PFA-Enhanced Dual Readout Crystal Calorimetry

Stephen Magill - ANL Hans Wenzel - FNAL

Outline :

Motivation Detector Parameters Use of a PFA in Dual Readout Crystal Calorimetry Summary

Motivation

- Development of clear, dense crystals (PbWO, BGO, PbF, . . .) with both scintillator and cerenkov response
 - -> Cerenkov response is prompt, short λ
 - -> Scintillator response has longer time, longer λ

7-9 g/cc densities -> 5-6 λ_{I} total absorption crystal calorimetry in, e.g., CDF barrel calorimeter volume

- Development of photodetectors (SiPM, APD, . . .)
 - -> for scintillator response, small area (1 mm²) SiPMs
 - -> for cerenkov response, development of (thin) large area (~1 inch²) detectors

On-crystal photodetectors -> highly segmented and granular calorimeter

Cerenkov/scintillator response ratio correction optimizes energy resolution of calorimeter objects Resulting high-purity particle shower content per calorimeter cell -> Use of PFA algorithms to categorize clusters

Dual Readout Calorimeter Detector Parameters

Dual Readout Calorimeter in SiD02 Shell (Barrel and EC)
DR ECAL3 cm x 3 cm x 3 cm BGO5 cm x 5 cm x 6 cm BGO8 layers - 21.4 X_0 (1.1 λ_1)17 layers - 4.6 λ I127 cm IR - 151 cm OR151 cm IR - 253 cm ORScin/Ceren analog hitsScin/Ceren analog hits



PFA-enhanced Dual Readout Procedure

- Apply threshold, timing cuts to both scintillator and cerenkov hit cells
- Extrapolate charged particle tracks to calorimeter and use cerenkov hits to define a "mip" cluster and spacepoint at start of shower
- Cluster remaining cells using Nearest-Neighbor cluster algorithm
- Correct each cluster using C/S ratio (+ corrections for clustering, thresholds)
- Apply PFAs to match clusters with tracks
 - -> Core cluster algorithm
 - -> Cluster pointing algorithm
 - -> Track/Shower cluster algorithm
- Find jets from Tracks, Clusters, PFA Particles
- Link track jets to Cluster, PFA jets
- Make ΔM corrections to Cluster, PFA jets using linked tracks
- Determine DiJet mass from jets

Threshold/Timing Cuts on Calorimeter hits

e+e--> ZZ -> vvqq @ 500 GeV

Scintillator Hits

dE/dx ~ 30, 60 MeV per mip

Threshold $\sim 1/50$ mip Timing t<100 ns

Cerenkov Hits

Similar (magnitude) threshold Timing t<100 ns

Mip Cluster/Interaction SpacePoint Algorithm



5/11/2010

C/S Corrections

Missing part of had fraction

S/E

1.00

0.95

0.90 0.85

0.80

0.75

0.70

0.65

0.60

0.55

0.50

5, 10, 20, 50, 100 GeV pions

0.9

1.0

C/S



Mean and σ /mean of fit plotted for each C/S bin -> resolution improves with C/S







500.

400

300

200

100

1.2

Scint over E bin .75

0.6 0.8 1.0

Entries: 3255

Mean: 0.76232

ms: 0.063478





Scint over E bin .85

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0



Entries: 2667

Mean: 0.82118

R<mark>%</mark>s:0.067196

1.2









Scint over E bin .95

Scint over E bin .65

Entries : 2579

Mean: 071756

0.8 1.0

1.2

Rms : 0.061175



CALOR 2010

0.2

0.4

600

500

400

300.

200

100 0

0.45 0.40 1 = .315 + .684(C/S) 0.35 $P2 = .677 - .439(C/S) + .762(C/S)^2$ 0.30 $P3 = .506 + .608(C/S) - 1.050(C/S)^2 - .935(C/S)^3$ $P4 = .577 - .149(C/S) + 1.464(C/S)^2 - 2.302(C/S)^3 + 1.410(C/S)^4$ 0.25 0.20 0.0 0.1 02 0.3 04 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 S (e calibrated scintillator response) -> em and had visible energy C (e calibrated cerenkov response) -> ~ em part of shower $C/S = \sim em$ fraction of visible energy

S/E = total visible energy fraction

5/11/2010

7

Clustering Corrections

C over S per Cluster



Uncorr Scintillator Clus ESum



Single particle 20 GeV pions NN clustering (4 hit min)

Using all clusters, mean of C/S-corrected ESum is 19.0 GeV -> correction for clustering of

-> correction for clustering of 1.05



gauss



σ /mean ~ 25%/ \sqrt{E}

5/11/2010

Cluster and C/S corrections

Single Particle 20 GeV pions NN clustering (4 hit min)



Contains perfect reconstructed particles (from MC gen and sim) and C/S-corrected Clusters with 4-hit minimum

5/11/2010

PFA Performance – Track/CAL Cluster Match

90 r

80

70

60

50

40

30

50

40

30

20

10 - T

0

2

4

Number of Mip Clusters



Number of ILSP Clusters



20 0 2 Δ 6 8 10 12 Number of Track Cluster Matches per Event Entries: 426 90 Mean: 4.3216 80 Rms: 2.4161 70 60

Number of Tr Core Clusters

426

Entries 1

Mean : 5.8685

Rms: 2.5085

e+e⁻ -> ZZ -> vvqq @ 500 GeV

tracks = # mip clus
(but sometimes start of
shower is layer 0, so mip
cluster has 0 hits)

Track Core clusters lie on extrapolated track

Clusters pointing to the end of the mip cluster (ILSP Clusters) are rare after cores are removed

4.3 Tracks per event (19%) are matched to clusters by PFA

5/11/2010

CALOR 2010

6

8

10

12

14

16

PFA Cluster Purities



MC Purity for ILSP Clusters





E over p of Track Cal Cluster

Entries : 2491

Mean: 0.88945

Rms: 0.21375

0.8

1.0

e+e- -> ZZ -> vvqq @ 500 GeV

Purity of mip clusters is > 98%

Purity of core clusters ~90%

Purity of ILSP clusters is > 96%

Final E/p range for matched clusters determined by CAL resolution for charged pions

5/11/2010



Mip clusters, core clusters, pointing clusters, and shower clusters

Final Track/Cal Cluster matches -> Track 4-vectors are used in PFA, clusters are removed

5/11/2010

Difference -> DiJet Mass – qq Mass



e⁺e⁻ -> ZZ -> vvqq @ 500 GeV

ΔM Corrections with and without PFA



Track on left, large difference between track 4-vector at origin and 4-vector from cluster -> IP, but PFA match chance is high, so correct 4-vector is used Tracks on right, smaller differences, but lower chance of matching due to overlap, so ΔM correction must be made 5/11/2010 **CALOR 2010** 15

Effect of ΔM Correction on Jet Masses

All-Track △M for C/Scorrected cluster jets

Unmatched Track ΔM for C/S-corrected + PFA jets



Use of PFA results in smaller mass per jet

CALOR 2010

60

80

e⁺e⁻ -> ZZ -> vvqq @ 500 GeV DiJet Mass + ΔM Correction CS Clus DiJet Mass (+dM) PFA DiJet Mass (+dM) CS Clus DiJet Mass dM PFA DiJet Mass dM 320-320 T gauss gauss 300-300 CS Clus DiJet Mass dM PFA DiJet Mass dM 280 280-Entries : 4338 Entries : 4338 Mean : 91.415 Mean : 90.003 260 260-Rms: 9.6241 Rms: 9.7468 240 240gauss gauss amplitude : 236.13±5.20 amplitude : 244.42±5.40 220 220mean: 91.992±0.11 mean: 90.736±0.11 200 6.8359±0.105 200-6.5661±0.102 sigma : siama : 3.0693 3.0840 180 180-160-160-140 140-120 120-100 100-80 80-60 60-40 40-20 20 0 60 100 120 130 140 110 120 130 140 40 50 70 80 90 110 40 50 60 70 80 90 C/S-corrected Clusters **PFA-enhanced Clusters** 19% improvement $\sigma/M = 0.061$ σ/M = 0.075 5/11/2010 **CALOR 2010** 17

Difference -> DiJet Mass – qq Mass + ΔM Correction







PFA (+ Δ M) – qq mass @ E_{qq} = 104 GeV



PFA DiJet Mass qq mass

 $\sigma/M = 0.037$

PFA Track/CAL Cluster match algorithms find a larger percentage of isolated clusters matched to tracks -> 23% of the tracks in these events compared to 19% for qq at 500 GeV

1017

-0.70962

5.2794

2.8132

30

5/11/2010

Summary

- A total absorption calorimeter using dense crystals and employing dual readout of both scintillator and cerenkov light has been simulated and used to study high energy e⁺e⁻ interactions.
- Because of the high segmentation and granularity of the crystal calorimeter configuration, high purity of particle contribution per calorimeter cell was obtained -> PFA approach to event reconstruction.
- Dual Readout corrections were applied to pion shower fragments from a NN cluster algorithm, resulting in an energy resolution stochastic term of ~24%/√E for single pions.
- Modular PFAs developed for a pixelized sandwich calorimeter have been used without modification in the crystal calorimeter including :

Determination of the starting layer of hadron showers

Matching of core clusters to tracks

Cluster pointing algorithms

Iterative track shower association with E/p evaluation

Using the PFA-enhanced approach along with the DR corrections to clusters and mass corrections to jets, improvement of the dijet mass resolution in the range of 5-19% has been obtained when compared to the non-PFA reconstruction.

5/11/2010