Interference effect on higgs mass measurement at CEPC

Guang-Zhi Xu

(still200@gmail.com, Department of Physics, Liaoning Univ.)

Co-author: Yu-Jie Zhang (BUAA), Gang Li (IHEP), Yi-Jie Li, Kui-Yong Liu (LNU) Sep 2.2016

Outline

- Motivation and Background
- Interference effects in $e^+e^- \rightarrow H(\gamma\gamma)Z$ process
- Interference effects in the process of four-fermion final states
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow H(b\overline{b})Z(\mu^+\mu^-)$
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow H(\tau^+\tau^-)Z(\mu^+\mu^-)$
- Summary and Outlook

"Higgs boson" was discovered in 2012 at LHC. Up-to now, we know,

- It's mass is around 125 GeV.
- It's properties are roughly consistent with SM predictions.

The next crucial task is the precise measurements of the coupling constants, spin, decay width, and the mass.

Futrue e^+e^- colliders may play an import role

- International Linear Collider (ILC)
- Future Circular Collider Electron-Positron Collider (FCC-ee) , formerly known as Triple-Large Electron-Positron Collider (TLEP)

And

• Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC)

Two kinds of schemes with lots of works were discussed to understand the nature at LHC.

- "on-shell" and "off-shell" effects in the four-fermion final states through the intermediate process of Higgs decays to heavy vector boson pair.
- Mass shift effects in the di-photon final state due to the signal-background interference.

Interferometry in $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ at the LHC

0302233



The interference is of order 5% in the SM

Smearing effects of the experimental resolutions



$q \overline{q}$ and $g q (\overline{q})$ channels were also included

1303.1397



Contributions from these two channels amount up to 35% of the total interferometry at LO level.



Complete NLO calculations 1305.3854



9

Mass shift to bound Higgs width

It provides a method to give a limit to higgs width



Unfortunately, at LHC,

$$m_H^{\gamma\gamma} - m_H^{ZZ} = +2.3^{+0.6}_{-0.7} \pm 0.6 \text{ GeV} \text{ (ATLAS)}$$

= -0.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.6 GeV (CMS),

The shift is small in the di-photon + Jet final states



Interference effects at a photon colliders $(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H \rightarrow b\overline{b} \text{ as an example})$ _{0812.3712}









 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}H \ [GeV]$

$e^+e^- \to H(\gamma\gamma)Z$

Chin.Phys. C40 (2016) no.3, 033101 (1505.06981)



Typical diagrams for interference contribution



$$\begin{split} & \text{Calculation frame} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{sig}}}{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma\gamma}} = \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{e^+e^-}\rightarrow\mathrm{ZH}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{H}\rightarrow\gamma\gamma}\right|^2}{(m_{\gamma\gamma}^2-m_{\mathrm{H}}^2)^2+m_{\mathrm{H}}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}^2}, \\ & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{int}}}{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma\gamma}} = \frac{-2(m_{\gamma\gamma}^2-m_{\mathrm{H}}^2)R-2m_{\mathrm{H}}\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}I}{(m_{\gamma\gamma}^2-m_{\mathrm{H}}^2)^2+m_{\mathrm{H}}^2\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}^2}, \end{split}$$
Here, narrow-width approximation was adopted.

$$\frac{1}{s-m_{H}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{s-m_{H}^{2}+im_{H}\Gamma_{H}}$$

Higgs interaction with di-photon at one loop level

$$C_{\gamma} = -\frac{\alpha h}{4\pi v} \left[F_1(4m_W^2/h) + \sum_{f=t,b,c,\tau} N_c^f e_f^2 F_{1/2}(4m_f^2/h) \right]$$

- For 1) the contributions at the three- and four-loop can be neglected in comparison with the one-loop decay rate,
- and 2) the QCD and EW corrections are nearly completely cancelled in the numerical calculations for 125 GeV Higgs.

Sensitive to the polar angle cut



2016/9/2

19

Interferometry contribute little to cross sections



Smearing effects from the detector resolution

1) Convolute integrals with a Gaussian function

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dM_{\gamma\gamma}} = \int dM \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dM}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma_{MR}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(M_{\gamma\gamma} - M)^2}{2\sigma_{MR}^2}\right]$$

2) Make a least-square fit to the line shape

$$< M_{\gamma\gamma} > = rac{\int dM_{\gamma\gamma} \ M_{\gamma\gamma} \ \left(rac{d\sigma}{dM_{\gamma\gamma}}
ight)}{\int dM_{\gamma\gamma} \left(rac{d\sigma}{dM_{\gamma\gamma}}
ight)}$$

3) The mass shift can be got as

$$\Delta M_{\gamma\gamma} = < M_{\gamma\gamma} > - M_H$$





2016/9/2



Recoil mass method



Measurement precisions on Higgs mass

Z decay mode	$\Delta M_H \ ({ m MeV})$	$\Delta\sigma(ZH)/\sigma(ZH)$	$\Delta g(HZZ)/g(HZZ)$
ee	14	2.1%	
$\mu\mu$	6.5	0.9%	
$ee + \mu\mu$	5.9	0.8%	0.4%
qar q		0.65%	0.32%
$ee + \mu\mu + q\bar{q}$		0.51%	0.25%

$e^+e^- \to H(b\bar{b})Z(\mu^+\mu^-)$

Typical diagrams from the 1+24 diagrams





2016/9/2

28

$e^+e^- \to H(\tau^+\tau^-)Z(\mu^+\mu^-)$



Summary&Outlook

• $H(\gamma\gamma)Z$: Higgs mass shifts range 20~50 MeV due to interferometry in the $\gamma\gamma$ distributions. (Future, $\delta M \sim 100 - 200$ MeV on exp. aspect)

The NLO EW corrections for $Z\gamma\gamma$ final states enhance the LO cross sections by 2.32% with $\sqrt{s} \approx 250$ GeV at ILC[1311.7340].

So what's the effects of the NLO corrections to the mass shifts?

- $\bullet\; H \big(b \overline{b} \big) Z (\mu^+ \mu^-) \colon$
- $H(\tau^+\tau^-)Z(\mu^+\mu^-)$:Interference effects are zero, far less than the experimental measurement accuracy.
- $H(gg)Z(\mu^+\mu^-)$ is in processing.

Thank you!

• ...