#### Study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$ around $\sqrt{s} = 4.2 \text{ GeV}$

Jielei Zhang Jingzhi Zhang

Outline

2

1. Motivation 2. Data sets 3. Event selection 4. MC and data analysis 5. Cross section measurement Summary 6

# Motivation

3



PRD 94.032009

BESIII has observed the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$  above 4 GeV. From the lineshape of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$ , it shows  $\eta' J/\psi$  mainly comes from  $\psi(4160)$ .

- Now BESIII has collected many data samples around  $\sqrt{s}$  =4.2 GeV, it can be used to check whether  $\eta' J/\psi$  mainly comes from  $\psi(4160)$ .
- > The  $\eta'$ -transition process between charmonium may provide information that is useful in understanding the nature of charmonium.

#### Data sets

```
Boss Version : 7.0.2p01
Data sets :
Data around \sqrt{s} = 4.2 (4.18, 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22,
4.237, 4.247, 4.27, 4.28) GeV
Signal MC:
1、
2、
    e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi, \eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta, \eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma
    e^+/e^- \rightarrow \eta' I/\psi, \eta' \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-
Background MC:
1. e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi', \psi' \rightarrow \eta J/\psi
2. e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}\psi', \psi' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi
```

#### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.18 \text{ GeV}$





### **Event selections**

#### Charged tracks

- $-|R_{xy}| < 1cm, |R_z| < 10cm$
- $-|cos\theta| < 0.93$
- $N = 4, \sum Q = 0$

#### Particle separation

- $-\pi: P_{mdc} < 1 \text{ GeV}$
- $-e: P_{mdc} > 1 \text{ GeV} \& E_{emc} > 1 \text{ GeV}$
- $\mu$  :  $P_{mdc} > 1 \text{ GeV} \& E_{emc} < 0.4 \text{ GeV}$

#### Good photon

- $-0 \leq TDC \leq 14$
- Barrel : E > 0.025 GeV  $|cos \theta| <$
- $E > 0.025 \text{ GeV}, |cos\theta| < 0.8$

#### - Endcap :

- $E > 0.050 \text{ GeV}, 0.86 < |\cos\theta| < 0.92$
- $-\Delta\theta > 20^{\circ}$
- $-N_{\gamma} \geq 2$

#### 5C kinematic fit - $M(\gamma\gamma)$ is constrained to $M(\eta)$

- Choose the photons with least  $\chi^2$
- $-\chi^2_{5C} < 50$

#### Other selections - $J/\psi$ mass window : (3.08, 3.12) GeV - $M(\eta J/\psi) < 3.675 ||M(\eta J/\psi) > 3.695$ GeV

# The $\chi^2_{5C}$ of kinematic fit

8



 $\chi^2$  distribution from 5C :  $\chi^2_{5C} < 50$ 

# **Background study**

BkgMC:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi', \psi' \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$ 



 $M(\eta J/\psi) < 3.675 || M(\eta J/\psi) > 3.695 \text{ GeV}$ 

# Some plots from data



# Signal extraction from data



Signal/: MC-determined signal MC shape to describe Background : 1st-order Polynomial function

 $N^{sig} = 9.0 \pm 3.0 \qquad S > 5\sigma$ 



# **Event selections**

13

Charged tracks

- $-|R_{xy}| < 1 cm, |R_z| < 10 cm$
- $-|cos\theta| < 0.93$
- $-N = 4, \sum Q = 0$

#### Particle separation

- $-\pi: P_{mdc} < 1 \text{ GeV}$
- $-e: P_{mdc} > 1 \text{ GeV} \& E_{emc} > 1 \text{ GeV}$
- $-\mu: P_{mdc} > 1 \text{ GeV} \& E_{emc} < 0.4 \text{ GeV}$

#### Good photon

- $-0 \le TDC \le 14$
- Barrel :  $F > 0.025 \text{ GeV} | \cos \theta | < 0$
- $E > 0.025 \text{ GeV}, |cos\theta| < 0.8$

#### - Endcap :

- $E > 0.050 \text{ GeV}, 0.86 < |cos\theta| < 0.92$
- $-\Delta\theta > 20^{\circ}$
- $N_{\gamma} \ge 1$

4C kinematic fit - Choose the photons with least  $\chi^2$ -  $\chi^2_{4C} < 40$ 

#### Other selections

- $J/\psi$  mass window : (3.08, 3.12) GeV
- $M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) < 3.67 ||M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) > 3.70 \text{ GeV}$
- $M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) < 3.855 || M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) >$ 3.885 GeV

# The $\chi^2_{4C}$ of kinematic fit



 $\chi^2$  distribution from 4C :  $\chi^2_{4C} < 40$ 

# Background study



Bkg:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}\psi', \psi' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872), X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ 



 $M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) < 3.855 || M(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) > 3.885 \text{ GeV}$ 

# **Background study**

16

#### γ conversion background



 $\cos\theta_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 0.95$ 

# Some plots from data



# Signal extraction from data

18



Signal: MC-determined signal MC shape to describe Background : 1st-order Polynomial function

 $N^{sig} = 66.6 \pm 9.2 \qquad S > 5\sigma$ 



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.19$ GeV



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.20$ GeV



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.21$ GeV



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.22$ GeV



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.237$ GeV



#### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.247$ GeV



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.27$ GeV



### Data at $\sqrt{s} = 4.28$ GeV



### **Cross section measurement**

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$ 

$\sqrt{s}(\text{GeV})$	$\mathcal{L}_{int}(\mathrm{pb}^{-1})$	$N^{sig1}$	$N^{sig2}$	$1+\delta(s)$	$\frac{1}{ 1-\Pi ^2}$	$\epsilon_{\pi^+\pi^-\eta}(\%)$	$\epsilon_{\gamma\pi^+\pi^-}(\%)$	$\sigma^B(\text{pb})$
4.18	3189.0	9.0±3.0	66.6±9.2	0.723	1.055	14.30	29.26	$2.4\pm0.3$
4.19	521.9	$4.0{\pm}2.0$	9.1±3.6	0.734	1.056	14.72	30.01	$2.4\pm0.8$
4.20	523.7	$2.2{\pm}1.6$	$14.4{\pm}4.3$	0.753	1.057	14.75	29.85	$3.0\pm0.8$
4.21	511.2	$3.0{\pm}1.8$	11.3±4.0	0.773	1.057	13.82	29.13	$2.7\pm0.8$
4.22	508.2	$4.0{\pm}2.0$	$5.9 \pm 3.4$	0.789	1.057	13.98	28.58	$1.8\pm0.8$
4.237	528.9	$0.0{\pm}1.2$	$16.5{\pm}4.9$	0.807	1.056	13.94	27.92	$2.9\pm0.9$
4.247	532.7	$3.0{\pm}1.8$	$23.2{\pm}5.4$	0.814	1.055	13.84	27.90	$4.6\pm1.0$
4.27	529.3	$6.0{\pm}2.5$	11.7±3.9	0.842	1.053	13.59	27.55	$3.1\pm0.8$
4.28	174.5	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$2.0 \pm 1.5$	0.861	1.053	13.51	26.85	$1.0\pm0.8$

# Discussion

29

If we assume the  $\eta' J/\psi$  signals all come from the  $\psi(4160)$  decay, the cross section is fitted with the following formula:

$$\sigma(\sqrt{s}) = |\mathcal{A}_{\psi(4160)}(\sqrt{s})\sqrt{\frac{\Phi^3(\sqrt{s})}{\Phi^3(M)}}|^2$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\psi(4160)}(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\sqrt{12\pi\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{tot}\mathcal{B}(\psi(4160)\to\eta' J/\psi)}}{s-M^2+iM\Gamma_{tot}}$$



$$\chi^2/ndf = 37.7/13$$

Only a  $\psi(4160)$  resonance can't describe the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$  line-shape very well.

# Discussion

30

If we assume the  $\eta' J/\psi$  signals all come from the Y(4260) decay, the cross section is fitted with the following formula:

$$\sigma(\sqrt{s}) = |\mathcal{A}_{Y(4260)}(\sqrt{s})\sqrt{\frac{\Phi^3(\sqrt{s})}{\Phi^3(M)}}|^2$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y(4260)}(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\sqrt{12\pi\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{tot}\mathcal{B}(Y(4260)\to\eta' J/\psi)}}{s-M^2+iM\Gamma_{tot}}$$



 $\chi^2/ndf = 58.0/13$ 

Only a Y(4260) resonance can't describe the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$  line-shape very well.

## **Iteration function**

31

If we assume the  $\eta' J/\psi$  signals come from the  $\psi(4160)$  and Y(4260) decay, the cross section is fitted with the following formula:



$$\chi^2/ndf = 14.7/11$$

A coherent sum of  $\psi(4160)$ and Y(4260) resonances can describe the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  $\eta' J/\psi$  line-shape.

## Summary

32

1. Using data samples at  $\sqrt{s} = 4.18, 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.237, 4.247, 4.27$  and 4.28 GeV, the cross section of the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$  is measured.

2. Only  $\psi(4160)$  or Y(4260) resonance can't describe the line-shape very well, while a coherent sum of  $\psi(4160)$ and Y(4260) resonances can describe the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$ line-shape.

# Thanks for your attention!