies

Collider	Method (tracking)	<b>Beam-matter interaction</b>
SuperKEKB [1]	SAD	FLUKA
LHC [2]	SixTrack	FLUKA
FCC-hh [3]	SixTrack	FLUKA
FCC-ee [4,5]	SixTrack	FLUKA
	Xsuit [7]	BDSIM(Geant4) [6]
	pyAT/ MAD-X	
CEPC	SAD	FLUKA

#### [1] doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2021-WEPAB358

[2] Chiara Bracco , Commissioning scenarios and tests for the LHC

- collimation system, CERN-THESIS-2009-031, 2009
- [3] doi: 10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-MOPRB048
- [4] https://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/19316/contributions/143168
- [5] doi: 10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2022-WEPOST016
- [6] https://www.pp.rhul.ac.uk/bdsim/manual/
- [7] https://xsuite.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html

- BDSIM is a code to make 3D models of particle accelerators using Geant4.
- Xsuite is a collection python packages for the simulation of the beam dynamics in particle

### **Simulation studies**

#### • SAD simulations – beam loss map

- Different scenarios
  - Optimum/acceptable/particular operating conditions:
    - Beam halo/tails, Top-up injection
    - Change of optics, tuning, collimator aperture setting, etc
  - Fast beam loss
    - Standard equipment failure, fast equipment, other accident beam loss, etc
    - Injection failure, SuperKEK fast beam loss (should be understand if possible)
  - Different operation modes
    - Higgs, Z, W, ttbar

#### • FLUKA simulations

- Beam-matter interaction1
- Workflow

Structural Definitions of Beam Line & Component





