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## Heavy quarkonium, produciton models

## > Effectively, a non-relativistic QCD system:

Charmonium: $v^{2} \approx 0.3$
Bottomonium: $v^{2} \approx 0.1$
Potentially, could be similar to a QED bound state, like positronium
> Multiple well-separated scales - ideal for effective theory:
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Quark mass: } & \mathrm{M} \\ \text { Momentum: } & \mathrm{Mv} \\ \text { Energy: } & \mathrm{Mv}^{2}\end{array}\right\}$

$$
M \gg M v \gg M v^{2} \sim \Lambda_{Q C D}
$$

> Historical mostly used production models:

- Color singlet model (CSM) : 1975

> Einhorn, Ellis (1975), Chang (1980),
> Berger and Jone (1981), ...

- Color evaporation model (CEM) : 1977 Fritzsch (1977), Halzen (1977), ...
- NRQCD model : 1986,1994

Caswell, Lapage (1986)
Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage, 9407339
It was proved that both CSM and CEM are just special cases of NRQCD model. Bodwin, Braaten, Lee, 0504014

## Large high order corrections in NRQCD

$>S$-wave channel ( ${ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}$ ):

- Large corrections are first found for NLO relative to LO
- The estimated NNLO* contribution is still larger then NLO


Campbell, Maltoni, Tramontano, 0703113,
Artoisenet, Campbell, Lansburg, Maltoni,
Tramontano, 0806.3282
> P-wave channel ( $\left.{ }^{3} P_{J=0,1,2}^{[1,8]}\right)$ :

- Large NLO corrections are first found for CS channel, but with negative sign
- later CO channels are found to have similar behavior


YQM, Wang, Chao, 1002.3987
YQM, Wang, Chao, 1009.3655
Butensckön, Kniehl, 1009.5662

## Explain the large corrections

$>$ LO in $\alpha_{s}$ but NNLP in 1/p $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ :


$$
\frac{d \hat{\sigma}^{L O}}{d p_{T}^{2}} \sim \alpha_{S}^{3}\left(p_{T}\right) \frac{m_{Q}^{4}}{p_{T}^{8}}
$$

YQM, 1207.3073
The behavior $\alpha_{s}^{3} \frac{m_{Q}^{4}}{p_{T}^{8}}$ persists even calculated to all order in $v^{2}$.
> NLO in $\alpha_{s}$ but NLP in $1 / \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ : quark pair fragmentation

$>$ NNLO in $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ but LP in $\mathbf{1 /} \mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ : guon fragmaentation Braaten, Yuan, 9303205

$\checkmark$ Similar explaination for large corrections of ${ }^{3} P_{J}^{[1,8]}$ channel: LO in $\alpha_{s}$ gives NLP, while NLO in $\alpha_{s}$ gives LP.

## New approach is needed!

## Conclusion: LO $\alpha_{s}$ expansion $\neq \mathrm{LP}$ in $1 / p_{T}$ expansion!

Question: How reliable is the perturbative expansion?
> pQCD factorization approach: leading power
Braaten, Yuan, 9303205

- Pick up the LP contribution, resummation
- Not good enough: e.g. for ${ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}$ and ${ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}$ state, which are dominated by NLP.
> pQCD factorization approach: up to next power
- Can be proven to all order in $\alpha_{s}$

Kang, Qiu, Sterman, 1109.1520 Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman, 1304.xxxx

- Application range: $p_{T} \gg M_{H} \sim 2 m_{Q}$
- Double expansion: $M_{H} / p_{T}$ power expansion $+\alpha_{S}$-expansion
- Take care of both power expansion and resummation of the large logarithms
$>$ Effectively, SCET factorization approach can give equivalent formula once the pQCD factorization is proven. (next talk)

Fleming, Leibovich, Mehen, Rothstein 1207.2578

- If SCET factorization is argued to be valid, pQCD factorization may not work.
- If pQCD factorization is proven to be valid, SCET factorizaiton should work


## Perturbative factorization approach

> Ideas:



$\mu_{0} \sim 2 m_{Q}$


$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{P_{T}^{6}}\right)
$$

At high pT, dominant contributions come from the region of phase space where active partons are close to mass-shell
> Collinear factorization - an "EFT" of QCD

- Integrate out the virtuality of active partons - power expansion in 1/pT
- Match the factorized form and pQCD at the factorization scale: $\mu_{\mathrm{F}} \sim \mathrm{pT}$

$$
\sigma\left(p_{T} / \mu, \alpha_{s}(\mu)\right)=\hat{\sigma}\left(p_{T} / \mu_{F}, \mu / \mu_{F}, \alpha_{s}(\mu)\right) \otimes D\left(\mu_{F}, \alpha_{s}(\mu)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(1 / p_{T}\right)
$$

- $\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ - independence: evolution of non-perturbative PDFs or FFs, ...
- Predictive power: Universality of PDFs or FFs, evolution, ...


## Single parton fragmentation

$>$ Perturbative pinch singularity:


$\approx \int \frac{d z}{z} d^{2} k_{\perp} \mathcal{H}_{g g \rightarrow g}\left(Q, k^{2}=0\right) \int d k^{2} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i \epsilon} \frac{1}{k^{2}-i \epsilon} \mathcal{D}_{g \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi}(k, P)$
Long-lived parton state
> Parton model collinear factorization:

$$
\begin{gathered}
k^{2}, k_{\perp}^{2} \ll Q \quad z=P \cdot n / k \cdot n \quad \text { Fragmentation function } \\
\approx \int \frac{d z}{z} \mathcal{H}_{g g \rightarrow g}(Q, z=P \cdot n / k \cdot n) \int d k^{2} d^{2} k_{\perp} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i \epsilon} \frac{1}{k^{2}-i \epsilon} \mathcal{D}_{g \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi}(k, P) \\
\text { Short-distance part }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Factorization: fragmentation at leading power

Nayak, Qiu, and Sterman, 0509021, ...
> Leading power single-hadron production:


$$
d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}\left(p_{T}\right)=\sum_{i} d \tilde{\sigma}_{A+B \rightarrow i+X}\left(p_{T} / z, \mu\right) \otimes D_{H / i}\left(z, m_{c}, \mu\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(m_{H}^{2} / p_{T}^{2}\right)
$$

$>$ Fragmentation function - gluon to a hadron $\mathrm{H}($ e.g., J/ $/$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{H / g}\left(z, m_{c}, \mu\right) & \propto \frac{1}{P^{+}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text {color }} \int d y^{-} e^{-i k^{+} y^{-}} \\
& \times\langle 0| F^{+\lambda}(0)\left[\Phi_{-}^{(g)}(0)\right]^{\dagger} a_{H}\left(P^{+}\right) a_{H}^{\dagger}\left(P^{+}\right) \Phi_{-}^{(g)}\left(y^{-}\right) F_{\lambda}^{+}\left(y^{-}\right)|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Cannot get fragmentation func. from PDFs or decay matrix elements

## Production of heavy quark pairs

$>$ Perturbative pinch singularity:


$$
\begin{gathered}
P^{\mu}=\left(P^{+}, 4 m^{2} / 2 P^{+}, 0_{\perp}\right) \\
q^{\mu}=\left(q^{+}, q^{-}, q_{\perp}\right) \\
q \neq q^{\prime} \\
D_{i j}(P, q) \propto\langle\mathrm{J} / \psi| \psi_{i}^{\dagger}(0) \chi_{j}(y)|0\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

- Scattering amplitude:

$$
\mathcal{M} \propto \int \frac{d^{4} q}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{H}(P, q, Q) \frac{\gamma \cdot(P / 2-q)+m}{(P / 2-q)^{2}-m^{2}+i \epsilon} \hat{D}(P, q) \frac{\gamma \cdot(P / 2+q)+m}{(P / 2+q)^{2}-m^{2}+i \epsilon}\right]
$$

- Potential poles:
$q^{-}=\left[q_{\perp}^{2}-2 m^{2}\left(q^{+} / P^{+}\right)\right] /\left(P^{+}+2 q^{+}\right)-i \epsilon \theta\left(P^{+}+2 q^{+}\right) \rightarrow q_{\perp}^{2} / P^{+}-i \epsilon$
$q^{-}=-\left[q_{\perp}^{2}+2 m^{2}\left(q^{+} / P^{+}\right)\right] /\left(P^{+}-2 q^{+}\right)+i \epsilon \theta\left(P^{+}-2 q^{+}\right) \rightarrow-q_{\perp}^{2} / P^{+}+i \epsilon$
- Condition for pinched poles:


## Factorization: fragmentation at next power

> Heavy quark pair fragmentation:

Qiu, Sterman (1991)
Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman, 1304.xxxx


$$
\sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]} d \hat{\sigma}_{A+B \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]+X}\left(p(1 \pm \zeta) / 2 z, p\left(1 \pm \zeta^{\prime}\right) / 2 z\right) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{H /[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}\right)
$$

$>$ Other channels of power corrections:




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}}\right) \otimes D_{c \rightarrow H} \\
& \text { or } \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{[f f] \rightarrow H}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Factorization formalism and evolution

> Factorization formalism:
Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman, 1304.xxxx

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H+X}\left(p_{T}\right)= \sum_{f} d \hat{\sigma}_{A+B \rightarrow f+X}\left(p_{f}=p / z\right) \otimes D_{H / f}\left(z, m_{Q}\right) \\
&+\sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]} d \hat{\sigma}_{A+B \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]+X}\left(p(1 \pm \zeta) / 2 z, p\left(1 \pm \zeta^{\prime}\right) / 2 z\right) \\
&+\mathcal{O}\left(m_{Q}^{4} / p_{T}^{4}\right) \\
& \kappa=v, a, t \text { for spin, and } 1,8 \text { for color. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ Independence of the factorization scale: $\frac{d}{d \ln (\mu)} \sigma_{A+B \rightarrow H X}\left(P_{T}\right)=0$
> Evolution equations at NLP:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d \ln \mu^{2}} D_{H / f}\left(z, m_{Q}, \mu\right)=\sum_{j} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} \gamma_{f \rightarrow j}(z) \otimes D_{H / j}\left(z, m_{Q}, \mu\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]} \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \Gamma_{f \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{H /[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}, \mu\right) \\
& \frac{d}{d \ln \mu^{2}} \mathcal{D}_{H /[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}, \mu\right)=\sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} K_{[Q \bar{Q}(c)] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \otimes \mathcal{D}_{H /[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}, \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Predictive power

> Calculation of short-distance hard parts in pQCD:
Power series in $\alpha_{s}$, without large logarithms

- Calculation of evolution kernels in pQCD:

Power series in $\alpha_{s}$, scheme in choosing factorization scale $\mu$
Could affect the term with mixing powers
$>$ Universality of input fragmentation functions at $\mu_{0}$ :

$D_{H / f}\left(z, m_{Q}, \mu_{0}\right) \quad \mathcal{D}_{H /[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}, \mu_{0}\right)$
$>$ Physics of $\mu_{0} \sim \mathbf{2 m}_{Q}$ - a parameter:
Evolution stops when

$$
\log \left[\frac{\mu_{0}^{2}}{\left(4 m_{Q}^{2}\right)}\right] \sim\left[\frac{4 m_{Q}^{2}}{\mu_{0}^{2}}\right]
$$

Different quarkonium states require different input distributions!

## Short-distance hard parts

$>$ Calculation of hard parts at NLP level is similar as the calculation in NRQCD factorization, but with two differences:

- Set heavy quark mass $m_{Q}$ as zero

Kang, Qiu, Sterman, 1109.1520

$$
\mathcal{P}_{a}=\frac{\hat{p}_{\bar{c}} \gamma^{+} \gamma^{5} \hat{\phi}_{c}}{2 \hat{P}^{+}},
$$

- Using the relativistic spin projectors

$$
\sigma_{q \bar{q} \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(c)] g}^{(3)}=\hat{\sigma}_{q \bar{q} \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] g}^{(3)} \otimes D_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}^{(0)}+\hat{\sigma}_{q \bar{q} \rightarrow g g}^{(2)} \otimes D_{g \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}^{\tau}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{v}=\frac{\hat{p}_{\bar{c}} \gamma^{+} \hat{p}_{c}}{2 \hat{P}^{+}}, \\
& \mathcal{P}_{t}^{\mu}=\frac{\hat{p}_{\bar{c}} \gamma^{+} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \hat{p}_{c}}{2 \hat{P}^{+}},
\end{aligned}
$$








| $D_{g \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}]}^{(1)}$ | $\alpha_{s}\left(2 m_{Q}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha_{s}^{2}(\mu)$ | $\left(2 m_{Q}\right)^{2}$ | $\hat{\sigma}_{q \bar{q} \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}] g}^{(3)}=\sigma_{q \bar{q} \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}] g}^{(3)}-\sigma_{q \bar{q} \rightarrow g}^{(2)}$






$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu \nu}(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left[-g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{p_{\mu} n_{\nu}+n_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{p \cdot n}-\frac{p^{2}}{(p \cdot n)^{2}} n_{\mu} n_{\nu}\right]
$$

$D_{g \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}]}^{(1)}:$
$>$ Calculate to NLP, even tree-level needs subtraction! Set $m_{Q}=0$ with care!

## Evolution kernels: $1 \rightarrow 2$

$>$ Expand evolution equation to $O\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}} D_{[Q \bar{Q}(s I)] / f}^{(2)}\left(z, \mu^{2} ; u, v\right)= & \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} D_{[Q \bar{Q}(s I)] / g}^{(1)}\left(z^{\prime} ; u, v\right) \gamma_{g / f}^{(1)}\left(z / z^{\prime}\right) \\
+ & \frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{1} d u^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} d v^{\prime} \\
& \times \mathcal{D}_{\left.[Q \bar{Q}(s I)] /\left[Q \bar{Q}\left(s^{\prime} I^{\prime}\right)\right]\right]}^{(0)}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} ; u, v\right) \gamma_{\left[Q \bar{Q}\left(s^{\prime} I^{\prime}\right)\right] / f}^{(2)}\left(z / z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(s I)] /\left[Q \bar{Q}\left(s^{\prime} I^{\prime}\right)\right]}^{(0)}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} ; u, v\right)=\delta^{s s^{\prime}} \delta^{I I^{\prime}} \delta\left(1-z / z^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(u-u^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)
$$

$>$ Using the form of zeroth order fragmentation function:

$$
\frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(s I)] / f}^{(2)}(z, u, v)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu^{2}} D_{[Q \bar{Q}(s)] / f}^{(2)}\left(z, \mu^{2} ; u, v\right)
$$

$$
-\int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} D_{[Q \bar{Q}(s I)] / g}^{(1)}\left(z^{\prime} ; u, v\right) \gamma_{g / f}^{(1)}\left(z / z^{\prime}\right)
$$

Example: " $q \rightarrow Q \bar{Q}$ " $=$


$$
D_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 8)] / q}^{(2)}\left(z^{\prime} ; u, v, \mu^{2}\right)=\int^{\mu^{2}} \frac{d p_{c}^{2}}{\left(p_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\alpha_{s}^{2}\left(\frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{N_{c}} \frac{8(1-z)}{z^{2}}\right)\right] \quad D_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 8)] / g}^{(1)}\left(z^{\prime} ; u, v\right)=0
$$

## Evolution kernels: $2 \rightarrow 2$

> Mismatch of "+" momentum integration range between the real and virtual diagrams : Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman, 1304.xxxx

$k^{+} \in\left(0, \frac{(1-z) P^{+}}{z}\right) k^{+} \in\left(0, P^{+}\right) \quad k^{+} \in\left(0, \frac{(1-z) P^{+}}{z}\right) \quad k^{+} \in\left(0, P_{Q}^{+}\right) \quad k^{+} \in\left(0, P_{Q}^{+} / P_{\bar{Q}}^{+}\right)$
> Consequence of mismatch: our results have uncanceled logarithmic terms

$$
\int_{0}^{\mu_{2}} \frac{d k^{+}}{k^{+}}-\int_{0}^{\mu_{1}} \frac{d k^{+}}{k^{+}}=\int_{\mu_{1}}^{\mu_{2}} \frac{d k^{+}}{k^{+}}=\ln \left(\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\right)
$$

Logarithmic terms depend on the definition of "plus functions", one may eliminate the logarithm by choosing different definitions. Our definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\left\langle f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{+0} g(v) d v\right. \equiv \int_{0}^{1}\left[f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)+f\left(\bar{v}, \bar{v}^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(\bar{v}-\bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right] g(v) d v \\
& \int\left\langle f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{+0} g\left(v^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime} \equiv \int_{0}^{1}\left[f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)+f\left(\bar{v}, \bar{v}^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(\bar{v}-\bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right] g\left(v^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime} \\
&\left\{\begin{aligned}
\int\left\langle f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{+1} g(v) d v & \equiv \int_{0}^{1}\left[f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)+f\left(\bar{v}, \bar{v}^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(\bar{v}-\bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[g(v)-g\left(v^{\prime}\right)-\log \frac{1}{v^{\prime} \bar{v}^{\prime}}\right] d v \\
\int\left\langle f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{+1} g\left(v^{\prime}\right) d v^{\prime} & \equiv \int_{0}^{1}\left[f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)+f\left(\bar{v}, \bar{v}^{\prime}\right) \theta\left(\bar{v}-\bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[g\left(v^{\prime}\right)-g(v)-\log \frac{1}{v \bar{v}}\right] d v^{\prime} \\
\int \frac{1}{(1-z)_{+}} g(z) d z & \equiv \int_{z_{0}}^{1} \frac{\left\lfloor g(z)-g(1)-\log \frac{1}{1-z_{0}}\right\rfloor}{1-z} d z
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Evolution kernels

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TWO } \rightarrow \text { tWO } \\
& P_{v 1 \rightarrow v 1}= P_{a 1 \rightarrow a 1} \\
&=\left(3-\left(\hat{S}_{0}\right)\right. C_{F} \Delta_{0}+C_{F} \Delta_{v}, \\
& P_{t 1 \rightarrow t 1}=\left(3-S_{0}\right. \\
& S_{0} C_{F} \Delta_{0}+C_{F} \tilde{\Delta}_{v}, \\
& P_{v 8 \rightarrow v 8}= P_{a 8 \rightarrow a 8}= \\
& P_{t 8 \rightarrow t 8}=\left(3-\left(S_{0}\right)\right. \\
& P_{F} C_{0}-\frac{1}{2 N_{c}} \Delta_{v}+\frac{1}{2 N_{c}} \frac{z}{2(1-z)_{+}} S_{+} S_{+}^{[8]}, \\
& C_{F} \Delta_{0}-\frac{1}{2 N_{c}} \tilde{\Delta}_{v}+\frac{1}{2 N_{c}} \frac{z}{2(1-z)_{+}}\left(S_{+} \Delta_{+}^{[8]}+S_{-} \Delta_{-}^{[8]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P_{v 8 \rightarrow v 1}=P_{a 8 \rightarrow a 1}=\frac{z}{2(1-z)} S_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[1]},
$$

Kang, YQM, Qiu, Sterman,

$$
P_{t 8 \rightarrow t 1}=\frac{z}{2(1-z)}\left(S_{+} \Delta_{-}^{[1]}+S_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[1]}\right),
$$

$$
P_{v 8 \rightarrow a 1}=P_{a 8 \rightarrow v 1}=\frac{z}{2(1-z)} S_{-} \Delta_{-}^{[1]},
$$

$$
P_{v 8 \rightarrow a 8}=P_{a 8 \rightarrow v 8}=\frac{1}{2 N_{c}} \frac{z}{2(1-z)} S_{-} \Delta_{+}^{[8]},
$$

$$
P_{X 1 \rightarrow Y 8}=\frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{4 N_{c}^{2}} P_{X 8 \rightarrow Y 1}, \quad \text { for } \quad X, Y=v, a, t
$$

$$
S_{0}=\ln (u \bar{u} v \bar{v}), \quad S_{ \pm}=\left(\frac{u}{u^{\prime}} \pm \frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{u}^{\prime}}\right)\left(\frac{v}{v^{\prime}} \pm \frac{\bar{v}}{\bar{v}^{\prime}}\right),
$$

$$
\Delta_{0}=\delta(1-z) \delta\left(u-u^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\Delta_{ \pm}^{[1]}=\left[\delta\left(u-z u^{\prime}\right) \pm \delta\left(\bar{u}-z \bar{u}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[\delta\left(v-z v^{\prime}\right) \pm \delta\left(\bar{v}-z \bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\Delta_{ \pm}^{[8]}=\left\{\left(N_{c}^{2}-2\right)\left[\delta\left(u-z u^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(v-z v^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(\bar{u}-z \bar{u}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\bar{v}-z \bar{v}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right.
$$

$$
\left. \pm 2\left[\delta\left(u-z u^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\bar{v}-z \bar{v}^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(\bar{u}-z \bar{u}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(v-z v^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

$$
\Delta_{v}=\delta(1-z) \delta\left(u-u^{\prime}\right)\left[\left\langle\frac{1}{v-v^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{+1}-\left\langle\frac{\bar{v}^{\prime}}{v}\right\rangle_{+0}\right]+u \leftrightarrow v
$$

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_{v}=\delta(1-z) \delta\left(u-u^{\prime}\right)\left[\left\langle\frac{1}{v-v^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{+1}-\left\langle\frac{1}{v}\right\rangle_{+0}\right]+u \leftrightarrow v
$$

## One $\rightarrow$ two

Light quark case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 8)] / q}^{(2)}=\alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{N_{c}} \frac{8(1-z)}{z^{2}} \\
& \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 1)] / q}^{(2)}=\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 8)] / q}^{(2)}=\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 1)] / q}^{(2)}=\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(t 8)] / q}^{(2)}=\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(t 1)] / q}^{(2)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Heavy quark case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 8)] / Q}^{(2)}=\alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{2 N_{c}^{3}} \frac{1}{\bar{u} \bar{v}} & \frac{1-z}{z^{2}} \frac{4 N_{c} \bar{u}(1-z u)+z(1+z \bar{u})}{1-z u} \\
& \times \frac{4 N_{c} \bar{v}(1-z v)+z(1+z \bar{v})}{1-z v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 1)] / Q}^{(2)}=\alpha_{s}^{2}\left(\frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{N_{c}}\right)^{2} \frac{1-z}{\bar{u} \bar{v}} \frac{(1+z \bar{u})(1+z \bar{v})}{(1-z u)(1-z v)} \\
& \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 8)] / Q}^{(2)}=\alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{2 N_{c}^{3}} \frac{1-z}{\bar{u} \bar{v}} \frac{(1+z \bar{u})(1+z \bar{v})}{(1-z u)(1-z v)} \\
& \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 1)] / Q}^{(2)}=\alpha_{s}^{2}\left(\frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{N_{c}}\right)^{2} \frac{1-z}{\bar{u} \bar{v}} \frac{(1+z \bar{u})(1+z \bar{v})}{(1-z u)(1-z v)} \\
& \gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(t 8)] / Q}^{(2)}=\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(t 1)] / Q}^{(2)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Gluon case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 8)] / g}^{(2)}= & \alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{1}{4 u \bar{u} v \bar{v}}\left\{\frac { N _ { c } } { z ^ { 2 } } \left[4(1-z)^{2}-4(1-2 u \bar{u}-2 v \bar{v})(1-z)^{2}(z+2)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+(u-\bar{u})^{2}(v-\bar{v})^{2}\left(2 z^{4}+2 z^{3}-3 z^{2}-4 z+4\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{N_{c}^{2}-4}{N_{c}}(u-\bar{u})(v-\bar{v})\left[z^{2}+(1-z)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(v 1)] / Q}^{(2)}= & \alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{(u-\bar{u})(v-\bar{v})}{u \bar{u} v \bar{v}}\left[z^{2}+(1-z)^{2}\right] \\
\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 8)] / Q}^{(2)}= & \alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{1}{u \bar{u} v \bar{v}}\left[\frac{N_{c}}{2}(\bar{u} \bar{v}+u v)-\frac{1}{N_{c}}\right]\left[z^{2}+(1-z)^{2}\right] \\
\gamma_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 1)] / Q}^{(2)}= & \alpha_{s}^{2} \frac{1}{u \bar{u} v \bar{v}}\left[z^{2}+(1-z)^{2}\right] \\
\gamma_{[[Q \bar{Q}(t 8)] / Q}^{(2)}= & \gamma_{[[Q \bar{Q}(t 1)] Q}^{(2)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Apply NRQCD to FFs

> Input distributions are universal, non-perturbative:
Should, in principle, be extracted from experimental data
$>$ If NRQCD is valid - only proof to NNLO!
Nayak, Qiu and Sterman, 0509021
Replace unknown functions by a few unknown numbers - matrix elements!
>Apply NRQCD to the input distributions:

- All possible single parton FFs - up to NLO in $\alpha_{s}$

Braaten, Yuan, 9303205,
Braaten, Lee, 0004228,

$$
\left.D_{g \rightarrow J / \psi}\left(z, \mu_{0}, m_{Q}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(c)]} \hat{d}_{g \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}\left(z, \mu_{0}, m_{Q}\right)\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}(0)\right\rangle\right|_{\mathrm{NRQCD}}
$$

- All possible heavy quark pair FFs - up to NLO in $\alpha_{s}$

Kang, Qiu, Sterman, 1109.1520
YQM, Qiu, Zhang, 13xx.xxxx

- Divergences at NLO also confirm the correctness of evolution kernels
$\mathcal{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow J / \psi}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, \mu_{0}, m_{Q}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{[Q \bar{Q}(c)]} \hat{d}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, \mu_{0}, m_{Q}\right)\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{[Q \bar{Q}(c)]}(0)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{NRQCD}}$


## Polarization of ${ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}$ channel

$>$ Fragmentation functions determine the polarization
Short-distance dynamics at $r \sim 1 / p_{T}$ is NOT sensitive to the details taken place at the scale of hadron wave function $\sim 1 \mathrm{fm}$
$>$ Heavy quark pair fragmentation functions at LO:


$p_{c} / 2+q_{l} p_{c} / 2-q_{l} p_{c} / 2-q_{2} p_{c} / 2+q_{2} \quad p_{c} / 2+q_{l} p_{c} / 2-q_{l} p_{c} / 2-q_{2} p_{c} / 2+q_{2}$
NRQCD to a singlet pair:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow J / \psi}=2 \mathcal{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow J / \psi}^{T}+\mathcal{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(\kappa)] \rightarrow J / \psi}^{L}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{[Q Q(a 8)] \rightarrow J / \psi}^{L}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}, \mu\right)=\frac{1}{2 N^{2}} \frac{\left\langle O_{\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)}^{J / \psi}\right\rangle}{3 m_{c}} \Delta\left(\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right) \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} z(1-z)\left[\ln (r(z)+1)-\left(1-\frac{1}{1+r(z)}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{[Q \bar{Q}(a 8)] \rightarrow J / \psi}^{T}\left(z, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}, m_{Q}, \mu\right)=\frac{1}{2 N^{2}} \frac{\left\langle O_{1\left({ }^{(3)} S_{1}\right)}^{J / \psi}\right\rangle}{3 m_{c}} \Delta\left(\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right) \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} z(1-z)\left[1-\frac{1}{1+r(z)}\right]
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad \Delta\left(\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a, b} \delta(\zeta-a(1-z)) \delta\left(\zeta^{\prime}-b(1-z)\right) \quad, \quad r(z) \equiv \frac{z^{2} \mu^{2}}{4 m_{c}^{2}(1-z)^{2}}
$$

## Polarization of ${ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}$ channel

> LO hard parts + LO fragmentation contributions:


LO heavy quark pair fragmentation contribution reproduces
the bulk of NLO color singlet contribution, and the polarization!

## Relativistic corrections

## > Leading $\mathrm{v}^{2}$ relativistic correction in NRQCD:



Fan, YQM, Chao, 0904.4025
Xu, Li, Liu, Zhang,1203.0207

Complete results:
Large $p_{T}$ behavior:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{(1)}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}\right)=\left.\frac{G\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}\right)}{F\left({ }^{(3} S_{1}^{1])}\right)}\right|_{p_{r} \gg m}=\frac{1}{6}, \\
& R^{(1)}\left(S_{0}^{[8]}\right)=\left.\frac{G\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)}{F\left({ }^{[8} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)}\right|_{p_{\tau} \gg m}=-\frac{5}{6}, \\
& R^{(1)}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}\right)=\left.\frac{G\left(3^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}\right)}{F\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}\right)}\right|_{p r \gg m}=-\frac{11}{6}, \\
& R^{(1)}\left({ }^{3} P^{[8]}\right)=\left.\frac{G\left({ }^{3} P^{[8]}\right)}{F\left({ }^{(3 P} P^{[8])}\right.}\right|_{p_{T} \gg m}=-\frac{31}{30},
\end{aligned}
$$



Large $p_{T}$ approximation: dominant for $p_{T}>10 \mathrm{GeV}$; gives reasonable results for $p_{T}>6 \mathrm{GeV}$.

## Relativistic corrections

YQM, Qiu, 13xx.xxxx

$$
R\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)=1-\frac{5}{6} \delta+\frac{259}{360} \delta^{2}-\frac{3229}{5040} \delta^{3}+\cdots .
$$

> $\mathbf{v}^{2}$ all order corrections in pQCD factorization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{c}=P / 2+q \\
& P_{\bar{c}}=P / 2-q
\end{aligned}
$$



| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta^{i} R^{(i)}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)\right\|_{\delta=0.3}$ | 1 | 0.750 | 0.815 | 0.797 | $\cdots$ | 0.801 |
| $\left.\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta^{i} R^{(i)}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]}\right)\right\|_{\delta=0.1}$ | 1 | 0.917 | 0.924 | 0.923 | $\cdots$ | 0.923 |

$$
R\left(S_{1}^{3}[8]\right)=1-\frac{11}{6} \delta+\frac{191}{72} \delta^{2}-\frac{167}{48} \delta^{3}+\cdots,
$$

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta^{i} R^{(i)}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}\right)\right\|_{\delta=0.3}$ | 1 | 0.450 | 0.689 | 0.595 | 0.630 | $\ldots$ | 0.620 |
| $\left.\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta^{i} R^{(i)}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}\right)\right\|_{\delta=0.1}$ | 1 | 0.817 | 0.843 | 0.840 | 0.840 | $\ldots$ | 0.840 |

$$
R\left({ }^{3} P^{[8]}\right)=\frac{2 R_{a}\left({ }^{3} P[8]\right.}{[8]}+R_{v}\left({ }^{3} P[8]\right), ~=1-\frac{31}{30} \delta+\frac{4111}{4200} \delta^{2}-\frac{4631}{5040} \delta^{3}+
$$

- Using leading $p_{T}$ approximation - $\mathbf{O}\left(v^{2}\right)$ corrections reproduced
- Convergence of $v^{2}$ expansion are found.

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta^{i} R^{(i)}\left({ }^{3} P^{[8]}\right)\right\|_{\delta=0.3}$ | 1 | 0.690 | 0.778 | 0.753 | $\cdots$ | 0.759 |
| $\left.\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta^{i} R^{(i)}\left({ }^{3} P^{[8]}\right)\right\|_{\delta=0.1}$ | 1 | 0.897 | 0.906 | 0.906 | $\cdots$ | 0.906 |

## Summary

$>$ When $p_{T} \gg m_{Q}$ at collider energies, earlier models for calculating the production rate of heavy quarkonia are not perturbatively stable
LO in $\alpha_{s}$-expansion may not be the LP term in $1 / \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$-expansion
$>$ When $p_{T} \gg m_{Q}, 1 / p_{T}-$ power expansion before $\alpha_{s}$-expansion pQCD factorization approach takes care of both $1 / \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$-expansion and resummation of the large logarithms
> pQCD factorization approach and SCET approach seem to be consistent in the region where they both apply.
> Preliminary applications already show the power of pQCD factorization. More works, particularly, detailed comparisons with data are needed!

Thank you!

## Anomalies from $/ / \psi$ polarization in NRQCD

> CS: LO V.S. higher order

- LO gives transverse polarization
- NLO and NNLO gives longitudinal polarization

Gong, Wang, 0805.2469 Lansberg, 0811.4005

> CO: LO V.S. higher order

- NLO corrections for J/psi polarization are worked out by three different groups
- Polarization at NLO can be significantly different from LO, depending on CO LDMEs


LO prediction:
Cho, Wise, 9408352
Beneke, Rothstein,
9509375, ..


Butensckön, Kniehl, 1201.1872


Chao,YQM,Shao,Wang,Zh ang, 1201.2675


Gong,Wan,Wang,Zhang, 1205.6682

