

# Conventional cc and ccq at Belle

Vishal Bhardwaj, NWU

(for Belle Collaboration)

QUG Workshop

22-26 April 2013



# Outline

- $\eta_c$  in  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
- Observation of  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$ in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} J/\Psi \eta$
- Evidence of X(3823): missing  $\Psi_2$
- Doubly charmed baryon search

## QCD : real particles are color singlet



Baryons are red-bluegreen triplets

Mesons are coloranticolor pairs



π=ūd

Other possible combinations of quarks and gluons :

#### Pentaquark

S= +1 Baryon



Λ=usd

#### H di-Baryon

Tightly bound 6 quark state



Glueball Color-singlet multigluon bound state



#### Tetraquark

Tightly bound diquark & anti-diquark







artistic illustration<sup>3</sup>

# QCD : real particles are color singlet



∧=usd

Mesons are coloranticolor pairs  $\overline{u}$  d  $\pi=\overline{u}d$ 

Other possible combinations of quarks and gluons :

Pentaquark	H di-Baryon	<b>Glueball</b> g
S= +1 Baryon	Tightly bound 6 quark state	Color-singlet multi- gluon bound state
Tetraquark Tightly bound diquark & anti-diquark	Molecule loosely bound meson- antimeson "molecule"	qq -gluon hybrid mesons

#### Covered by C.P. Shen on Monday

For exotic states in bottomonium, stay tune for Roman's talk on Friday

artistic illustration<sup>4</sup>

# Charmonium Spectroscopy

- Mass and width measurement of  $\eta_c$  in  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'\pi\pi$  Belle, PRD 86,052002 (2012)
- Observation of  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  in  $J/\Psi\eta$  via ISR Belle, PRD 87, 051101(R)(2013)
- First evidence of  $\Psi_2 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma$  arXiv:1304.3975

#### cc̄ spectrum



#### Previously measured $\eta_c$ parameters

Experiment	Process	Mass, MeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Width, MeV/c <sup>2</sup>
E835 2001	p <b>ρ</b> →γγ	$2984.1 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.0$	$20.4^{+7.7}_{-6.7}\pm2.0$
BES 2003	$J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	$2977.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.2$	$17.0 \pm 3.7 \pm 7.4$
CLEO 2004	γγ →K <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup> K⁺π⁻	$2981.8 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.5$	$24.8 \pm 3.4 \pm 3.5$
Belle 2008	γγ <del>→</del> hadrons	$2986.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 2.5$	$28.1 \pm 3.2 \pm 2.2$
BaBar 2008	Β <del>→</del> Κ <i>Κ</i> πΚ <sup>(*)</sup>	$2985.8 \pm 1.5 \pm 3.1$	$36.3^{+3.7}_{-3.6}\pm4.4$
Belle 2008	γγ →K <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup> K⁺π⁻	$2981.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4$	$36.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.0$
BaBar 2010	γγ →K <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup> K⁺π⁻	$2982.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.4$	$32.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.3$
BaBar 2011	γγ <del>→</del> K <sup>-</sup> K <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>0</sup>	$2984.5 \pm 0.8 \pm 3.1$	$36.2 \pm 2.8 \pm 3.0$
Belle 2011	$B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}(K_{S}^{0} K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})$	$2985.4 \pm 1.5^{+0.5}_{-2.0}$	$35.1 \pm 3.1^{+1.0}_{-1.6}$
PDG	World average	2981.0±1.1	29.7±1.0

Largest **Smallest** Nearby

Some measurements are ~  $5 MeV/c^2$  (mass) or ~  $10 MeV/c^2$  (width) away from the PDG average

 $\eta_c \text{ in } \gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

Measurement of mass and width in η<sub>c</sub> →η'π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> in γγ can provide useful information.
 No direct measurement of ΓγγxB for the decay η<sub>c</sub> →η'π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> is available so far.

 $\eta_c$  in  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ 

No interference between  $\eta_c$  and non-resonant background is assumed.

Parameters	Belle	PDG
M, MeV/c²	2982.7±1.8±2.2	2981.0±1.1
Γ, MeV/c²	<b>37.8</b> <sup>+5.8</sup> <sub>-5.3</sub> ±2.8	29.7±1.0
Γ <sub>γγ</sub> ℬ, eV/c²	50.5 <sup>+4.2</sup> <sub>-4.1</sub> ±5.6	143.1±60.1*
<i>B</i> , %	0.87±0.20 <sup>†</sup>	2.7±1.1



- Fit with interference is also tried.
- $\succ$  Results of mass and width of  $\eta_c$  are almost same.
- Possible difference has been added as a systematic uncertainty.
- Improvement in the branching fraction is striking.

<sup>\*</sup>Using indirect measurement,  $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\eta_c \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-)$  measured separately. <sup>†</sup> $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}$  is determined using  $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}\Gamma_{\kappa\bar{\kappa}\pi}/\Gamma_{total}$  and  $\Gamma_{\kappa\bar{\kappa}\pi}/\Gamma_{total}$ 

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} J/\Psi \eta$  process

- Many Y-particles have been found in  $J/\Psi \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $\Psi' \pi^+ \pi^-$  modes.
- $J/\Psi\eta$  mode has not yet been visited.





- First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay into  $J/\Psi\eta$
- Their dominant decay modes are known to be DD, D\*D, D\*D, D\*D, D\*D, D\*T, as seen at BaBar. CLEO and Belle.
- No evidence for the Y(4260), Y (4360), Ψ(4415) or Y(4660) in the J/Ψη final states. 9



♦ No  $\Psi(4040)$  or  $\Psi(4160)$  in  $J/\Psi\eta$  seen in  $B \rightarrow J/\Psi\eta K$  study

- ★ Assuming that  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \Psi(4040 \text{ or } 4160)K) = \mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow \Psi'K)$ , expected signal yield is 5~20 events for  $\Psi(4040 \text{ or } 4160)$  in my own estimation\*.
- In B decay, peak(s) may not become apparent considering their natural width.
- Thus both results seem to be not contradicting with each other.

\*Taking  $\Gamma_{ee} \sim 0.8$ keV from PDG  $\mathcal{B}(\Psi' \rightarrow J/\Psi \eta) = 3.6 \pm 0.1\%^{PDG}$  $\mathcal{B}(\Psi(4040) \rightarrow J/\Psi \eta) \sim 0.6\%$  or 1.4% and  $\mathcal{B}(\Psi(4160) \rightarrow J/\Psi \eta) \sim 0.5\%$  or 1.7%

#### $B \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K$ and $B \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma K$

- \*  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  and  $\chi_{c2}\gamma$ : suitable final state to look for either *X(3872)*'s C-odd partner or unseen charmonium.
- \* "B decay" is the proper process for such purpose.
- Theory predicts <sup>3</sup>D<sub>2</sub> cc̄ state to lie around ~3810-3840 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> mass and be narrow.

Partial width,  $\Gamma(\Psi_2 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma) = 260$  keV.

Along with this, there should be  ${}^{3}D_{3}$  cc̄ state lying around ~ 3830-3880 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> mass and will decay into  $\chi_{c2}\gamma$ .

Partial width,  $\Gamma(\Psi_3 \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma) = 286$  keV.

S. Godfrey & N. Isgur, PRD 32, 189 (1985) E. Eichten et al., PRL 89,162002 (2002), PRD 69, 094019 (2004)

✓ With current statistics, we expect to find some hint of  $\Psi_2$  and  $\Psi_3$ .

Search for new exotic states in  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  and  $\chi_{c2}\gamma$ by scanning  $M_{\chi c1, c2\gamma}$  (mass distribution) for narrow peak.



arXiv:1304.3975

New state @ 3823



 $\Gamma = 1.7\pm5.5$  MeV if fitted, poor sensitivity

 $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma K^{\pm}$ 



#### arXiv:1304.3975



Simultaneous fit to  $B^+ \rightarrow X(3823)K^+$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow X(3823)K_S^0$ 

Mean :  $3823.1 \pm 1.8$  (stat)  $\pm 0.7$  (syst) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>

Γ: 1.7 ± 5.5 (stat) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
 (Γ< 24 MeV @ 90% UL)\*</li>

\* Using frequentist method

Statistics not sufficient for width estimation and angular analysis.

Along with this  $B \rightarrow (\chi_{c2}\gamma)$  K study is also performed No significant peak is observed and we measured U.L. on  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow X(3823)K).\mathcal{B}(X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma))$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma(X(3823) \to \chi_{c2} \gamma)}{\Gamma(X(3823) \to \chi_{c1} \gamma)} < 0.41 \ (@ 90\% \ CL)$$

Let's see if this state can be interpreted as one of the unseen charmonia.<sup>13</sup>

#### Interpretation of X(3823) as $\Psi_2$

TABLE III: Charmonium spectrum, including the influence of open-charm channels. All masses are in MeV. The penultimate column holds an estimate of the spin splitting due to tensor and spin-orbit forces in a single-channel potential model. The last column gives the spin splitting induced by communication with open-charm states, for an initially unsplit multiplet.

State	Mass	Centroid	Splitting (Potential)	${ m Splitting} \ ({ m Induced})$
$1^1S_0$	$2979.9^{a}$	a oca ch	-90.5	+2.8
$1^3S_1$	$3096.9^{a}$	3 067.6-	+30.2	-0.9
$1^{3}P_{0}$	$3415.3^{a}$		$-114.9^{e}$	+5.9
$1^{3}P_{1}$	$3510.5^{a}$	9 505 96	$-11.6^{e}$	-2.0
$1^1 P_1$	3 5 2 5.3	3 323.3	$+1.5^{e}$	+0.5
$1^{3}P_{2}$	$3556.2^{a}$		$-31.9^{e}$	-0.3
$2^1S_0$	$3637.7^{a}$	a ana ak	-50.4	+15.7
$2^3S_1$	$3686.0^{a}$	3673.9	+16.8	-5.2
$1^{3}D_{1}$	$3769.9^{ab}$		-40	-39.9
$1^{\circ}D_2$	3830.6	(2015)d	0	-2.7
$1^1 D_2$	3838.0	(3013)	0	+4.2
$1^{3}D_{3}$	3868.3		+20	+19.0
$2^{3}P_{0}$	3931.9		-90	+10
$2^{3}P_{1}$	4007.5	accod	-8	+28.4
$2^1 P_1$	3968.0	9 908	0	-11.9
$2^3 P_2$	3966.5		+25	-33.1

S. Godfrey & N. Isgur, PRD 32, 189 (1985) E. Eichten et al., PRL 89,162002 (2002),

PRD 69, 094019 (2004)

Three states with similar mass (predicted):  ${}^{3}D_{2}$ ,  ${}^{1}D_{2}$ ,  ${}^{3}D_{3}$ 

- <sup>1</sup>D<sub>2</sub> excluded due to C conservation in EM decays.
- ${}^{3}D_{3}$  doesn't have E1 transition to  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$
- <sup>3</sup>D<sub>2</sub> seems to be appropriate
- >  $\Psi_2$  below  $D\bar{D}^*$  threshold: expected to have narrow decay width of 300-400 keV
- $\succ \Psi_2 \rightarrow DD$  is forbidden due to parity
- > Mostly decaying into  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$ .

✓ The observed peak (@3823) has not been seen in  $D\bar{D} ({}^{3}D_{2}$  → DD is expected). ✓  $\frac{\Gamma(X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma)}{\Gamma(X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma)} < 0.41$  (@ 90% CL), Expected  $\frac{\Gamma(\Psi_{2} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma)}{\Gamma(\Psi_{2} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma)} \sim 0.2$  (model dependent) If we assume,  $\mathcal{B}(\Psi_{2} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma) = 0.64$  PRD 55, 4001 (1997), PLB 395, 107 (1997)

 $\frac{BR(B \rightarrow \Psi_2 K)}{BR(B \rightarrow \Psi' K)} \sim 0.02$  Factorization penalty similar to the one observed in  $B \rightarrow \chi_{c2} K$ 

 $\frac{BR(B \rightarrow \chi_{c2}K)}{BR(B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}K)} = 0.022 \pm 0.007$ 

#### Belle, PRL 107, 091803 (2011)

✓ Suppression w.r.t. to  $J^{PC}=1^{--}$ , similar to the observed suppression of  $J^{PC}=2^{++}$  w.r.t.  $J^{PC}=1^{++}$ .

X(3823) seems to be the missing  $\Psi_2$  from the charmonium spectrum.

#### New member added



# Search For Doubly Charmed Baryon

### Doubly charmed Baryon $(\Xi_{cc}^{+})^{r}$

Doubly charmed states combine two extreme regimes inside them:

- i) Slow relative motion of two heavy quark, as in charmonium
- ii) Fast motion of light quark.

Doubly charmed baryons provide a new window for understanding the structure of all baryons

- Lightest doubly-charmed baryons can exist with either quark content ccu,  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  or ccd,  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ .
- Models generally predict mass range of 3.52-3.66 for J<sup>P</sup>=1/2<sup>+</sup> ground state and 3.636-3.66 GeV for J<sup>P</sup>=3/2<sup>+</sup> excited state.





- SELEX observed statistically compelling high mass states near 3.6 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  and  $pD^+ K^-$
- Mass of  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  : 3518.9± 0.9 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- τ(measured) < τ(theory)</li>



### Doubly charmed Baryon ( $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ )

Doubly charmed states combine two extreme regimes inside them:

- i) Slow relative motion of two heavy quark, as in charmonium
- ii) Fast motion of light quark.

Doubly charmed baryons provide a new window for understanding the structure of all baryons

- Lightest doubly-charmed baryons can exist with either quark content ccu,  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  or ccd,  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ .
- Models generally predict mass range of 3.52-3.66 for J<sup>P</sup>=1/2<sup>+</sup> ground state and 3.636-3.66 GeV for J<sup>P</sup>=3/2<sup>+</sup> excited state.





- SELEX observed statistically compelling high mass states near 3.6 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  and  $pD^+ K^-$
- Mass of  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  : 3518.9± 0.9 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- τ(measured) < τ(theory)</li>



### Doubly charmed Baryon $(\Xi_{cc}^{+})^{j^{*}}$

Doubly charmed states combine two extreme regimes inside them:

- i) Slow relative motion of two heavy quark, as in charmonium
- ii) Fast motion of light quark.

Doubly charmed baryons provide a new window for understanding the structure of all baryons

- Lightest doubly-charmed baryons can exist with either quark content ccu,  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  or ccd,  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ .
- Models generally predict mass range of 3.52-3.66 for J<sup>P</sup>=1/2<sup>+</sup> ground state and 3.636-3.66 GeV for J<sup>P</sup>=3/2<sup>+</sup> excited state.





- SELEX observed statistically compelling high mass states near 3.6 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  and  $pD^+ K^-$
- Mass of  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  : 3518.9± 0.9 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- τ(measured) < τ(theory)</li>



### Doubly charmed Baryon $(\Xi_{cc}^{+})^{r}$

Doubly charmed states combine two extreme regimes inside them:

- i) Slow relative motion of two heavy quark, as in charmonium
- ii) Fast motion of light quark.

Doubly charmed baryons provide a new window for understanding the structure of all baryons

- Lightest doubly-charmed baryons can exist with either quark content ccu,  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  or ccd,  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ .
- Models generally predict mass range of 3.52-3.66 for J<sup>P</sup>=1/2<sup>+</sup> ground state and 3.636-3.66 GeV for J<sup>P</sup>=3/2<sup>+</sup> excited state.





- SELEX observed statistically compelling high mass states near 3.6 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  and  $pD^+ K^-$
- Mass of  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  : 3518.9± 0.9 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- τ(measured) < τ(theory)</li>

 $\cos(\theta_{\nu}^{*}) > -.6$ 

 $\cos(\theta_{\rm K}^{*}) > -.6$ 

 $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}$ 

 $\rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ 

RIGHT-SIGN

WRONG-SIGN

**3.46 GeV** 

Ξ...++

Production cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc} X$ 

at *B* factories : 3 fb to 230 fb

PLB 332, 411(1994); Phys. Atom. Nucl, 65, 1537 (2002 PLB 568 568 (2003)

We should perform confirmation<sub>20</sub> at *B* factories !

#### Searches in other experiments



- SELEX results have not been confirmed by FOCUS, Belle and BaBar.
- Belle doubled the data statistics with improved reconstruction.
- > We revisit this topic with an effort to have additional final states.

Search for  $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)}$ 

 $980 fb^{-1}$  data is used in this search.

Previous study uses  $pK^{-}\pi^{+}$  to reconstruct  $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$  and only  $\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$ .



To reduce background  $X_p > 0.5$  is required , where  $X_p = p_{cm}/p_{max}$ ;  $p_{max} = sqrt(E_{cm}^2 - M_{\Xi cc}^2)$ 

 $\Xi_c^0 \pi^+$  is a strong decay mode of  $\Xi_c^+(2645)$  (J<sup>P</sup>=3/2<sup>+</sup>) as well as weak decay of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ 

 $\Xi_c^+(2645) \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \pi^+$  is used as calibration sample for  $\Xi_{cc}$ 

#### preliminary

Landmark state,  $\Xi_c^+$  (2645)

Signal PDF: Gaussian convoluted BW ( $\sigma = 1.05$  MeV from MC)



- All sub modes give consistent Mass and width of  $\Xi_c^+$  (2645).
- Systematics come from : BG shape, fitting region, ensemble study and difference of data and MC resolution .

First precise measurement of the width of  $\Xi_c^+(2645)$ Accuracy of the mass is also significantly improved.





95%C.L. upper limit of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}X) \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \pi^+) \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+) < 0.76-0.26$  fb 95%C.L. upper limit of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}X) \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^0 \pi^+ \pi^+) \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+) < 0.094-0.36$  fb

No significant signal is seen



#### $\Xi_c^+$ (3055) and $\Xi_c^+$ (3123); seen or unseen ?

preliminary



		Belle results (MeV/c <sup>2</sup> )		World Averages	s (MeV/c²)
	Yield	Mass	Width	Mass	Width
Ξ <sub>c</sub> (2980) <sup>+</sup>	244±39	2974.9±1.5±0.4	14.8±2.5±1.0	2971.4±3.3	26±7
Ξ <sub>c</sub> (3055)+	199±46	3058.1±1.0±0.5	9.7±3.4±1.0	3054.2±1.2±0.5	17±6±11
Ξ <sub>c</sub> (3080)+	185±31	3077.9±0.4±0.1	3.2±1.3±0.3	3077.0±0.4	5.8±1.0

Belle confirmed  $\Xi_c$  (3055)<sup>+</sup> but could not see  $\Xi_c$  (3123)<sup>+</sup>

 $\sigma \times \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)$  of  $\Xi_c^+(3123) < 0.17$  fb@ 95% C.L. [1.6±0.6±0.2 fb by BaBar]

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 



First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 

First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay to final states not involving charm meson pairs



First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 

First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay to final states not involving charm meson pairs

Significant signal (3.8  $\sigma$ ) is seen in  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  at 3823 MeV

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 

First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay to final states not involving charm meson pairs

e⁺e⁻ →γ<sub>ISR</sub> J/Ψη

Significant signal (3.8  $\sigma$ ) is seen in  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  at 3823 MeV



- Most probably  $\Psi_2$ : the missing piece of  $c\overline{c}$  spectrum.
- Consistent mass and decay mode with theory prediction.

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  ${\pmb \Gamma}_{{\pmb w}} {\pmb {\cal B}}$ 

First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay to final states not involving charm meson pairs

e⁺e⁻ →γ<sub>ISR</sub> J/Ψη

Significant signal (3.8  $\sigma$ ) is seen in  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  at 3823 MeV



- Most probably  $\Psi_2$ : the missing piece of  $c\overline{c}$  spectrum.
- Consistent mass and decay mode with theory prediction.

Study of  $\Xi_c^+$  (2645)

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_w \mathcal{B}$ 

First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay to final states not involving charm meson pairs



Significant signal (3.8  $\sigma$ ) is seen in  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  at 3823 MeV



- Most probably  $\Psi_2$ : the missing piece of  $c\overline{c}$  spectrum.
- Consistent mass and decay mode with theory prediction.

Precise measurement of the mass and width



First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_w \mathcal{B}$ 

First time  $\Psi(4040)$  and  $\Psi(4160)$  have been observed to decay to final states not involving charm meson pairs  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} J/\Psi\eta$ Significant signal (3.8  $\sigma$ ) is seen in  $\chi_{c1}\gamma$  at 3823 MeV  $\bullet$ Most probably  $\Psi_2$ : the missing piece of  $c\overline{c}$  spectrum. Consistent mass and decay mode with theory prediction. Precise measurement of the mass and width  $\bullet$ Study of  $\Xi_c^+(2645)$ Search for  $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)}$ 

First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{vv} \mathcal{B}$ 



First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 



First observation of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ 



Measure mass, width of  $\eta_c$  and first measurement of  $\Gamma_{yy} \mathcal{B}$ 



Belle is still actively solving questions about heavy flavor hadrons



# Thank you



## Production of $c\bar{c}$ (-like) @ B-factories



#### 605 $fb^{-1}$ $M_{\eta'\pi+\pi-}$ in low mass region

We also search for light hadrons :  $\eta(1760)$  and X(1835).

Both are not well known and two photon process can help in identifying their nature.



Assumption that both  $\eta(1760)$  and X(1835) has J<sup>PC</sup> of 0<sup>-+</sup>

No interference between resonant and non-resonant is taken into account.

It has also been suggested that data can also be well described by using broad  $J^{PC}=0^{-+}$ peaking in mass 2250-2300 and X(1835), without  $\eta(1760)$  D.V.Bugg, Phys. Rev. D86 114006(2012)

Parameter	One resonance	Two interferi	ng resonances	Reference
		Solution I	Solution II	•
		X(1835)		
$M,  { m MeV}/c^2$		1836.5	(fixed)	$1836.5 \pm 3.0^{+5.6}_{-2.1}$ [6]
$\Gamma,  \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$		190 (	fixed)	$190 \pm 9^{+38}_{-36}$ [6]
Y		$332^{+140}_{-122} \pm 73$	$632^{+224}_{-231} \pm 139$	
$Y_{90}$		< 650	< 1490	
$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}\mathcal{B},  \mathrm{eV}/c^2$		$18.2^{+7.7}_{-6.7} \pm 4.0$	$35^{+12}_{-13} \pm 8$	
$(\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}\mathcal{B})_{90} \text{ eV}/c^2$		< 35.6	< 83	
$S, \sigma$		2	.8	
		$\eta(1760)$		
$M,  { m MeV}/c^2$	$1768^{+24}_{-25} \pm 10$	$1703^{+1}_{-1}$	$^{2}_{1} \pm 1.8$	$1756 \pm 9 \ [1]$
$\Gamma,  \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$	$224^{+62}_{-56} \pm 25$	$42^{+36}_{-22}$	$\frac{1}{2} \pm 15$	$96 \pm 70$ [1]
Y	$465^{+131}_{-124} \pm 60$	$52^{+35}_{-20} \pm 15$	$315^{+223}_{-165} \pm 88$	
$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}\mathcal{B},  \mathrm{eV}/c^2$	$28.2^{+7.9}_{-7.5} \pm 3.7$	$3.0^{+2.0}_{-1.2}\pm0.8$	$18^{+13}_{-10} \pm 5$	
$S, \sigma$	4.7	4	.1	
$\phi$		$(287^{+42}_{-51})^{\circ}$	$(139^{+19}_{-9})^{\circ}$	
				4.2

#### Interference with Non-resonant Belle, PRD 86,052002 (2012)

#### component

Non-resonant into two component :

a) Interfere with resonant (NR1)

b) Don't interfere with resonant part (NR2)

Two solutions for interference

Mass = 2982.7 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> for  $\alpha_{NR}$  = 0.01%

= 2983.0 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> for  $\alpha_{NR}$  = 100%

 $\Gamma = 36.4 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ 

If for  $\alpha_{NR} = 100\%$ ,

with destructive interference , Yield = 854±59 events with  $\phi_1$  = (-92±15)° with constructive interference, Yield = 264±22 events with  $\phi_2$  = (91±8)°

with no interference.	With	no	interf	ference	:
-----------------------	------	----	--------	---------	---

Mass	$= 2982.7 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.2 \text{ MeV/c}^2$
------	--

$$\Gamma = 37.8^{+5.8}_{-5.3} \pm 2.8 \text{ MeV/c}^2$$

Yield = 486±40 events

Experiment	Mass, MeV/c <sup>2</sup>	Г, MeV/c²
Belle PLB 706,139(2011)	$2985.4 \pm 1.5 ^{+0.5}_{-2.0}$	$35.1 \pm 3.1^{+1.0}_{-1.6}$
BES PRL 108,222002(2012)	2984.3±0.6±0.6	32.0±1.2±1.0

 $\alpha_{_{\rm NR}} = n1/(n1+n2)$ 

### Charmonium

Bound state of c and  $\tau$ Spin :  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} = 0,1$ Orbital angular momentum: L =0,1,2,... Parity (P) = (-1)<sup>L+1</sup> Charge Conjugation (C) = (-1)<sup>L+S</sup> Total Spin :  $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ 

Quark model quantum numbers

Exotic quantum numbers 0<sup>+-</sup>, 0<sup>--</sup>, 1<sup>-+</sup>, 2<sup>+-</sup> and so on..



$$V(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + kr$$
(Cornell potential)

Spectrum based on this, with spin-orbital, spin-spin and tensor term.

States not easily accommodated, candidates for exotic nature.

TABLE I: Thresholds for decay into open charm.

Channel	Threshold Energy (MeV)
$D^0 ar{D}^0$	3729.4
$D^+D^-$	3738.8
$D^0 \bar{D}^{*0}$ or $D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$	3871.5
$D^{\pm}D^{*\mp}$	3879.5
$D_s^+ D_s^-$	3936.2
$D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$	4013.6
$D^{*+}D^{*-}$	4020.2
$D_{s}^{+}\bar{D}_{s}^{*-}$ or $D_{s}^{*+}\bar{D}_{s}^{-}$	4080.0
$D_{s}^{*+}D_{s}^{*-}$	4223.8

TABLE V: Calculated and observed rates for E1 radiative transitions among charmonium levels. *Values in italics* result if the influence of open-charm channels is not included.

Transition	Partial width (keV)	
$(\gamma \text{ energy in MeV})$	Computed	Measured
$1^{3}D_{1}(3770) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma(208)$	3.2	$2 \rightarrow 3.9$
$1^{3}D_{1}(3770) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma(251)$	18.	$3 \rightarrow 59$
$1^{3}D_{1}(3770) \to \chi_{c0} \gamma(338)$	254	$\rightarrow 225$
$1^{3}D_{1}(3815) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma(250)$	5.5	$0 \rightarrow 6.8$
$1^{3}D_{1}(3815) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma(293)$	128	$r \rightarrow 120$
$1^{3}D_{1}(3815) \rightarrow \chi_{c0} \gamma(379)$	344	$\rightarrow 371$
$1^{3}D_{2}(3815) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma(251)$	50	$\theta \rightarrow 40$
$1^{3}D_{2}(3815) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma(293)$	230	$0 \rightarrow 191$
$1^{3}D_{2}(3831) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma(266)$	59	$\rightarrow 45$
$1^{3}D_{2}(3831) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \gamma(308)$	264	$\rightarrow 212$
$1^{3}D_{2}(3872) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma(303)$	85	$\rightarrow 45$
$1^{3}D_{2}(3872) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma(344)$	362	$2 \rightarrow 207$
$1^{3}D_{3}(3815) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma(251)$	199	$0 \rightarrow 179$
$1^{3}D_{3}(3868) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma(303)$	329	$\rightarrow 286$
$1^{3}D_{3}(3872) \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \gamma(304)$	341	$\rightarrow 299$

TABLE III: Charmonium spectrum, including the influence of open-charm channels. All masses are in MeV. The penultimate column holds an estimate of the spin splitting due to tensor and spin-orbit forces in a single-channel potential model. The last column gives the spin splitting induced by communication with open-charm states, for an initially unsplit multiplet.

State	Mass	Centroid	Splitting (Potential)	Splitting (Induced)
$1^1S_0$	$2979.9^{a}$	a oct ch	-90.5	+2.8
$1^3S_1$	$3096.9^{a}$	3 067.6	+30.2	-0.9
$1^3\mathrm{Po}$	$3415.3^{a}$		$-114.9^{e}$	+5.9
$1^{3}P_{1}$	$3510.5^{a}$	a For ac	$-11.6^{e}$	-2.0
$1^1 P_1$	3 5 2 5 . 3	3 525.3	$+1.5^{e}$	+0.5
$1^3 P_2$	$3556.2^{a}$		$-31.9^{e}$	-0.3
$2^1S_0$	3 637.7ª	0.0 <del>7</del> 0.0b	-50.4	+15.7
$2^3S_1$	$3686.0^{a}$	3073.9	+16.8	-5.2
$1^{3}D_{1}$	3 769.9 <sup>ab</sup>		-40	-39.9
$1^3D_2$	3830.6	(2015)d	0	-2.7
$1^1D_2$	3838.0	(3815)	0	+4.2
$1^3D_3$	3868.3		+20	+19.0
$2^{3}P_{0}$	3931.9		-90	+10
$2^{3}P_{1}$	4007.5	ancod	-8	+28.4
$2^1 P_1$	3968.0	3 909	0	-11.9
$2^3 P_2$	3966.5		+25	-33.1

S. Godfrey & N. Isgur, PRD 32, 189 (1985) E. Eichten et al., PRL 89,162002 (2002), 46 PRD 69, 094019 (2004) *fb*<sup>-1</sup>



#### arXiv:1304.3975

Decay	Yield $(Y)$	$S(\sigma)$	$\epsilon(\%)$	Branching fraction
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow$	$\psi'(\rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\gamma).$	$B(10^{-4})$		
$\chi_{c1}$	$193.2\pm19.2$	14.8	8.6	$7.7\pm0.8\pm0.9$
$\chi_{c2}$	$59.1\pm8.4$	7.8	6.0	$6.3\pm0.9\pm0.6$
$B^0 \rightarrow$	$\psi'( ightarrow \chi_{c\mathrm{J}}\gamma) h$	$K^0$		
$\chi_{c1}$	$50.3\pm7.3$	7.2	5.1	$6.8\pm1.0\pm0.7$
$\chi_{c2}$	$12.9\pm4.4$	2.9	3.5	$4.7 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.8$
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow$	$X(3823)(\rightarrow$	$B(10^{-6})$		
$\chi_{c1}$	$33.2\pm9.7$	3.8	10.9	$9.7\pm2.8\pm1.1$
$\chi_{c2}$	$0.3 \pm 3.9$	0.1	8.8	< 3.6
$B^0 \rightarrow $	$X(3823)(\rightarrow$	$\chi_{cJ}\gamma)K$	-0	
$\chi_{c1}$	$3.9\pm3.4$	1.2	6.0	< 9.9
$\chi_{c2}$	$5.3 \pm 2.9$	2.4	5.0	< 22.8
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow$	$X(3872)(\rightarrow$	$\chi_{cJ}\gamma) I$	ζ±	
$\chi_{c1}$	$-0.9\pm5.1$		11.1	< 1.9
$\chi_{c2}$	$4.7\pm4.4$	1.3	9.3	< 6.7
$B^0 \rightarrow .$	$X(3872)(\rightarrow)$	$(\chi_{cJ}\gamma)K$	0	
$\chi_{c1}$	$4.6\pm3.0$	1.6	6.2	< 9.6
$\chi_{c2}$	$2.3 \pm 2.2$	1.1	5.2	< 12.2

#### Table summarized the results



#### E705 Collaboration

#### Belle Mass 3.823 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>

# Looking at $\psi'$ , here 3.836 peaks looks prominent ???

A search has been made in 300 GeV/ $c \pi^{\pm}$ - and proton-Li interactions for production of states that decay into  $J/\psi$  or  $\psi'$  plus one or two pions. A 2.5 $\sigma$  enhancement in the  $J/\psi \pi^0$  spectrum, possibly the recently reported  ${}^1P_1$  state of charmonium, is observed at a mass of 3.527 GeV/ $c^2$ . In the  $J/\psi$  plus two pion mass spectrum, we report, together with the expected  $\psi' \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ , the tentative observation of a structure at a mass of 3.836 GeV/ $c^2$ . No enhancements are seen in the  $J/\psi \pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}$ ,  $J/\psi \pi^{\pm}\pi^0$ ,  $J/\psi \pi^{\pm}$ , or  $\psi' \pi^{\pm}$  mass spectra.

PhysRevD.50.4258





FIG. 6.  $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$  mass spectra from 300 GeV/ $c\pi^\pm$ Li interactions; (b)  $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$  mass spectrum from 300 GeV/c proton Li interactions.



Interestingly  $\Psi_2$  is not seen in J/ $\Psi\pi\pi$  in other experiments.

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### PDG2012

$\eta_{\rm c}$ (1S) WIDT	н			References	History since 1990			
VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
29.7±1.0 29.7±2.1	OUR FIT	RAGE		Error inclu	des sca	ale factor of 2	.0. See the ideogram.	
36.2±2.8±3.0		11k		DEL-AMO-SAN	11M	BABR	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
35.1±3.1 <sup>+1.0</sup>		920	1	VINOKUROVA	11	BELL	$B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}(K^0_{\rm S} \ K^{\pm} \ \pi^{\mp})$	
31.7±1.2±0.8		14k	2	LEES	10	BABR	10.6 e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow$ e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> $K^0_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$	
36.3 <sup>+3.7</sup> ±4.4		921±32		AUBERT	08AB	BABR	$B \to \eta_{\rm C}(1{\rm S}) \: K^{(*)} \to K  \overline{K}  \pi \: K^{(*)}$	
28.1±3.2±2.2		7.5k		UEHARA	08	BELL	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \eta_c \rightarrow hadrons$	
$48^{+8}_{-7} \pm 5$		195		WU	06	BELL	$B^{*} \rightarrow \rho \ \overline{\rho} \ K^{*}$	
40±19±5		20		WU	06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \overline{\Lambda} K^+$	
$24.8 \pm 3.4 \pm 3.5$		592		ASNER	04	CLEO	$\gamma  \gamma \to \eta_{\rm c}^{\prime} \to K^0_{\rm S}  K^{\pm}  \pi^{\mp}$	
$20.4^{+7.7}_{-6.7} \pm 2.0$		190		AMBROGIANI	03	E835	$\overline{\rho} \ \rho \to \eta_c \to \gamma \gamma$	
17.0±3.7±7.4			3	BAI	03	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	
11.0±8.1±4.1			4	BAI	00F	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$	
23.9 <sup>+</sup> 12.6 -7.1				ARMSTRONG	95F	E760	$\overline{\rho} \ \rho \to \gamma \ \gamma$	
7.0+7.5		12		BAGLIN	87B	SPEC	$\overline{p} \ p \to \gamma \ \gamma$	
10.1+33.0		23	5	BALTRUSAITI	86	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p \overline{p}$	
11.5±4.5				GAISER	86	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X, \psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma X$	

U.L. on 
$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{+(+)}X)$$

Assuming BR( $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+}(\pi^{+}))$ , BR( $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0} \pi^{+}(\pi^{+}))$  and BR( $\Xi_{cc}^{0} \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \pi^{+}$ ) to be 5% \*

 $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{-+}X) < 92.0-410.0 \text{ fb}$   $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{-+}X) < 50.0-520.0 \text{ fb}$   $for \ \Xi_{cc}^{-+}(+) \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{-+}K^-\pi^+(\pi^+)$   $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{-+}X) < 30.4-104.0 \text{ fb}$   $for \ \Xi_{cc}^{-+}(+) \rightarrow \Xi_c^{-0}\pi^+(\pi^+)$   $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc}^{-+}X) < 37.6-144.0 \text{ fb}$ 

Theory predicts production cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc} X$  at *B* factories :3-230 fb PLB 332, 411(1994); Phys. Atom. Nucl, 65, 1537 (2002) PLB 568 568 (2003)

*Result is comparable with some of the theoretical model.* 

\* Similar to  $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) \operatorname{decay}^{52}$ 

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Signal MC

 $\Xi_{cc}$  momentum is expected to be lower than  $\Lambda_c^+$  momentum because 4 charm, anti-charm quarks are produced and kinetic energy available is small.

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

Use measured momentum of Ac+

 $X_p = p_{cm}/p_{max}$   $p_{max} = sqrt(E_{cm}^2 - M_{\Lambda c}^2)$  $P_{max}$  is ~4.7 GeV/c

Assume the same d $\sigma$ /dXp and change M<sub>Ac</sub> $\rightarrow$ M<sub>Ecc</sub> P<sub>max</sub>~3.9 GeV/c (M<sub>Ecc</sub>=3.52 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

8

Taken from Y. Kato (Belle), JPS talk March 2013

• $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + cc^{bar}$  by Belle

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

1998/1 2000/1 2002/1 2004/1 2006/1 2008/1 2010/1 2012/

General purpose detector, build to test Standard Model mechanism for CP violation in B decays to charmonium  $(B^0 \rightarrow J/\Psi, \Psi', \chi_{c1} K^0)$ . arXiv:1201.4643v1 Contribution to charmonium (-like) states:  $\eta_c(2S), X(3872), Y(3940), Z(3930), X(3940), X(3915), Y(4260),$  $Y(4660), Z(4430)^+, Z_1(4050)^+, Z_2(4250)^+ \dots$ <sup>56</sup>