Resonances from the hadron hadron chiral interaction in the strange and charmed sectors

E. Oset, L. Roca, A. Martinez Torres, A. Ramos, S. Prelovsek

Extracting the two $\Lambda(1405)$ poles from $\pi\Sigma$ photoproduction data

Analysis of lattice QCD data to extract the $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ resonances and evidence for their KD and KD* molecular structure

Meson interaction

Pseudoscalar-pseudoscalar interaction: channels

- π⁺ π⁻
- π⁰ π⁰
- K⁺ K⁻
- 4) K⁰ Kbar⁰
- 5) դղ

We use the chiral unitary approach: Bethe Salpeter equations in coupled channels $T=(1-VG)^{-1}V$

With V obtained from the chiral Lagrangians and G the loop function of two meson propagators .

$$G_{jj}(s) = \int_0^{q_{max}} \frac{q^2 dq}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{\omega_1 \omega_2 [P^{02} - (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^2 + i\epsilon]}$$

$$V_{11} = -\frac{1}{2f^2}s, \qquad V_{12} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f^2}(s - m_\pi^2), \qquad V_{13} = -\frac{1}{4f^2}s, V_{14} = -\frac{1}{4f^2}s, \qquad V_{15} = -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}f^2}m_\pi^2, \qquad V_{22} = -\frac{1}{2f^2}m_\pi^2, V_{23} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}f^2}s, \qquad V_{24} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}f^2}s, \qquad V_{25} = -\frac{1}{6f^2}m_\pi^2, \qquad (8) V_{33} = -\frac{1}{2f^2}s, \qquad V_{34} = -\frac{1}{4f^2}s, \qquad V_{35} = -\frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}f^2}(9s - 6m_\eta^2 - 2m_\pi^2), V_{44} = -\frac{1}{2f^2}s, \qquad V_{45} = -\frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}f^2}(9s - 6m_\eta^2 - 2m_\pi^2), \qquad V_{55} = -\frac{1}{18f^2}(16m_K^2 - 7m_\pi^2),$$







Oset, Ramos NPA98

Coupled channels:

 K^-p , \bar{K}^0n , $\pi^0\Lambda$, $\pi^0\Sigma^0$, $\pi^+\Sigma^-$, $\pi^-\Sigma^+$, $\eta\Lambda$, $\eta\Sigma^0$, $K^0\Xi^0$ and $K^+\Xi^-$

$$\begin{aligned} T &= [1 - VG]^{-1}V \\ & \times \frac{1}{k^0 + p^0 - q^0 - E_i(\vec{q}\,) + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_i^2 + i\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} V_{ij}(\sqrt{s}) &= -C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} (2\sqrt{s} - M_i - M_j) \\ &\times \left(\frac{M_i + E_i}{2M_i}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_j + E_j}{2M_j}\right)^{1/2}, \end{split}$$

$$C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for I=0}$$

Channels Kbar N , $\pi\Sigma$,

Oset, Ramos NPA98

Coupled channels:

 K^-p , \bar{K}^0n , $\pi^0\Lambda$, $\pi^0\Sigma^0$, $\pi^+\Sigma^-$, $\pi^-\Sigma^+$, $\eta\Lambda$, $\eta\Sigma^0$, $K^0\Xi^0$ and $K^+\Xi^-$



Description of the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \pi \Sigma$ reaction

L.Roca and EO, PRC2013



We look first at $t(W) = b(W)G_{\pi\Sigma}T_{\pi\Sigma,\pi\Sigma}^{I=0} + c(W)G_{\bar{K}N}T_{\bar{K}N,\pi\Sigma}^{I=0}$ which has I=0



Results fitting the coeficients b(W) and C(W) to data of Moriya et. al on $\pi^0\Sigma^0$, PRC 2013



Improved fit

$$C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha_1 & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_2 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_2 & 4\alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{KN} \rightarrow \alpha_4 a_{KN}, \ a_{\pi\Sigma} \rightarrow \alpha_5 a_{\pi\Sigma}$$

We allow the regulators a_i to vary a bit with respect to the original values

We respect unitarity in coupled channels . b(W), c(W) are complex numbers for each energy, α_i are parameters of the potential

Why we improve over analysis of Moriya et al. ?

Moriya et al. $t_I(m) = C_I(W)e^{i\Delta\phi_I}B_I(m)$

where $C_I(W)$ is a weight factor, $\Delta \phi_I$ a phase

 $C_I(W)$ is real $\Delta \phi_I$ is energy independent

 $B_I(m)$ a Breit-Wigner function

But amplitudes are not BW, and unitarity is lost when two BW amplitudes are summed

Solution 1	α ₁ 1.15	α ₂ 1.17	α ₃	α ₄ 1.03	α ₅	$\Lambda(1405)$ poles (MeV)	
						1385 - 68i	1419 - 22i
Solution 2	1.88	1.89	1.57	0.93	0.87	1347 - 28i	1409 - 33i





Solution 1 is favored



I=1 amplitudes in the standard approach to scattering data



Global fit to all photoproduction data

$$C_{ij}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 the order of the channels is $\bar{K}N$, $\pi\Sigma$, and $\pi\Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi^{0}\Sigma^{0}\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |2\,0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |0\,0\rangle, \\ |\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}\rangle &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |2\,0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\,0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |0\,0\rangle \\ |\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}\rangle &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |2\,0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\,0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |0\,0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} t_{\gamma p \to K^+ \pi^0 \Sigma^0}(W) \\ &= b_0(W) G_{\pi \Sigma}^{I=0} T_{\pi \Sigma, \pi \Sigma}^{I=0} + c_0(W) G_{\bar{K}N}^{I=0} T_{\bar{K}N, \pi \Sigma}^{I=0}, \\ t_{\gamma p \to K^+ \pi^\pm \Sigma^\mp}(W) \\ &= b_0(W) G_{\pi \Sigma}^{I=0} T_{\pi \Sigma, \pi \Sigma}^{I=0} + c_0(W) G_{\bar{K}N}^{I=0} T_{\bar{K}N, \pi \Sigma}^{I=0} \\ &\pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \Big(b_1(W) G_{\pi \Sigma}^{I=1} T_{\pi \Sigma, \pi \Sigma}^{I=1} + c_1(W) G_{\bar{K}N}^{I=1} T_{\bar{K}N, \pi \Sigma}^{I=1} \\ &+ d_1(W) G_{\pi \Lambda}^{I=1} T_{\pi \Lambda, \pi \Sigma}^{I=1} \Big), \end{split}$$



FIG. 2. (Color online) Fit to photoproduction data with fixed unitary amplitudes of $\alpha_i = 1$ and $\beta_i = 1$. Red: $\pi^0 \Sigma^0$; blue: $\pi^- \Sigma^+$, green: $\pi^+ \Sigma^-$. Experimental data are from Ref. [2].

$$C_{ij}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha_{11}^{0} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{12}^{0} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{12}^{0} & 4\alpha_{22}^{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

for isospin I = 0 and

 $C_{ij}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}^{1} & -\alpha_{12}^{1} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{13}^{1} \\ -\alpha_{12}^{1} & 2\alpha_{22}^{1} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{13}^{1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

for isospin I = 1.

βi are the coefficients multiplying the standard subtraction contants

$lpha_{11}^0$	$lpha_{12}^0$	$lpha_{22}^0$	α_{11}^1	α_{12}^1	α_{13}^1	α_{22}^1	eta_1	β_2	β_3
1.037	1.466	1.668	0.85	0.93	1.056	0.77	1.187	0.722	1.119
	=			I =		= 0		= 1	
	- P	Poles	1352 - 48i 2.71		1419 - 29i 3.06		_	-	
		$ g_{\pi \Sigma} $		2.96		1.96		-	





No poles for I=1 are found, but amplitudes ressemble much the shape of the $a_0(980)$ "resonance".

The I=1 amplitude is only 1/4 of that of I=0, and essential to describe the photoproduction data.



Claim of I=1 state from analysis of $k^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^-$

J. -J. Wu, S. Dulat and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010)
045210. P. Gao, J. -J. Wu and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. C 81, 055203 (2010).

The D_{s0}(2317) and D_{s1}(2460) as KD and KD* molecules from lattice QCD

How to identify the nature of a resonance?

Imagine Meson-Baryon scattering in one channel and an interacting potential V, energy independent.

T=V/(1-VG) = 1/(V⁻¹-G)
$$G(s) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} f(\vec{q}) \frac{\omega_P + \omega_B}{2\omega_P \omega_B} \frac{2M_B}{P^{02} - (\omega_P + \omega_B)^2 + i\varepsilon},$$
(5)

Let T have a pole below threshold (bound state) at E_R

Close to a pole T= $g^2/(E-E_R)$, $g^2 = \lim (E-E_R) T = \lim (E-E_R)/(V^{-1}-G) = 1/-\partial G/\partial E$

$-g^2 \partial G/\partial E = 1$

Generalization to coupled channels (Gamermann, Nieves, Ruiz Arriola, EO, PRD2010)

$$\sum_{i} g_i^2 \frac{dG_{ii}}{dE} \bigg|_{E=E_{\alpha}} = -1$$

Each term in the sum represents the probability to have each channel in the wave function

Coupled chanels

 $T=(1-VG)^{-1}V$, Take two channels and assume $V_{22}=0$ for simplicity. Assume V energy independent.

$$T_{11} = \frac{v_{11} + v_{12}^2 G_2}{1 - (v_{11} + v_{12}^2 G_2) G_1}$$

We can eliminate channel 2 by means of V_{eff} , but now V_{eff} is energy dependent

in one channel with V_{eff} , we will have

$$T_{\rm eff} = \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{1 - V_{\rm eff}G_1} \,. \qquad \qquad V_{\rm eff} = v_{11} + v_{12}^2 G_2$$

$$-\sum_{i,j} g_i g_j \left[\frac{\partial G_i^{II}(E)}{\partial E} \delta_{ij} + G_i^{II}(E) \frac{\partial V_{ij}(E)}{\partial E} G_j^{II}(E) \right]_{E \to E_R} = 1$$
T. Hyodo, Int J Mod Phys A 2013

Probability of meson-baryon

Probability of genuine component or missing channels

Reanalysis of lattice QCD spectra leading to the $D^*_{s0}(2317)$ and $D^*_{s1}(2460)$

A. Martínez Torres,¹ A. Ramos,² E. Oset,³ and S. Prelovsek⁴ arXiv 1412.1706

TABLE I. Energy levels found in Ref. [1] S. Prelosvsek et al 2014 $\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{V^{-1} - \tilde{C}}$ KD interpolator KD^* interpolator 2232(33) E_1 (MeV) 2086(34) $V = \alpha + \beta(s - s_0)$ $\tilde{G} = G + \lim_{q_{\max} \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{q_i}^{q_{\max}} I(\vec{q_i}) - \int_{\substack{q \leq q_{\max}}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} I(\vec{q}) \right|$ $I(\vec{q}\,) = \frac{\omega_1(\vec{q}\,) + \omega_2(\vec{q}\,)}{2\omega_1(\vec{q}\,)\omega_2(\vec{q}\,)\left[P^2 - (\omega_1(\vec{q}\,) + \omega_2(\vec{q}\,))^2 + i\epsilon\right]}$ $T = \frac{1}{V-1}$ $B(KD) = 31 \pm 17$ MeV, $B(KD^*) = 32 \pm 20$ MeV $a = -1.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ fm}, \quad r_0 = -0.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ fm for } KD,$ $a = -1.2 \pm 0.5$ fm, $r_0 = -0.5 \pm 0.5$ fm for KD^* $P(KD) = 72 \pm 12 \%$, for the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ $P(KD^*) = 63 \pm 16 \%$, for the $D_{s1}(2460)$

Luescher formula: In the box

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{V^{-1} - \tilde{G}}$$

If we have an eigenenergy of the box $\rightarrow V^{-1}(E) - \tilde{G}(E) = 0$

In the continuum

$$T = \frac{1}{V^{-1} - G}$$

$$T(E) = \left(V^{-1}(E) - G(E)\right)^{-1} = \left(\tilde{G}(E) - G(E)\right)^{-1}$$

Luescher provides phase shifts in the continuum only for the eigenenergies of the box The previous method gives phase shifts at all energies and bound states if they exist.

Conclusions:

The data on $\pi \Sigma$ photoproduction demonstrate the existence of two poles for the $\Lambda(1405)$ one around 1420 MeV, the other one around 1355-1385 MeV. There is a strong cusp (virtual state) for I=1 around the Kbar p threshold.

The reanalysis of the lattice QCD data on KD and KD* scattering concludes that there are bound states of KD and KD*, which can be associated to the $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ resonances.

The application of a generalization of the Weinberg compositeness condition to the lattice results allows us to say that these resonances are mostly molecules of KD and KD*, with about 30% weight for other components.