Flavor Issues

Lecture on quarks

- Flavor puzzles
- The KM mechanism
- CP violation in the quark sector
- Cosmic baryon number asymmetry

Xing Zhi-zhong 邢志忠 [IHEP, Beijing]



@ 第六期理论物理前沿暑期讲习班——TeV 高能物理, 27/7— 8/8, 2015

Matter & forces

Two kinds of elementary particles: matter particles and force particles



力的传播子质量**M**与力的作用范围**R**之间的关系:

$$M \approx \frac{200 \mathrm{MeV} \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{m}}{R}$$

汤川秀树处男作: 一炮而红的诺贝尔物理学奖得主 1907/1935/1949/1981

	强度	范围	传播子	质量
强核力	1	10 ⁻¹⁵ m	胶子/ <mark>π介子</mark>	$\sim 10^2 \mathrm{MeV}$
电磁力	1/137	∞	光子	= 0
弱核力	10^{-6}	10^{-18} m	W/Z/H?	$\sim 10^2 GeV$
引力	6×10^{-39}	∞	引力子	= 0



Origin of "flavor"

The term Flavor was coined by Harald Fritzsch and Murray Gell-Mann at a Baskin-Robbins ice-cream store in Pasadena in 1971.

soft serve

Color & Flavor QCD & QFD



lassic Flavors Seasonal Flavors Regional Flavors BRight Choices™ Soft Serve Grab-N-Go The Deep Freeze

ice credm



hit in the neighborhood.

Vanilla

Vanilla ice cream made Nutrition

combination of Vanilla-

flavored ice cream loaded with semi-sweet

introduction in 1945.

Enjoy Mint ice cream Nutrition



cakes

classic flavor

beverddes

Sign In Find A Store Nutrition About Us Give Us The Scoop Franchise Opportunities

Chocolate

Ever since 1945, we've made this with our Robbins extra rich Nutrition



drab-N-do dift certificate

Oreo® Cookies 'n

A classic since 1985 we combine our classic Vanilla-flavored ice cream and load it up with Oreo cookie pieces



Strawberry Delight with our delicious, Strawberry ice strawberries. A favorite since 1984.





Cookie Dough

Cookie Dough ice cream dough and chocolate favorite since 1992 Nutrition











Pralines 'n Cream

Vanilla-flavored ice since 1970 Nutrition



birthdgy club

- **1897:** Discovery of electron (J.J. Thomson)
- **1928:** Prediction of positron (P.A.M. Dirac)
- **1930:** Postulation of neutrino (W. Pauli)
- **1932:** Discovery of positron (C.D. Anderson)
- **1933:** Effective theory of beta decay (E. Fermi)
- 1936: Discovery of muon (J.C. Street et al; C.D. Anderson et al)
- **1956:** Discovery of electron anti-neutrino (C.L. Cowan et al)
- **1956:** Postulation of parity violation (T.D. Lee & C.N. Yang)
- **1957:** Discovery of parity violation (C.S. Wu *et al*)
- **1962:** Discovery of muon neutrino (G. Danby *et al*)
- **1962:** Postulation of neutrino conversion (S. Sakata et al)
- **1975:** Discovery of tau (M.L. Perl *et al*)
- 2000: Discovery of tau neutrino (K. Kodama et al)

Quark flavors

1932: Discovery of neutron (J. Chadwick) up and down **1947:** Discovery of Kaon (G. Rochester, C. Butler) strange **1963:** The Cabibbo angle of quark mixing (N. Cabibbo) **1964:** The quark model (M. Gell-Mann; G. Zweig) **1964:** Discovery of CP violation (J.W. Cronin, V.L. Fitch) **1964:** The Higgs mechanism (P. Higgs; F. Englert, R. Brout; ...) **1967:** The standard model (S. Weinberg) **1970:** The GIM mechanism (S. Glashow *et al*) **1972:** Quantum Chromodynamics (H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann, ...) **1973:** The origin of CP violation (M. Kobayashi, T. Maskawa) **1974:** Discovery of charm (C.C. Ting; B. Richter) **1977:** Discovery of **bottom** (L. Lederman *et al*) **1995:** Discovery of **top** (F. Abe *et al*)

Quark masses

6

Quark masses (Higgs mass = 125 GeV. Xing, Zhang, Zhou, arXiv:1112.3112)

μ	$m_u(\mu)$ (MeV)	$m_d(\mu)$ (MeV)	$m_s(\mu)$ (MeV)	$m_c(\mu) ~({\rm GeV})$	$m_b(\mu) ~({\rm GeV})$	$m_t(\mu) ~(\text{GeV})$
$m_c(m_c)$	$2.79_{-0.82}^{+0.83}$	$5.69^{+0.96}_{-0.95}$	116^{+36}_{-24}	$1.29^{+0.05}_{-0.11}$	$5.95\substack{+0.37\\-0.15}$	$385.7^{+8.1}_{-7.8}$
$2 { m GeV}$	$2.4^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$	4.9 ± 0.8	100^{+30}_{-20}	$1.11\substack{+0.07\\-0.14}$	$5.06^{+0.29}_{-0.11}$	$322.2^{+5.0}_{-4.9}$
$m_b(m_b)$	$2.02^{+0.60}_{-0.60}$	$4.12_{-0.68}^{+0.69}$	84^{+26}_{-17}	$0.934_{-0.120}^{+0.058}$	$4.19_{-0.16}^{+0.18}$	$261.8^{+3.0}_{-2.9}$
M_W	$1.39_{-0.41}^{+0.42}$	$2.85_{-0.48}^{+0.49}$	58^{+18}_{-12}	$0.645_{-0.085}^{+0.043}$	$2.90^{+0.16}_{-0.06}$	174.2 ± 1.2
M_Z	$1.38^{+0.42}_{-0.41}$	2.82 ± 0.48	57^{+18}_{-12}	$0.638^{+0.043}_{-0.084}$	$2.86^{+0.16}_{-0.06}$	172.1 ± 1.2
M_H	$1.34_{-0.40}^{+0.40}$	$2.74_{-0.47}^{+0.47}$	56^{+17}_{-12}	$0.621_{-0.082}^{+0.041}$	$2.79_{-0.06}^{+0.15}$	$167.0^{+1.2}_{-1.2}$
$m_t(m_t)$	$1.31_{-0.39}^{+0.40}$	2.68 ± 0.46	55^{+17}_{-11}	$0.608^{+0.041}_{-0.080}$	$2.73_{-0.06}^{+0.15}$	163.3 ± 1.1
$1 { m TeV}$	1.17 ± 0.35	$2.40^{+0.42}_{-0.41}$	49^{+15}_{-10}	$0.543^{+0.037}_{-0.072}$	$2.41_{-0.05}^{+0.14}$	148.1 ± 1.3
$\Lambda_{ m VS}$	$0.61^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$	1.27 ± 0.22	26^{+8}_{-5}	$0.281^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$1.16^{+0.07}_{-0.02}$	82.6 ± 1.4

$$\frac{m_u}{m_c} \sim \frac{m_c}{m_t} \sim \lambda^4 \ , \qquad \frac{m_d}{m_s} \sim \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sim \lambda^2 \ , \qquad \lambda \simeq 0.22$$

Two useful working symmetries based on QCD:

- The chiral symmetry: $m_u, m_d, m_s \rightarrow 0$;
- The heavy quark symmetry: $m_c^{}, m_b^{}, m_t^{}
 ightarrow \infty$.



Flavor puzzles (I)



Gauge Hierarchy & Desert Puzzles / Flavor Hierarchy & Desert Puzzles

Implications of electron mass < u quark mass < d quark mass on

Flavor mixing



Quark flavor mixing matrix:

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97427 \pm 0.00014 & 0.22536 \pm 0.00061 & 0.00355 \pm 0.00015 \\ 0.22522 \pm 0.00061 & 0.97343 \pm 0.00015 & 0.0414 \pm 0.0012 \\ 0.00886^{+0.00033}_{-0.00032} & 0.0405^{+0.0011}_{-0.0012} & 0.99914 \pm 0.00005 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lepton flavor mixing matrix:

- $|U| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.801 \to 0.845 & 0.514 \to 0.580 \\ 0.225 \to 0.517 & 0.441 \to 0.699 \\ 0.246 \to 0.529 & 0.464 \to 0.713 \end{pmatrix}$
- $\begin{array}{c} 0.137 \to 0.158 \\ 0.614 \to 0.793 \\ 0.590 \to 0.776 \end{array}$



Flavor puzzles (II)



Part B

Symmetries: crucial for understanding the laws of Nature.

Examples: they help simplify problems, classify complicated systems, fix conservation laws and even determine dynamics of interactions

SU(3) flavor symmetry \Rightarrow the quark model

Continuous space-time (translational/rotational) symmetries => energy-momentum conservation laws

Gauge symmetries => electroweak and strong interactions

Symmetries may keep exact or be broken: both important!

- SU(3) flavor symmetry: broken
- Continuous space-time symmetries: exact
- U(1) electromagnetic gauge symmetry: exact (massless photon)
- SU(2) weak gauge symmetry: broken (massive W, Z, etc)
- SU(3) color gauge symmetry: exact (massless gluons)

Part B

C/P/T

Discrete space-time symmetries: C, P, T.



For a long time it was believed that **P/C/T** should be exact

CPT theorem (G. Lueders 1954, W. Pauli 1955): CPT symmetry holds for all physical phenomena (or, any Lorentz invariant local quantum field theory with a Hermitian Hamiltonian must have CPT symmetry).



P and CP violation

P violation: a new and revolutionary window in understanding the nature of symmetries, leading to the V-A theory of weak interactions.



1956

Part B

1957

1958

CP violation: one of the necessary conditions to explain why there is only matter rather than antimatter in our Universe (why we exist).

The first laboratory evidence for CP violation:

$$|\eta_{+-}| = |A(K_L^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) / A(K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-)|$$

= $(2.236 \pm 0.007) \times 10^{-3}.$

1964: CP violation (J.W. Cronin, Val L. Fitch)



Towards the KM paper

1964: Discovery of CP violation in K decays (J.W. Cronin, Val L. Fitch) NP 1980

1967: Sakharov conditions for cosmological matter-antimatter asymmetry (A. Sakharov)

0 citation for the first **4** yrs

1967: The standard model of electromagnetic and weak interactions without quarks (S. Weinberg)

1971: The first proof of the renormalizability of the standard model (G. 't Hooft) NP 1999





NP 1979

NP 1975









KM in 1972 (1)

Progress of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 49, No. 2, February 1973

CP-Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction



Makoto KOBAYASHI and Toshihide MASKAWA

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto

(Received September 1, 1972)

In a framework of the renormalizable theory of weak interaction, problems of *CP*-violation are studied. It is concluded that no realistic models of *CP*-violation exist in the quartet scheme without introducing any other new fields. Some possible models of *CP*-violation are also discussed. Japanese Archimedes

3 families allow for **CP violation**: **Maskawa's** bathtub idea!

"as I was getting out of the bathtub, an idea came to me"



KM in 1972 (2)

In your research life, please try to read the original papers

Next we consider a 6-plet model, another interesting model of CP-violation. Suppose that 6-plet with charges (Q, Q, Q, Q-1, Q-1, Q-1) is decomposed into $SU_{\text{weak}}(2)$ multiplets as 2+2+2 and 1+1+1+1+1+1 for left and right components, respectively. Just as the case of (A, C), we have a similar expression for the charged weak current with a 3×3 instead of 2×2 unitary matrix in Eq. (5). As was pointed out, in this case we cannot absorb all phases of matrix elements into the phase convention and can take, for example, the following expression:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1} & -\sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{3} & -\sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{3} \\ \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} & \cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{3} - \sin \theta_{2} \sin \theta_{3} e^{i\delta} & \cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \sin \theta_{3} + \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{3} e^{i\delta} \\ \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} & \cos \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{3} + \cos \theta_{2} \sin \theta_{3} e^{i\delta} & \cos \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \sin \theta_{3} - \cos \theta_{2} \frac{\sin \theta_{3} e^{i\delta}}{\sin \theta_{3} e^{i\delta}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(13)

Then, we have CP-violating effects through the interference among these different current components. An interesting feature of this model is that the CP-violating effects of lowest order appear only in $\Delta S \neq 0$ non-leptonic processes and in the

Dear, have you seen a typo in the KM flavor mixing matrix

Diagnosis of CP violation 16
In the SM (+ 3 right-handed v's), the KM
mechanism is responsible for CP violation.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu SM} = \mathcal{L}_{G} + \mathcal{L}_{H} + \mathcal{L}_{F} + \mathcal{L}_{Y}$$
Proof in
$$\mathcal{L}_{G} = -\frac{1}{4} (W^{i\mu\nu}W^{i}_{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{H} = (D^{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D_{\mu}H) - \mu^{2}H^{\dagger}H - \lambda (H^{\dagger}H)^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{F} = \overline{Q_{L}}i\mathcal{P}Q_{L} + \overline{\ell_{L}}i\mathcal{P}\ell_{L} + \overline{U_{R}}i\mathcal{J}'U_{R} + \overline{D_{R}}i\mathcal{J}'D_{R} + \overline{E_{R}}i\mathcal{J}'E_{R} + \overline{N_{R}}i\mathcal{J}'N_{R}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = -\overline{Q_{L}}Y_{u}\tilde{H}U_{R} - \overline{Q_{L}}Y_{d}HD_{R} - \overline{\ell_{L}}Y_{l}HE_{R} - \overline{\ell_{L}}Y_{\nu}\tilde{H}N_{R} + h.c.$$

The strategy of diagnosis: given proper CP transformations of gauge, Higgs and fermion fields, we may prove that the 1st, 2nd and 3rd terms are formally invariant, and hence the 4th term can be invariant only if the corresponding Yukawa coupling matrices are real. (Note that the SM spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking itself doesn't affect CP.) Part B

CP transformations

Gauge fields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}, W_{\mu}^{1}, W_{\mu}^{2}, W_{\mu}^{3} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \begin{bmatrix} -B^{\mu}, -W^{1\mu}, +W^{2\mu}, -W^{3\mu} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu\nu}, W_{\mu\nu}^{1}, W_{\mu\nu}^{2}, W_{\mu\nu}^{3} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \begin{bmatrix} -B^{\mu\nu}, -W^{1\mu\nu}, +W^{2\mu\nu}, -W^{3\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix}$$

Higgs fields:

$$H(t, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CP}} H^*(t, -\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^- \\ \phi^{0*} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\overline{\psi_1}\gamma_{\mu}\left(1\pm\gamma_5\right)\psi_2\xrightarrow{\mathrm{CP}}-\overline{\psi_2}\gamma^{\mu}\left(1\pm\gamma_5\right)$$

Lepton or quark fields:

$$\psi_1 \quad \overline{\psi_1} \gamma_\mu \left(1 \pm \gamma_5 \right) \partial^\mu \psi_2 \xrightarrow{\text{CP}} \overline{\psi_2} \gamma^\mu \left(1 \pm \gamma_5 \right) \partial_\mu \psi_1$$

Spinor bilinears:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\overline{\mathcal{L}_{G}} \\
\overline{\mathcal{L}_{F}} \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\overline{\mathcal{L}_{F}} \\
\overline{\mathcal{L}_{F}} \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\overline{\mathcal{U}_{0}} \\
\overline{\mathcal{U}$$

Part B

CP violation

The Yukawa interactions of fermions are formally invariant under CP if and only if

If the effective Majorana mass term is added into the SM, then the Yukawa interactions of leptons can be formally invariant under CP if

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_{\rm u} &=& Y_{\rm u}^* \;, & Y_{\rm d} \;=\; Y_{\rm d}^* \\ Y_{l} &=& Y_{l}^* \;, & Y_{\nu} \;=\; Y_{\nu}^* \end{array}$$

$$M_{\rm L} = M_{\rm L}^* , \qquad Y_l = Y_l^*$$

If the flavor states are transformed into the mass states, the source of flavor mixing and CP violation will show up in the *CC* interactions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{cc} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{(u \ c \ t)_{L}} \ \gamma^{\mu} V \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_{L} W^{+}_{\mu} + \text{h.c.} \quad \mathcal{L}_{cc} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{(e \ \mu \ \tau)_{L}} \ \gamma^{\mu} U \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1} \\ \nu_{2} \\ \nu_{3} \end{pmatrix}_{L} W^{-}_{\mu} + \text{h.c.}$$

Comment A: CP violation exists since fermions interact with both the gauge bosons and the Higgs boson.

Comment B: both the **CC** and **Yukawa** interactions have been verified.

Comment C: the CKM matrix **/** is unitary, the MNSP matrix **/** is too?

Parameter counting

The **3**×**3** unitary matrix **V** can always be parametrized as a product of **3** unitary rotation matrices in the complex planes:

$$O_{1}(\theta_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1}e^{i\alpha_{1}} & s_{1}e^{-i\beta_{1}} & 0\\ -s_{1}e^{i\beta_{1}} & c_{1}e^{-i\alpha_{1}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\gamma_{1}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$O_{2}(\theta_{2}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\gamma_{2}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & c_{2}e^{i\alpha_{2}} & s_{2}e^{-i\beta_{2}}\\ 0 & -s_{2}e^{i\beta_{2}} & c_{2}e^{-i\alpha_{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$O_{3}(\theta_{3}, \alpha_{3}, \beta_{3}, \gamma_{3}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{3}e^{i\alpha_{3}} & 0 & s_{3}e^{-i\beta_{3}}\\ 0 & e^{i\gamma_{3}} & 0\\ -s_{3}e^{i\beta_{3}} & 0 & c_{3}e^{-i\alpha_{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$
where $s_{i} \equiv \sin \theta_{i}$ and $c_{i} \equiv \cos \theta_{i}$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$)

Category A: 3 possibilities $V = O_i O_j O_i \quad (i \neq j)$

Part B

Category B: 6 possibilities

$$V = O_i O_j O_k \quad (i \neq j \neq k)$$

Phases

For instance, the standard parametrization is given below:

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\gamma_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 e^{\alpha_2} & s_2 e^{-i\beta_2} \\ 0 & -s_2 e^{i\beta_2} & c_2 e^{-i\alpha_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_3 e^{\alpha_3} & 0 & s_3 e^{-i\beta_3} \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma_3} & 0 \\ -s_3 e^{i\beta_3} & 0 & c_3 e^{-i\alpha_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 e^{\alpha_1} & s_1 e^{-i\beta_1} & 0 \\ -s_1 e^{i\beta_1} & c_1 e^{-i\alpha_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\gamma_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 c_3 e^{i(\alpha_1 + \gamma_2 + \alpha_3)} & s_1 c_3 e^{i(-\beta_1 + \gamma_2 + \alpha_3)} & s_3 e^{i(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \beta_3)} \\ -s_1 c_2 e^{i(\beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma_3)} - c_1 s_2 s_3 e^{i(\alpha_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3)} & c_1 c_2 e^{i(-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma_3)} - s_1 s_2 s_3 e^{i(-\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3)} & s_2 c_3 e^{i(\gamma_1 - \beta_2 - \alpha_3)} \\ s_1 s_2 e^{i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma_3)} - c_1 c_2 s_3 e^{i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_3)} & -c_1 s_2 e^{i(-\alpha_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma_3)} - s_1 c_2 s_3 e^{i(-\beta_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_3)} & c_2 c_3 e^{i(\gamma_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{ia} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{ib} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{ic} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 c_3 & s_1 c_3 & s_3 e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_1 c_2 - c_1 s_2 s_3 e^{i\delta} & c_1 c_2 - s_1 s_2 s_3 e^{i\delta} & s_2 c_3 \\ s_1 s_2 - c_1 c_2 s_3 e^{i\delta} & -c_1 s_2 - s_1 c_2 s_3 e^{i\delta} & c_2 c_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{iy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{iz} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} a = (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) - (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \gamma_2) - \gamma_3 \,, \ b = -\beta_2 - \alpha_3 \,, \ c = -\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \,; \\ x = \beta_1 + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2) + (\alpha_3 + \gamma_3) \,, \ y = -\alpha_1 + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2) + (\alpha_3 + \gamma_3) \,, \ z = \gamma_1 \,. \end{array} \right. \quad \delta = \beta_3 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$$

Part B

Physical phases

If fermions are the **Dirac** particles, then phases *x*, *y* and *z* can be removed. The quark or lepton flavor mixing matrix:





If neutrinos are Majorana particles, left- and right-handed fields are correlated. Hence only a common phase of three left-handed fields can be redefined (e.g., z = 0). Then

Majorana neutrino mixing matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\rho} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



K/D/B decays (1)

23

There are 3 types of CP-violating effects in K/D/B decays: Type A: direct CP violation

$$\begin{split} A(i \to f) &= A_1 e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_1} e^{+\mathrm{i}\phi_1} + A_2 e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_2} e^{+\mathrm{i}\phi_2} \\ A(\overline{i} \to \overline{f}) &= A_1 e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_1} e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi_1} + A_2 e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_2} e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi_2} \end{split} \\ \begin{aligned} & \text{Weak phases: } \phi_{1,2} \\ \text{Weak phases: } \phi_{1,2} \\ \text{CP asymmetry: } & \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}} &= |A(i \to f)|^2 - |A(\overline{i} \to \overline{f})|^2 \\ &= 4A_1A_2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \end{aligned}$$

Type B: CP violation from K^0 - \bar{K}^0 , D^0 - \bar{D}^0 , $B^0_{d,s}$ - $\bar{B}^0_{d,s}$ mixing



K/D/B decays (2)

74

Taking neutral D-meson system for example, the mixing is



An expansion of the off-diagonal terms of the Hamiltonian for Dmeson mixing to 2nd order in perturbation theory is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma \end{pmatrix}_{12} = \frac{1}{2M_D} \begin{bmatrix} \langle D^0 | \mathcal{H}_{\text{weak}}^{\Delta C=2} | \overline{D}^0 \rangle + \sum_n \frac{\langle D^0 | \mathcal{H}_{\text{weak}}^{\Delta C=1} | n \rangle \langle n | \mathcal{H}_{\text{weak}}^{\Delta C=1} | \overline{D}^0 \rangle \\ M_D - E_n + i\epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$
contributes only to M_12
sensitive to new physics
CP violation in D0-
D0bar mixing:
$$\Delta_D \equiv \frac{|p|^4 - |q|^4}{|p|^4 + |q|^4}$$
(SM prediction: < 10^-4)
with large uncertainties)

K/D/B decays (3)

25

Type C: CP violation from the interplay of decay & mixing [indirect CP violation] (SM: $\leq 10^{-3}$ for D-meson system)

$$\lambda_f \equiv \frac{q}{p} \cdot \frac{\langle f | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | \bar{D}^0 \rangle}{\langle f | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | D^0 \rangle} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_f - \operatorname{Im} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{f}} \neq 0 \quad \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{f}} \equiv \frac{p}{q} \cdot \frac{\langle \bar{f} | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | D^0 \rangle}{\langle \bar{f} | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | \bar{D}^0 \rangle}$$



The observed phenomena of CP violation (signature > 5σ): (Particle Data Group 2014)

• Indirect *CP* violation in $K \to \pi\pi$ and $K \to \pi\ell\nu$ decays, and in the $K_L \to \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$ decay, is given by

 $|\epsilon| = (2.228 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{-3}$.

• Direct CP violation in $K \to \pi\pi$ decays is given by

 $\mathcal{R}e(\epsilon'/\epsilon) = (1.65 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$.

K/D/B decays (4)

• *CP* violation in the interference of mixing and decay in the tree-dominated $b \to c\bar{c}s$ transitions, such as $B^0 \to \psi K^0$, is given by (we use K^0 throughout to denote results that combine K_S and K_L modes, but use the sign appropriate to K_S):

A. Carter, A.I. Sanda 1980, 1981

$$S_{\psi K^0} = +0.682 \pm 0.019 \,.$$

I.I. Bigi, A.I. Sanda 1981,

• CP violation in the interference of mixing and decay in various modes related to $b \rightarrow q\bar{q}s$ (penguin) transitions is given by



$$\begin{split} S_{\eta'K^0} &= +\; 0.63 \pm 0.06 \;, \\ S_{\phi K^0} &= +\; 0.74 \mathop{+}^{+0.11}_{-0.13} \;, \\ S_{f_0 K^0} &= +\; 0.69 \mathop{+}^{+0.10}_{-0.12} \;, \\ S_{K^+K^-K_S} &= +\; 0.68 \mathop{+}^{+0.09}_{-0.10} \;, \end{split}$$



• *CP* violation in the interference of mixing and decay in the $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ mode is given by

$$S_{\pi^+\pi^-} = -0.66 \pm 0.06 \,.$$

• Direct CP violation in the $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ mode is given by

$$C_{\pi^+\pi^-} = -0.31 \pm 0.05$$
 .



K/D/B decays (5)

• CP violation in the interference of mixing and decay in various modes related to $b \to c\bar{c}d$ transitions is given by

$$S_{\psi\pi^0} = -0.93 \pm 0.15,$$

$$S_{D^+D^-} = -0.98 \pm 0.17.$$

$$S_{D^{*+}D^{*-}} = -0.71 \pm 0.09.$$

• Direct CP violation in the $\overline{B}{}^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ mode is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\overline{B}^0 \to K^- \pi^+} = -0.082 \pm 0.006$$
.

• Direct CP violation in $B^{\pm} \to D_{+}K^{\pm}$ decays $(D_{+}$ is the CP-even neutral D state) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{B^+ \to D_+ K^+} = +0.19 \pm 0.03 \,.$$

• Direct CP violation in the $\overline{B}_s^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ mode is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\overline{B}{}^0_s \to K^+\pi^-} = +0.26 \pm 0.04$$



Unitarity triangles



Current data



Bjorken's talk in Hawaii

CP AND B PHYSICS: PROGRESS AND PROSPECTS



J. D. Bjorken Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309



30

This summary of the 2nd International Conference on B Physics and CP Violation (Honolulu, 24–27 March, 1997) contains, in addition to what is implied in the title,

Maybe there is a right angle in the unitarity triangle, in particular maybe $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ This is not in the same class of dramatic surprises as the previous two categories, but nevertheless an observed regularity of shape of the unitarity triangle might send a rather strong message. There is a small, elite right-angle club, consisting to the best of my knowledge of Berthold Stech and myself. Harald Fritsch qualifies as a corresponding member (e-mail only), having also advocated a right angle⁸, but the wrong one (α).

H. Fritzsch & ZZX in 1995, first predicting $\alpha = 90$ degrees!

A P- and T-violating θ -term in QCD, coming from the instanton solution to the U(1) problem:

The chiral transformation of the quark fields

The mass term of quarks:

$$\psi_q \to \exp\left(i\alpha_q\gamma_5\right)\psi_q$$

leads to the changes:

Part C

$$\theta \to \theta - 2\sum_{q} \alpha_{q}$$

arg (det \mathcal{M}) \to arg (det \mathcal{M}) + $2\sum_{q} \alpha_{q}$

The change of the θ -term due to the anomaly:

 $\partial_{\mu} \left(\bar{\psi}_{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi_{q} \right) = 2im_{q} \bar{\psi}_{q} \gamma_{5} \psi_{q} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}$

$$g(\det \mathcal{N} t) \to \arg(\det \mathcal{N} t) + 2 \sum_{q} \alpha_{q}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{m}} = -\overline{(u \ c \ t \ d \ s \ b)_{\mathrm{L}}} \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \\ d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{R}} + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(a \overline{h} \ \alpha \mu \alpha \ a h \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \theta \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}$$

$$\partial_{\mu} \left(\bar{\psi}_{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi_{q} \right)$$

$$\frac{\begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \end{pmatrix}}{(t + h)^2} \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \end{pmatrix} + h$$

Why a problem?





It is a sum of the QCD contribution (the vacuum angle θ) and the electroweak one (related to the phase structure of the quark mass matrix).





There are **3** distinct approaches to the strong CP problem (Peccei **98**): ----The QCD vacuum dynamics itself selects $\overline{\theta}$ to be vanishing. ----Impose an additional chiral symmetry to dynamically drive $\overline{\theta} \rightarrow 0$. ----CP symmetry is spontaneously broken, with a naturally small $\overline{\theta}$.

Additional chiral symmetry: 1) $m_u = 0$ (Kaplan, Manohar, 86); 2) Peccei-Quinn U(1) symmetry (77).

A phenomenological measure of weak or strong CP-violating effects?

In any case, the CP-violating effects in the quark sector are not large enough to interpret the cosmological matter-antimatter asymmetry.

Dirac's Expectation

PAUL A. M. DIRAC

Theory of electrons and positrons

Nobel Lecture, December 12, 1933



If we accept the view of complete symmetry between positive and negative electric charge so far as concerns the fundamental laws of Nature, we must regard it rather as an accident that the Earth (and presumably the whole solar system), contains a preponderance of negative electrons and positive protons. It is quite possible that for some of the stars it is the other way about, these stars being built up mainly of positrons and negative protons. In fact, there may be half the stars of each kind. The two kinds of stars would both show exactly the same spectra, and there would be no way of distinguishing them by present astronomical methods.

Part D

The puzzle



Part D

Evidence

η_B was historically determined from the **Big Bang Nucleosynthesis**: Primordial abundances of BBN light elements are sensitive to it.





Sakharov conditions

- **Baryogenesis:** 1) Just-So --- B > 0 from the very beginning up to now; 2) Dynamical picture --- B > 0 evolved from B = 0 after inflation.
- **Condition 1:** baryon number (**B**) violation. [GUT, SUSY & even SM allow it, but no direct experimental evidence]
- **Condition 2:** breaking of **C** and **CP** symmetries.
- [C & CP asymmetries are both needed to keep B violation survivable]
- **Condition 3:** departure from thermal equilibrium. [Thermal equilibrium might erase **B** asymmetry due to **CPT** symmetry]



Part D Remarks on CP violation

CP violation from the *CKM* quark mixing matrix is not the whole story to explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the visible Universe.

Two reasons for this in the SM:

CP violation from the **SM**'s quark sector is highly suppressed;

The electroweak phase transition is not strongly first order.

New sources of CP violation are necessarily required.



Encouraging news: current \mathbf{v} data hint at $\delta \sim 270$ degrees.





Cosmic CP violation



Standard Flavors + Massive Neutrinos in a Pizza

