Effective Operators, New Physics and CEPC

Jing Shu ITP

Outline

General Picture

- Effective Operators beyond SM at CEPC (TGC as an example)
- Simplified model examples of CEPC/SPPC
- Tree Level & Loop effects; the RG running and operator mixing between different operators.
- One loop CDE calculation: Electroweakino contributes to Higgs strahlung



Why Effective Operators at the lepton colliders?

CEPC

Circular e⁺ e⁻ collider with center of mass energy 240 GeV

What we use effective operators?

Fixed energy: EFT is always valid.

General model independent parametrization & categorization.

Simply map to the lepton collider measurements

CEPC

Cicular e⁺ e⁻ collider with center of mass energy 240 GeV What can it go beyond the LEP?

EW precision
Tri-gauge boson precision
Higgs precision

CEPC

Underlying Models

Models with new symmetries, dynamics to the interpret EWSB, naturalness, etc. Simplified Models

Just some new particles, or some strong dynamics Effective Operators CEPC Observables

Many operators Total cross section, angle distributions, etc

Effective Operators Beyond SM (TGC example)

Operators beyond SM

There are 81 operators up to dimension 6, including one dimension 5 operator which gives the neutrino mass (Weinberg operator)
Flavor diagonal, no B-violating.

For the 80 d=6 operators, e.o.m. and CP conserving would reduce this number

Let's see what an electron collider can do for those operators before Higgs discovery

Operators beyond SM

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

Independent observables related to LEP I, II

bosonic fields	Higgs/fermions	4-fermion
$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = \left(H^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} H ight) W^{a}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}^{s}_{hl} = i \left(H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H ight) \left(ar{L}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} L_{L} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{ll}^s = rac{1}{2} \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{L}_L \gamma_\mu L_L ight)$
$\mathcal{O}_h = \left(H^\dagger D_\mu H ight)^2$	$\mathcal{O}^{s}_{hq} = i \left(H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H ight) \left(ar{Q}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} Q_{L} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}^{s}_{lq} = \left(ar{L}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}L_{L} ight)\left(ar{Q}_{L}\gamma_{\mu}Q_{L} ight)$
$\mathcal{O}_W = \epsilon^{abc} W^{a u}_\mu W^{b\lambda}_ u W^{c\mu}_\lambda$	$\mathcal{O}_{hu}=i\left(H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H ight)\left(ar{u}_{R}\gamma_{\mu}u_{R} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{le} = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{he} = i \left(H^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_{\mu} e_R ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{lu} = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{hl}^t = i \left(H^\dagger \sigma^a D^\mu H ight) \left(ar{L}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a L_L ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{ee} = rac{1}{2} \left(ar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R ight)$
	$\mathcal{O}_{hq}^t = i \left(H^\dagger \sigma^a D^\mu H ight) \left(ar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu Q_L ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{ll}^t = rac{1}{2} \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu \sigma^a L_L ight) \left(ar{L}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a L_L ight)$
	${\cal O}_{hd} = i \left(H^\dagger D^\mu H ight) \left(ar d_R \gamma_\mu d_R ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{lq}^t = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{Q}_L \gamma_\mu \sigma^a Q_L ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{qe} = \left(ar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu Q_L ight) \left(ar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{ld} = \left(ar{L}_L \gamma^\mu L_L ight) \left(ar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{eu} = \left(ar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R ight) \left(ar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R ight)$
		$\mathcal{O}_{ed} = \left(ar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R ight) \left(ar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R ight)$

Bosonic fields

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{WB} = & \left(H^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} H
ight) W^{a}_{\mu
u} B^{\mu
u} \ \mathcal{O}_{h} = & \left(H^{\dagger} D_{\mu} H
ight)^{2} \ \mathcal{O}_{W} = & \epsilon^{abc} W^{a
u}_{\mu} W^{b\lambda}_{
u} W^{c\mu}_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Famous S,T parameter

$$a_{WB}=rac{1}{4sc}rac{lpha}{v^2}S,\,\,a_h=-2rac{lpha}{v^2}T,$$

95% C. L.



Tri-gauge boson at LEP

In the Hagiwara-Peccei-Zeppenfeld-Hikasa basis

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{TGC}}/g_{WWV} = ig_{1,V} \Big(W^+_{\mu\nu} W^-_{\mu} V_{\nu} - W^-_{\mu\nu} W^+_{\mu} V_{\nu} \Big) + i\kappa_V W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\lambda_V}{M_W^2} W^+_{\lambda\mu} W^-_{\mu\nu} V_{\nu\lambda} + g_5^V \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Big(W^+_{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\rho} W_{\nu} \Big) V_{\sigma} - g_4^V W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} \Big(\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} V_{\mu} \Big) + i\tilde{\kappa}_V W^+_{\mu} W^-_{\nu} \tilde{V}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i\tilde{\lambda}_V}{M_W^2} W^+_{\lambda\mu} W^-_{\mu\nu} \tilde{V}_{\nu\lambda} .$$

$$(1)$$

Only the 1st line is C and P conserving

In the SM, $g_{1,V} = \kappa_V = 1$

Five independent variables:

The W boson charge suggest $g_{1,\gamma} = 1$.

$$\Delta g_{1,Z}\,,\quad \Delta\kappa_\gamma\,,\quad \Delta\kappa_Z\,,\quad \lambda_\gamma\,,\quad \lambda_Z\,,$$

Unfortunately, poorly measured at LEP because the lack of data

Tri-gauge boson at LEP

\bigcirc Up to D=6 level, in the SILH basis,

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L} &= \frac{i c_W g}{2 M_W^2} \left(H^{\dagger} \sigma^i \overleftarrow{D^{\mu}} H \right) (D^{\nu} W_{\mu\nu})^i + \frac{i c_{HW} g}{M_W^2} (D^{\mu} H)^{\dagger} \sigma^i (D^{\nu} H) W^i_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{i c_{HB} g'}{M_W^2} (D^{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D^{\nu} H) B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_{3W} g}{6 M_W^2} \epsilon^{i j k} W^{i \, \nu}_{\mu} W^{j \, \rho}_{\nu} W^{k \, \mu}_{\rho} \end{split}$$

The first one is constrained by the S parameter,

$$egin{aligned} \Delta g_{1,Z} &= -\cot^2 heta_W c_{HW}\,, \ && \Delta\kappa_\gamma \,=\, -(c_{HW}+c_{HB})\,, \ && \lambda_\gamma \,=\, -c_{3W}\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_Z, \ \Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta g_{1,Z} - \tan^2 \theta_W \Delta \kappa_{\gamma}.$$

Three independent variables:

$$\Delta g_{1,Z}\,,\quad \Delta\kappa_\gamma\,,\quad\lambda_\gamma\,.$$

Kinematics

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(e^+e^- \to W^+W^- \to f_1\bar{f}_2\bar{f}_3f_4)}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_1^*\mathrm{d}\phi_1^*\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_2^*\mathrm{d}\phi_2^*} \ = \ \mathrm{BR}\cdot\frac{\beta}{32\pi s}\left(\frac{3}{8\pi}\right)^2\sum_{\substack{\lambda\tau_1\tau_1'\tau_2\tau_2'}}F_{\tau_1\tau_2}^{(\lambda)}F_{\tau_1'\tau_2'}^{(\lambda)*}\\ \times D_{\tau_1\tau_1'}(\theta_1^*,\phi_1^*)D_{\tau_2\tau_2'}(\pi-\theta_2^*,\pi+\phi_2^*)\,,\end{split}$$

D:W decay matrix C: Coupling coefficients

Production amplitude

$$\begin{split} F_{\tau\tau'}^{\lambda}(s,\cos\theta) \ &= \ -\frac{\lambda e^2 s}{2} \Big[C^{(\nu)}(\lambda,t) \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\tau\tau'}^{(\nu)}(s,\cos\theta) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{7} \big(C_i^{(\gamma)}(\lambda,s,\alpha_j^{(\gamma)}) + C_i^{(Z)}(\lambda,s,\alpha_j^{(Z)}) \big) \mathcal{M}_{i,\lambda\tau\tau'}^{(\nu)}(s,\cos\theta) \Big] \,, \end{split}$$

Five differential variables $(heta, heta_1, heta_2,\phi_1,\phi_2)$

Sensitivity:

In principle, one would get five independent histograms to discriminate S and Bs:

At the lepton collider, the reducible backgrounds of WW is less than 5% after cuts leptonic or semi-leptonic

Multi-variable methods: BDT methods (will be used soon) Previous LEP only use theta

$$\chi^2 \equiv \sum_i \left(\frac{N_i^{\rm aTGC} - N_i^{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{N_i^{\rm SM}}} \right)^2 \,, \label{eq:chi}$$

Summing over different bins for 5 distributions

Linear Differential Sensitivity 5 ab^-1

TABLE I: estimations of the reaches of sensitivities $(\times 10^{-4})$ at CEPC

channels	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	$\Delta \kappa_Z$	λ_{γ}	λ_Z
leptonic	14.49	8.02	9.82	12.70	12.00
semileptonic	5.52	2.71	3.59	4.32	4.63
hadronic	6.56	2.74	4.00	4.40	5.65
all	4.06	1.87	2.58	3.00	3.44

channels	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	$\Delta\kappa_{\gamma}$ λ_{γ}	CHW CHB C3W
leptonic	5.90	9.87 6.57	3.36 9.91 6.58
semileptonic	2.19	3.33 2.35	1.18 3.34 2.35
hadronic	2.51	3.37 2.54	1.26 3.37 2.54
all	1.59	2.30 1.67	0.84 2.31 1.67

L-g. Bian, J. S, Y-c. Zhang, JHEP 1509 (2015) 206 10^-3 ~ 10^-4 Two orders improvements

Individual sensitivity

contributions		$\cos \theta$	$\cos\theta_\ell^*$	ϕ_ℓ^*	$\cos\theta_{\jmath}^{*}$	ϕ_{j}^{*}
	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.525	0.051	0.425	-	-
leptonic	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.523	0.272	0.205	-	-
	λ_γ	0.617	0.044	0.339	-	-
	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.650	0.032	0.261	0.031	0.027
semi-leptonic	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.532	0.138	0.108	0.119	0.102
	λ_{γ}	0.709	0.025	0.192	0.024	0.050
hadronic	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.850	-	-	0.080	0.070
	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.546	-	-	0.244	0.210
	λ_{γ}	0.827	-	-	0.056	0.118
all	$\Delta g_{1,Z}$	0.722	0.020	0.167	0.048	0.042
	$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.538	0.081	0.065	0.170	0.147
	λ	0 755	0.015	0 117	0.036	0.076

$$\frac{\Delta \chi^2(\Omega_k)}{\sum_k \Delta \chi^2(\Omega_k)}$$

In most cases, scattering angle and azimuthal angles are most sensitive

L-g. Bian, J. S, Y-c. Zhang, JHEP 1509 (2015) 206

Systematics?

Leptonic and semi-leptonic backgrounds are small (full backgrounds simulation in semi-leptonic using whizard) Precision W mass. 3 MeV at CEPC Beam energy uncertainty. 10ppm ~ 1 MeV Detector simulation and radiative corrections are roughly at the same order. (ILC notes) Notice if one includes the calculation \mathbf{O} < 10⁴-4} in general, OK! uncertainties from Monta Carlo, the systematic uncertainties can be bigger

2D plot for CEPC



TGC Comparision



Improve more than two orders of magnitude at the CEPC L-g. Bian, J. S, Y-c. Zhang JHEP 1509 (2015) 206

Why tri-gauge boson ?

Why learning the tri-gauge boson coupling is important?

Our current super-simplified EW constraints (S,T) are based on the facts that tri-gauge boson coupling are poorly measured!

Fermion gauge boson corrections arise very common in new physics models (a Z' model)

$$f$$
 X_v
 \downarrow I Z' \downarrow V V

$$S = \frac{s}{2\pi} + \frac{a}{2\pi}$$
$$T = \frac{t}{8\pi e^2} + \frac{ag'^2}{8\pi e^2}$$

EW & TGC Interplay



$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2gscv^2}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_S - \frac{g'v^2}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_T + g'\mathcal{O}_{hf}^Y &= 2g'\mathcal{O}_{HB} - g'\mathcal{O}_{h2} + \frac{g'}{2}\mathcal{O}_{BB} - \frac{g'}{2}\mathcal{O}_{h3}, \\ -\frac{4g'scv^2}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_S + g(\mathcal{O}_{hl}^t + \mathcal{O}_{hq}^t) &= 4g\mathcal{O}_{HW} - 6g\mathcal{O}_{h2} + g\mathcal{O}_{WW} - g\mathcal{O}_{h3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$c_{HB} \sim \frac{\alpha g^2}{4c^2} \Delta S \sim \frac{\alpha g^2}{2} \Delta T \sim 2c_{h2} \sim g^2 \Delta g_{hZZ}/g_{hZZ},$$

$$c_{HW} \sim \frac{\alpha g^2}{4s^2} \Delta S \sim \frac{2}{3} c_{h2} \sim \frac{g^2}{3} \Delta g_{hZZ}/g_{hZZ},$$

EW & TGC Interplay

	future prospects	c_{HW}	c_{HB}
HL-LHC	-	$6.3 imes10^{-4}$	$3 imes 10^{-3}$
CEPC	-	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.3 imes 10^{-4}$
S: HL-LHC	0.13	$5 imes 10^{-4}$	1.4×10^{-4}
T: HL-LHC	0.09	_	1.6×10^{-4}
$\frac{\Delta g_{hZZ}}{g_{hZZ}}$: HL-LHC	0.03	4.5×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}
S: CEPC	0.04	$1.6 imes 10^{-4}$	4.2×10^{-5}
T: CEPC	0.03	_	$5.3 imes10^{-5}$
$\frac{\Delta g_{hZZ}}{g_{hZZ}}$: CEPC	0.002	3×10^{-4}	$9 imes 10^{-4}$

one sigma

Examples of how CEPC observables constraint operators

L-g. Bian, J. S, Y-c. Zhang JHEP 1509 (2015) 206

Effective Operators in the simplified models at the CEPC

Operators beyond SM

Practically, this is more complicated since we need to consider redundant operators for convenience.

Consider a simple case where one integrate out a vector SU(2)_L triplet in MCHM.

$$+\frac{1}{g_{\rho_L}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_W + \frac{1}{g_{\rho_R}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_B + \frac{g^2}{g_{\rho_L}^2 m_{\rho_L}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2W} + \frac{g'^2}{g_{\rho_R}^2 m_{\rho_R}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2B}.$$

The first two terms are related with the S parameter,

W & Y can be rewrite using the e.o.m. of the gauge fields $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_B &= \mathcal{O}_{HB} + \mathcal{O}_{BB} + \mathcal{O}_{WB} \,, \\ \mathcal{O}_W &= \mathcal{O}_{HW} + \mathcal{O}_{WW} + \mathcal{O}_{WB} \,, \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} (D_{\nu}W^{\nu\mu})^{a} &= -\frac{1}{2}g(ih^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu}\sigma^{a}h + \bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}l + \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}q), \\ \partial_{\nu}B^{\nu\mu} &= -\frac{1}{2}g'(ih^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu}h) - g'\sum_{f}Y_{f}\overline{f}\gamma^{\mu}f, \end{split}$$

Operators beyond SM

But certainly we can do those e.o.m. and generate too many independent operators to do the constrain:

Therefore, one should include all the redundant operators for the fits

\mathcal{O}_{GG}	=	$g_{s}^{2}\left H ight ^{2}G_{\mu u}^{a}G^{a,\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_H	=	$rac{1}{2}ig(\partial_\mu \left H ight ^2ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{WW}	=	$g^2 \left H ight ^2 W^a_{\mu u} W^{a,\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_T	=	${1\over 2} ig(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{BB}	=	$g'^2 H ^2 B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_R	=	$ H ^2 D_\mu H ^2$
\mathcal{O}_{WB}	=	$2gg'H^\dagger au^a H W^a_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_D	=	$\left D^{2}H\right ^{2}$
\mathcal{O}_W	=	$ig ig(H^\dagger au^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu Hig) D^ u W^a_{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_6	=	$ H ^6$
\mathcal{O}_B	=	$ig'Y_Hig(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu Hig)\partial^ u B_{\mu u}$	\mathcal{O}_{2G}	=	$-rac{1}{2}ig(D^\mu G^a_{\mu u}ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{3G}	=	$rac{1}{3!}g_sf^{abc}G^{a\mu}_ ho G^{b u}_\mu G^{c ho}_ u$	\mathcal{O}_{2W}	=	$-rac{1}{2}ig(D^\mu W^a_{\mu u}ig)^2$
\mathcal{O}_{3W}	=	$rac{1}{3!}g\epsilon^{abc}W^{a\mu}_{ ho}W^{b u}_{\mu}W^{c ho}_{ u}$	\mathcal{O}_{2B}	=	$-rac{1}{2}ig(\partial^\mu B_{\mu u}ig)^2$

CP conserving bosonic operators

The CHM

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$

In the CCWZ formulism of MCHM, integrating out the heavy spin one vector meson "rho" and axi-vector meson "a"

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{\Delta^2}{4g_a^2} (d_{\mu\nu}^{\hat{a}})^2 - \frac{1}{4g_{\rho_L}^2} (E_{\mu\nu}^{aL})^2 - \frac{1}{4g_{\rho_R}^2} (E_{\mu\nu}^{aR})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m_{\rho_L}^2 g_{\rho_L}^2} D_{\mu} E^{aL\mu\nu} D_{\rho} E^{aL\rho}_{\quad \nu} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m_{\rho_R}^2 g_{\rho_R}^2} D_{\mu} E^{aR\mu\nu} D_{\rho} E^{aR\rho}_{\quad \nu} + \cdots , \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= -\frac{\Delta^2}{g_a^2 f^2} \left(\mathcal{O}_W + \mathcal{O}_B - \left(\mathcal{O}_{HW} + \mathcal{O}_{HB} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{g_{\rho_L}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_W + \frac{1}{g_{\rho_R}^2 f^2} \mathcal{O}_B + \frac{g^2}{g_{\rho_L}^2 m_{\rho_L}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2W} + \frac{g'^2}{g_{\rho_R}^2 m_{\rho_R}^2} \mathcal{O}_{2B}. \end{split}$$

One loop diagram not finished

rho contributes to S,W, Y a contributes to -S,TGC D. Liu, J. S, in progress

Real singlet for EWPT

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = rac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \Phi
ight)^2 - rac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2 - A \left| H
ight|^2 \Phi - rac{1}{2} k \left| H
ight|^2 \Phi^2 - rac{1}{3!} \mu \Phi^3 - rac{1}{4!} \lambda_{\Phi} \Phi^4$$

Tree Level:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff,tree} &= -A \, |H|^2 \, \Phi_c + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_c \left(-\partial^2 - m^2 - k \, |H|^2 \right) \Phi_c - \frac{1}{3!} \mu \Phi_c^3 - \frac{1}{4!} \lambda_\Phi \Phi_c^4 \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2m^2} A^2 \, |H|^4 + \frac{A^2}{m^4} \mathcal{O}_H + \left(-\frac{kA^2}{2m^4} + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\mu A^3}{m^6} \right) \mathcal{O}_6. \end{split}$$

One loop:

$$egin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff,1-loop}} &= rac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} rac{1}{m^2} \left[-rac{1}{12} (P_\mu U)^2 - rac{1}{6} U^3
ight] \ &= rac{1}{(4\pi)^2} rac{1}{m^2} \left(rac{k^2}{12} \mathcal{O}_H - rac{k^3}{12} \mathcal{O}_6
ight). \end{aligned}$$

EW scalar doublet (Stop)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset |D_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - m^2 |\Phi|^2 - rac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4} |\Phi|^4 + \left(\eta_H |H|^2 + \eta_{\Phi} |\Phi|^2\right) \left(\Phi \cdot H + \text{h.c}
ight) - \lambda_1 |H|^2 |\Phi|^2 - \lambda_2 |\Phi \cdot H|^2 - \lambda_3 [\left(\Phi \cdot H
ight)^2 + \text{h.c.}],$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_{H} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[6\eta_{\Phi} \eta_{H} + \frac{1}{12} \left(4\lambda_{1}^{2} + 4\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{2}^{2} + 4\lambda_{3}^{2} \right) \right] & c_{BB} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{12} Y_{\Phi}^{2} (2\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) & c_{3W} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{60} g^{2} \\ c_{T} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{12} \left(\lambda_{2}^{2} - 4\lambda_{3}^{2} \right) & c_{WW} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{48} (2\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) & c_{2W} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{60} g^{2} \\ c_{R} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[6\eta_{\Phi} \eta_{H} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\lambda_{2}^{2} + 4\lambda_{3}^{2} \right) \right] & c_{WB} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{12} \lambda_{2} Y_{\Phi} & c_{2B} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \frac{1}{60} 4 g'^{2} Y_{\Phi}^{2} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\left| c_6 = \eta_H^2 + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left| \frac{3}{2} \lambda_\Phi \eta_H^2 + 6\eta_\Phi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \frac{1}{6} \left(2\lambda_1^3 + 3\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 + 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2^3 \right) - 2 \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \right) \lambda_3^2 \right| \right|$$

Tree-loop level contributions & RG running effects:

Operators beyond SM



Future CEPC makes it just like B, flavor physics

Can have both tree and loop results at the UV

RG running: one loop UV operators contribute to IR (weak scale) operators

Weak scale operators maps to the Observable.

A general coding:

All bosonic operators

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}_{GG} &= g_{s}^{2}(H^{\dagger}H)G_{\mu\nu}^{a}G^{a\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}|H|^{2})^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{WW} &= g^{2}|H|^{2}W_{\mu\nu}^{a}W^{a\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{T} = \frac{1}{2}(H^{\dagger}\overleftarrow{D}^{\mu}H)^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{BB} &= g'^{2}|H|^{2}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{R} = |H|^{2}|D_{\mu}H|^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{WB} &= 2gg'(H^{\dagger}\tau^{a}H)W_{\mu\nu}^{a}B^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{D} = |D^{2}H|^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{W} &= ig(H^{\dagger}\tau^{a}\overleftarrow{D}^{\mu}H)D^{\nu}W_{\mu\nu}^{a}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{G} = |H|^{6} \\ \mathcal{O}_{B} &= ig'Y_{H}(H^{\dagger}\overleftarrow{D}^{\mu}H)\partial^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2G} &= -\frac{1}{2}(D^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}^{a})^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{3G} &= \frac{1}{3!}g_{s}f^{abc}G_{\mu}^{a\nu}G_{\nu}^{b\lambda}G_{\lambda}^{c\mu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2W} &= -\frac{1}{2}(D^{\mu}W_{\mu\nu}^{a})^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{3W} &= \frac{1}{3!}g\epsilon^{abc}W_{\mu}^{a\nu}W_{\nu}^{b\lambda}W_{\lambda}^{c\mu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2B} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\partial^{\mu}B_{\mu\nu})^{2} \end{split}$$

Han & Skiba basis

(1) Operators that modify gauge boson propagators

$$O_{WB} = (h^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} h) W^{a}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}, O_{h} = (h^{\dagger} D^{\mu} h) (D_{\mu} h^{\dagger} h).$$
(6)

(2) Operators that affect tree level SM gauge-fermion couplings

$$O_{hl}^{s} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l) + h.c., O_{hl}^{t} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\sigma^{a}h)(\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}l) + h.c.$$
(7)

$$O_{he} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e) + h.c., O_{hq}^{s} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}qq) + h.c.$$
(8)

$$O_{hq}^{t} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\sigma^{a}h)(\overline{q}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}q) + h.c., O_{hu} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\overline{u}\gamma^{\mu}u) + h.c.$$
(9)

$$O_{hd} = i(h^{\dagger}D_{\mu}h)(\overline{d}\gamma^{\mu}d) + h.c..$$
(10)

(3) Four-fermion opertors

(

$$O_{ll}^t = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^\mu \sigma^a l) (\bar{l}\gamma_\mu \sigma^a l), \\ O_{lq}^s = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^\mu l) (\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)$$
(11)

$$O_{lq}^{t} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}\sigma^{a}l)(\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\sigma^{a}q), O_{le} = (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l)(\bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e)$$
(12)

$$O_{qe} = (\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q)(\bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e), O_{lu} = (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l)(\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u)$$
(13)

$$O_{ld} = (\bar{l}\gamma^{\mu}l)(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d), O_{ee} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(\bar{e}\gamma_{\mu}e)$$
(14)

$$O_{eu} = (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u), O_{ed} = (\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d)$$
(15)

$$O_{ll}^s = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{l}\gamma^\mu l) (\bar{l}\gamma_\mu l). \tag{16}$$

(4) Operators that modify triple gauge boson couplings

$$O_W = \epsilon^{abc} W^{a\nu}_{\mu} W^{b\lambda}_{\nu} W^{c\mu}_{\lambda}, (O_{WB}). \tag{17}$$

Han & Skiba basis

Use E.O.M. to change basis

$$\mathcal{D}_{T}' = -2O_{h}^{(3)} - O_{h}^{(1)} + 3\lambda O_{h} - \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) - m^{2}|h|^{4}$$
(18)

$$\mathcal{O}'_{W} = g^{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}O_{h}^{(1)} + O_{hl}^{(3)} + O_{hq}^{(3)} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda O_{h} + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}|h|^{4}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}'_{B} = \frac{1}{2}g'^{2} \left(2O_{h}^{(3)} + O_{h}^{(1)} - 3\lambda O_{h} + \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) + m^{2}|h|^{4}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}O_{hl}^{(1)} - O_{he} + \frac{1}{6}O_{hq}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{3}O_{hu} - \frac{1}{3}O_{hd})$$
(20)

$$\mathcal{O}_{2W}' = -\frac{1}{2}g^2(\frac{3}{2}O_h^{(1)} + 2O_{hl}^{(3)} + 2O_{hq}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{ll}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{qq}^{(1,3)} + O_{lq}^{(3)} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda O_h + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_e O_{eh} + \Gamma_u O_{uh} + \Gamma_d O_{dh}) + \frac{1}{2}m^2|h|^4)$$
(21)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{2B}^{\prime} &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{\prime 2}(O_{h}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{h}^{(1)} - \frac{3}{2}\lambda O_{h} + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{e}O_{eh} + \Gamma_{u}O_{uh} + \Gamma_{d}O_{dh}) + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}|h|^{4} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}O_{hl}^{(1)} - O_{he} + \frac{1}{6}O_{hq}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{3}O_{hu} - \frac{1}{3}O_{hd} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}O_{ll}^{(1)} + 2O_{ee} + \frac{1}{18}O_{qq}^{(1,1)} + \frac{8}{9}O_{uu}^{(1)} + \frac{2}{9}O_{dd}^{(1)} + O_{le} - \frac{1}{6}O_{lq}^{(1)} \\ &- \frac{2}{3}O_{lu} + \frac{1}{3}O_{ld} - \frac{1}{3}O_{qe} - \frac{4}{3}O_{ue} + \frac{2}{3}O_{de} + \frac{2}{9}O_{qu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{9}O_{qd}^{(1)} - \frac{4}{9}O_{ud}^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$
(22)

$$\mathcal{O}'_{BB} = 2g'^2 O_{hB}, \quad \mathcal{O}'_{WB} = gg' O_{WB}, \quad \mathcal{O}'_{WW} = 2g^2 O_{hW}$$
 (23)

$$\mathcal{O}'_{3W} = \frac{1}{3!} g O_W, \quad \mathcal{O}'_H = O_{\partial h}, \quad \mathcal{O}'_6 = 3O_h \tag{24}$$

RG Runnings



 $\{\mathcal{O'}_{H}, \mathcal{O'}_{T}, \mathcal{O'}_{B}, \mathcal{O'}_{W}, \mathcal{O'}_{2B}, \mathcal{O'}_{2W}, \mathcal{O'}_{BB}, \mathcal{O'}_{WW}, \mathcal{O'}_{WB}, \mathcal{O'}_{3W}\} .$

Running effect

$\begin{pmatrix} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \end{pmatrix}$	$ \left. \begin{array}{c} c_{BB}(m_W) \\ w_W(m_W) \\ w_B(m_W) \\ c_{3W}(m_W) \end{array} \right) = $	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.914758\\ 3.10393e - 06\\ -0.00332701\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0.000128298 - 0.90556 - -0.012287 0	-0.0185242 0.00165509 0.875589 0	$ \begin{array}{c} -3.25679e - 05 \\ -0.0154459 \\ 0.00314274 \\ 0.885251 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} e \\ e$	$\begin{pmatrix} c_{BB}(\Lambda) \\ c_{WW}(\Lambda) \\ c_{WB}(\Lambda) \\ c_{3W}(\Lambda) \end{pmatrix}$,	
			(c_H, c_T, c_T)	c_B, c_W, c_{2B}, c_{2B}	$_{2W} ight)^t(m_W)$		(3
=	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.817183 \\ -0.00221894 \\ 0.00455058 \\ 0.00444872 \\ 2.91354e - 06 \\ 1.01829e - 05 \end{pmatrix}$	0.0232377 0.78282 0.022526 0.00442166 1.4471e - 05 1.01354e - 05	-0.0014199 0.00274073 0.909505 -0.000270172 0.00114785 -1.47944e - 06	0.0097544 0.0014619 -0.002997 0.857823 -1.90868e 0.003869	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	-0.034927 -0.000736735 0.00151002 -0.068742 9.59124e - 07 0.824636	$egin{pmatrix} c_H(\Lambda) \ c_T(\Lambda) \ c_B(\Lambda) \ c_W(\Lambda) \ c_{2B}(\Lambda) \ c_{2W}(\Lambda) \end{pmatrix}$

Vector fermion example

$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$



Vector fermion example





EW + Higgs fit

Future EW + Higgs fit

Blue is the one with RG running

Electroweakino and Higgs Strahlung:



Difficult Electrowino Search



Without sleptons, very difficult even for moderate mass splitting

Difficult Electrowino Search



Without sleptons, very difficult even for moderate mass splitting

Searches with Soft Leptons





Seems there are some sensitivities now

Indirect Search Still Hard

If degenerate, then it is just way too difficult (even comparing with stops)

Unlike stops, this is even much difficult in EWPT indirect constrains / searches

Stops has large effect on the Higgs production rate at the hardon colliders Electroweakinos are in general much more degenerate, small contribution to T

Electroweakinos only has small contributions

Only measurable at lepton colliders!!!

The CDE methods

The one-loop Higgs effective theory can be calculated through the Covariant Derivative Expansion (CDE) methods or the direct Feymann diagram calculations.

The Covariant Derivative Expansion Methods is good since one obtained ALL operators by integrating out heavy particles in an expansion of p/M

This is more important unlike old times we need to calculate S & T

The results

contraints from CEPC



Need to combine TGC

H-y Han, R. Huo, M-y. Jiang, J. S, in progress

The results



At high energies, the Higgs strahlung became much more constraining

Need to calculate Loops

Electroweak

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{Zh,I} &= \frac{1}{1 - \eta_Z^2} \left| \begin{array}{c} -\frac{2s}{\Lambda^2} \left(c_Z^2 c_{2W} + s_Z^2 c_{2B} \right) \\ &+ I_{VH}(\eta_h, \eta_Z) \frac{16m_Z^2}{\Lambda^2} \left(c_Z^4 c_{WW} + s_Z^4 c_{BB} + c_Z^2 s_Z^2 c_{WB} \right) \\ &+ I_{VH}(\eta_h, \eta_Z) \frac{16m_Z^2}{\Lambda^2} \left(c_Z^2 c_{WW} + s_Z^4 c_{BB} + c_Z^2 s_Z^2 c_{WB} \right) \\ &+ \left(1 + 2\eta_Z^2 - \eta_Z^4 \right) \frac{2s}{\Lambda^2} \left(c_Z^2 c_W + s_Z^2 c_B \right) \\ &+ \left(2 - \eta_Z^2 \right) \frac{2v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left(-2c_T + c_R \right) \\ &+ \frac{2eQ_f c_Z^2 s_Z}{g(T_f^3 - s_Z^2 Q_f)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{s}{\Lambda^2} \left(c_{2W} - c_{2B} - c_W + c_B \right) \\ &+ I_{VH}(\eta_h, \eta_Z) \frac{4m_Z^2}{\Lambda^2} \left[2c_Z^2 c_{WW} - 2s_Z^2 c_{BB} - \left(c_Z^2 - s_Z^2 \right) c_{WB} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{2B} &=\; rac{2g'^2}{15\mu^2} \ \mathcal{C}_{2W} &=\; rac{2g^2\left(2\mu^2+M_2^2
ight)}{15\mu^2M_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

dominate operators

Summary

- EFT is the perfect bridge to connect the underlying theory with the lepton precision collider observables
- CEPC experimental TDR will tells us how well they can measure on various observables, which constrain those BSM operators (TGC as a good example)
- Operators will then maps into simplified models
- Simplified models tells us physics (Higgs self-couplings and EW phase transition, etc, CW Higgs potential; Vector mesons and CHMs, etc)
- We can even learn something unique: degenerate electroweakinos