

Transverse profile for the quark distribution: k_t vs b_t





Quark distribution calculated from a saturation-inspired model A.Mueller 99, McLerran-Venugopalan 99 GPD fit to the DVCS data from HERA, Kumerick-D.Mueller, 09,10



Gluon distribution





One of the TMD gluon distributions at small-x

GPD fit to the DVCS data from HERA, Kumerick-Mueller, 09,10



Deformation when nucleon is transversely polarized





Quark Sivers function fit to the SIDIS Data, Anselmino, et al. 20009

Lattice Calculation of the IP density of Up quark, QCDSF/UKQCD Coll., 2006



Generalized Parton Distributions

Mueller, et al. 1994; Ji, 1996, Radyushkin 1996

Off-diagonal matrix elements of the quark operator (along light-cone)

$$\begin{split} F_q(x,\xi,t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \left\langle P' \left| \overline{\psi}_q \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) \not n \mathcal{P} e^{-ig \int_{\lambda/2}^{-\lambda/2} d\alpha \ n \cdot A(\alpha n)} \psi_q \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} n \right) \right| P \right\rangle \\ &= H_q(x,\xi,t) \ \frac{1}{2} \overline{U}(P') \ \not n U(P) + E_q(x,\xi,t) \ \frac{1}{2} \overline{U}(P') \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} n_\mu \Delta_\nu}{2M} U(P) \end{split}$$

It depends on quark momentum fraction x and skewness ξ, and nucleon momentum transfer t

$$\begin{split} \xi &= -n \cdot (P' - P)/2 \\ t &= \Delta^2 \equiv (P - P')^2 \end{split}$$



Access the GPDs

 Deeply virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) and deeply virtual exclusive meson production (DVEM)



In the Bjorken limit: $Q^2 >> (-t)$, Λ^2_{QCD} , M^2



DVCS kinematics vs DIS



Zoo of TMDs & GPDs

	U	L	T
U	f_1		h_1^\perp
L		g_{1L}	h_{1L}^{\perp}
Т	f_{1T}^{\perp}	g_{1T}	h_1, h_{1T}^\perp







- NOT directly accessible
- Their extractions require measurements of x-sections and

asymmetries in a large kinematic domain of x_B , t, Q^2 (GPD) and x_B , Q^2 , z (TMD)

Example: quark GPDs

Unpolarized

$$F^{q} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}z^{-}}{2\pi} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}xP^{+}z^{-}} \langle p' | \bar{q}(-\frac{1}{2}z) \gamma^{+}q(\frac{1}{2}z) | p \rangle \right|_{z^{+}=0, \mathbf{z}=0}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2P^{+}} \left[H^{q}(x,\xi,t) \bar{u}(p') \gamma^{+}u(p) + E^{q}(x,\xi,t) \bar{u}(p') \frac{\mathrm{i}\sigma^{+\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}}{2m} u(p) \right]$$

Polarized

$$\tilde{F}^{q} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z^{-}}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}xP^{+}z^{-}} \langle p' | \bar{q}(-\frac{1}{2}z) \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} q(\frac{1}{2}z) | p \rangle \bigg|_{z^{+}=0, \mathbf{z}=0}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2P^{+}}\left[\tilde{H}^{q}(x,\xi,t)\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}u(p)+\tilde{E}^{q}(x,\xi,t)\bar{u}(p')\frac{\gamma_{5}\varDelta^{+}}{2m}u(p)\right]$$



Forward limit

Reduce to the normal PDFs

 $H^{q}(x,0,0) = q(x), \quad \tilde{H}^{q}(x,0,0) = \Delta q(x) \quad \text{for } x > 0$ $H^{q}(x,0,0) = -\bar{q}(-x), \quad \tilde{H}^{q}(x,0,0) = \Delta \bar{q}(-x) \quad \text{for } x < 0$



Sum rules

Г

BERKELEY

Integral over x lead to form factors

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^{q}(x,\xi,t) = F_{1}^{q}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x E^{q}(x,\xi,t) = F_{2}^{q}(t)$$

$$\langle p'|\bar{q}(0)\gamma^{\mu}q(0)|p\rangle = \bar{u}(p')\left[F_1^q(t)\gamma^{\mu} + F_2^q(t)\frac{\mathrm{i}\sigma^{\mu\alpha}\Delta_{\alpha}}{2m}\right]u(p)$$

Form factors have been used to constrain GPDs:



Polynomiality:

Moments (x) are polynomial in skewness

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \, x^{n} H^{q}(x,\xi,t) = \sum_{\substack{i=0\\\text{even}}}^{n} (2\xi)^{i} A_{n+1,i}^{q}(t) + \mathrm{mod}(n,2) (2\xi)^{n+1} C_{n+1}^{q}(t)$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \, x^{n} E^{q}(x,\xi,t) = \sum_{\substack{i=0\\\text{even}}}^{n} (2\xi)^{i} B^{q}_{n+1,i}(t) - \mathrm{mod}(n,2) (2\xi)^{n+1} C^{q}_{n+1}(t)$$



7/16/18

One particular example: Ji sum rule

$$\int (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{J}_q = \mathbf{1}/2 \, \Delta \Sigma + \mathbf{L}_z \qquad \qquad \mathbf{J}_{i,96}$$
$$A_q(t) + B_q(t) = \int_{-1}^1 dx \, x [H_q(x,\xi,t) + E_q(x,\xi,t)]$$

Define the gravitational form factors

$$\langle p'|T_{q,g}^{\mu\nu}|p\rangle = A_{q,g}(t)\bar{u}P^{(\mu}\gamma^{\nu)}u + B_{q,g}(t)\bar{u}\frac{P^{(\mu}i\sigma^{\nu)\alpha}\Delta_{\alpha}}{2m}u$$



7/16/18

Evolutions





100

Example: non-singlet case

$$\frac{D_Q F_{NS}(x,\xi,Q^2)}{D \ln Q^2} = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y} P_{NS}\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\xi}{y},\frac{\epsilon}{y}\right) F_{NS}(y,\xi,Q^2)$$
$$P_{NS}(x,\xi,\epsilon) = C_F \frac{x^2 + 1 - 2\xi^2}{(1-x+i\epsilon)(1-\xi^2)}$$

• Reduces to DGLAP evolution at $\xi=0$



Experiments: DVCS and BH



BH amplitude depends on form factors



7/16/18

103

Hand-back diagram for DVCS



$$T^{\mu\nu} = g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \left(\frac{1}{x - \xi + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\epsilon} \right) \sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} F_{q}(x, \xi, t, Q^{2})$$



7/16/18

104

In the end, the differential cross section will depend on the BH, DVCS, and their interference

$\mathcal{T}^2 = |\mathcal{T}_{BH}|^2 + |\mathcal{T}_{DVCS}|^2 + \mathcal{T}_{DVCS}\mathcal{T}_{BH}^* + \mathcal{T}_{DVCS}^*\mathcal{T}_{BH}$



Azimuthal angular distribution

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}|^2 &= \frac{e^6}{x_{\rm B}^2 y^2 (1+\epsilon^2)^2 \Delta^2 \mathcal{P}_1(\phi) \mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \\ &\times \left\{ c_0^{\rm BH} + \sum_{n=1}^2 c_n^{\rm BH} \cos\left(n\phi\right) + s_1^{\rm BH} \sin\left(\phi\right) \right\}, \\ |\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}|^2 &= \frac{e^6}{y^2 \mathcal{Q}^2} \left\{ c_0^{\rm DVCS} + \sum_{n=1}^2 [c_n^{\rm DVCS} \cos(n\phi) + s_n^{\rm DVCS} \sin(n\phi)] \right\} \\ \mathcal{I} &= \frac{\pm e^6}{x_{\rm B} y^3 \mathcal{P}_1(\phi) \mathcal{P}_2(\phi) \Delta^2} \left\{ c_0^{\mathcal{I}} + \sum_{n=1}^3 [c_n^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(n\phi) + s_n^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(n\phi)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

BERKELEY L



Extract the GPDs

- The theoretical framework has been well established
 - Perturbative QCD corrections at NLO
 - However, GPDs depend on x,ξ,t, it is much more difficult than PDFs (only depends on x)
 - There will be model dependence at the beginning



One example: H(x,x,t)



D. Mueller, et al, 09, 10

log(x

Small-x range constrained by HERA, uncertainties at large-x shall be very much reduced with Jlab 12 GeV COMPASS, and the planed EIC

Of course, there are also other GPDs, in particular, the GPD E 0.

b[fm]

Power counting of Large x structure

- Drell-Yan-West (1970) $F_1(q^2) \xrightarrow[q^2 \to -\infty]{} (-1/q^2)^n \longrightarrow \nu W_2(x) \xrightarrow[x \to 1]{} (1-x)^{2n-1}$
- Farrar-Jackson (1975)

$$\nu W_2^{\pi} \sim (1-x)^2$$
 and $\nu W_2^{\mu} \sim (1-x)^3$

Brodsky-Lepage (1979)

$$G_{q^{+}/p^{+}} \sim (1-x)^{3}$$
; $G_{q^{+}/p^{+}} \sim (1-x)^{5}$

Brodsky-Burkardt-Shmidt (1995) fit the polarized structure functions.



Large-x power counting for the GPDs





Where is the *t*-dependence



- In the leading order, there is no t-dependence
- Any t-dependence is suppressed by a factor (1-x)²



Power counting results for pion GPD

• in the limit of $x \rightarrow 1$,

$$H_q^{\pi}(x,\xi,t) \propto \frac{(1-x)^2}{1-\xi^2}$$

$$H_{q}^{\pi}(x,\xi,t) = \frac{1}{1-\xi^{2}}q^{\pi}(x)$$

We can approximate the GPD with forward PDF at large x,



GPDs for nucleon





Helicity non-flip amplitude

The propagator

$$\frac{1}{2P \cdot (k_1 + k_2)} = \frac{1 - x}{\langle \vec{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle (1 + \xi)} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}((1 - x)^2) \frac{t}{\langle \vec{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle} + \cdots \right]$$

Forward PDF

Power behavior

$$\mathcal{H}_{11} = \frac{1}{(1-\xi^2)^2} q(x) \sim \frac{(1-x)^3}{(1-\xi^2)^2}$$



Helicity flip amplitude

• Since hard scattering conserves quark helicity, to get the helicity flip amplitude, one needs to consider the hadron wave function with one-unit of orbital angular momentum



 In the expansion of the amplitude at small transverse momentum I₂, additional suppression of (1-x)² will arise

$$\frac{1}{(k_2 - x_3 P - l_\perp)^2} = \frac{1}{(k_2 - x_3 P)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\beta (1 - x)^2 \vec{\Delta}_\perp \cdot \vec{l}_\perp}{(1 + \xi)^2 \vec{k}_{2\perp}^2} \right]$$



• Two kinds of expansions Propagator: $(1-x)^5(1+\xi^2)/(1-\xi^2)^4$ Wave function: $(1-x)^5/(1-\xi^2)^4$

• The power behavior for the helicity flip amplitude

$$\mathcal{H}_{\downarrow\downarrow} \sim (\Delta_{\perp}^{x} + i\Delta_{\perp}^{y}) \frac{(1-x)^{5}}{(1-\xi^{2})^{4}} f(\xi)$$

• GPD E

$$E_q(x,\xi,t) \sim \frac{(1-x)^{\circ}}{(1-\xi^2)^3} f(\xi)$$

Forward PDF

• GPD H

$$H_q(x,\xi,t) = \frac{1}{(1-\xi^2)^2}q(x)$$



Summary for the GPDs' power prediction

No *t*-dependence at leading order
Power behavior at large x

$$H_{q}^{\pi}(x,\xi,t) = \frac{1}{1-\xi^{2}}q^{\pi}(x) \sim (1-x)^{2}$$

Forward PDF
$$H_{q}(x,\xi,t) = \frac{1}{(1-\xi^{2})^{2}}q^{\pi}(x) \sim (1-x)^{3}$$

$$E_q(x,\xi,t) = \frac{(1-x)^5}{(1-\xi^2)^3} f(\xi)$$

BERKELEY LAB

Log(1-x) should also show up

TMD Parton Distributions

The definition contains explicitly the gauge links

Collins-Soper 1981, Collins 2002, Belitsky-Ji-Yuan 2002

$$f(x,k_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\xi^{-}d^{2}\xi_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{-i(\xi^{-}k^{+}-\vec{\xi}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{k}_{\perp})} \\ \times \langle PS|\overline{\psi}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp})L_{\xi_{\perp}}^{\dagger}(\xi^{-})\gamma^{+}L_{0}(0)\psi(0)|PS\rangle$$

The polarization and kt dependence provide rich structure in the quark and gluon distributions

□ Mulders-Tangerman 95, Boer-Mulders 98



Transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) Parton distributions

- Generalize Feynman parton distribution q(x)by including the transverse momentum. $q(x,k_T)$
- At small k_T, the transverse-momentum dependence is generated by soft nonperturbative physics.
- At large k_T , the k-dependence can be calculated in perturbative QCD and falls like powers of $1/k_T^2$



Transverse momentum dependent parton distribution

- Straightforward extension
 - Spin average, helicity, and transversity distributions
- Transverse momentum-spin correlations
 Nontrivial distributions, S_TXP_T
 In quark model, depends on S- and P-wave interference



Transverse momentum dependent parton distribution

Leading Twist TMDs

Straightforward extension

- Spin average, helicity, and transversity distributions
- P_{T} -spin correlations

 Nontrivial distributions, S_TXP_T

In quark model, depends on S- and P-wave



: Nucleon Spin

: Quark Spin



Quark Sivers function leads to an azimuthal asymmetric distribution of quark in the transverse plane



Where can we learn TMDs

- Semi-inclusive hadron production in deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS)
- Drell-Yan lepton pair, photon pair productions in pp scattering
- Dijet correlation in DIS
- Relevant e+e- annihilation processes



Semi-inclusive DIS Φ_{S} Novel Single Spin Asymmetries $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} \propto S_{\perp} \frac{\sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 \delta_q(x) H_1^{\perp}(z)}{\sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 q(x) D_1(z)}$ $z \stackrel{lab}{=} \frac{E_h}{\nu}$ Collins: U: unpolarized beam $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \propto S_{\perp} \frac{\sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 f_{1T}^{\perp,q}(x) \cdot D_1(z)}{\sum_{q,\bar{q}} e_q^2 q(x) D_1(z)}$ Sivers: T: transversely polarized target

125

7/15/18

.....

Two major contributions

Sivers effect in the distribution



 $S_T \rightarrow P S_T (PXk_T)$



Other contributions...



Universality of the Collins Fragmentation







 P_A, S_\perp

ep--> e Pi X ete

e⁺e⁻--> Pi Pi X

pp--> jet(->Pi) X

Metz 02, Collins-Metz 02, Yuan 07,

Gamberg-Mukherjee-Mulders 08,10 Meissner-Metz 0812.3783 Vuan-Zhou, 0903.4680 Exps: BELLE, BESIII, HERMES, JLab STAR at RHIC 127

Collins asymmetries in SIDIS



Summarized in the EIC Write-up



7/16/18

Collins effects in e⁺e⁻



Sivers effect is different

- It is the final state interaction providing the phase to a nonzero SSA
- Non-universality in general
- Only in special case, we have "Special Universality"

Brodsky,Hwang,Schmidt 02 Collins, 02; Ji,Yuan,02; Belitsky,Ji,Yuan,02





TMD Parton Distributions

The gauge invariant definition

$$f(x,k_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\xi^{-}d^{2}\xi_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{-i(\xi^{-}k^{+}-\vec{\xi}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{k}_{\perp})} \\ \times \langle PS|\overline{\psi}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp})L_{\xi_{\perp}}^{\dagger}(\xi^{-})\gamma^{+}L_{0}(0)\psi(0)|PS\rangle$$

In Feynman gauge





Where does the gauge link come from?

Factorizable multiple gluon interactions





Example: FSI in DIS



 $\underline{k} \qquad \int \frac{d^4 k_g}{(2\pi)^4} \overline{u}(k) (-ig\gamma^{\alpha}T_a) \frac{i(\not k - \not k_g)}{(k - k_g)^2 + i\epsilon} \Gamma \\ \times \langle n|\psi(0)A_{a\alpha}(k_g)|P \rangle$

The leading contribution comes from A^+ , and taking the leading term with $k^- \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_g^+ \frac{i}{-k_g^+ + i\epsilon} A^+(k_g) = \int_0^\infty d\xi^- A^+(\xi^-)$$

This is just the leading order expansion of the exponential gauge link

Summing all final state gluon interactions will lead to the final gauge link in the parton distribution definition



Initial state interaction in Drell-Yan



$$\int \frac{d^4k_g}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{v}(k) (-ig\gamma^{\alpha}T_a) \frac{-i(\not k + \not k_g)}{(k+k_g)^2 + i\epsilon} \Gamma \times \langle n|\psi(0)A_{a\alpha}(k_g)|P \rangle$$

The leading contribution comes from A^+ , and taking the leading term with $k^- \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_g^+ \frac{i}{-k_g^+ - i\epsilon} A^+(k_g) = \int_0^{-\infty} d\xi^- A^+(\xi^-)$$

 This leads to the gauge link in Drell-Yan process goes to -1, instead of +1 in DIS
 Consequence is the Sivers functions change sign for these two processes



In light-cone gauge

Additional gauge link is needed to ensure the gauge invariance of the definition

$$\Delta L = P \exp\left(-ig \int_0^\infty d\xi_\perp \cdot A_\perp(\xi^- = \infty, \xi_\perp)\right)$$

Which can also be derived from the previous diagrams



Sivers asymmetries in SIDIS



BERKELEY

Jlab Hall A ³He dat

Non-zero Sivers (Observed in SIDI

136

DIS and Drell-Yan

Initial state vs. final state interactions





TMD predictions rely on

- Non-perturbative TMDs constrained from experiments
- QCD evolutions, in particular, respect to the hard momentum scale Q
 - Strong theory/phenomenological efforts in the last few years
 - Need more exp. data/lattice calculations



Collins-Soper-Sterman Resummation

Large Logs are resummed by solving the energy evolution equation of the TMDs

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q} f(k_{\perp}, Q) = (K(q_{\perp}, \mu) + G(Q, \mu)) \otimes f(k_{\perp}, Q)$$

K and G obey the renormalization group eq.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} K = -\gamma_K = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} G$$



Collins-Soper 81, Collins-Soper-Sterman 85

Solving the evolution equations

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f}_{q}^{(sub.)}(x,b,\zeta^{2}=\rho Q^{2};\mu_{F}=Q) &= e^{-S_{pert}^{q}(Q,b_{*})-S_{NP}^{q}(Q,b)}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{q}\left(\alpha_{s}(Q);\rho\right)\\ \text{Sudakov form factor (perturbative)} &\times \sum_{i} C_{q/i}(\mu_{b}/\mu)\otimes f_{i}(x,\mu) \ ,\\ \text{Non-perturbative input} &= \text{Universal C-function}\\ & C_{q/q'}(x) = \delta_{qq'}\left[\delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}(1-x)\right]\\ \text{ scheme-dept.} & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{q}^{\text{JCC}}\left(\alpha_{s}(Q)\right) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2})\\ & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{q}^{\text{JMY}}\left(\alpha_{s}(Q);\rho\right) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}\left(\ln\rho - \frac{\ln^{2}\rho}{2} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{2} - 2\right)\\ & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{q}^{\text{Lat.}}\left(\alpha_{s}(Q)\right) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}\left(-2\right) \end{split}$$



Unpolarized quark distribution



BERKELEY LAD

Describe well the exp. data

Sun-Issacson-Yuan-Yuan, 2014



Sivers asymetries in SIDIS with Evolution Sun, Yuan, PRD 2013 Prokudin-Sun-Yuan, in progress



Predictions at RHIC



7/16/18

Sun, Yuan, PRD 2013

Additional theory uncertainties: x-dependence of the TMDs comes from a fit to fixed target drell-yan and w/z production at Tevatron ---Nadolsky et al.

144



Transition from Perturbative region to Nonperturbative region

Compare different region of P_T





Perturbative tail is calculable

Transverse momentum dependence



A unified picture (leading pt/Q)



Compared to the collinear factorization

Simplification

Of the cross section in the region of pt<<Q, only keep the leading term

Extension

To the small pt region, where the collinear factorization suffer large logarithms

Resummation can be done

