# Beam Dump System

Guangrui Li

CEPC-SppC Study Group Meeting, 08 Apr. 2016, Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing

## Outline

- **D** Brief review of injection and extraction system
- □ LHC beam dump system design
  - Energy deposition
  - Thermal analysis
  - Off-normal operating
- Upgrade to SppC/FCC

## **Brief review of LHC injection system**



## **Brief review of LHC extraction system**



## **Brief review of LHC extraction system**

Fast-Pulsed Dilution Magnets(MKB)









## **Brief review of LHC extraction system**





- >  $4.7 \times 10^{14}$  ppb,  $2 \times 10^{4}$  beam at 7 TeV during 20 years
- > 80% energy(428MJ) is absorbed every dump
- > Optimized design to avoid thermal and mechanical
- ~900 tons radiation shielding blocks
- > Filling the dump with an inert gas permanently to avoid graphite from fire



## **Dump system design**

#### Related areas

- Particle shower simulation
- Heat transfer analyses
- Structural deformation and stress analyses
- Other cascade simulations
- **D** The design should define
  - Some critical parameters
  - Optimal choice of materials
  - Size and alignment of various components
  - > Type and performance of cooling systems
  - Rules of beam abort repetition
  - Safety precautions

#### Energy deposition

Maximum proton momentum	7.0	TeV/c
Beam size (Gaussian $\sigma_h = \sigma_v$ )	0.95	mm
Number of protons per bunch	1.7	$\cdot 10^{11}$
Number of bunches	2835	
Bunch duration	0.25	ns
Bunch spacing	25.0	ns
Beam intensity (protons)	4.8	$\cdot 10^{14}$
Overall beam abort time	86	$\mu {f s}$
Stored beam energy	540	MJ



Figure 1: Cumulative distribution of total energy deposited within different radii in the graphite block, normalised to maximum beam intensity, as a function of longitudinal depth.

> 80%(428 MJ) energy is absorbed for 30 cm radius and 700 cm depth

#### ■ Energy deposition distribution(one proton)



Figure 2a: Longitudinal distributions of energy density deposited per proton, on axis of a graphite block, within 0.2, 1 and 5 mm radius.



Figure 2b: Longitudinal distribution of energy density deposited per proton on beam axis, in downstream region of graphite (600-700 cm), aluminium (700-800 cm) and iron (800-900 cm).



Figure 3a: Radial distribution of energy density deposited per proton, at the longitudinal maximum in graphite, obtained with 3 different energy-scoring meshes.



Figure 3c: Radial distribution of energy density deposited per proton, at the front of downstream Al absorber (710 cm depth).

#### Energy deposition with sweep



- Direct MC simulation is too time consuming, the energy deposition is achieved by superimposing the distribution of single proton
- > Temperature rise is estimated by resolving the equation

$$n_p d = H(\Delta T) = \int_{T_o}^{T_o + \Delta T} dT \ \rho \ c_v(T)$$

#### **D** Thermal analysis

		Graphite (C)	Aluminium (Al)	Iron (Fe)
Density	$[g \cdot cm^{-3}]$	1.85	2.70	7.88
Inelastic hadron interaction length	[cm]	37.3	35.4	15.1
Radiation length	[cm]	21.2	8.83	1.73
Specific heat (at 20°C)	$[J \cdot g^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]$	0.65	0.90	0.48
Thermal conductivity (at 20°C)	$[W \cdot cm^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}]$	0.90	1.80	0.52
Maximum safe temperature	[°C]	2500	150	
Melting (vaporisation) point	[°C]	(5000)	660	1540



Figure 1: Thermal properties (specific heat and conductivity) of the graphite and aluminium, as a function of temperature, assumed for this study.

- > Time-dependent nonlinear thermal analysis
- > Nonlinear is due to larger temperature range
- Long transient state of heat transfer, beam impact(<0.1ms), cooling process(a few hours)

#### **D** Finite element model



**D** Temperature rise in graphite and AI frame



- Maximum temperature rise below 1200 °C in graphite
- Maximum temperature rise below 150 °C in Al frame

#### Temperature rise in graphite and AI frame







Figure 4b: Time evolution of the temperatures on the right, left, bottom and top edges of the graphite block, at depth of the longitudinal maximum (220 cm). Assumed conditions: BUCKET55 sweep, ultimate intensity, imperfect thermal contact, water cooling.

- Several seconds are required to cool the hottest region below 1000 °C (No cooling system is effective in such a short time)
- More than 3h are necessary to bring temperature below 50 °C

Repetitive beam aborts



- > At nominal intensity, beam can seemingly be dumped without cooling for periods longer than 3 h
- No practical cooling system can prepare the dump 7 TeV beam at ultimate or nominal intensity as frequently as once per hour
- > After 6 cycles, the thermal steady state is almost reached in graphite, the situation is different in AI frame

#### **D** Repetitive beam aborts



- In order not to exceed 150 °C in Al frame, the period should be longer than 2.5 h at nominal intensity and longer than 12.5 h at ultimate intensity
- > Without cooling, the period should multiplied by at least a factor 2

#### Off-normal operating condition



Max. Temperature Distribution

- > Abnormal dilution(most dangerous!), may cause core perforation and structural break-down
- Loss of vacuum, oxidation begins on graphite at 450 °C, on flexible graphite at 550 °C

#### Upgrade to SppC/FCC

Parameter	LHC	SSC	SPPC	FCC	Unit
Injection energy	0.45	1.0	2.0	3.3	TeV
Injection rigidity	1504	3339	6674	11010	T∙m
Final energy	7.0	20	35.6	50	TeV
Final rigidity	23352	66714	118556	166785	T∙m
Bunches	2808	17100	5835	10600	
Bunch population	1.15e11	7.3e9	2e11	1e11	
Total beam energy	0.362	0.405	6.6	8.5	GJ

- Peak energy density increases by a factor ~30
- Entire dump needs to be longer to sufficiently absorb showers







- Linear sweep to estimate peak energy density
- Distance of 2 mm between bunches should keep peak temperature below 2000 °C
- For 10600 bunches, the sweep length would be 21.2 m



- The performance of dump system is important for the running mode of whole system
- > Dump design involves many different subjects, collaboration is necessary
- >Upgrading LHC's dump system for SppC/FCC seems feasible, but need more careful and elaborated study

# Thanks !