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## Outline

- Complete bb/cc/gg ZH bkg
- Discussion for fit method
- Correlations
- Explanation for ZZ channel
- Current Fit result
- Fast \& Full comparison in qqyy (delayed to next week)


## bb/cc/gg

- Template fit: Flavor tagging algorithm
- For each jet, it has blikeness and clikeness ( $0 \sim 1$ )
- using $B_{\text {likeness }}=\frac{b_{j 1} b_{j 2}}{b_{j 1} b_{j 2}+\left(1-b_{j 1}\right)\left(1-b_{j 2}\right)} \quad$ We get $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c}$ likeness for two jets.
- $Z \rightarrow e e \mu \mu q q v v, \mathrm{H} \rightarrow b b / c c / g g$ are studied.
- 2D binned(20*20) fit, with dijets' b/c likeness; mass info not used;
- 7 parts, Tot=bb+cc+gg+zh $\mathrm{ww}+\mathrm{zh}_{\mathrm{zz}}+\mathrm{zh}_{\mathrm{tt}}+\mathrm{bkg}_{\mathrm{sm}}$. 6 freedoms
- Build individual pdf by MC, then fit to determine fraction.
- all b/c likeness shape is fixed. (Requirement for Asimov Data)
- Fix sm bkg shape and number means we have a wonderful understanding with bkg, may be more suitable for CEPC.
- For ZH ww/zz/tt bkg event number, fixed or float?


## bb/cc/gg

Event numbers in ee/mm/qq are all increased in the new sample.

New result ZH fixed
Old result

| Scan | $\mu \_$bb | $\mu_{-}$cc | $\mu \_g g$ | Scan | $\mu \_$bb | $\mu \_$cc | $\mu \_g g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eeH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.78 \% \\ -0.77 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+8.05 \% \\ -7.94 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+4.04 \% \\ -4.01 \%\end{array}\right.$ | eeH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.27 \% \\ -1.26 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+15.25 \% \\ -14.98 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+8.29 \% \\ -8.22 \%\end{array}\right.$ |
| mmH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.59 \% \\ -0.59 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+6.58 \% \\ -6.52 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+3.42 \% \\ -3.40 \%\end{array}\right.$ | mmH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.02 \% \\ -1.01 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +10.77 \% \\ -10.60 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+5.48 \% \\ -5.44 \%\end{array}\right.$ |
| qqH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.49 \% \\ -0.49 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +19.45 \% \\ -19.43 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+8.18 \% \\ -8.17 \%\end{array}\right.$ | qqH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.466 \% \\ -0.465 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +16.66 \% \\ -16.64 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+7.46 \% \\ -7.46 \%\end{array}\right.$ |
| vvH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.40 \% \\ -0.40 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+3.91 \% \\ -3.88 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.55 \% \\ -1.54 \%\end{array}\right.$ | vvH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.402 \% \\ -0.401 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+3.94 \% \\ -3.91 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.56 \% \\ -1.55 \%\end{array}\right.$ |
| Combined | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +0.243 \% \\ -0.243 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +3.028 \% \\ -3.009 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +1.294 \% \\ -1.290 \% \end{array}\right.$ | Combined | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.266 \% \\ -0.266 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +3.496 \% \\ -3.472 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +1.443 \% \\ -1.437 \% \end{array}\right.$ |

## bb/cc/gg

|  | WW | ZZ | WW fusion <br> bb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| with bcg's ww/zz/tt: | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.29 \% \\ -1.27 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+4.93 \% \\ -5.93 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{_{-3.00 \%}^{+3.01 \%}\right.$ |
| w/o bcg's ww/zz/tt: | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.38 \% \\ -1.36 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+5.20 \% \% \\ -5.06 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{_{-2.98 \%}^{+3.00 \%}\right.$ |

Seems float zz/ww/tt affects bb/cc/gg a little.
But it can increase ww/zz precision $\sim 0.15 \%$.

| Scan | $\mu \_b b$ | $\mu \_c c$ | $\mu \_g g$ |  | $\mu \_z z$ | $\mu \_w w$ | $\mu \_t t$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eeH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +0.83 \% \\ -0.83 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +9.93 \% \\ -9.80 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +8.46 \% \\ -8.48 \% \end{array}\right.$ | precision | 44\% | 86\% | >100\% |
|  |  |  |  | events | 483 | 2386 | 26 |
| mmH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.65 \% \\ -0.65 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+8.92 \% \\ -8.86 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+7.51 \% \\ -7.52 \%\end{array}\right.$ | precision | 38\% | 71\% | >100\% |
|  |  |  |  | events | 887 | 4515 | 34 |
| qqH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+1.04 \% \\ -1.04 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+34.95 \% \\ -34.94 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+22.07 \% \\ -22.08 \%\end{array}\right.$ | precision | 95\% | 22\% | >100\% |
|  |  |  |  | events | 5963 | 43173 | 1635 |
| vvH | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +0.47 \% \\ -0.47 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +4.61 \% \\ -4.58 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+2.71 \% \\ -2.71 \%\end{array}\right.$ | precision | 62\% | 20\% | >100\% |
|  |  |  |  | events | 612 | 1573 | 108 |
| Combined | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}+0.246 \% \\ -0.246 \%\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +3.075 \% \\ -3.057 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} +1.389 \% \\ -1.388 \% \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As bb/cc/gg result changed, WW fusion bb is also changed due to the correlation. 3.1\%->3.0\%

## Correlations in Z->ee channel

When we float $\mathrm{ww} / \mathrm{zz} / \mathrm{tt}$, now we have 6 free parameters in 1 single pdf. It seems the correlation in bb/cc/gg in converted. (from negative to positive)


## $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ channel



## $Z \rightarrow q q$ channel



## $Z \rightarrow \nu v$ channel




## ZZ, Z->ee, H->ZZ->\|qq:

Bkg of these 2 channels are mainly sze_sl And it seems share the same shape with signal:

Now with bb/cc/gg ZH contribution, ZZ precision reach 5.0\%


## ZZ, Z->ee, H->ZZ->llqq:

The bkg is the cutted tail from a long smooth shape; Yuqian doesn't give the details about how he get this.

So it looks their shape is similar.



## Channels Table

Observed=tagged signal after cutflow and in fit range. All events are weighted and normalized to 5ab ${ }^{-1}$.

| Signal |  | Observed Events | Who takes charge | Precision | Signal |  | Observed Events | Who takes charge | Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | H |  |  |  | Z | H |  |  |  |
| H ->Inclusive |  |  |  |  | H->WW |  |  |  |  |
| vv | Inclusive | 164170 | Liao Libo | $\backslash$ | $\mu \mu$ | $\mu \mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{v}$ | 52 | Liao Libo | 2.6\% |
| $\mu \mu$ | Inclusive | 29552 |  |  |  | evev | 36 |  |  |
| ee | Inclusive | 22200 |  |  |  | evpr | 105 |  |  |
| H->q9 |  |  |  |  |  | evqq | 663 |  |  |
| ee | bb | 7655 18742 | Bai Yu |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{vqq}$ | 717 |  |  |
|  | cc | 351 |  |  | ee | $\mu \mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{v}$ | 44 |  | 2.8\% |
|  | gg | 1058 2563 |  |  |  | evev | 22 |  |  |
| $\mu \mu$ | bb | 11108 33253 |  |  |  | ev $\mu \mathrm{v}$ | 81 |  |  |
|  | cc | 567 1537 <br> 1762 4473 |  |  |  | evqq | 612 |  |  |
|  | gg | 17624473 |  |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{vqq}$ | 684 |  |  |
| qq | bb | 176542190768 |  |  | vv | qq9q | 10793 |  | 1.9\% |
|  | cc | 882729521 |  |  | H->ZZ |  |  |  |  |
|  | gg | 2529332048 |  |  | vv | $\mu \mu \mathrm{j}$ | 179 | Wei Yuqian | 8.2\% |
| vV | bb | 70608780608 |  |  | vv | eejj | 64 |  | 35.2\% |
|  | cc | 3061 |  |  | $\mu \mu$ | vvjj | 200 |  | 7.3\% |
|  | gg | 9633 9633 |  |  | ee | eejj | 55 |  | 35.1\% |
| $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, Z \nu$ |  |  |  |  | ee | $\mu \mu \mathrm{j} ~$ | 81 |  | 23.0\% |
| 11 | YY | 93 | Wang Feng | 24.8\% | H $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \pi$ |  |  |  |  |
| vv |  | 309 |  | 11.7\% | ee | TT | $\backslash$ | Yu Dan | 3.0\% |
| qq |  | 822 | Sun Yitian | 13.0\% | $\mu \mu$ |  | 2135 |  | 2.7\% |
| qq | Z $\gamma$ | 219 | Yao Weimin | 21.0\% | qq |  | 23168 |  | 1.9\% |
| H->Invisible |  |  |  | Br, Upper | vv |  | 8809 |  | 3.7\% |
| qq | vvvv | 202 | Mo Xin | 0.3\% | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ |  |  |  |  |
| ee |  | 8 |  | 1.1\% | qq | $\mu \mu$ | 71 | Cui Zhenwei | 15.4\% |
| $\mu \mu$ |  | 18 |  | 0.7\% | ee |  | 1 |  |  |
| vvH(WW fusion) |  |  |  |  | $\mu \mu$ |  | 4 |  |  |
| vv | bb | 10256 | Liang Hao | 3.0\% | vv |  | 14 |  |  |

## Channels Table

| Signal |  | Observed Events | Who takes charge | Precision | Signal |  | Observed Events | Who takes charge | Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | H |  |  |  | Z | H |  |  |  |
| H ->Inclusive |  |  |  |  | H->WW |  |  |  |  |
| vv | Inclusive | 164170 | Liao Libo | $\backslash$ | $\mu \mu$ | $\mu \mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{v}$ | 52 |  | 2.6\% |
| $\mu \mu$ | Inclusive | 29552 |  |  |  | evev | 36 |  |  |
| ee | Inclusive | 22200 |  |  |  | $e v \mu v$ | 105 |  |  |
| H->qq |  |  |  |  |  | evqq | 663 |  |  |
|  | bb | 655 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ee | bb | 7655 | 18742 | Bai Yu |  | Z->vv, H->WW->4q, Current sample allows more ZZ events in. We found previously we multiused $3 k$ sign events two times, so the real precision is $1.9 \%$, not 1.3\%. (WW from 1.2\% to 1.3\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CC | 351 | 838 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | gg | 1058 | 2563 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu \mu$ | bb | 11108 | 33253 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | cc | 567 | 1537 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | gg | 1762 | 4473 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| qq | bb | 176542 | 190768 |  |  | vv | q9q9 | 10793 |  | 1.9\% |
|  | cc | 8272 | 9521 |  |  |  |  | H->ZZ |  |  |
|  | gg | 25293 | 32048 |  |  | vv | $\mu \mu \mathrm{j}$ | 179 | Wei Yuqian | 8.2\% |
| vv | bb | 70608 | 70608 |  |  | VV | eejj | 64 |  | 35.2\% |
|  | cc | 3061 | 3061 |  |  | $\mu \mu$ | vvjj | 200 |  | 7.3\% |
|  | gg | 9633 | 9633 |  |  | ee | eejj | 55 |  | 35.1\% |
| $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, Z \gamma$ |  |  |  |  |  | ee | $\mu \mu \mathrm{j} j$ | 81 |  | 23.0\% |
| II | YY | 93 |  | Wang Feng | 24.8\% | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \pi$ |  |  |  |  |
| vv |  | 30 |  |  | 11.7\% | ee | TT | \} | Yu Dan | 3.0\% |
| qq |  | 82 |  | Sun Yitian | 13.0\% | $\mu \mu$ |  | 2135 |  | 2.7\% |
| qq | Z $\gamma$ | 21 |  | Yao Weimin | 21.0\% | qq |  | 23168 |  | 1.9\% |
| H ->Invisible |  |  |  |  | Br, Upper | vv |  | 8809 |  | 3.7\% |
| qq | vVVV | 20 |  | Mo Xin | 0.3\% |  |  | $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ |  |  |
| ee |  | 8 |  |  | 1.1\% | qq | $\mu \mu$ | 71 | Cui Zhenwei | 15.4\% |
| $\mu \mu$ |  | 18 | 8 |  | 0.7\% | ee |  | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vvH}(\mathrm{WW}$ fusion) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mu \mu$ |  | 4 |  |  |
| vv | bb | 102 | 56 | Liang Hao | 3.0\% | vv |  | 14 |  |  |

## Fit results

$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\hline\left(5 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}\right) & \text { Pre_CDR } & \text { Previous version } & \text { Current } & & & \\ \hline \sigma(Z H) & 0.51 \% & & 0.50 \%\end{array}\right)$

| $\operatorname{Br}_{\text {upper }}(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ inv. $)$ | $0.28 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma(Z H) * \operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow Z \gamma)$ | $\backslash$ | $4 \sigma\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{_{-2 \mathbf{2 1 . 4 \%}}^{+21.0 \%}\right)\end{array}\right.\right.$ |

10 kappa result, and Higgs width, wait Zhen to update.
Numbers are updated in git but not the text.

## Correlations in channel

## New

Old


## Fremework on Git

- Now the data seems complete
- I also migrating all my fit framework from ROOT5 to 6.
- a repository on
http://cepcgit.ihep.ac.cn/zhangkl/HiggsCombination
- Including all the data used and codes for building workspace, fitting and plotting.

