

# Simulation Tasks for Dual Readout + Wire Chamber Concept

## Objective:

- 1, to demonstrate there is no show stopper of using Dual Readout + Wire Chamber Concept
- 2, to estimate the benchmark performance
- 3, if applicable, converge to a reasonable & optimized geometry

## Questions to be/could be answered at three different stages:

- 1, Before any simulation
- 2, Simulation Level
- 3, Reconstruction-Analysis Level

### 1, Before Any Simulation: // **Could start Now**

- 1, Power consumption,
- 2, Radiation Tolerance,
- 3, Rate Acceptance,
- 4, Homogeneity & Stability,
- 5, Intrinsic Performance at single particle/object level with Test beam/existing reference,
- 6, Dual Readout: SiPM at 2 Tesla B-Field;
- 7, Noise Rate.

Wire Chamber: Working Gas Optimization & More detailed description of the dEdx performance at CEPC geometry

### 2, Simulation: Hit Level

- 1, Hit Map at different sub-detector
- 2, Intrinsic Performance at Single Particle & Jets
  - Sigma, Mean Vs Single Particle
  - Invariant Mass distribution for  $vvH$ ,  $H \rightarrow gg$  event
- 3, Dynamic Range for Single Object: Photon & Jets
- 4, Leakage analysis to determine the Calorimeter thickness
- 5, Local Geometry optimization: fiber density
- 6, Lepton id/Particle id at single Particle

### 3, Reconstruction - Analysis level

- 1, Separation performance
- 2, Lepton/Particle identification at Physics events
- 3, EW/Higgs benchmark analysis

Timeline is essential.

## Remark:

- 1, Geometry Wise, I hope we can conclude the geometry setup for Calo longitudinal segmentation & ECAL/PS.