

A traditional Chinese Yin-Yang symbol is positioned in the top left corner. It is rendered in a black and white, ink-wash style with a textured, brush-like appearance, showing the swirling patterns of the two halves.

# Combination of CEPC Higgs precision measurement

**Zhang Kaili, IHEP**

Wang Jin, Liu Zhen

Follow up study from:

<http://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/6618/session/22/contribution/141/material/slides/0.pdf>

# Channels Table


Observed=tagged signal after cutflow and in fit range.  
All events are weighted and normalized to  $5ab^{-1}$ .




Signal		Observed Events	Who takes charge	Precision	Signal		Observed Events	Who takes charge	Precision				
Z	H				Z	H							
H->Inclusive					H->WW								
vv	Inclusive	164170	Liao Libo	\	$\mu\mu$	$\mu\nu\mu\nu$	52	Liao Libo	2.6%				
$\mu\mu$	Inclusive	29552				evev	36						
ee	Inclusive	22200				$e\nu\mu\nu$	105						
H->qq					$\mu\mu$	$e\nuqq$	663	Liao Libo	2.9%				
ee	bb	7655	$\mu\nuqq$	717									
	cc	351	$\mu\nu\mu\nu$	44									
	gg	1058	evev	22									
$\mu\mu$	bb	11108	Bai Yu	1.3%	ee	$e\nu\mu\nu$	81	Wei Yuqian	1.3%				
	cc	567				$e\nuqq$	612						
	gg	1762				$\mu\nuqq$	684						
qq	bb	176542	Bai Yu	0.5%	vv	qqqq	9022	Wei Yuqian	8.3%				
	cc	8272			17%	H->ZZ							
	gg	25293				7.2%	vv			$\mu\mu jj$	179	34%	
vv	bb	70608	Bai Yu	0.4%		vv	eejj	64	Wei Yuqian	7.4%			
	cc	3061			3.9%	$\mu\mu$	vvjj	200			40%		
	gg	9633				1.6%	ee	eejj			55	23%	
H-> $\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$						ee	mmjj	81	Wei Yuqian	23%			
ll	$\gamma\gamma$	93	Wang Feng	27%	H-> $\tau\tau$								
vv		309			Sun Yitian	13%	ee	$\tau\tau$			\	Yu Dan	3.0%
qq		822					$\mu\mu$				2135		2.8%
qq	Z $\gamma$	219	Yao Weimin	21%	qq	23168	1.9%						
H->Invisible					vv	8809	3.7%						
qq	vvvv	202	Mo Xin	0.3%	H-> $\mu\mu$								
ee		8			0.7%	qq	$\mu\mu$	71	Cui Zhenwei	15%			
$\mu\mu$		18				ee		1					
vvH(WW fusion)					$\mu\mu$	4							
vv	bb	10256	Liang Hao	3.1%	vv	14							

# $\gamma\gamma$ plots


$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, \tau\tau, H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



$Z \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$




$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$




- Change fit functions
- Now fit shapes better than before.
- Change plot style
- Now black dot stands for MC total data.
  - Legend & CEPC logo
  - Less points, X-axis error bar
- Please comment if any other demands

# $\tau\tau$ plots


$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow \tau\tau$




$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow \tau\tau$



$Z \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow \tau\tau$




$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow \tau\tau$




Visible Mass from 2 tau  
Bkg shape from RooKeysPdf

# WW plots


$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow evqq$



$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \mu\nu qq$




$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow lvlv$




# WW plots


$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow evqq$




$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \mu\nu qq$



$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow lvlv$




$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow qq qq$




Bkg shape from RooKeysPdf

# ZZ plots


$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow eeqq$



$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \mu\mu qq$




$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \nu\nu qq$




# ZZ plot

From Yuqian's study  
Not reported before.

$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow eeqq$




$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \mu\mu qq$






# Invisible channel


$Z \rightarrow ee, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow vvvv$



$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow vvvv$




$Z \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow vvvv$




Precision of  $\text{Br} \cdot \text{Cross} X$ : 158%  
 Upper limit of Br: 0.24%  
 Br:  $0.103\% \pm 0.075\%$

# $\mu\mu, Z\gamma$ channel


$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu, H \rightarrow \mu\mu$




$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow \mu\mu$



$Z \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow \mu\mu$



Asimov  $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu, H \rightarrow Z\gamma, Z \rightarrow qq$



$Z\gamma$  from Weimin, binned fit

	preCDR	Now
$\tau\tau$	1.2%	1.34%

- Pre\_CDR concludes the precision 1.2% but no description.
- Develop LICH to identify lepton. Eff>99%
- Signal and ZH events(Main WW) share the same shape
  - Dan use  $\log_{10}(D_0^2 + Z_0^2)$  fit to separate signal
    - Impact parameter, Distance from beam spot
  - Determine the ratio, then use ratio to produce signal sample.
  - eeH is extrapolated from mmH, assuming bkg 4 times worse;

Still tuning

	BR ( $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ )	$\delta (\sigma \times BR) / (\sigma \times BR)$	Mine
$\mu\mu H$	$6.40 \pm 0.18$	2.68%	2.75%
eeH(extrapolated)	$6.37 \pm 0.18$	4.34%	2.98%
$\nu\nu H$	$6.19 \pm 0.17$	4.29%	3.69%
qqH	$6.25 \pm 0.04$	1.71%	1.93%
combined	$6.28 \pm 0.07$	1.30%	1.34%

Table showed here use number counting;  
After discussing with Dan,  
We think my result is more reliable.

see more details in [https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/7645/contributions/40070/attachments/32408/49220/lcws2017\\_Dan.pdf](https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/7645/contributions/40070/attachments/32408/49220/lcws2017_Dan.pdf)

# Fit results

After the improvement in function, most kappa get a bit better.

( $5\sigma$ )	Pre_CDR	Current
$\sigma(ZH)$	0.51%	0.50%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow bb)$	0.28%	{+0.27% -0.27%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow cc)$	2.2%	{+3.46% -3.44%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow gg)$	1.6%	{+1.44% -1.44%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow WW)$	1.5%	{+1.20% -1.20%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow ZZ)$	4.3%	{+5.25% -5.10%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow \tau\tau)$	1.2%	{+1.34% -1.34%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$	9.0%	{+8.20% -8.12%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow \mu\mu)$	17%	{+15.8% -14.9%
$\sigma(vvH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow bb)$	2.8%	{+3.12% -3.11%
$\text{Br}_{\text{upper}}(H \rightarrow \text{inv.})$	0.28%	0.24%
$\sigma(ZH) * \text{Br}(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$	\	$4\sigma$ ({+21.0% -21.4%})


	10 $\kappa$	Pre_CDR	7 $\kappa$	Pre_CDR
$\kappa_b$	1.5%	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%
$\kappa_c$	2.4%	1.7%	2.2%	1.6%
$\kappa_g$	1.6%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
$\kappa_\gamma$	4.4%	4.7%	4.3%	4.7%
$\kappa_\tau$	1.6%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
$\kappa_Z$	0.25%	0.26%	0.13%	0.16%
$\kappa_W$	1.4%	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
$\kappa_\mu$	7.9%	8.6%		
$\text{Br}_{\text{inv}}$	0.24%	0.28%		
$\Gamma_H$	3.1%	2.8%		

From 10 $\kappa$  to 7 $\kappa$ , we assume

- No exotic decay  $\Gamma_{BSM}$
- Drop  $\text{Br}_{\text{inv}}$
- $\kappa_\mu = \kappa_\tau$

10Kappa from Zhen, 7Kappa from Mine;



# Correlations in channel



bb/cc/gg highly correlated because template fit;  
 Other are linked by ZH bkg events.

# $\kappa$ with HL-LHC, ILC

HL-LHC: ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-016  
ILC: 1710.07621



# Correlation of $\kappa$ , From Zhen

The implication, under discussing;

For each entry,  
upper one is CEPC result  
lower one is CEPC+HL-LHC result.

7-parameter fit Correlation

$K_b$	100.	-25.	-51.	-74.	-47.	62.	-8.7
	-23.	100.	-7.1	11.	2.4	-24.	1.1
$K_c$	-23.	100.	-12.	11.	2.4	-23.	0.42
	-51.	-7.1	100.	14.	1.6	-28.	1.1
$K_g$	-51.	-12.	100.	7.0	-0.91	-17.	0.15
	-74.	11.	14.	100.	3.5	-60.	2.2
$K_W$	-70.	11.	7.0	100.	3.8	-61.	0.89
	-47.	2.4	1.6	3.5	100.	-12.	-1.1
$K_\tau$	-46.	2.4	-0.91	3.8	100.	-12.	-0.42
	62.	-24.	-28.	-60.	-12.	100.	-4.0
$K_Z$	59.	-23.	-17.	-61.	-12.	100.	-7.5
	-8.7	1.1	1.1	2.2	-1.1	-4.0	100.
$K_Y$	-3.4	0.42	0.15	0.89	-0.42	-7.5	100.
	$K_b$	$K_c$	$K_g$	$K_W$	$K_\tau$	$K_Z$	$K_Y$

10-parameter fit Correlation

$K_b$	100.	3.7	-0.24	-13.	<0.1	84.	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-94.
	3.5	100.	-16.	-9.1	0.13	6.6	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-6.8
$K_c$	3.5	100.	-16.	-8.3	0.13	6.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-6.4
	-0.24	-16.	100.	-7.2	0.45	13.	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-15.
$K_g$	-0.19	-16.	100.	-5.6	0.36	16.	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-19.
	-13.	-9.1	-7.2	100.	-5.5	1.3	-0.85	-0.34	<0.1	-4.9
$K_W$	-12.	-8.3	-5.6	100.	-5.3	-0.16	-0.33	-0.20	<0.1	-4.7
	<0.1	0.13	0.45	-5.5	100.	16.	-1.1	-0.47	<0.1	-19.
$K_\tau$	<0.1	0.13	0.36	-5.3	100.	16.	-0.45	-0.29	<0.1	-19.
	84.	6.6	13.	1.3	16.	100.	2.4	1.3	<0.1	-89.
$K_Z$	83.	6.2	16.	-0.16	16.	100.	-4.8	-0.65	<0.1	-89.
	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-0.85	-1.1	2.4	100.	<0.1	<0.1	-2.8
$K_Y$	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-0.33	-0.45	-4.8	100.	<0.1	<0.1	-1.1
	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-0.34	-0.47	1.3	<0.1	100.	<0.1	-1.5
$K_\mu$	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-0.20	-0.29	-0.65	<0.1	100.	<0.1	-0.93
	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	100.	<0.1
$Br_{inv}$	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	100.	<0.1
	-94.	-6.8	-15.	-4.9	-19.	-89.	-2.8	-1.5	<0.1	100.
$K_\Gamma$	-93.	-6.4	-19.	-4.7	-19.	-89.	-1.1	-0.93	<0.1	100.
	$K_b$	$K_c$	$K_g$	$K_W$	$K_\tau$	$K_Z$	$K_Y$	$K_\mu$	$Br_{inv}$	$K_\Gamma$

# Advice for individual study


- Work succession
  - Like  $\gamma\gamma, ZZ, \textit{invlusive}$  ... ..
    - Some one left
    - Then data, selection and others are missing/difficult to repeat
  - Suggest to keep a backup on AFS for follower
    - And contact me anytime
    - Anyone new taking  $l\gamma\gamma, v\gamma\gamma, ZZ, \textit{invlusive}$ ?
- If convenient, use workspace to store data
  - Not number counting, but likelihood scan for the result;
  - Happened in  $q\gamma\gamma$ : Uncertainty between MCtoy & likelihood is different
    - We assume MCtoy test will introduce additional uncertainty to analysis.
  - Technique details, please discuss with me.




# Backup

Signal & Bkg plot for demonstration


Z $\rightarrow\mu\mu$ , H $\rightarrow\tau\tau$ , SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow\mu\mu$ , H $\rightarrow\tau\tau$ , Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow qq$ , H $\rightarrow\tau\tau$ , SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow qq$ , H $\rightarrow\tau\tau$ , Signal Events




Z→νν, H→ττ, SM bkg Events




Z→νν, H→ττ, Signal Events




Z→νν, H→WW→qqqq, SM bkg Events




Z→νν, H→WW→qqqq, Signal Events




$Z \rightarrow ll(\mu, \tau), H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , SM bkg Events




$Z \rightarrow ll(\mu, \tau), H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , Signal Events




$Z \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , SM bkg Events




$Z \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow$ vv, H $\rightarrow$  $\gamma\gamma$ , SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow$ vv, H $\rightarrow$  $\gamma\gamma$ , Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ evqq, SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ evqq, Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ lvlv, SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ lvlv, Signal Events



Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$  $\mu\nu$ qq, SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$  $\mu\nu$ qq, Signal Events




Difficult to fit such low stats events.


Z $\rightarrow\mu\mu$ , H $\rightarrow WW\rightarrow evqq$ , Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow\mu\mu$ , H $\rightarrow WW\rightarrow evqq$ , zh




Z $\rightarrow\mu\mu$ , H $\rightarrow WW\rightarrow lvlv$ , Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow\mu\mu$ , H $\rightarrow WW\rightarrow \mu\nu qq$ , Signal Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ eeqq, SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ eeqq, Signal Events



Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ μμqq, SM bkg Events




Z $\rightarrow$ ee, H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ μμqq, Signal Events







Z $\rightarrow$  $\nu\nu$ , H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ eeqq, SM bkg Events



Z $\rightarrow$  $\nu\nu$ , H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ eeqq, Signal Events



Z $\rightarrow$  $\nu\nu$ , H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$  $\mu\mu$ qq, SM bkg Events



Z $\rightarrow$  $\nu\nu$ , H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$  $\mu\mu$ qq, Signal Events

