# Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay: Neutrinos and Beyond





1

### Outline

 $(A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z+2) + 2 e^{-} (0\nu\beta\beta) \Rightarrow$  Lepton Number Violation

#### • Standard Interpretation:

Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay is mediated by light and massive Majorana neutrinos (the ones which oscillate) and all other mechanisms potentially leading to  $0\nu\beta\beta$  give negligible or no contribution

#### • Non-Standard Interpretations:

There is at least one other mechanism leading to Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay and its contribution is at least of the same order as the light neutrino exchange mechanism

reviews on  $0\nu\beta\beta$ :

Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E20**, 1833 (2011); Focus issue J. Phys. **G** [1206.2560]

Interpretation of Experiments

Master formula:

$$\Gamma^{0\nu} = G_x(Q,Z) |\mathcal{M}_x(A,Z) \eta_x|^2$$

- $G_x(Q,Z)$ : phase space factor
- $\mathcal{M}_x(A, Z)$ : nuclear physics
- $\eta_x$ : particle physics

Interpretation of Experiments

Master formula:

$$\Gamma^{0\nu} = G_x(Q,Z) \, |\mathcal{M}_x(A,Z) \, \eta_x|^2$$

- $G_x(Q,Z)$ : phase space factor; calculable
- $\mathcal{M}_x(A, Z)$ : nuclear physics; problematic
- $\eta_x$ : particle physics; interesting

# Upcoming/running experiments: exciting time!!

### best limit was from 2001...

Name	lsotope	source =	source $\neq$ detector		
		high energy res.	low energy res.	event topology	event topology
AMoRE	<sup>100</sup> M₀	$\checkmark$	-	-	-
CANDLES	$^{48}$ Ca	-	$\checkmark$	-	-
COBRA	$^{116}$ Cd (and $^{130}$ Te)	-	-	$\checkmark$	-
CUORE	$^{130}$ Te	$\checkmark$	-	-	-
DCBA	$^{82}$ Se or $^{150}$ Nd	-	-	-	$\checkmark$
EXO	$^{136}$ Xe	-	-	$\checkmark$	-
GERDA	<sup>76</sup> Ge	$\checkmark$	-	-	-
KamLAND-Zen	$^{136}$ Xe	-	$\checkmark$	-	-
LUCIFER	$^{82}$ Se or $^{100}$ Mo or $^{116}$ Cd	$\checkmark$	-	-	-
MAJORANA	<sup>76</sup> Ge	$\checkmark$	_	_	_
MOON	$^{82}$ Se or $^{100}$ Mo or $^{150}$ Nd	-	-	_	$\checkmark$
NEXT	$^{136}$ Xe	-	-	$\checkmark$	-
SNO+	<sup>150</sup> Nd(?)	_	$\checkmark$	_	-
SuperNEMO	$^{82}$ Se or $^{150}$ Nd	-	-	-	$\checkmark$
XMASS	$^{136}$ Xe	-	$\checkmark$	-	-

multi-isotope determination good for 3 reasons

### 3 Reasons for Multi-isotope determination

- 1.) credibility
- 2.) test NME calculation

$$\frac{T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(A_1, Z_1)}{T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(A_2, Z_2)} = \frac{G(Q_2, Z_2) |\mathcal{M}(A_2, Z_2)|^2}{G(Q_1, Z_1) |\mathcal{M}(A_1, Z_1)|^2}$$

systematic errors drop out, ratio sensitive to NME model

3.) test mechanism

$$\frac{T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(A_1, Z_1)}{T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(A_2, Z_2)} = \frac{G_x(Q_2, Z_2) |\mathcal{M}_x(A_2, Z_2)|^2}{G_x(Q_1, Z_1) |\mathcal{M}_x(A_1, Z_1)|^2}$$

particle physics drops out, ratio of NMEs sensitive to mechanism

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Experimental Aspects} \\ \mbox{particle physics:} \\ (T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} \propto \ (\mbox{particle physics})^2 \\ \mbox{experimentally:} \\ (T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a\,M\,\varepsilon\,t \\ a\,\varepsilon\,\sqrt{\frac{M\,t}{B\,\Delta E}} \end{array} \right. \ \mbox{without background} \\ \mbox{background-dominated} \end{array} \right.$ 

Note: factor 2 in particle physics is combined factor of 16 in  $M \times t \times B \times \Delta E$ 

### Standard Interpretation

Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay is mediated by light and massive Majorana neutrinos (the ones which oscillate) and all other mechanisms potentially leading to  $0\nu\beta\beta$  give negligible or no contribution







# The usual plot



## Plot against other observables



Complementarity of  $|m_{ee}| = U_{ei}^2 m_i$  and  $m_\beta = \sqrt{|U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2}$  and  $\Sigma = \sum m_i$ 

## Plot against other observables



Complementarity of  $|m_{ee}| = U_{ei}^2 m_i$  and  $m_\beta = \sqrt{|U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2}$  and  $\Sigma = \sum m_i$ 

# Neutrino Mass Matrix

		KATRIN		$0\nu\beta\beta$		cosmology	
		yes	no	yes	110	yes	110
K ATD IN	yes	_	-	QD + Majorana	QD + Dirac	QD	N-SC
KAIRIN	ΠO	-	-	N-SI	low IH or NH or Dirac	$m_{\nu} \lesssim 0.1  {\rm eV}$ or N-SC	NH
$0\nu\beta\beta$	yes	٠	٠	-	-	(IH or QD) + Majorana	N-SC or N-SI
	10	٠	٠	-	-	low IH or (QD + Dirac)	NH
cosmology	yes	٠	٠			-	-
	no	٠	٠	٠		-	-

### $0\nu\beta\beta$ and $U_{e3}$



# From life-time to particle physics: Nuclear Matrix Elements



### From life-time to particle physics: Nuclear Matrix Elements



Dueck, W.R., Zuber, PRD 83

Gomez-Cadenas *et al.*, 1109.5515

Vogel, 1208.1992

(current) uncertainty of factor 2 to 3, directly translates into uncertainty on particle physics parameter

Isotope	$T_{1/2}^{o\nu}$ [yrs]	Experiment	$ m_{ee} _{\min}$ [eV]	$ m_{ee} _{\max}$ [eV]	
$^{48}$ Ca	$5.8\times10^{22}$	CANDLES	3.55	9.91	$\times 0.98$
$^{76}$ Ge	$1.9 \times 10^{25}$	HDM	0.21	0.53	$\times 1.04$
	$1.6  imes 10^{25}$	IGEX	0.25	0.63	$\times 1.04$
<sup>82</sup> Se	$3.2  imes 10^{23}$	NEMO-3	0.85	2.08	$\times 1.04$
<sup>96</sup> Zr	$9.2  imes 10^{21}$	NEMO-3	3.97	14.39	$\times 1.06$
$^{100}Mo$	$1.0  imes 10^{24}$	NEMO-3	0.31	0.79	$\times 1.06$
$^{116}Cd$	$1.7  imes 10^{23}$	SOLOTVINO	1.22	2.30	$\times 1.06$
$^{130}$ Te	$2.8\times10^{24}$	CUORICINO	0.27	0.57	$\times 1.09$
$^{136}Xe$	$1.6\times 10^{25}$	EXO-200	0.15	0.36	$\times 1.10$
$^{150}Nd$	$1.8 \times 10^{22}$	NEMO-3	2.35	5.08	$\times 1.12$

(recent reevaluation of phase space factors by Iachello+Kotila)

HDM limit reached/improved by EXO-200 !



Experiment	Isotope	Mass of	Sensitivity	Status	Start of	Sensitivity
		Isotope [kg]	$T_{1/2}^{0 u}$ [yrs]		data-taking	$\langle m_{ u}  angle$ [eV]
GERDA	<sup>76</sup> Ge	18	$3 \times 10^{25}$	running	$\sim 2011$	0.17-0.42
		40	$2 \times 10^{26}$	in progress	$\sim 2012$	0.06-0.16
		1000	$6 \times 10^{27}$	R&D	$\sim 2015$	0.012-0.030
CUORE	<sup>130</sup> Te	200	$6.5 \times 10^{26*}$	in progress	$\sim 2013$	0.018-0.037
			$2.1 \times 10^{26**}$			0.03-0.066
MAJORANA	<sup>76</sup> Ge	30-60	$(1-2) \times 10^{26}$	in progress	$\sim 2013$	0.06-0.16
		1000	$6 \times 10^{27}$	R&D	$\sim 2015$	0.012-0.030
EXO	<sup>136</sup> Xe	<b>200</b> $6.4 \times 10^{25}$		running	$\sim 2011$	0.073-0.18
		1000	$8 \times 10^{26}$	R&D	$\sim 2015$	0.02-0.05
SuperNEMO	<sup>82</sup> Se	100-200	$(1-2) \times 10^{26}$	R&D	$\sim$ 2013-15	0.04-0.096
KamLAND-Zen	<sup>136</sup> Xe	400	$4 \times 10^{26}$	running	$\sim 2011$	0.03-0.07
		1000	$10^{27}$	R&D	$\sim$ 2013-15	0.02-0.046
SNO+	<sup>150</sup> Nd	132	$1.8 \times 10^{25}$	in progress	$\sim 2014$	0.09-0.18
	(with si	ame lifetime	$: {}^{150}$ Nd and ${}^{100}$	<sup>)</sup> Mo do bes	t)	

### With $0\nu\beta\beta$ one can

- test Majorana nature of neutrinos
- probe neutrino mass scale
- test inverted ordering
- extract Majorana phase
- test flavor symmetry models: neutrino mass "sum-rules"



### Inverted Ordering

Nature provides 2 scales:

 $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle_{\max}^{\text{IH}} \simeq c_{13}^2 \sqrt{\Delta m_{A}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m_{\nu} \rangle_{\min}^{\text{IH}} \simeq c_{13}^2 \sqrt{\Delta m_{A}^2} \, \cos 2\theta_{12}$ requires  $\mathcal{O}(10^{26} \dots 10^{27}) \text{ yrs}$  Ruling out Inverted Hierarchy

 $|m_{ee}|_{\min}^{\text{IH}} = (1 - |U_{e3}|^2) \sqrt{|\Delta m_{A}^2|} (1 - 2\sin^2\theta_{12}) = \begin{cases} (0.016...0.020) \text{ eV} & 1\sigma \\ (0.013...0.024) \text{ eV} & 3\sigma \end{cases}$ 

- small  $|U_{e3}|$
- large  $|\Delta m_{\rm A}^2|$
- small  $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$

Current  $3\sigma$  range of  $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$  gives factor of 2 uncertainty for  $|m_{ee}|_{\min}^{\text{IH}}$   $\Rightarrow$  combined factor of 16 in  $M \times t \times B \times \Delta E$   $\Rightarrow$  need precision determination of  $\theta_{12}$ Dueck, W.R., Zuber, PRD 83

### Sterile Neutrinos and $0\nu\beta\beta$

• recall:  $|m_{ee}|_{\rm NH}^{\rm act}$  can vanish and  $|m_{ee}|_{\rm IH}^{\rm act} \sim 0.02$  eV cannot vanish

• 
$$|m_{ee}| = |\underbrace{|U_{e1}|^2 m_1 + |U_{e2}|^2 m_2 e^{2i\alpha} + |U_{e3}^2| m_3 e^{2i\beta}}_{m_{ee}^{act}} + \underbrace{|U_{e4}|^2 m_4 e^{2i\Phi_1}}_{m_{ee}^{st}}$$

- $\Delta m^2_{
  m st}\simeq 1.8~{
  m eV}^2$  and  $|U_{e4}|\simeq 0.13~(\leftrightarrow$  talk by Giunti)
- sterile contribution to  $0\nu\beta\beta$  (assuming 1+3):

$$|m_{ee}|^{\rm st} \simeq \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm st}^2} |U_{e4}|^2 \simeq 0.03 \text{ eV} \begin{cases} \gg |m_{ee}|_{\rm NH}^{\rm act} \\ \simeq |m_{ee}|_{\rm IH}^{\rm act} \end{cases}$$

•  $\Rightarrow$   $|m_{ee}|_{\rm NH}$  cannot vanish and  $|m_{ee}|_{\rm IH}$  can vanish!

usual phenomenology gets completely turned around!

# Usual plot gets completely turned around!





3 active neutrinos can be normally or inversely ordered



### Sterile Neutrinos, Seesaw and $0\nu\beta\beta$

• if the eV-steriles are from seesaw: individual cancellations in flavor symmetry models, e.g.:

$$U_{e2}^2 m_2 + U_{e4}^2 m_4 = 0$$

• if seesaw scale is below 100 MeV: No double beta decay!

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} U_{ei}^2 m_i = 0 \text{ since } \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} m_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} & 0 \\ 0 & M_R^{\text{diag}} \end{pmatrix} U^T$$

Barry, W.R., Zhang, JCAP 1201

## Non-Standard Interpretations:

There is at least one other mechanism leading to Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay and its contribution is at least of the same order as the light neutrino exchange mechanism



Clear experimental signature:

KATRIN and/or cosmology see nothing but  $0\nu\beta\beta$  does

**Schechter-Valle theorem**: no matter what process, neutrinos are Majorana:



is 4 loop diagram:  $m_{\nu} \sim \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^4} \frac{\text{MeV}^5}{m_W^4} \lesssim 10^{-23} \,\text{eV}$ 

explicit calculation: Duerr, Lindner, Merle, 1105.0901

note: often there are 1-loop diagrams leading to  $m_{\nu}$ : direct vs. indirect contribution (Choubey, Duerr,

Mitra, W.R., 1201.3031)

mechanism	physics parameter	current limit	test
light neutrino exchange	$\left  \mathbf{U_{ei}^2 m_i} \right $	0.4 eV	oscillations, cosmology, neutrino mass
heavy neutrino exchange	$\left  \frac{S_{ei}^2}{M_i} \right $	$2 imes 10^{-8}~{ m GeV}^{-1}$	LFV, collider
heavy neutrino and RHC	$\frac{\mathrm{V_{ei}^2}}{\mathrm{M_i  M_W^4}}$	$4 imes 10^{-16}$ GeV $^{-5}$	flavor, collider
Higgs triplet and RHC	$\frac{(\mathbf{M_R})_{ee}}{\mathbf{m_{\Delta_R}^2 M_{W_R}^4}}$	$10^{-15}~{ m GeV}^{-5}$	flavor, collider $e^-$ distributio
$\lambda$ -mechanism with RHC	$\left  { { { { U_{{\mathbf{e}i}}  {{{\mathbf{\tilde S}}_{{\mathbf{e}i}}}} } } \over {{{\mathbf{M}}_{{\mathbf{W}}_{{\mathbf{R}}}}^2}} } }  ight $	$1.4 imes 10^{-10}~{ m GeV}^{-2}$	flavor, collider, $e^{-}$ distributio
$\eta$ -mechanism with RHC	$ an \zeta \left  \mathbf{U_{ei}  \tilde{S}_{ei}} \right $	$6  imes \mathbf{10^{-9}}$	flavor, collider, $e^{-}$ distributio
short-range R	$ \begin{split} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_{111}^{\prime 2} \\ \Lambda_{\rm SUSY}^{5} \end{vmatrix}}{\Lambda_{\rm SUSY}^{5}} & \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{\tilde{g}}}, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{\tilde{u}}_{L}}, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{\tilde{d}}_{R}}, \mathbf{m}_{\chi_{\mathbf{i}}}) \end{split} $	$7 imes 10^{-18}~{ m GeV}^{-5}$	collider, flavor
long-range 🥂	$\sin 2\theta^{\mathbf{b}} \lambda_{131}^{\prime} \lambda_{113}^{\prime} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{1}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{2}}^{2}} \right)$	$2\times 10^{-13}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$	flavor,
	$\sim rac{\mathbf{G_F}}{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{m_b} rac{ \lambda_{131}'\lambda_{113} }{\mathbf{\Lambda_{SUSY}^3}}$	$1 imes 10^{-14}~{ m GeV}^{-3}$	collider
Majorons	$ \langle {f g}_\chi  angle $ or $ \langle {f g}_\chi  angle ^{f 2}$	$10^{-4} \dots 1$	spectrum, cosmology

### **Distinguishing Mechanisms**

The inverse problem of  $\mathbf{0}\nu\beta\beta$ 

- 1.) Other observables (LHC, LFV, KATRIN, cosmology,...)
- 2.) Decay products (individual  $e^-$  energies, angular correlations, spectrum,...)
- 3.) Nuclear physics (multi-isotope,  $0\nu$ ECEC,  $0\nu\beta^+\beta^+,...$ )

# 1.) Distinguishing via other Observables



standard mechanism: KATRIN, cosmology

### Energy Scale:

Note: *standard amplitude* for light Majorana neutrino exchange:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm l} \simeq G_F^2 \, \frac{|m_{ee}|}{q^2} \simeq 7 \times 10^{-18} \left(\frac{|m_{ee}|}{0.5 \text{ eV}}\right) \, {\rm GeV^{-5}} \simeq 2.7 \, {\rm TeV^{-5}}$$

if new heavy particles are exchanged:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm h} \simeq \frac{c}{M^5}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  for  $0\nu\beta\beta$  holds:

$$1 \text{ eV} = 1 \text{ TeV}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Phenomenology in colliders, LFV

# Examples

- *R*-parity violating supersymmetry (Allanach, Paes, Kom)
- TeV seesaw neutrinos (Ibarra, Petcov *et al.*; Mitra, Senjanovic, Vissani)
- Left-right symmetric theories (Senjanovic *et al.*; Goswami *et al.*)
- Color seesaw (Choubey, Duerr, Mitra, W.R.)

... focus only on one example here...











# Interplay of diagrams in left-right symmetry

Interference of diagrams, constraints from LFV, neutrino data,...



Barry, W.R., to appear; see also Goswami et al., 1204.2527

2.) Distinguishing via decay products

Defining asymmetries

 $A_{\theta} = (N_{+} - N_{-})/(N_{+} + N_{-})$  and  $A_{E} = (N_{>} - N_{<})/(N_{>} + N_{<})$ 



SuperNEMO: Arnold et al., 1005.1241

# 3.) Distinguishing via nuclear physics



Gehman, Elliott, hep-ph/0701099

3 to 4 isotopes necessary to disentangle mechanism

### Cleanest Probe: $e^- e^-$ collisions: "inverse $0\nu\beta\beta$ "

• LR-symmetry: (Barry, Dorame, W.R., 1204.3365)





 $e^-e^- \rightarrow W_L^- W_R^-$ ,  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{9} \ \mathrm{TeV}^2$ 

 $\log_{10}(\sigma/fb)$ 

• SUSY: (Kom, W.R., 1110.3220)



44

# Summary



### 2.) Distinguishing via decay products

### **SuperNEMO**



• source foils in between plastic scintillators

• individual electron energy, and their relative angle!



# EXO-200 vs. Klapdor

$$T_{\rm Ge}^{-1} = G_{\rm Ge} \left| \mathcal{M}_{\rm Ge} \right|^2 \left| m_{ee} \right|^2 = \left( 2 \times 10^{25} \, {\rm yrs} \right)^{-1}$$
$$T_{\rm Xe}^{-1} = G_{\rm Xe} \left| \mathcal{M}_{\rm Xe} \right|^2 \left| m_{ee} \right|^2 \le \left( 1.6 \times 10^{25} \, {\rm yrs} \right)^{-1}$$

Ge-claim is ruled out when

$$T_{\rm Xe} \ge 2.9 \times 10^{24} \left| \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\rm Ge}}{\mathcal{M}_{\rm Xe}} \right|^2 \, {\rm yrs}$$

With compilation from Vogel, 1208.1992:

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{M}_{\text{Ge}}}{\mathcal{M}_{\text{Xe}}}\right|^{2} \simeq \begin{cases} 4.0 \quad (\text{RQRPA}) \quad \Rightarrow T_{\text{Xe}} \geq 1.2 \times 10^{25} \,\text{yrs} \\ 4.2 \quad (\text{NSM}) \quad \Rightarrow T_{\text{Xe}} \geq 1.2 \times 10^{25} \,\text{yrs} \\ 2.5 \quad (\text{IBM-2}) \quad \Rightarrow T_{\text{Xe}} \geq 7.3 \times 10^{24} \,\text{yrs} \\ 1.2 \quad (\text{EDF}) \quad \Rightarrow T_{\text{Xe}} \geq 3.5 \times 10^{24} \,\text{yrs} \end{cases}$$

Simple and interesting scenario  $m_{\nu} = M_L - m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T = v_L h - m_D (v_R f)^{-1} m_D^T$ suppose  $M_L$  dominates in  $m_{\nu}$  and h = f:  $\Rightarrow M_R \propto m_{\nu}$ Triplet can mediate  $\mu \rightarrow 3e$  at tree-level:  $m_{\Delta} \gg M_i$ 

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{N_R} \simeq G_F^2 \left(\frac{m_W}{M_{W_R}}\right)^4 \sum \frac{V_{ei}^2}{M_i} \propto \sum \frac{U_{ei}^2}{m_i}$$



#### to better estimate error range: correlations need to be understood:



Faessler, Fogli *et al.*, PRD **79** ellipse major axis: SRC (blue, red) and  $g_A$ ellipse minor axis:  $g_{pp}$ 

Flavor Symmetry Models

suppose your model predicts TBM:

$$(m_{\nu})_{\mathrm{TBM}} = \left( egin{array}{ccc} x & y & y \ \cdot & z+x & y-z \ \cdot & \cdot & z+x \end{array} 
ight)$$

$$m_1=x-y\;,\;\;m_2=x+2y\;,\;\;m_3=x-y+2z$$
 if  $z=y+x/2$ , then:

$$m_1 = x - y$$
,  $m_2 = x + 2y$ ,  $m_3 = 2x + y$ 

and one has a neutrino mass sum-rule

$$m_1 + m_2 = m_3$$

# The Zoo (of $A_4$ models)

		0-	-		
Type	$L_i$	$\ell_i^c$	$ u_i^c $	$\Delta$	References
A1				-	$[1{-}14]$ $[15]^{\#}$
A2	<u>3</u>	$\underline{1},\underline{1}',\underline{1}''$	-	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}'', \underline{3}$	[16-18]
A3				$\underline{1}, \underline{3}$	[19]
B1	3	1 1/ 1//	3	-	[4, 20-27] <sup>#</sup> $[28-30]$ <sup>*</sup> $[31-45]$
B2	<u> </u>	1,1,1	<u>0</u>	$\underline{1}, \underline{3}$	$[46]^{\#}$
C1				-	[2, 47, 48]
C2	3	3	_	<u>1</u>	$[49, 50] [51]^{\#}$
C3	5	<u>5</u>		$\underline{1}, \underline{3}$	[52]
C4				$\underline{1},\underline{1}',\underline{1}'',\underline{3}$	[53]
D1				-	$[54, 55]^{\#}$ $[56, 57]^{*}$ $[58]$
D2	3	3	3	<u>1</u>	$[59]$ $[60]^*$
D3	5	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	$\underline{1}'$	$[61]^*$
D4				$\underline{1}', \underline{3}$	$[62]^*$
Е	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	$\underline{1},\underline{1}',\underline{1}''$	-	[63, 64]
F	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}''$	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	$\underline{1} \text{ or } \underline{1}'$	[65]
G	<u>3</u>	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}''$	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}''$	-	[66]
Н	<u>3</u>	<u>1, 1, 1</u>	-	-	[67]
Ι	<u>3</u>	<u>1, 1, 1</u>	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}, \underline{1}$	-	[68]*
J	<u>3</u>	<u>1, 1, 1</u>	<u>3</u>	-	[12, 39, 69, 70]
Κ	<u>3</u>	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}, \underline{1}$	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}$	1	[71]*
L	<u>3</u>	<u>1, 1, 1</u>	1	-	[72]*
М	$\underline{1},\underline{1}',\underline{1}''$	$\underline{1},\underline{1}'',\underline{1}'$	$\underline{3}, \underline{1}$	-	[73, 74]
Ν	$\underline{1},\underline{1}',\underline{1}''$	$\underline{1},\underline{1}'',\underline{1}'$	$\underline{3}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}''$	-	[75]

Barry, W.R., PRD 81, updated regularly on http://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/personalhomes/jamesb/Table\_A4.pdf

# Sum-rules in Models and $0\nu\beta\beta$

	Sum-rule	Flavour symmetry	
1	$2m_2 + m_3 = m_1$	$A_4, T', (S_4)$	
$2m_2$ $m_3$	$m_1 + m_2 = m_3$	$S_4,(A_4)$	
<b>m</b> _	$\frac{2}{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_3} = \frac{1}{m_1}$	$A_4, T'$	
mu	$\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} = \frac{1}{m_3}$	$S_4$	

constrains masses and Majorana phases

Barry, W.R., NPB 842



 $m_1 + m_2 - m_3 = \epsilon m_{\max}$ 

stable: new solutions not before  $\epsilon\simeq 0.2$