



## Prototype of the Daya Bay Neutrino Detector

Wang Zhimin IHEP, Daya Bay 4 x 20 tons target mass at far site

**Far site** 1615 m from Ling Ao 1985 m from Daya Overburden: 350 m

> Ling Ao Near site ~500 m from Ling Ao Overburden: 112 m

465 m

Constru

tunne

Water hall E O To Filling hall

ш 006

entrance

295 m 🗸

Daya Bay

2×2.9 GW

Ling Ao-II NPP (under construction) 2×2.9 GW in 2010

Ling Ao NPP, 2×2.9 GW

**Daya Bay Near site** 363 m from Daya Bay Overburden: 98 m



### Daya Bay neutrino detector



Low-background 8" PMTs: 192

Top and bottom reflectors

Three concentric zones:

Target: 20 t (0.1% Gd LAB-based LS)Gamma catcher: 20 t (LAB-based LS)

➢Buffer : 40 t (mineral oil)





# 2-zone IHEP Prototype of the Daya Bay Neutrino Detector



- Validate the Daya Bay detector design;
- Debug the Geant4 simulation program;
- Practice the construction of detector and calibration methods;

≻Study LS and GdLS

Acrylic vessel with 0.9m diameter and 1m height dipped in a stainless steel tank with 2m diameter and height filled with mineral oil; 45 8" PMTs with reflecting at top and bottom;

#### Two stages:

Phase I (normal LS )
(2005.01 ~2006.11)
Phase II (Gd-loaded
LS) (2007.02 ~Now)



09/19/2008



This is the inside view of prototype after installation of PMT and acrylic vessel, and LS filling.





#### Muon veto system

This prototype is surrounded by a cubic muon veto detector with five sides (except the bottom). The photographs show the prototype, the rack to support the muon veto (left) and the mounted scintillator counters (right).







## Phase I

# With normal LS: 30% mesitylene, 70% mineral oil, 5 g/L BBO and 10 mg/L bis-MSB

#### **Calibration of PMT gain**

The dark noise rates of most of used PMTs with a peak-tovalley ratio larger than 1.5 are less than 5 kHz at 1/3 P.E. threshold. We calibrate the PMT gain with LED and a convoluted function based on NIM A339 (1994) 468-476. The average PMT gain is ~5.5 ADC bin of SPE (~ $3.5 \times 10^7$ ).

SER 
$$(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N \max} P(n; \mu) \otimes G_n(x) \otimes B(x)$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=0}^{N \max} \frac{\mu^n e^{-\mu}}{n!} \times [(1-w)G_n(x-Q_0) + wI_{G_n \otimes E}(x-Q_0)]$ 

1

1

where:

Daya Bay

$$G_{n}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{1}\sqrt{2\pi n}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - nQ_{1})^{2}}{2n\sigma_{1}^{2}}\right)$$
  
$$B(x) = \frac{(1 - w)}{\sigma_{0}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - Q_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right) + w\alpha \exp(-\alpha (x - Q_{0}))$$

. 12)



09/19/2008



#### Monte Carlo simulation with Geant4



We simulate the energy response of the prototype with a Monte Carlo simulation program based on Geant4.

The simulation shows that the response of detector is sensitive to the light yield of LS, and the reflectivity of the reflectors and the inner surface of the stainless steel tank, and is not sensitive to the attenuation length of LS, oil and acrylic vessel if they are longer than 7m, 13m and 1m at the wavelength longer than 420nm.

Nominal values of the optical parameters are set at their measured ones.

After the digitization of PMT output, we get the final simulation data.

Phase I

#### **Comparison of data and simulation**

After the digitization of PMT output in simulation, we see that the simulation and experiment data have good agreement.



The comparison of simulation and data with <sup>133</sup>Ba,<sup>137</sup>Cs,<sup>22</sup>Na and <sup>60</sup>Co at centre of the detector show that they agree well, and we have preliminarily understood the detector.

09/19/2008

Daya Bay



The detector has a good energy response and resolution.

Phase I

#### Uniformity and leakage of energy

Daya Bay

To study the uniformity and position effect of the prototype, the 137Cs source is moved along the detector's cylindrical central axis and the respective energy response is measured.



The position effect of our prototype has been also preliminarily understood. The gamma catcher with ~45cm thickness will effectively reduce the energy leakage effect of gamma in the future Daya Bay detector.



# Phase II of prototype with GdLS



At 2007.2, the prototype was refilled with GdLS: ~750L GdLS (~0.6ton, 3g/L PPO, 15mg/L bis-MSB, 0.1% Gd, 100% LAB); Change the top reflector to ESR from Al film;





P.E. output of phase II at the centre of detector is  $\sim$ 301 P.E. / MeV with 38 PMTs, which is  $\sim$ 11% larger than Phase I.





### **Neutron capture**

We use PuC as the neutron sources to calibrate the captured neutron of the detector.



Goal: to get the events of 6.13MeV Gamma associated with neutron

09/19/2008



### **PuC neutron source**

Phase II

With associated event cuts: prompt signal, delay signal and its interval, we get:



In the prompt signal spectrum, there are two peaks at ~4.5 MeV and ~6.13 MeV. In the delay signal spectrum, there are also two peaks at ~2.2 MeV and ~8 MeV, which clearly shows the neutron capture by proton and Gd.



The peak of interval spectrum of neutron capture is at ~8us. The neutron capture time is ~26.3us fitted with exponential functions.



The sources of the peaks in the spectra have clearly understood. The two peaks of prompt signal come from the excited <sup>12</sup>C (~4.438MeV) + proton recoil (~0.10MeV) and the ~6.13MeV gamma.

The measured nonlinearity of 8MeV and 2.2MeV peaks is ~3%.



The neutron capture time spectra got from data and simulation agree with each other.



Place the 137Cs and 60Co at the centre of the detector to monitor the photoelectron output of the detector, totally 356days from 2/8/2007 to 1/29/2008; it shows good stability.



## Conclusion



- Validated the detector design of Daya Bay.
- Preliminary understood the detector response with the geant4 MC simulation.
- GdLS works properly to capture neutrons with a good stability.
- PuC neutron source provides the events of 6.13MeV Gamma associated with neutron.