Charmonium Proposal for a High-Statistics Scan from 4.3 to 4.4 GeV

Ryan Mitchell for the Charmonium Group

BESIII Collaboration Meeting March 15, 2017

1



Goal:

Investigate the "XYZ" states that do not fit into the conventional quark model of charmonium.

Data Sets:

2011: 482 pb⁻¹ at 4.01 GeV
2013: 1092 pb⁻¹ at 4.23 GeV 826 pb⁻¹ at 4.26 GeV 540 pb⁻¹ at 4.36 GeV ~50 pb⁻¹ at 3.81, 3.90, 4.09, 4.19, 4.21, 4.22, 4.245, 4.31, 4.39, 4.42 GeV
2014: 1029 pb⁻¹ at 4.42 GeV 110 pb⁻¹ at 4.47 GeV 110 pb⁻¹ at 4.53 GeV 48 pb⁻¹ at 4.575 GeV 567 pb⁻¹ at 4.6 GeV
2017(?): 10 × 500 pb⁻¹ between 4.19 and 4.30 GeV

This Proposal: $10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ between 4.31 and 4.41 GeV

A Few Physics Highlights:



Goal:

Investigate the "XYZ" states that do not fit into the conventional quark model of charmonium.

Data Sets:

2011: 482 pb⁻¹ at 4.01 GeV
2013: 1092 pb⁻¹ at 4.23 GeV 826 pb⁻¹ at 4.26 GeV 540 pb⁻¹ at 4.36 GeV ~50 pb⁻¹ at 3.81, 3.90, 4.09, 4.19, 4.21, 4.22, 4.245, 4.31, 4.39, 4.42 GeV
2014: 1029 pb⁻¹ at 4.42 GeV 110 pb⁻¹ at 4.47 GeV 110 pb⁻¹ at 4.53 GeV 48 pb⁻¹ at 4.575 GeV 567 pb⁻¹ at 4.6 GeV
2017(?): 10 × 500 pb⁻¹ between 4.19 and 4.30 GeV

```
This Proposal:
10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1} between 4.31 and 4.41 GeV
```

A Few Physics Highlights:



Goal:

Investigate the "XYZ" states that do not fit into the conventional quark model of charmonium.

Data Sets:

2011: 482 pb⁻¹ at 4.01 GeV
2013: 1092 pb⁻¹ at 4.23 GeV 826 pb⁻¹ at 4.26 GeV 540 pb⁻¹ at 4.36 GeV ~50 pb⁻¹ at 3.81, 3.90, 4.09, 4.19, 4.21, 4.22, 4.245, 4.31, 4.39, 4.42 GeV
2014: 1029 pb⁻¹ at 4.42 GeV 110 pb⁻¹ at 4.47 GeV 110 pb⁻¹ at 4.53 GeV 48 pb⁻¹ at 4.575 GeV 567 pb⁻¹ at 4.6 GeV
2017(?): 10 × 500 pb⁻¹ between 4.19 and 4.30 GeV

This Proposal: $10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ between 4.31 and 4.41 GeV

A Few Physics Highlights:





This Proposal: $10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ between 4.31 and 4.41 GeV

A Few Physics Highlights:





This Proposal: $10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ between 4.3

 $10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ between **4.31** and **4.41 GeV**

A Few Physics Highlights:







This Proposal: $10 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ between 4.31 and 4.41 GeV

A Few Physics Highlights:





1. The Y Problem:

 e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} have become increasingly complex.

- Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi J/\psi$ no longer looks like a simple peak.
- The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section is clearly inconsistent with $\pi\pi J/\psi$.
- Open charm cross sections are even more intriguing.

 \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics energy scan is needed for a more systematic approach.

2. The Z Problem:

At 4.23 and 4.26 GeV, we found evidence for the $Z_c(3900)$ and the $Z_c(4020)$,

but at 4.42 GeV the Dalitz plots are generally more complex.

- $-\pi\pi J/\psi$ shows a strange diagonal structure in the Dalitz plot (*progress has been made!*).
- $-\pi\pi\psi(2S)$ shows a structure at 4040 (but we can't easily fit it)???
- \Rightarrow Amplitude analyses at multiple energies would probe threshold behaviors.

Next Proposal:

Building on the ongoing 2017 scan, continue to scan from 4.31 to 4.41 GeV. 2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)

1. The Y Problem:

 e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} have become increasingly complex.

- Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi J/\psi$ no longer looks like a simple peak.
- The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section is clearly inconsistent with $\pi\pi J/\psi$.
- Open charm cross sections are even more intriguing.

 \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics energy scan is needed for a more systematic approach.



1. The Y Problem:

 e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} have become increasingly complex.

- Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi J/\psi$ no longer looks like a simple peak.
- The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section is clearly inconsistent with $\pi\pi J/\psi$.
- Open charm cross sections are even more intriguing.

 \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics energy scan is needed for a more systematic approach.



1. The Y Problem:

 e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} have become increasingly complex.

- Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi J/\psi$ no longer looks like a simple peak.
- The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section is clearly inconsistent with $\pi\pi J/\psi$.
- Open charm cross sections are even more intriguing.

 \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics energy scan is needed for a more systematic approach.





2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)



2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)



2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)



2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)



2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)



2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)

1. The Y Problem:

e⁺e⁻ cross sections as a fun **Other e+e- Cross Sections (selection)** - Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi$ - The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section all open charm cross sections: * - Open charm cross section — investigate coupled channels. \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics e * $\omega \chi_{c1}$ and $\omega \chi_{c2}$: — enhancements at threshold like $\omega \chi_{c0}$? 2. The Z Problem: * $\gamma \eta_c$ and $\gamma \chi_{c0}$: At 4.23 and 4.26 GeV, we - consistent with lattice QCD hybrid calculations? but at 4.42 GeV the Dalitz * $\pi^+\pi^-h_c(2P)$: $-\pi\pi J/\psi$ shows a strange - discover the h_c(2P)? $-\pi\pi\psi(2S)$ shows a struct * $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\psi(2S)$: \Rightarrow Amplitude analyses at - will the Y(4360) also turn out to be more complicated? * $\eta J/\psi$, $\eta' J/\psi$, XJ/ψ ...: **Next Proposal:** - other surprises? Building on the ongoing 20 * X_{ηc}: 2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.2 – can we access η_c channels? NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, * + many more... (going to 4.41 GeV connec

1. The Y Problem:

 e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} have become increasingly complex.

- Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi J/\psi$ no longer looks like a simple peak.
- The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section is clearly inconsistent with $\pi\pi J/\psi$.
- Open charm cross sections are even more intriguing.

 \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics energy scan is needed for a more systematic approach.

2. The Z Problem:

At 4.23 and 4.26 GeV, we found evidence for the $Z_c(3900)$ and the $Z_c(4020)$,

but at 4.42 GeV the Dalitz plots are generally more complex.

- $-\pi\pi J/\psi$ shows a strange diagonal structure in the Dalitz plot (*progress has been made!*).
- $-\pi\pi\psi(2S)$ shows a structure at 4040 (but we can't easily fit it)???
- \Rightarrow Amplitude analyses at multiple energies would probe threshold behaviors.

Next Proposal:

Building on the ongoing 2017 scan, continue to scan from 4.31 to 4.41 GeV. 2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)



At 4.23 and 4.26 GeV, we found evidence for the $Z_c(3900)$ and the $Z_c(4020)$, but at 4.42 GeV the Dalitz plots are generally more complex.

- $-\pi\pi J/\psi$ shows a strange diagonal structure in the Dalitz plot (*progress has been made!*).
- $-\pi\pi\psi(2S)$ shows a structure at 4040 (but we can't easily fit it)???
- \Rightarrow Amplitude analyses at multiple energies would probe threshold behaviors.

Next Proposal:

Building on the ongoing 2017 scan, continue to scan from 4.31 to 4.41 GeV. 2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ at 4230 MeV September 2015 BES meeting 500 4230 4230 1.2 A00 300 100 100 M(π⁺π⁻) GeV 0.8 0.6 0.2 3.6 3.8 3.2 3.4 4.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.2 3.2 4 M(π[±]J/psi) GeV M(π[±]J/ψ)/GeV

become increasingly complex. ike a simple peak. with ππJ/ψ. riguing. eded for a more systematic approach.

At 4.23 and 4.26 GeV, we found evidence for the $Z_c(3900)$ and the $Z_c(4020)$, but at 4.42 GeV the Dalitz plots are generally more complex.



he Dalitz plot (*progress has been made!*). an't easily fit it)??? es would probe threshold behaviors.

o scan from 4.31 to 4.41 GeV. 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV 5, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV a set at 4.42 GeV)



The "Y Problem" and the "Z Problem"



1. The Y Problem:

 e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} have become increasingly complex.

- Even the Y(4260) in $\pi\pi J/\psi$ no longer looks like a simple peak.
- The $\pi\pi h_c$ cross section is clearly inconsistent with $\pi\pi J/\psi$.
- Open charm cross sections are even more intriguing.
- \Rightarrow A fine high-statistics energy scan is needed for a more systematic approach.

2. The Z Problem:

At 4.23 and 4.26 GeV, we found evidence for the $Z_c(3900)$ and the $Z_c(4020)$,

but at 4.42 GeV the Dalitz plots are generally more complex.

- $-\pi\pi J/\psi$ shows a strange diagonal structure in the Dalitz plot (*progress has been made!*).
- $-\pi\pi\psi(2S)$ shows a structure at 4040 (but we can't easily fit it)???
- \Rightarrow Amplitude analyses at multiple energies would probe threshold behaviors.

Next Proposal:

Building on the ongoing 2017 scan, continue to scan from 4.31 to 4.41 GeV. 2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV (going to 4.41 GeV connects us to our large data set at 4.42 GeV)

Summary

- The BESIII XYZ program has been very successful.
- But there are problems remaining:
 - The "Y problem" requires a *systematic* study of e^+e^- cross sections as a function of E_{CM} .
 - The "Z problem" requires amplitude analyses for *multiple* E_{CM} and *multiple* channels (*preferably simultaneous analyses*).
- We propose to scan from 4.31 to 4.41 in 10 MeV steps, building on 2017's scan from 4.19 to 4.30:

2017: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30 GeV NEXT: 500pb⁻¹ at 4.31, 4.32, 4.33, 4.34, 4.35, 4.37, 4.38, 4.39, 4.40, 4.41 GeV