Effective Operators, New Physics and CEPC400

Jing Shu ITP



Effective Operators beyond SM at CEPC

- Tree Level & Loop effects (The Covariant Derivative Expansion); the RG running and operator mixing between different operators.
- CEPC 400 and Higgs self couplings
 Summary:

Why Effective Operators at the lepton colldiers?

CEPC

Circular e⁺ e⁻ collider with center of mass energy 240 GeV

What we use effective operators?

Fixed energy: EFT is always valid.

General model independent parametrization & categorization.

Simply map to the lepton collider measurments

CEPC

Cicular e⁺ e⁻ collider with center of mass energy 240 GeV What can it go beyond the LEP?

EW precision
Tri-gauge boson precision
Higgs precision

CEPC

Underlying Models

Models with new symmetries, dynamics to the interpret EVVSB, naturalness, etc. Simplified Models

Just some new particles, or some strong dynamics Effective Operators CEPC Observables

Many operators Total cross section, angle distributions, etc

Effective Operators Beyond SM (TGC example)

Operators beyond SM

There are 81 operators up to dimension 6, including one dimension 5 operator which gives the neutrino mass (Weinberg operator)
Flavor diagonal, no B-violating.

For the 80 d=6 operators, e.o.m. and CP conserving would reduce this number to 52

Let's see what an electron collider can do for those operators before Higgs discovery

Operators beyond SM

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Independent observables related to LEP I, II

bosonic fields	Higgs/fermions	4-fermion
$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = \left(H^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} H ight) W^{a}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}^{s}_{hl} = i \left(H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H ight) \left(ar{L}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} L_{L} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{ll}^s = rac{1}{2} \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{L}_L \gamma_\mu L_L ight)$
$\mathcal{O}_h = \left(H^\dagger D_\mu H ight)^2$	$\mathcal{O}^{s}_{hq} = i \left(H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H ight) \left(ar{Q}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} Q_{L} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}^{s}_{lq} = \left(ar{L}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}L_{L} ight)\left(ar{Q}_{L}\gamma_{\mu}Q_{L} ight)$
$\mathcal{O}_W = \epsilon^{abc} W^{a u}_\mu W^{b\lambda}_ u W^{c\mu}_\lambda$	$\mathcal{O}_{hu}=i\left(H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H ight)\left(ar{u}_{R}\gamma_{\mu}u_{R} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{le} = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{he} = i \left(H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_{\mu} e_R ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{lu} = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{hl}^t = i \left(H^\dagger \sigma^a D^\mu H ight) \left(ar{L}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a L_L ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{ee} = rac{1}{2} \left(ar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{hq}^t = i \left(H^\dagger \sigma^a D^\mu H ight) \left(ar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu Q_L ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{ll}^t = rac{1}{2} \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu \sigma^a L_L ight) \left(ar{L}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a L_L ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{hd} = i \left(H^\dagger D^\mu H ight) \left(ar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{lq}^t = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a Q_L ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{qe} = \left(ar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu Q_L ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{ld} = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{eu} = \left(ar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R ight) \left(ar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{ed} = \left(ar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R ight) \left(ar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R ight)$

Bosonic fields

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{WB} = \left(H^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} H
ight) W^{a}_{\mu
u} B^{\mu
u} \ \mathcal{O}_{h} = \left(H^{\dagger} D_{\mu} H
ight)^{2} \ \mathcal{O}_{W} = & \epsilon^{abc} W^{a
u}_{\mu} W^{b\lambda}_{
u} W^{c\mu}_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Famous S,T parameter

$$a_{WB}=rac{1}{4sc}rac{lpha}{v^2}S,\,\,a_h=-2rac{lpha}{v^2}T,$$

Let's consider different BSM examples

95% C. L.

Operators beyond SM

Practically, this is more complicated since we need to consider redundant operators for convenience.

Consider a simple case where one integrate out a vector SU(2)_L triplet in MCHM.

$$+\frac{1}{g_{\rho_L}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_W + \frac{1}{g_{\rho_R}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_B + \frac{g^2}{g_{\rho_L}^2 m_{\rho_L}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2W} + \frac{g'^2}{g_{\rho_R}^2 m_{\rho_R}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2B}.$$

The first two terms are related with the S parameter,

W & Y can be rewrite using the e.o.m. of the gauge fields $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_B &= \mathcal{O}_{HB} + \mathcal{O}_{BB} + \mathcal{O}_{WB} \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_W &= \mathcal{O}_{HW} + \mathcal{O}_{WW} + \mathcal{O}_{WB} \,, \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} (D_{\nu}W^{\nu\mu})^{a} &= -\frac{1}{2}g(ih^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu}\sigma^{a}h + \bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}l + \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}q), \\ \partial_{\nu}B^{\nu\mu} &= -\frac{1}{2}g'(ih^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu}h) - g'\sum_{f}Y_{f}\overline{f}\gamma^{\mu}f, \end{split}$$

Operators beyond SM

But certainly we can do those e.o.m. and generate too many independent operators to do the constrain:

Therefore, one should include all the redundant operators for the fits

\mathcal{O}_{GG}	=	$g_{s}^{2}\left H ight ^{2}G_{\mu u}^{a}G^{a,\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_H	=	$rac{1}{2}ig(\partial_\mu \left H ight ^2ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{WW}	=	$g^2 \left H ight ^2 W^a_{\mu u} W^{a,\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_T	=	${1\over 2} ig(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{BB}	=	$g'^2 H ^2 B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_R	=	$ H ^2 D_\mu H ^2$
\mathcal{O}_{WB}	=	$2gg'H^\dagger au^a H W^a_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_D	=	$\left D^{2}H\right ^{2}$
\mathcal{O}_W	=	$ig ig(H^\dagger au^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu Hig) D^ u W^a_{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_6	=	$ H ^6$
\mathcal{O}_B	=	$ig'Y_Hig(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu Hig)\partial^ u B_{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_{2G}	=	$-rac{1}{2}ig(D^\mu G^a_{\mu u}ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{3G}	=	$rac{1}{3!}g_sf^{abc}G^{a\mu}_ ho G^{b u}_\mu G^{c ho}_ u$	\mathcal{O}_{2W}	=	$-rac{1}{2}ig(D^\mu W^a_{\mu u}ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{3W}	=	$rac{1}{3!}g\epsilon^{abc}W^{a\mu}_{ ho}W^{b u}_{\mu}W^{c ho}_{ u}$	\mathcal{O}_{2B}	=	$-rac{1}{2}ig(\partial^\mu B_{\mu u}ig)^2$

CP conserving bosonic operators

The CHM

In the CCWZ formulism of MCHM, integrating out the heavy spin one vector meson "rho" and axi-vector meson "a"

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{\Delta^2}{4g_a^2} (d_{\mu\nu}^{\hat{a}})^2 - \frac{1}{4g_{\rho_L}^2} (E_{\mu\nu}^{aL})^2 - \frac{1}{4g_{\rho_R}^2} (E_{\mu\nu}^{aR})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m_{\rho_L}^2 g_{\rho_L}^2} D_{\mu} E^{aL\mu\nu} D_{\rho} E^{aL\rho}_{\quad \nu} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m_{\rho_R}^2 g_{\rho_R}^2} D_{\mu} E^{aR\mu\nu} D_{\rho} E^{aR\rho}_{\quad \nu} + \cdots, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= -\frac{\Delta^2}{g_a^2 f^2} \left(\mathcal{O}_W + \mathcal{O}_B - \left(\mathcal{O}_{HW} + \mathcal{O}_{HB} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{g_{\rho_L}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_W + \frac{1}{g_{\rho_R}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_B + \frac{g^2}{g_{\rho_L}^2 m_{\rho_L}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2W} + \frac{g'^2}{g_{\rho_R}^2 m_{\rho_R}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2B}. \end{split}$$

rho contributes to S,W, Y a contributes to -S,TGC

One loop diagram needed (large rho coupling)

Real singlet for EWPT

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = rac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \Phi
ight)^2 - rac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2 - A \left| H
ight|^2 \Phi - rac{1}{2} k \left| H
ight|^2 \Phi^2 - rac{1}{3!} \mu \Phi^3 - rac{1}{4!} \lambda_{\Phi} \Phi^4$$

Tree Level:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff,tree} &= -A \, |H|^2 \, \Phi_c + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_c \left(-\partial^2 - m^2 - k \, |H|^2 \right) \Phi_c - \frac{1}{3!} \mu \Phi_c^3 - \frac{1}{4!} \lambda_\Phi \Phi_c^4 \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2m^2} A^2 \, |H|^4 + \frac{A^2}{m^4} \mathcal{O}_H + \left(-\frac{kA^2}{2m^4} + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\mu A^3}{m^6} \right) \mathcal{O}_6. \end{split}$$

One loop:

$$egin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff,1-loop}} &= rac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} rac{1}{m^2} \left[-rac{1}{12} (P_\mu U)^2 - rac{1}{6} U^3
ight] \ &= rac{1}{(4\pi)^2} rac{1}{m^2} \left(rac{k^2}{12} \mathcal{O}_H - rac{k^3}{12} \mathcal{O}_6
ight). \end{aligned}$$

EW scalar doublet (Stop)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset |D_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - m^2 |\Phi|^2 - rac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4} |\Phi|^4 + \left(\eta_H |H|^2 + \eta_{\Phi} |\Phi|^2
ight) \left(\Phi \cdot H + ext{h.c.}
ight)
onumber \ - \lambda_1 |H|^2 |\Phi|^2 - \lambda_2 |\Phi \cdot H|^2 - \lambda_3 [\left(\Phi \cdot H
ight)^2 + ext{h.c.}],$$

Stop: One-loop

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_H = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[6\eta_{\Phi}\eta_H + \frac{1}{12} \left(4\lambda_1^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 \right) \right] & c_{BB} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{12} Y_{\Phi}^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & c_{3W} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{60} g^2 \\ c_T = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{12} \left(\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_3^2 \right) & c_{WW} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{48} (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & c_{2W} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{60} g^2 \\ c_R = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[6\eta_{\Phi}\eta_H + \frac{1}{6} \left(\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 \right) \right] & c_{WB} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{12} \lambda_2 Y_{\Phi} & c_{2B} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{60} 4 g'^2 Y_{\Phi}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left| c_6 = \eta_H^2 + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \lambda_\Phi \eta_H^2 + 6\eta_\Phi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \frac{1}{6} \left(2\lambda_1^3 + 3\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 + 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2^3 \right) - 2 \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \right) \lambda_3^2 \right| \right|$$

A general coding:

All bosonic operators

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{GG} &= g_s^2 (H^{\dagger} H) G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_H = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} |H|^2)^2 \\ \mathcal{O}_{WW} &= g^2 |H|^2 W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{a\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_T = \frac{1}{2} (H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H)^2 \\ \mathcal{O}_{BB} &= g'^2 |H|^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_R = |H|^2 |D_{\mu} H|^2 \\ \mathcal{O}_{WB} &= 2gg' (H^{\dagger} \tau^a H) W_{\mu\nu}^a B^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_D = |D^2 H|^2 \\ \mathcal{O}_W &= ig (H^{\dagger} \tau^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H) D^{\nu} W_{\mu\nu}^a, \quad \mathcal{O}_6 = |H|^6 \\ \mathcal{O}_B &= ig' Y_H (H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H) \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2G} &= -\frac{1}{2} (D^{\mu} G_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 \\ \mathcal{O}_{3G} &= \frac{1}{3!} g_s f^{abc} G_{\mu}^{a\nu} G_{\nu}^{b\lambda} G_{\lambda}^{c\mu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2B} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial^{\mu} W_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 \\ \mathcal{O}_{3W} &= \frac{1}{3!} g \epsilon^{abc} W_{\mu}^{a\nu} W_{\nu}^{b\lambda} W_{\lambda}^{c\mu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2B} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu})^2 \end{split}$$

Han & Skiba basis

(1) Operators that modify gauge boson propagators

$$O_{WB} = (h^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} h) W^{a}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}, O_{h} = (h^{\dagger} D^{\mu} h) (D_{\mu} h^{\dagger} h).$$
(6)

(2) Operators that affect tree level SM gauge-fermion couplings

$$O_{hl}^{s} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l) + h.c., O_{hl}^{t} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\sigma^{a}h)(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}l) + h.c.$$
(7)

$$O_{he} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e) + h.c., O_{hq}^{s} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}qq) + h.c.$$
(8)

$$O_{hq}^{t} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\sigma^{a}h)(\overline{q}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}q) + h.c., O_{hu} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\overline{u}\gamma^{\mu}u) + h.c.$$
(9)

$$O_{hd} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\overline{d}\gamma^{\mu}d) + h.c..$$
(10)

(3) Four-fermion opertors

(

$$O_{ll}^t = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^\mu \sigma^a l) (\bar{l}\gamma_\mu \sigma^a l), \\ O_{lq}^s = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^\mu l) (\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)$$
(11)

$$O_{lq}^{t} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}l)(\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\sigma^{a}q), O_{le} = (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l)(\bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e)$$
(12)

$$O_{qe} = (\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q)(\bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e), O_{lu} = (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l)(\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u)$$
(13)

$$O_{ld} = (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l)(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d), O_{ee} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(\bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e)$$
(14)

$$O_{eu} = (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u), O_{ed} = (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d)$$
(15)

$$O_{ll}^s = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^\mu l) (\bar{l}\gamma_\mu l). \tag{16}$$

(4) Operators that modify triple gauge boson couplings

$$O_W = \epsilon^{abc} W^{a\nu}_{\mu} W^{b\lambda}_{\nu} W^{c\mu}_{\lambda}, (O_{WB}). \tag{17}$$

Han & Skiba basis

Use E.O.M. to change basis

$$\mathcal{D}_{T}' = -2O_{h}^{(3)} - O_{h}^{(1)} + 3\lambda O_{h} - \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) - m^{2}|h|^{4}$$
(18)

$$\mathcal{O}'_{W} = g^{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}O_{h}^{(1)} + O_{hl}^{(3)} + O_{hq}^{(3)} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda O_{h} + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}|h|^{4}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}'_{B} = \frac{1}{2}g'^{2} \left(2O_{h}^{(3)} + O_{h}^{(1)} - 3\lambda O_{h} + \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) + m^{2}|h|^{4}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}O_{hl}^{(1)} - O_{he} + \frac{1}{6}O_{hq}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{3}O_{hu} - \frac{1}{3}O_{hd})$$
(20)

$$\mathcal{O}_{2W}' = -\frac{1}{2}g^2(\frac{3}{2}O_h^{(1)} + 2O_{hl}^{(3)} + 2O_{hq}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{ll}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{qq}^{(1,3)} + O_{lq}^{(3)} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda O_h + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_e O_{eh} + \Gamma_u O_{uh} + \Gamma_d O_{dh}) + \frac{1}{2}m^2|h|^4)$$
(21)

$$\mathcal{O}_{2B}^{\prime} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\prime 2}(O_{h}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{h}^{(1)} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda O_{h} + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}|h|^{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2}O_{hl}^{(1)} - O_{he} + \frac{1}{6}O_{hq}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{3}O_{hu} - \frac{1}{3}O_{hd} \\ +\frac{1}{2}O_{ll}^{(1)} + 2O_{ee} + \frac{1}{18}O_{qq}^{(1,1)} + \frac{8}{9}O_{uu}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{9}O_{dd}^{(1)} + O_{le} - \frac{1}{6}O_{lq}^{(1)} \\ -\frac{2}{3}O_{lu} + \frac{1}{3}O_{ld} - \frac{1}{3}O_{qe} - \frac{4}{3}O_{ue} + \frac{2}{3}O_{de} + \frac{2}{9}O_{qu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{9}O_{qd}^{(1)} - \frac{4}{9}O_{ud}^{(1)})$$
(22)

$$\mathcal{O}'_{BB} = 2g'^2 O_{hB}, \quad \mathcal{O}'_{WB} = gg' O_{WB}, \quad \mathcal{O}'_{WW} = 2g^2 O_{hW}$$
 (23)

$$\mathcal{O}'_{3W} = \frac{1}{3!} g O_W, \quad \mathcal{O}'_H = O_{\partial h}, \quad \mathcal{O}'_6 = 3O_h \tag{24}$$

Operators beyond SM

Future CEPC makes it just like B, flavor physics

Can have both tree and loop results at the UV

RG running: one loop UV operators contribute to IR (weak scale) operators

Weak scale operators maps to the Observable.

RG Runnings

 $\{\mathcal{O'}_{H}, \mathcal{O'}_{T}, \mathcal{O'}_{B}, \mathcal{O'}_{W}, \mathcal{O'}_{2B}, \mathcal{O'}_{2W}, \mathcal{O'}_{BB}, \mathcal{O'}_{WW}, \mathcal{O'}_{WB}, \mathcal{O'}_{3W}\} .$

Running effect

$\begin{pmatrix} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{pmatrix}$	$ \left. \begin{array}{c} e_{BB}(m_W) \\ e_{WW}(m_W) \\ e_{WB}(m_W) \\ e_{3W}(m_W) \end{array} \right) = $	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.914758\\ 3.10393e - 06\\ -0.00332701\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0.000128298 - 0.90556 - -0.012287 0	-0.0185242 0.00165509 0.875589 0	$ \begin{array}{c} -3.25679e - 05 \\ -0.0154459 \\ 0.00314274 \\ 0.885251 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ e$	$\begin{pmatrix} c_{BB}(\Lambda) \\ c_{WW}(\Lambda) \\ c_{WB}(\Lambda) \\ c_{3W}(\Lambda) \end{pmatrix}$,	
			(c_H, c_T, c_T)	c_B, c_W, c_{2B}, c_{2B}	$_{2W} ight)^t(m_W)$		(3
=	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.817183 \\ -0.00221894 \\ 0.00455058 \\ 0.00444872 \\ 2.91354e - 06 \\ 1.01829e - 05 \end{pmatrix}$	0.0232377 0.78282 0.022526 0.00442166 1.4471e - 05 1.01354e - 05	-0.0014199 0.00274073 0.909505 -0.000270172 0.00114785 -1.47944e - 06	0.0097544 0.0014619 -0.002997 0.857823 -1.90868e 0.003869	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	-0.034927 -0.000736735 0.00151002 -0.068742 9.59124e - 07 0.824636	$egin{pmatrix} c_H(\Lambda) \ c_T(\Lambda) \ c_B(\Lambda) \ c_W(\Lambda) \ c_{2B}(\Lambda) \ c_{2W}(\Lambda) \end{pmatrix}$

Vector fermion example

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Vector fermion example

EW + Higgs fit

Future EW + Higgs fit

Blue is the one with RG running

The one-loop from UV

There are also one-loop contributions can be obtained by directly using the Feymann diagram or the covariant derivative expansion.

Realistic calculation is still very difficult. (Huo Ran & John Ellis's group difference: Regulator)

Notice the CEPC 400 only lose a few (roughly a factor of two) cross section, but I believe it makes the circular electron collider much easier

CEPC-High 0.001 5×10^{-4} ZHH total 1×10^{-4} 5×10^{-5} ZHH (no 3H) Ь 1×10^{-5} 5×10^{-6} $1 \times 10^{\circ}$ 380 400 420 440 460 480 500 360 \sqrt{s} [GeV]

Why hhh in lepton collider

VVhh VVh + hhh Vhh

All tree level, no new physics particles in the loop like 100 TeV SPPC

VVhh is essentially connected with VVh (very well measured), especially when VVh deviation is small

Determine the hhh

$$\frac{\sigma[e^+e^- \to hhZ]}{\sigma[e^+e^- \to hhZ]_{\rm SM}} \equiv \alpha \xi_{hhZZ}^2 + \beta \xi_{hZZ}^2 \xi_{hhh}^2 + \gamma \xi_{hZZ}^4 \\ + \delta \xi_{hhZZ} \xi_{hZZ} \xi_{hhh} + \epsilon \xi_{hhZZ} \xi_{hZZ}^2 + \zeta \xi_{hZZ}^3 \xi_{hhh} ,$$

$$\xi_{hZZ}\equiv rac{g_{hZZ}}{g_{hZZ}^{
m SM}}\,,\qquad \xi_{hhZZ}\equiv rac{g_{hhZZ}}{g_{hhZZ}^{
m SM}}\,,\qquad \xi_{hhh}\equiv rac{\lambda_{hhh}}{\lambda_{hhh}^{
m SM}}\,.$$

TABLE I: The numerical fits to the total cross section of $\sigma[e^+e^- \rightarrow hhZ]$.

Determine the hhh

For large higgs self-couplings, the sensitivity is very good!

Possible Channels

$h_1 \rightarrow$	$h_2 \rightarrow$	$Z \rightarrow$	Br	$N_{\rm event}$ (ILC)	$N_{\rm event}$ (CEPC)
$b\overline{b}$	$b\overline{b}$	$q \bar{q}$	23.3%	73/101	83
$b\overline{b}$	WW^*	$q \overline{q}$	17.3%	54/75	62
$b\overline{b}$	$W_h W_h^*$	$q \overline{q}$	7.9%	25/35	29
$b\overline{b}$	$V_h V_h^*$	$q \overline{q}$	8.9%	28/39	32
$b\overline{b}$	$b\overline{b}$	$\nu \bar{\nu}$	6.7%	21/29	24
$b\overline{b}$	$b\overline{b}$	$\ell^+\ell^-$	2.2%	7/10	8

TABLE II: Possible decay modes and their branching fractions. We also estimated the number of events for the SM triple Higgs self-couplings λ_{hhh}^{SM} at the ILC (500 GeV, 2 ab⁻¹) for unpolarized/polarized cases and the CEPC (400 GeV, 5 ab⁻¹) runs.

Charge leptons

The $4b + \ell^+ \ell^-$ channel

Very Loose (or no Higgs) reconstruction

Lepton + jets More than 3 b taggings

Z invariant mass

	$^{\mathrm{zhh}}$	zhh(lam=10)	tt	wwz	ZZZ
total	782	10900	5w*57	189400	5055
cut1	12	180	406	1089	109
$\operatorname{cut2}$	5	115	111	0	0
cut3	4	101	2	0	0

hZZ included in the later analysis

Difficult Higgs reconstruction

The $4b + \nu \bar{\nu}$ channel

Unfortunately, the Z invisible invariant mass window is not sharp

For the ttbar and hZZ backgrounds, they all could fake the first higgs mass window very well (just like the ttbar h channel)
Only the 2nd Higgs mass window and the aggressive b tagging can help

A naive no showering BDT results show: zhh (12.9), tt(4.2), zzh(4.17)

WW*bbjj channel

The $4b + q\bar{q}$ channel

Just need more work

What needs to be done

- Full universal one-loop effective action (notice top Yukawa is large, the RG running effect would violate the universality, have to deal with it)
- Need to implement more future CEPC expected sensitivity and measurements
- Apply to more realistic models: Covariant Derivative Expansion for SUSY, composite Higgs, etc.
- More refined analysis for CEPC 400. (parton level + Delphes)

Back-up slice

Tri-gauge boson at LEP

In the Hagiwara-Peccei-Zeppenfeld-Hikasa basis

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{TGC}}/g_{WWV} = ig_{1,V} \Big(W^+_{\mu\nu} W^-_{\mu} V_{\nu} - W^-_{\mu\nu} W^+_{\mu} V_{\nu} \Big) + i\kappa_V W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\lambda_V}{M_W^2} W^+_{\lambda\mu} W^-_{\mu\nu} V_{\nu\lambda} + g_5^V \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Big(W^+_{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\rho} W_{\nu} \Big) V_{\sigma} - g_4^V W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} \Big(\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} V_{\mu} \Big) + i\tilde{\kappa}_V W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} \tilde{V}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\tilde{\lambda}_V}{M_W^2} W^+_{\lambda\mu} W^-_{\mu\nu} \tilde{V}_{\nu\lambda} .$$

$$(1)$$

Only the 1st line is C and P conserving

In the SM, $g_{1,V} = \kappa_V = 1$

Five independent variables:

The W boson charge suggest $g_{1,\gamma} = 1$.

$$\Delta g_{1,Z}\,,\quad \Delta\kappa_\gamma\,,\quad \Delta\kappa_Z\,,\quad \lambda_\gamma\,,\quad \lambda_Z\,,$$

Unfortunately, poorly measured at LEP because the lack of data

Tri-gauge boson at LEP

\bigcirc Up to D=6 level, in the SILH basis,

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{i c_W g}{2 M_W^2} \left(H^{\dagger} \sigma^i \overleftarrow{D^{\mu}} H \right) (D^{\nu} W_{\mu\nu})^i + \frac{i c_{HW} g}{M_W^2} (D^{\mu} H)^{\dagger} \sigma^i (D^{\nu} H) W^i_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{i c_{HB} g'}{M_W^2} (D^{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D^{\nu} H) B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{3W} g}{6 M_W^2} \epsilon^{i j k} W^{i \nu}_{\mu} W^{j \rho}_{\nu} W^{k \mu}_{\rho} \end{split}$$

The first one is constrained by the S parameter,

$$egin{aligned} \Delta g_{1,Z} &= -\cot^2 heta_W c_{HW}\,, \ && \Delta\kappa_\gamma \,=\, -(c_{HW}+c_{HB})\,, \ && \lambda_\gamma \,=\, -c_{3W}\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_Z, \ \Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta g_{1,Z} - \tan^2 \theta_W \Delta \kappa_{\gamma}.$$

Three independent variables:

$$\Delta g_{1,Z}\,,\quad \Delta\kappa_\gamma\,,\quad\lambda_\gamma\,.$$

Kinematics

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(e^+e^- \to W^+W^- \to f_1\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_3f_4)}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_1^*\mathrm{d}\phi_1^*\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_2^*\mathrm{d}\phi_2^*} \ = \ \mathrm{BR}\cdot\frac{\beta}{32\pi s}\left(\frac{3}{8\pi}\right)^2\sum_{\substack{\lambda\tau_1\tau_1'\tau_2\tau_2'}}F_{\tau_1\tau_2}^{(\lambda)}F_{\tau_1'\tau_2'}^{(\lambda)*}\\ \times D_{\tau_1\tau_1'}(\theta_1^*,\phi_1^*)D_{\tau_2\tau_2'}(\pi-\theta_2^*,\pi+\phi_2^*)\,,\end{split}$$

D:W decay matrix C: Coupling coefficients

Production amplitude

$$\begin{split} F_{\tau\tau'}^{\lambda}(s,\cos\theta) &= -\frac{\lambda e^2 s}{2} \Big[C^{(\nu)}(\lambda,t) \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\tau\tau'}^{(\nu)}(s,\cos\theta) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{7} \Big(C_i^{(\gamma)}(\lambda,s,\alpha_j^{(\gamma)}) + C_i^{(Z)}(\lambda,s,\alpha_j^{(Z)}) \Big) \mathcal{M}_{i,\lambda\tau\tau'}^{(\nu)}(s,\cos\theta) \Big] \,, \end{split}$$

Five differential variables $(heta, heta_1, heta_2,\phi_1,\phi_2)$

Sensitivity:

In principle, one would get five independent histograms to discriminate S and Bs:

At the lepton collider, the reducible backgrounds of WW is less than 5% after cuts leptonic or semi-leptonic

Multi-variable methods: BDT methods (will be used soon) Previous LEP only use theta

$$\chi^2 \equiv \sum_i \left(\frac{N_i^{\rm aTGC} - N_i^{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{N_i^{\rm SM}}} \right)^2 \,, \label{eq:chi}$$

Summing over different bins for 5 distributions

Linear Differential Sensitivity 5 ab^-1

TABLE I: estimations of the reaches of sensitivities $(\times 10^{-4})$ at CEPC

channels	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	$\Delta \kappa_Z$	λ_{γ}	λ_Z
leptonic	14.49	8.02	9.82	12.70	12.00
semileptonic	5.52	2.71	3.59	4.32	4.63
hadronic	6.56	2.74	4.00	4.40	5.65
all	4.06	1.87	2.58	3.00	3.44

channels	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	$\Delta\kappa_\gamma$ λ_γ	CHW CHB C3W
leptonic	5.90	9.87 6.57	$3.36 \ 9.91 \ 6.58$
semileptonic	2.19	3.33 2.35	1.18 3.34 2.35
hadronic	2.51	3.37 2.54	$1.26 \ 3.37 \ 2.54$
all	1.59	2.30 1.67	$0.84 \ 2.31 \ 1.67$

10^-3 ~ 10^-4

Two orders improvements

Individual sensitivity

contributions		$\cos \theta$	$\cos\theta_\ell^*$	ϕ_ℓ^*	$\cos\theta_{\jmath}^{*}$	ϕ_{\jmath}^{*}
	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.525	0.051	0.425	-	-
leptonic	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.523	0.272	0.205	-	-
	λ_γ	0.617	0.044	0.339	-	-
	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.650	0.032	0.261	0.031	0.027
semi-leptonic	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.532	0.138	0.108	0.119	0.102
	λ_γ	0.709	0.025	0.192	0.024	0.050
	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.850	-	-	0.080	0.070
hadronic	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.546	-	-	0.244	0.210
	λ_γ	0.827	-	-	0.056	0.118
all	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.722	0.020	0.167	0.048	0.042
	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.538	0.081	0.065	0.170	0.147
	١	0.755	0.015	0 117	0.096	0.076

0.010 0.117 0.030 0.070

$$\frac{\Delta \chi^2(\Omega_k)}{\sum_k \Delta \chi^2(\Omega_k)}$$

In most cases, scattering angle and azimuthal angles are most sensitive

Systematics?

Leptonic and semi-leptonic backgrounds are small (full backgrounds simulation in semi-leptonic using whizard) Precision W mass. 3 MeV at CEPC Beam energy uncertainty. 10ppm ~ 1 MeV Detector simulation and radiative corrections are roughly at the same order. (ILC notes) \mathbf{O} < 10^{-5} in general, OK!

TGC Comparision

Improve more than two orders of magnitude at the CEPC

Why tri-gauge boson ?

Why learning the tri-gauge boson coupling is important?

Our current super-simplified EW constraints (S,T) are based on the facts that tri-gauge boson coupling are poorly measured!

Fermion gauge boson corrections arise very common in new physics models (a Z' model)

$$f$$
 X_v
 \downarrow I Z' \downarrow V V

$$S = \frac{s}{2\pi} + \frac{a}{2\pi}$$
$$T = \frac{t}{8\pi e^2} + \frac{ag'^2}{8\pi e^2}$$

EW & TGC Interplay

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2gscv^2}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_S - \frac{g'v^2}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_T + g'\mathcal{O}_{hf}^Y &= 2g'\mathcal{O}_{HB} - g'\mathcal{O}_{h2} + \frac{g'}{2}\mathcal{O}_{BB} - \frac{g'}{2}\mathcal{O}_{h3}, \\ -\frac{4g'scv^2}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_S + g(\mathcal{O}_{hl}^t + \mathcal{O}_{hq}^t) &= 4g\mathcal{O}_{HW} - 6g\mathcal{O}_{h2} + g\mathcal{O}_{WW} - g\mathcal{O}_{h3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$c_{HB} \sim \frac{\alpha g^2}{4c^2} \Delta S \sim \frac{\alpha g^2}{2} \Delta T \sim 2c_{h2} \sim g^2 \Delta g_{hZZ}/g_{hZZ},$$

$$c_{HW} \sim \frac{\alpha g^2}{4s^2} \Delta S \sim \frac{2}{3} c_{h2} \sim \frac{g^2}{3} \Delta g_{hZZ}/g_{hZZ},$$

EW & TGC Interplay

	future prospects	c_{HW}	c_{HB}
HL-LHC	-	$6.3 imes10^{-4}$	$3 imes 10^{-3}$
CEPC	-	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.3 imes10^{-4}$
S: HL-LHC	0.13	$5 imes 10^{-4}$	1.4×10^{-4}
T: HL-LHC	0.09	_	1.6×10^{-4}
$\frac{\Delta g_{hZZ}}{g_{hZZ}}$: HL-LHC	0.03	4.5×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}
S: CEPC	0.04	$1.6 imes 10^{-4}$	4.2×10^{-5}
T: CEPC	0.03	_	$5.3 imes10^{-5}$
$\frac{\Delta g_{hZZ}}{g_{hZZ}}$: CEPC	0.002	$3 imes 10^{-4}$	$9 imes 10^{-4}$

Examples of how CEPC observables constraint operators

one sigma