## Optimising top-quark pair-production threshold scan at future e+e- colliders







### Motivation



Threshold scan is assumed to be the most precise method to determine the top quark mass.

Baseline scenario assumes 10 scan points with 10 fb<sup>-1</sup> each

H.Abramowicz et al. (CLICdp Collaboration), *Top-Quark Physics at the CLIC Electron-Positron Linear Collider*, **JHEP 11 (2019) 003, arXiv:1807.02441**,





### Motivation



Dedicated study for CLIC indicates that the statistical precision of the measurement is around 20 MeV

However, this is based on a 2-D mass-width fit...

H.Abramowicz et al. (CLICdp Collaboration), *Top-Quark Physics at the CLIC Electron-Positron Linear Collider*, **JHEP 11 (2019) 003, arXiv:1807.02441**,





### Motivation







Beneke, M. et al. "Near-threshold production of heavy quarks with QQbar\_threshold," Comput. Phys. Commun. 209, 96–115 (2016).



## Luminosity spectra



For CLIC we use luminosity spectra with 90% bunch charge

UW

K. Nowak, A. F. Żarnecki Optimising top-quark pair-production.



Assume 10 measurements at the threshold, with 1 GeV step in energy, with 10 fb<sup>-1</sup> taken at each energy point (100 fb<sup>-1</sup> total).



Generate statistical fluctuation assuming 70.2% event reconstruction efficiency and background level (remaining after cuts) corresponding to the 73 fb

K. Seidel et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2530 [arXiv:1303.3758]





## Fit procedure

For each generated data set (pseudo-experiment)  $\chi^2$  value is calculated for different parameter values (different templates)

Quadratic dependence of the  $\chi^2$  value on the model parameters is fitted to find the best fit parameter values and the estimated uncertainty (corresponding to  $\Delta\chi=1$ )

Fits resulting in the parameter values outside the range used to generate templates are ignored.

More details on the fit method: arXiv:2103.00522



# Systematic uncertainties



Optimising top-quark pair-production.



### Baseline fit results



K. Nowak, A. F. Żarnecki Optimising top-quark pair-production.



### Baseline fit results



K. Nowak, A. F. Żarnecki Optimising top-quark pair-production.





## Scan optimization

16.03.2021





## Genetic algorithm







## Genetic algorithm

Each measurement point makes a chromosome. We assume total luminosity is always 100 fb<sup>-1</sup> and is equally distributed.



Fits resulting in the parameter values outside the range used to generate templates are ignored.



Recombination between 2 homologous chromosomes

#### We add 5% chance to drop any of measurement points.



# Mass and Width optimization





![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

## "Optimized scenarios

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### K. Nowak, A. F. Żarnecki Optimising top-quark pair-production.

19

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Strong coupling uncertainty 0.001 Background uncertainty 2%

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

K. Nowak, A. F. Żarnecki Optimising top-quark pair-production.

21

## Influence of luminosity spectra

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Assuming same background and efficiency, no polarisation

16.03.2021

## Influence of luminosity spectra

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Assuming same background and efficiency, no polarisation

16.03.2021

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Conclusions

#### **Top-quark mass**

can be extracted with ~25 MeV statistical uncertainty even in the most general approach, when expected parameter constraints are taken into account.

#### **Scan optimization**

Statistical uncertainty of the extracted top-quark mass can be reduced by ~25%, without losing precision in width or Yukawa determination For more results see arXiv:2103.00522

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Backup slides

16.03.2021

## What is the algorithm looking for?

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)