

Hadronic B decays and CPV

Ying Li

Yantai University

Lanzhou University

2018.3.31 烟台大学-李营 - 2018年3月31日

Motivation



Why study hadronic B decays

CP Violation

2-body B decays

3-body B decays

Summary

Why study B decays



Baryon Asymmetry in the Universe:

A violation of the CP symmetry - which causes matter and anti-matter to evolve differently with time - seems to be necessary to explain the existence of matter in the Universe.

CP violation has so far only been found in hadron decays, which are experimentally investigated at LHCb and NA62 (CERN), SuperBelle (Japan),...





Indirect Search for BSM Physics:

To find hints for Physics beyond the Standard Model we can either use brute force (= higher energies) or more subtle strategies like high precision measurements. New contributions to an observable f are identified via:

$$f^{\rm SM} + f^{\rm NP} = f^{\rm Exp}$$

Understanding QCD:

Hadron decays are strongly affected by QCD (strong interactions) effects, which tend to overshadow the interesting fundamental decay dynamics. Theory tools like effective theories, Heavy Quark Expansion, HQET, SCET,...enable a control over QCD-effects and they are used in other fields like Collider Physics, Higgs Physics, DM searches...





Standard Model parameters:

Hadron decays depend strongly on Standard Model parameters like **quark masses** and **CKM couplings** (which are the only known source of CP violation in the SM). A precise knowledge of these parameters is needed for all branches of particle physics.

CP Violation and CKM



Mass Eigenstates \neq Weak Eigenstates \Rightarrow Quark Mixing

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{CKM}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ud}} & \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{us}} & \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ub}} \\ \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cd}} & \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cs}} & \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cb}} \\ \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{td}} & \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{ts}} & \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{tb}} \end{bmatrix}$$

CKM Matrix

Complex matrix described by 4 independent real parameters

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{Wolfenstein parametrization:}}{V_{CKM}} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2 / 2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3 (\rho + i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2 / 2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3 (1 - \rho + i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \frac{\text{CP Violation:}}{J \approx A^2 \lambda^6 \eta} \qquad J = Im \left(V_{ik} \ V_{jk}^* \ V_{j\ell} \ V_{i\ell}^* \right) \neq 0 \\ & \eta = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ no CPV from SM} \end{split}$$

CP Violation and CKM





CP Violation and CKM





Direction CP Violation





$$A_{CP}^{dir} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) - \Gamma(B \to f)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{f}) + \Gamma(B \to f)} = \frac{2r\sin\Delta\phi\sin\Delta\delta}{1 + r^2 + 2r\cos\Delta\phi\cos\Delta\delta}$$

CP Violation in Oscillation





Mixing CP Violation





Hierarchy of Scales





• Powerful theoretical concepts/techniques:

+ "Effective Field Theories"

- Heavy degrees of freedom (NP particles, top, Z, W) are "integrated out" from appearing explicitly: \rightarrow short-distance loop functions.
- Calculation of *perturbative QCD corrections*.
- Renormalization group allows the summation of large $\log(\mu_{SD}/\mu_{LD})$.
- Applied to the SM and various NP scenarios, such as the following:
 - MSSM, UED, WED, LH, LHT, Z^\prime models, \ldots

Low-energy Effective Hamiltonian



• Separation of short-distance from long-distance contributions (OPE):

$$\langle \overline{f} | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | \overline{B} \rangle = \frac{G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j} \lambda_{\text{CKM}}^{j} \sum_{k} C_{k}(\mu) \langle \overline{f} | Q_{k}^{j}(\mu) | \overline{B} \rangle$$

 $[G_{
m F}:$ Fermi's constant, $\lambda^j_{
m CKM}:$ CKM factors, $\mu:$ renormalization scale]

• Short-distance physics: [Buras et al.; Martinelli et al. ('90s); ...]

 \rightarrow Wilson coefficients $C_k(\mu) \rightarrow perturbative$ quantities \rightarrow known!



• Long-distance physics:

 \rightarrow matrix elements $\langle \overline{f} | Q_k^j(\mu) | \overline{B} \rangle \rightarrow non-perturbative \rightarrow |$ "unknown" !?

Theoretical Framework of Hadronic B decays



$$|A_j|e^{i\delta_j} \propto \sum_k \underbrace{C_k(\mu)}_{\text{pert. QCD}} \times \boxed{\langle \overline{f}|Q_k^j(\mu)|\overline{B}\rangle}$$

• QCD factorization (QCDF):



Beneke, Buchalla, Neubert & Sachrajda (99–01); Beneke & Jäger (05); ... Bell, Bobeth, ...

• Perturbative Hard-Scattering (PQCD) Approach:

Li & Yu ('95); Cheng, Li & Yang ('99); Keum, Li & Sanda ('00); ...

• Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET):

Bauer, Pirjol & Stewart (2001); Bauer, Grinstein, Pirjol & Stewart (2003); ...

• QCD sum rules:

Khodjamirian (2001); Khodjamirian, Mannel & Melic (2003); ...





$$\langle M_1 M_2 | \mathcal{O} | B \rangle = F^{BM_1} \int du \, T'(u) \phi_{M_2}(u) + \int d\omega \, du \, dv \, T''(\omega, u, v) \phi_B(\omega) \phi_{M_1}(u) \phi_{M_2}(v)$$



▷ Vertex corrections: $T'(u) = 1 + O(\alpha_s)$

- ▷ Spectator scattering: $T''(\omega, u, v) = O(\alpha_s)$ (power suppressed if M_1 is heavy)
- ▷ Strong phases are perturbative $[\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)]$ or power suppressed $[\mathcal{O}(\Lambda/m_b)]$.



Two hard-scattering kernels for each operator insertion: T' (vertex), T'' (spectator)

 $\langle M_1 M_2 | \mathcal{O}_i | B \rangle \simeq F^{BM_1} T_i' \otimes \phi_{M_2} + T_i'' \otimes \phi_B \otimes \phi_{M_1} \otimes \phi_{M_2}$

and two classes of topological amplitudes: "Tree", "Penguin".





Two hard-scattering kernels for each operator insertion: T' (vertex), T'' (spectator)

 $\langle M_1 M_2 | \mathcal{O}_i | B \rangle \simeq F^{BM_1} T_i' \otimes \phi_{M_2} + T_i'' \otimes \phi_B \otimes \phi_{M_1} \otimes \phi_{M_2}$

and two classes of topological amplitudes: "Tree", "Penguin".





$$T \equiv a_1(\pi\pi) = 1.009 + [0.023 + 0.010i]_{\text{NLO}} + [0.026 + 0.028i]_{\text{NNLO}}$$
$$- \left[\frac{r_{\text{sp}}}{0.485}\right] \left\{ [0.015]_{\text{LOsp}} + [0.037 + 0.029i]_{\text{NLOsp}} + [0.009]_{\text{tw3}} \right\}$$
$$= 1.00 + 0.01i \quad \rightarrow \quad 0.93 - 0.02i \quad (\text{if } 2 \times r_{\text{sp}})$$

$$C \equiv a_2(\pi\pi) = 0.220 - [0.179 + 0.077i]_{\text{NLO}} - [0.031 + 0.050i]_{\text{NNLO}} + \left[\frac{r_{\text{sp}}}{0.485}\right] \left\{ [0.123]_{\text{LOsp}} + [0.053 + 0.054i]_{\text{NLOsp}} + [0.072]_{\text{tw3}} \right\} = 0.26 - 0.07i \rightarrow 0.51 - 0.02i \quad (\text{if } 2 \times r_{\text{sp}})$$

	Theory I	Theory II	Experiment
$B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ $\bar{B}^{0}_{d} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ $\bar{B}^{0}_{d} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$	$5.43 \begin{array}{c} +0.06 + 1.45 \\ -0.06 - 0.84 \\ 7.37 \begin{array}{c} +0.86 + 1.22 \\ -0.69 - 0.97 \\ 0.33 \begin{array}{c} +0.11 + 0.42 \\ -0.08 - 0.17 \end{array} (\star)$	$5.82 \begin{array}{c} +0.07 \\ -0.06 \\ -1.35 \\ +0.70 \\ +1.16 \\ -0.55 \\ -0.97 \\ -0.63 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.42 \end{array} (\star)$	$5.59^{+0.41}_{-0.40}$ 5.16 ± 0.22 1.55 ± 0.19



$$a_{4}^{c}(\pi\bar{K})/10^{-2} = -2.87 - [0.09 + 0.09i]_{V_{1}} + [0.05 - 0.62i]_{P_{1}} - [0.77 + 0.50i]_{P_{2}} + \left[\frac{r_{\rm sp}}{0.434}\right] \left\{ [0.13]_{\rm LO} + [0.14 + 0.12i]_{\rm HV} + [0.01 + 0.03i]_{\rm HP} + [0.07]_{\rm tw3} \right\}$$

$$= (-3.34^{+0.43}_{-0.27}) + (-1.05^{+0.45}_{-0.36})i$$

f	NLO	NNLO	NNLO + LD	Exp
$\pi^- \bar{K}^0$	$0.71^{+0.13}_{-0.14}{}^{+0.21}_{-0.19}$	$0.77^{+0.14}_{-0.15}{}^{+0.23}_{-0.22}$	$0.10^{+0.02+1.24}_{-0.02-0.27}$	-1.7 ± 1.6
$\pi^0 K^-$	$9.42^{+1.77}_{-1.76}{}^{+1.87}_{-1.88}$	$10.18^{+1.91}_{-1.90}{}^{+2.03}_{-2.62}$	$-1.17\substack{+0.22\\-0.22}\substack{+20.00\\-6.62}$	4.0 ± 2.1
$\pi^+ K^-$	$7.25^{+1.36}_{-1.36}{}^{+2.13}_{-2.58}$	$8.08^{+1.52}_{-1.51}{}^{+2.52}_{-2.65}$	$-3.23^{+0.61}_{-0.61}{}^{+19.17}_{-3.36}$	-8.2 ± 0.6
$\pi^0 \bar{K}^0$	$-4.27^{+0.83}_{-0.77}{}^{+1.48}_{-2.23}$	$-4.33^{+0.84}_{-0.78}{}^{+3.29}_{-2.32}$	$-1.41^{+0.27}_{-0.25}{}^{+5.54}_{-6.10}$	1 ± 10
$\delta(\pi \bar{K})$	$2.17^{+0.40}_{-0.40}{}^{+1.39}_{-0.74}$	$2.10^{+0.39}_{-0.39}{}^{+1.40}_{-2.86}$	$2.07^{+0.39}_{-0.39}{}^{+2.76}_{-4.55}$	12.2 ± 2.2
$\Delta(\pi \bar{K})$	$-1.15\substack{+0.21\\-0.22}\substack{+0.55\\-0.84}$	$-0.88^{+0.16}_{-0.17}{}^{+1.31}_{-0.91}$	$-0.48^{+0.09}_{-0.09}{}^{+1.09}_{-1.15}$	-14 ± 11



Main limitation of QCDF approach, e.g. weak annihilation

$$\sim \int d\omega \, du \, dv \, T(\omega, u, v) \, \phi_B(\omega) \, \phi_{M_1}(v) \, \phi_{M_2}(u) \ ?$$

- ► convolutions diverge at endpoints \Rightarrow non-factorisation in SCET-2
- currently modelled with arbitrary soft rescattering phase

Pure annihilation decays

 $10^6 \operatorname{Br}(B_d \to K^+ K^-) = 0.13 \pm 0.05$ ($\Delta D = 1$, exchange topology)

- $10^6 \operatorname{Br}(B_s \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.76 \pm 0.13$ ($\Delta S = 1$, penguin annihilation)
- \Rightarrow extract weak annihilation amplitudes from data

[Wang, Zhu 13; Bobeth, Gorbahn, Vickers 14; Chang, Sun, Yang, Li 14]

 \triangleright Or use "clean" combinations, *e.g.* $\Delta = T - P$ in penguin mediated decays



Li, Lu, Sanda, Kuem, Yang









$$\mathcal{A} \sim C(t) \Phi(x) H(t) \exp\left\{-s(s,p) - 2\int_{1/b}^{t} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma_q(\alpha_s(\mu))\right\},$$



Li, Lu, Sanda, Kuem, Yang





Mishima, Li

Mode	Data [1]	$_{\rm LO}$	LONLOWC	$+\mathrm{VC}$	+QL	+MP	+NLO
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} K^0$	24.1 ± 1.3	17.3	32.9	31.6	34.9	24.5	$24.9^{+13.9(+13.2)}_{-8.2(-8.2)}$
$B^\pm \to \pi^0 K^\pm$	12.1 ± 0.8	10.4	18.7	17.7	19.7	14.2	$14.2^{+10.2}_{-5.8}(+7.1)$
$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}$	18.9 ± 0.7	14.3	28.0	26.9	29.7	20.7	$21.1^{+15.7}_{-8.4}(-6.6)$
$B^0 \to \pi^0 K^0$	11.5 ± 1.0	5.7	12.2	11.9	13.0	8.8	$9.2^{+5.6(+5.1)}_{-3.3(-3.0)}$
$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\mp} \pi^{\pm}$	5.0 ± 0.4	7.1	6.8	6.6	6.9	6.7	$6.6^{+}_{-3.8}(+2.7)$
$B^\pm \to \pi^\pm \pi^0$	5.5 ± 0.6	3.5	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	$4.1^{+3.5(+1.7)}_{-2.0(-1.2)}$
$B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$	1.45 ± 0.29	0.12	0.28	0.37	0.29	0.21	$0.30^{+0.49}_{-0.21}(+0.12)$
Mode	Data [1]	LO	$\rm LO_{\rm NLOWC}$	+VC ·	+QL ·	+MP	+NLO
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} K^{0}$	-0.02 ± 0.04	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	$0.00\pm 0.00(\pm 0.00)$
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} K^{\pm}$	0.04 ± 0.04	-0.08	-0.06	-0.01 ·	-0.05	-0.08 -	$-0.01^{+0.03}_{-0.05}(-0.03)$
$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}$ -	-0.115 ± 0.018	-0.12	-0.08	-0.09 ·	-0.06	-0.10 -	$-0.09^{+0.06}_{-0.08}(-0.04)$
$B^0 \to \pi^0 K^0$		-0.02	0.00	-0.07	0.00	0.00 -	$-0.07^{+0.03}_{-0.03}(+0.01)_{-0.03}(-0.01)$
$B^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\mp} \pi^{\pm}$	0.37 ± 0.10	0.14	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.20	$0.18^{+0.20}_{-0.12}(+0.07)_{-0.06}$
$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}$	0.01 ± 0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	$0.00 \pm 0.00 (\pm 0.00)$
$B^0 ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	$0.28^{+0.40}_{-0.39}$	-0.04	-0.34	0.65 ·	-0.41	-0.43	$0.63^{+0.35}_{-0.34}$ (+0.09) -0.34 (-0.15)

NLO Calculation



Xiao, Cheng, Lu, Li, Wang..



NLO Calculation



Wang, Shen, Cheng, Li,..





Diagrammatic Approach



Cheng, Chiang







With SU(3) symmetry and data, the magnitude of each diagram can be fitted.

Diagrammatic Approach-FAT









Diagrammatic Approach-FAT

				Mode	$\mathcal{A}_{ ext{exp}}$	$\mathcal{A}_{this work}$	\mathcal{A}
				$\pi^+\pi^-$	$+0.31 \pm 0.05$	0.31 ± 0.04	
Mode	Amplitudes	Exp	This work	$\pi^0\pi^0$	0.43 ± 0.24	0.57 ± 0.06	
$\pi^-\pi^0$	т С Р	$+5.5 \pm 0.4$	$5.08 \pm 0.30 \pm 1.02 \pm 0.02$	$\pi^0\eta$		-0.16 ± 0.16	
M M	I, C, I EW	$*0.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.03 \pm 0.03 \pm 1.02 \pm 0.02$	$\pi^0\eta^\prime$		0.39 ± 0.14	
$\pi^-\eta$	T, C, P, P_C, P_{EW}	$\star 4.02 \pm 0.27$	$4.13 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.01$	$\eta\eta$		-0.85 ± 0.06	
$\pi^{-}\eta^{'}$	T, C, P, P_C, P_{EW}	$\star 2.7 \pm 0.9$	$3.37 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.01$	$\eta\eta^{'}$		-0.97 ± 0.04	
$\pi^+\pi^-$	$T, E, (P_E), P$	$\star 5.12 \pm 0.19$	$5.15 \pm 0.36 \pm 1.31 \pm 0.14$	$\eta^{'}\eta^{'}$		-0.87 ± 0.07	
$\pi^0\pi^0$	$C, E, P, (P_E), P_E$	$\star 1.91 \pm 0.22$	$1.94 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.05$	$\pi^0 K_s$	0.00 ± 0.13	-0.14 ± 0.03	
				ηK_s		-0.30 ± 0.10	
$\pi^- \bar{K^0}$	Р	$\star 23.7 \pm 0.8$	$23.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 4.6 \pm 0.2$	$\eta' K_s$	0.06 ± 0.04	0.030 ± 0.004	
$\pi^0 K^-$	T, C, P, P_{EW}	$\star 12.9 \pm 0.5$	$12.8 \pm 0.32 \pm 2.35 \pm 0.10$	$K^0 \bar{K^0}$		-0.057 ± 0.002	
TZ-				$\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$	0.03 ± 0.04	-0.026 ± 0.003	
ηK	T, C, P, P_C, P_{EW}	$*2.4 \pm 0.4$	$2.0 \pm 0.13 \pm 1.19 \pm 0.03$	$\pi^-\eta$	-0.14 ± 0.07	-0.14 ± 0.07	
$\eta' K^-$	T, C, P, P_C, P_{EW}	$\star 70.6 \pm 2.5$	$70.1 \pm 4.7 \pm 11.3 \pm 0.22$	$\pi^-\eta^\prime$	0.06 ± 0.16	0.37 ± 0.07	
$\pi^+ K^-$	T, P	$\star 19.6 \pm 0.5$	$19.8 \pm 0.54 \pm 4.0 \pm 0.2$	$\pi - \bar{K^0}$	-0.017 ± 0.016	0.0027 ± 0.0001	
$\pi^0 \bar{K^0}$	C, P, P_{EW}	$\star 9.9 \pm 0.5$	$8.96 \pm 0.26 \pm 1.96 \pm 0.09$	$\pi^0 K^-$	0.037 ± 0.021	0.065 ± 0.024	
				ηK^-	$\star - 0.37 \pm 0.08$	-0.22 ± 0.08	
				$\eta' K^-$	0.013 ± 0.017	-0.021 ± 0.007	
				K^-K^0	-0.21 ± 0.14	-0.057 ± 0.002	

 $\pi^+ K^- = -0.082 \pm 0.006 - 0.081 \pm 0.005$

3-body Decays



- Data are results of entangled nonresonant and resonant contributions, and of different partial waves.
- Developing a reliable theoretical approach to 3-body hadronic B decays is important.
- Understand data and predict direct CP asymmetries of 3-body decay modes in localized regions of phase space

Very challenging!





- Factorization Approach Cheng, Chua, S. Fajfer, YLi,...
- PQCD Li, Chen, Wang, Wang, Lu, ...
- QCD Factorization Krankl, Mannel, Virto,...
- Diagrammatic Approach combined SU(3) Gronau, London
- QCD Sum Rules Alexander Khodjamirian,...
- Others Feldman, Guo, He, Yang,...

3-body Decays - Factorization



Cheng, Chua, Li,..



Transition Process







Annihilation Process

3-body Decays-QCDF



Krankle, Mannel, Virto,...





 $\langle \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ | \mathcal{O}_i | B^+ \rangle_{s_{ij} \sim 1/3} = T_i^I \otimes F^{B \to \pi} \otimes \Phi_\pi \otimes \Phi_\pi \otimes \Phi_\pi + T_i^{II} \otimes \Phi_B \otimes \Phi_\pi \otimes \Phi_\pi \otimes \Phi_\pi \ ,$

+



 $\langle \pi^{a} \pi^{b} \pi^{c} | \mathcal{O}_{i} | B \rangle_{s_{ab} \ll 1} = T_{c}^{I} \otimes F^{B \to \pi^{c}} \otimes \Phi_{\pi^{a} \pi^{b}} + T_{ab}^{I} \otimes F^{B \to \pi^{a} \pi^{b}} \otimes \Phi_{\pi^{c}}$ $+ T^{II} \otimes \Phi_{B} \otimes \Phi_{\pi^{c}} \otimes \Phi_{\pi^{a} \pi^{b}} .$

3-body Decays-PQCD





LI,Xiao,Wang,Lu,Li...

3-body Decays-PQCD



			<u> </u>
		Results	Data [98]
$K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$3.42^{+0.78}_{-0.55}(\omega_B)^{+0.44}_{-0.39}(a_2^t)^{+0.39}_{-0.38}(m_0^K)^{+0.39}_{-0.32}(a_2^0)^{+0.29}_{-0.28}(a_2^s)$	3.7 ± 0.5
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.43^{+0.04}_{-0.05}(\omega_B) \pm 0.06(a_2^t) \pm 0.03(m_0^K) \pm 0.03(a_2^0) \pm 0.01(a_2^s)$	0.37 ± 0.10
$K^0\pi^+\pi^0$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$7.43^{+1.92}_{-1.31}(\omega_B)^{+1.65}_{-1.42}(a_2^t)^{+0.88}_{-0.91}(m_0^K)^{+0.60}_{-0.62}(a_2^0)^{+0.53}_{-0.47}(a_2^s)$	8.0 ± 1.5
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.15^{+0.02}_{-0.01}(\omega_B)^{+0.04}_{-0.05}(a_2^t) \pm 0.01(m_0^K)^{+0.01}_{-0.00}(a_2^0) \pm 0.00(a_2^s)$	-0.12 ± 0.17
$K^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$6.51^{+1.71}_{-1.12}(\omega_B)^{+0.58}_{-0.61}(a_2^t)^{+0.78}_{-0.77}(m_0^K)^{+0.67}_{-0.64}(a_2^0)^{+0.39}_{-0.47}(a_2^s)$	7.0 ± 0.9
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.31^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(\omega_B)^{+0.09}_{-0.08}(a_2^t)^{+0.03}_{-0.02}(m_0^K) \pm 0.01(a_2^0) \pm 0.02(a_2^s)$	0.20 ± 0.11
$K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-6})$	$3.76^{+1.09}_{-0.74}(\omega_B)^{+0.73}_{-0.60}(a_2^t)^{+0.52}_{-0.47}(m_0^K)^{+0.28}_{-0.25}(a_2^0)^{+0.26}_{-0.23}(a_2^s)$	4.7 ± 0.6
	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	$0.06^{+0.01}_{-0.02}(\omega_B)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a_2^t) \pm 0.00(m_0^K)^{+0.00}_{-0.01}(a_2^0) \pm 0.00(a_2^s)$	_

$$A_{CP}^{reg}(B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = 0.52_{-0.22}^{+0.12}(\omega_{B})_{-0.09}^{+0.11}(a_{2}^{\pi})_{-0.03}^{+0.03}(m_{0}^{\pi})$$

 $A_{CP}^{\text{region}}(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-) = 0.584 \pm 0.082 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.007$





• 2-body decays

Power corrections

NLO calculation of PQCD

Determine the Wave function of Heavy meson

New Physics effects

• 3-body decays

Lots of data, great potential

How to deal with resonance contribution

2-meson LCDAs and B->PP form factor

Center region—>merge



Thanks for your attention!