

The 6th workshop on the XYZ particles, Fudan University, 11-13 Jan, 2020



Recent pentaquark results at LHCb



Zhenwei Yang (杨振伟) 清华大学 2020.01.11

Outline



The year 1995

The Nobel Prize in Physics 1995



tion © University of California Regent Frederick Reines Prize share: 1/2

The Nobel Prize in Physics 1995 was awarded "for pioneering experimental contributions to lepton physics" jointly with one half to Martin L. Perl "for the discovery of the tau lepton" and with one half to Frederick Reines "for the detection of the neutrino."

For the discovery of two fundamental particles τ and v_{τ}



The 6th quark discovered at Fermilab





51 Pegasi b · Discovered Oct 06, 1995

Discovery of an exoplanet orbiting a solar-type star

The 2019 Physics Laureates

This year's Physics Laureates are awarded "for contributions to our understanding of the evolution of the universe and Earth's place in the cosmos", with one half to James Peebles "for theoretical discoveries in physical cosmology" and the other half jointly to Michel Mayor and Didier Queloz "for the discovery of an exoplanet orbiting a solar-type star."



III. Niklas Elmehed. © Nobel Media.

Pholo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Martin L. Perl Prize share: 1/2

The year 1995













双休日是指一般都是在周六以及周日放假休息的时间, 包括大人工作休息以及小孩学习休息。

我国自1995年5月1日起实行双休日。2015年5月1日,是我国双休日制度实行的第20年。





Important Events

Apr 19 Oklahoma City bombing - a truck bomb at Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building kills 168 & injures 500

May 11 In New York City, more than 170 countries decide to extend the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty indefinitely and without conditions.

Bombs, massacres, wars and efforts to cease them

Jul 23 Comet Hale-Bopp is discovered and becomes visible to the naked eye nearl later

Dec 14 Yugoslav Wars: The Dayton Agreement is signed in Paris by leaders of vari governments ending the conflict in former Yugoslavia





The year 1995

Possible effects of color screening and large string tension in heavy quarkonium spectra

Yi-Bing Ding, Kuang-Ta Chao, and Dan-Hua Qin Phys. Rev. D **51**, 5064 – Published 1 May 1995

2020/01/11

Zhenwei Yang, Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua

Origin of LHCb: 1995

CERN/LHCC 95-5 LHCC/ I 8 25 August 1995

Last update 28 March 1996

LETTER OF INTENT

LHC-B

A Dedicated LHC Collider Beauty Experiment for Precision Measurements of CP-Violation

Abstract

The LHC-B Collaboration proposes to build a forward collider detector dedicated to the study of CP violation and other rare phenomena in the decays of Beauty particles. The forward geometry results in an average 80 GeV momentum of reconstructed B-mesons and, with multiple, efficient and redundant triggers, yields large event samples. B-hadron decay products are efficiently identified by Ring-Imaging Cerenkov Counters, rendering a wide range of multiparticle final states accessible and providing precise measurements of all angles, α,β and γ of the unitarity triangle. The LHC-B microvertex detector capabilities facilitate multi-vertex event reconstruction and proper-time measurements with an expected few-percent uncertainty, permitting measurements of B_s-mixing well beyond the largest conceivable values of x_s . LHC-B would be fully operational at the startup of LHC and requires only a modest luminosity to reveal its full performance potential.

CKM matrix (quark-mixing matrix) & the unitarity triangles



... dedicated to the study of CPV and other rare phenomena in the decays of Beauty particles.

... precise measurements of the CKM angles ...

Physics at LHCb (now)



The LHCb detector

JINST 3 (2008) S08005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 1530022



Pros of hadron spectroscopy at LHCb



Data taking (run1+run2)



- > A huge amount of $b\overline{b}$ and $c\overline{c}$ have been produced
 - ~ $10^{12} b\overline{b}$
 - $\sim 10^{13} \, c \bar{c}$
- Many impressive results have been achieved

More than 9 fb⁻¹ accumulated in Run1+Run2

Pentaquarks



Normal hadrons and exotic hadrons

- Possible existence of exotic hadrons was proposed by Gell-Mann and Zweig at the birth of quark model
- Normal hadrons and exotic hadrons





Many searches for pentaquarks in the past 50 years, but no convincing experimental evidence before LHCb

$\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p K^-$ decays

First observed by LHCb as a potential background for $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$

• Large signal yield found, used for Λ_b^0 lifetime measurement PRL 111, 102003



Tsinghua group then used this decay to measure A⁰_b production cross-sections



PRL 115 (2015) 072001

Observation of pentaquarks

> Two P_c^+ states observed in full amplitude analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ decays

> Best fit gives
$$J^P = (\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^+)$$

• $J^P = (\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^-)$ & $(\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^-)$ not excluded

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Width (MeV)	Fraction(%)
$P_{c}(4380)^{+}$	$4380 \pm 8 \pm 29$	$205 \pm 18 \pm 86$	$8.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 4.2$
$P_{c}(4450)^{+}$	$4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5$	$39 \pm 5 \pm 19$	$4.1\pm0.5\pm1.1$

 $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to P_c(4380)^+ K^-) \mathcal{B}(P_c^+ \to J/\psi p) = (2.66 \pm 0.22 \pm 1.33^{+0.48}_{-0.38}) \times 10^{-5}$ $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to P_c(4450)^+ K^-) \mathcal{B}(P_c^+ \to J/\psi p) = (1.30 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.35^{+0.23}_{-0.18}) \times 10^{-5}$

Chin. Phys. C40 (2016) 011001







2020/01/11

Structure of the pentaquarks?

Tightly-bound $M_{P_c^+} = M_{J/\psi} + M_p + \sim 400 \text{ MeV}$



Fall-apart decay:

- Wide states?
- What slows it down to make $P_c(4450)^+$ narrow? *L* between diquarks?
- $P_c(4380)^+$ S = 1, L = 0 broad, $P_c(4450)^+$ S = 0, L = 1 narrow

Spectrum

• Many states expected (*n*, *L*, *S*)

Maiani et al, PLB749 (2015) 289 Lebed, PLB749 (2015) 454 Anisovich et al, PLB749 (2015) 454 and others

Update with full run1+run2 data

- > We planned to update the results to using the full data sets
 - To determine the *J*^{*P*} quantum numbers
 - To better determine other properties

Improved selection

- Exploit features of *B*-hadron decays
 - High $p_{\rm T}$
 - Detached from primary vertex
 - Hadron ID information



- Selection improved with better uses of hadron ID
 - Hadron ID information used as input variables of MVA
 A much powerful MVA selector is achieved
 - Hadron ID used to help vetoing mis-ID backgrounds $\checkmark B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- \pi^+, B^0_s \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$, et al.
- Efficiency increased by a factor of two
 - With a background fraction similar to the previous publication



Data consistency check

 $\Lambda_h^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda^* (\to K^- p)$ $\Lambda_h^0 \to K^- P_c^+ (\to J/\psi p)$ PRL 115, 072001 (2015) PRL 115, 072001 (2015) Candidates/(15 MeV) 🗕 data LHCb total fit background Run 1 Pc(4450) (old selection) +-- Λ(1405) - ···· Λ(1520) Λ(1600) Λ(1670) Δ(1690 --*-- Λ(1800) --⊡-- Λ(1810) ···*·· Λ(1820) --**y**--- Λ(1830) ---▲--- Λ(1890) ----- A(2100) 200 ---<u>Δ</u>--- Λ(2110) 2.2 2.4 m_{Kp} [GeV] Candidates/(15.MeV) MeV 10006 data LHCb background Run 1 + 2 ഹ P_c(4450) Candidates/(15 ⁶⁰⁰⁰ ⁰⁰⁰⁰ (new selection) $P_{c}(4380)$ $\Lambda(1405)$ Λ(1520 Λ(1600) ٨(1670) A(1800) 8000 $\Lambda(1810)$ A(1820) 6000 3000F (1830) ۸(1890 4000 2000**F** ----- Λ(2100) ---<u>A</u>--- Λ(2110) 2000 1000 m_{K_D} [GeV]



PRL 115 (2015) 072001 PRL122 (2019) 222001

6D amplitude analysis fit to masses and decay angles

- > Fit with the same amplitude model to the full data sample
 - It gives parameters of $P_c(4450)^+$ and $P_c(4380)^+$ that are **consistent** with the run1 results

> However, more could be different ...

Binning from coarse to fine: new narrow peaks

5



PRL122 (2019) 222001

> Old bin width: 15 MeV

- Large statistics allows for finer binning of 2 MeV, comparable with $m(J/\psi p)$ resolution (2.3-2.7 MeV)
- Fine $J/\psi p$ structures observed (insignificant in run1 sample)



2020/01/11

Display in smaller bin size

PRL 122, 222001 (2019)



What the new data tell: a first glance

- > The peaking structure at around 4450 MeV confirmed
 - Resolved into two narrower peaks with nearly identical masses
 - Unable to resolve in earlier smaller data set
 ✓ Mass split small and comparable to the natural widths
- > A new narrow peak at lower mass discovered
 - Insignificant to be identified with earlier data set
- > AmAn challenging & time-consuming
 - Must consider the resolution effect of $m_{J/\psi p}$
 - Data sample much larger
 - \checkmark The amplitude model needs to be improved
 - Work in progress



How to fit the new data

- > Simplified approach: 1D fit to the $m_{J/\psi p}$ distribution
 - Narrow signals
 - ✓ Three Breit-Wigner (BW) functions \otimes resolution (2-3 MeV)
 - Background of Λ^* + non- Λ_b^0 + possible broad P_c^+ : two models compared \checkmark Higher-order polynomial, or low-order polynomial + broad BW
- ➢ Robust determination of *M* and *Γ* of **narrow** structures
 ➢ Neither sensitive to *J*^P nor to **broad** peaks, like *P*_c(4380)⁺
 ➢ Several *m*_{J/ψp} distributions with different selections or weighting to estimate systematic uncertainties

Fit-1: all candidates

PRL 122, 222001 (2019)

- > Fit the full $m_{J/\psi p}$ distribution
- Clear narrow structures, but background is high



Fit-2: *P*⁺_c dominating region

- > Fit candidates with $m_{pK} > 1.9$ GeV
 - 80% Λ^* bkg removed

> Significance:

P_c(4312)⁺: **7.3***σ* **2 peaks over 1 (~ 4450 MeV): 5.4***σ*

PRL 122, 222001 (2019)

- Evaluated with toy simulations from the 6D amplitude model
- Look Elsewhere Effect



Fit-3: Novel method

PRL 122, 222001 (2019)

- ► Candidates weighted by $w(\cos\theta_{P_c}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{stat}}^2} \approx \frac{1}{S+B}$
 - w is inverse of $\cos \theta_{P_c}$ distribution of Λ_b^0 candidates with $m_{J/\psi p} \in [4.2, 4.6]$ GeV
- $\succ \theta_{P_c}$ is P_c helicity angle : angle between $\vec{p}_{J/\psi}$ and $-\vec{p}_K$ in the $J/\psi p$ rest frame



Fit-3: Novel method

PRL 122, 222001 (2019)

- ► Candidates weighted by $w(\cos\theta_{P_c}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{stat.}}^2} \approx \frac{1}{S+B}$
 - w is inverse of $\cos\theta_{P_c}$ distribution of Λ_b^0 candidates with $m_{J/\psi p} \in [4.2, 4.6]$ GeV
- Most statistically sensitive method

the nominal *M*&Γ measurements





Results

To determine the relative P_c^+ production rates, fit inclusive $m(J/\psi p)$ obtained with $1/\varepsilon$ event-weights, where ε is the efficiency parameterization in 6D Λ_b^0 decay phase-space (masses and angles)

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to P_c^+ K^-) \mathcal{B}(P_c^+ \to J/\psi \, p)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \, p K^-)}$$

State	M [MeV $]$	$\Gamma [$ MeV $]$	(95% CL)	$\mathcal{R}~[\%]$
$P_c(4312)^+$	$4311.9\pm0.7^{+6.8}_{-0.6}$	$9.8 \pm 2.7^{+}_{-} ~ {}^{3.7}_{4.5}$	(< 27)	$0.30\pm0.07^{+0.34}_{-0.09}$
$P_c(4440)^+$	$4440.3 \pm 1.3^{+4.1}_{-4.7}$	$20.6 \pm 4.9^{+\ 8.7}_{-10.1}$	(< 49)	$1.11 \pm 0.33^{+0.22}_{-0.10}$
$P_c(4457)^+$	$4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7}$	$6.4 \pm 2.0^{+}_{-} ~ {}^{5.7}_{1.9}$	(< 20)	$0.53 \pm 0.16^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$

Further information needs the amplitude analysis of the $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ decay

Other studies

> Ongoing work to understand the *P_c*'s nature

- J^P determination from amplitude analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K$
- Other decay channels suggested

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 100, 056005 (2019)

Strong decays of the latest LHCb pentaquark candidates in hadronic molecule pictures

Yong-Hui $\operatorname{Lin}^{1,2,*}$ and Bing-Song $\operatorname{Zou}^{1,2,3,\dagger}$

	Widths (MeV) with (f_2, f_3)								
Mode	$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	$\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$							
	$P_{c}(4312)$	$P_c(4)$	4440)	$P_c(4)$	4457)				
	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{3}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	$\frac{3}{2}^{-}$				
$\bar{D}^* \Lambda_c$	10.7	12.5	6.8	10.8	6.9				
$J/\psi p$	0.1	0.6	1.8	0.2	0.6				
$\bar{D}\Lambda_c$	0.3	2.7	1.2	2.0	1.2				
πN	1.7	0.2	1.9	0.07	0.6				
$\chi_{c0} p$	-	0.1	0.009	0.05	0.003				
$\eta_c p$	0.4	0.07	0.008	0.02	0.003				
ho N	0.0008	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1				
ωp	0.003	1.5	1.2	0.5	0.4				
$\bar{D}\Sigma_c$	-	3.4	0.6	2.8	0.9				
$\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$	-	0.9	7.3	2.3	7.2				
Total	13.2	22.4	21.0	18.8	17.9				

$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \overline{D}{}^0 K^-$ (LHCb unofficial results)



Amplitude result

➢ Dominated by $D_s^{*-} \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 K^-$ ➢ No sign of P_c signal at 4.312²=18.6GeV² 4.452=19.8GeV²



Fits without *P*_c states



Search for $P_c^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ \overline{D}{}^0$

➢ Fit fraction (stat. only)



Data have not contradicted molecular model predictions yet, more data needed

Prospects



LHCb Upgrade (2019-2020)

[<u>LHCB-TDR-017</u>]



CERN-LHCC-2012-007

> Increase luminosity to $2 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

- 5 times larger than current maximum instantaneous luminosity
- All sub-detectors read out at 40 MHz for a full software trigger
 - Record with 10 GB/s
- All subdetector apart from muon and calorimeter systems will be fully replaced

Scintillating Fibre (SciFi) tracker installation



Scintillating Fibre (SciFi) tracker installation



2020/01/11

LHCb Upgrade 2

Upgrade 2 proposed to take full profit of HL-LHC

- $\mathcal{L} = 1 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, 10 times larger than Upgrade 1
- Aiming at 300 fb⁻¹ after Run5



Consolidate in LS3
 Major upgrade in LS4

EOI submitted in 2017 (CERN-LHCC-2017-003)
 Physics document submitted in 2018 (arXiv:1808.08865)



Physics case: hadron spectroscopy

- Much more b- and c-hadrons would be produced with the Upgrade
- > A gold mine of hadron spectroscopy studies
 - Observation of new states
 - Precision determination of the characteristics of observed hadrons
 - Understand the nature of these states and strong interactions

Decay mode	$23{\rm fb}^{-1}$	LHCb 50 fb^{-1}	$300 {\rm fb}^{-1}$	Belle II $50 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Z ⁽⁴⁴³⁰⁾	LHCb	+ RUN I (3 fb ⁻¹) + Upgrade II (300 fb ⁻¹) - Breit-Wigner
$\frac{B^+ \to X(3872)(\to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) K^+}{K^+}$	14k	30k	180k	11k	lm A		$M_{Z(4430)} = 4475 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_{Z(4430)} = 172 \text{ MeV}$
$B^+ \rightarrow X(3872) (\rightarrow \psi(2S)\gamma) K^+$	500	1k	7k	4k	0		
$B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^- \pi^+$	340k	700k	4M	140k			-
$B_c^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D^0 \overline{D}{}^0$	10	20	100		-0.2		
$\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p K^-$	340k	700k	4M		0.2	TT TT	Æ I I
$\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi \Lambda K^-$	4k	10k	55k		0.4	THE HIT HAT	
$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	7k	15k	90k	<6k	-0.4		
$\Xi_{bc}^+ \to J/\psi \Xi_c^+$	50	100	600			-	
						-0.4 -0.2	0 0.2

Re A_{Z(4430)}



Summary

> LHCb operated well in run1+run2 and produced nice results

- Confirmed the $P_c(4450)^+$ peak structure, which is resolved into two narrower states, $P_c(4440)^+$ and $P_c(4457)^+$
- Observed a new narrow state $P_c(4312)^+$
- > 1D fit to narrow peaks with naïve background model used
 - More accurate result has to wait for detailed amplitude analysis
- LHCb Upgrade is under construction
 - Expect to accumulate data of 50 fb^{-1} after Run4 (2029)
- LHCb Upgrade2 proposed and R&D started
 - Expect to accumulate data of 300 fb^{-1} after Run5 (around 2035)
- Stay tuned for new results from LHCb



细

杜工部

何





谢谢!

Doubly charmed baryons





SU(4) quark model

When extended to (u, d, s, c), hadrons form SU(4) multiplets
 Our knowledge of charmed baryons is limited

- C = 2 baryons: $\Xi_{cc}^+(ccd)$, $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$ and $\Omega_{cc}^+(ccs)$
- Excited charmed baryons







Observation of Ξ_{cc}^{++} at 13 TeV

 $N_{\rm sig} = 313 \pm 33$

>Significant structure at around 3620 MeV/ c^2 in 2016 data

- Local stat. significance $> 12\sigma$
- Confirmed in 2012 data (> 7σ)



Highlighted by PRL editors as *"Editors' suggestion"* Viewpoint: A Doubly Charming Particle

Raúl A. Briceño, Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA and Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA September 11, 2017 • *Physics* 10, 100

High-precision experiments at CERN find a new baryon containing two charm quarks.



APS/Alan Stonebraker

Figure 1: The LHCb Collaboration has provided evidence for a doubly charmed baryon called Ξ_{cc}^{++} [1]. The baryon is formed when two charm quarks, produced in high-energy proton-proton collisions, join a light quark.

CCTV and others



Observation of X(3842) in $m(D\overline{D})$

arXiv:1903.12240

- > First observation of X(3842), probably $\psi(1^3D_3)$
- > First observation of hadroproduced $\psi(3770)$ and $\chi_{c2}(3930)$



Observation of excited B_c^+



2020/01/11

Propellants provided by LHCb: conventional

Examples of conventional hadrons

• Discovery of five narrow excited Ω_c^0 states all at once



• Observation of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++}



Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 112001

Propellants provided by LHCb: exotic

Examples of exotic hadrons

• Tetraquark candidates in the $J/\psi\phi$ system of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ decays



• Pentaquark candidates in the $J/\psi p$ system of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi p K^-$ decays



Renaissance of spectroscopy in heavy flavour

- > The X(3872) [χ_{c1} (3872)] state observed by Belle in 2003 in the $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum
 - The harbinger of a new direction in hadron spectroscopy
- > More exotic hadrons, mostly containing $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$, have been observed soon later
 - Various models proposed for quark composition and binding mechanisms of these states
- Great progress achieved with combined efforts from both theory and experiments
 - LHCb has been providing propellant to boost our understanding since the start of the LHC



Recently discovered exotic hadron candidates

M (MeV)

 3871.69 ± 0.17

X(3872)

Γ (MeV)

< 12

Process (decay mode)

 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) + \cdots$

 $B \rightarrow K(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

 $B \rightarrow K(J/w\pi^+\pi^-)$

Experiment

CDF (Acosta et al., 2004; Abulencia et al., 2006; Aaltonen

Belle (Abe et al., 2005), BABAR (del Amo Sanchez et al.,

Belle (Choi et al., 2003, 2011), BABAR (Aubert et al.,

LHCb (Aaij et al., 2013a, 2015d)

2005c),

2010a

et al., 2009b), D0 (Abazov *et al.*, 2004)

A list of those containing hidden charm or beauty_{Rev. Mod. Phys. 90} (2018) 015003

	-									$B \to K(D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)$	Belle (Gokhroo et al., 2006; Aushev et al., 2010b), BABAR (Aubert et al., 2008c)
State	M (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	J^{PC}	Process (decay mode)	Experiment					$B \to K(J/\psi \gamma)$	BABAR (del Amo Sanchez et al., 2010a), Belle (Bhardwaj et al., 2011),
$Z_c^{+,0}(3900)$	3886.6 ± 2.4	28.1 ± 2.6	1+-	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^{-,0}(J/\psi\pi^{+,0})$	BESIII (Ablikim <i>et al.</i> , 2013a, 2015f),					$B \to K(\psi'\gamma)$	LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2012a) <i>BABAR</i> (Aubert <i>et al.</i> , 2009b), Belle (Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i> , 2011), LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2014a)
				$e^+e^- \to \pi^{-,0} (D\bar{D}^*)^{+,0}$	BESIII (Ablikim <i>et al.</i> , 2013)					$pp \to (J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) + \cdots$	LHCb (Aaij et al., 2012a), CMS (Chatrchyan et al., 2013a), ATLAS (Aaboud et al., 2017) BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2014d)
$Z_c^{+,0}(4020)$	4024.1 ± 1.9	13 ± 5	$1^{+-}(?)$	$\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \to \pi^{-,0}(h_c\pi^{+,0}) \\ e^+e^- \to \pi^{-,0}(D^*\bar{D}^*)^{+,0} \end{array}$	BESIII (Ablikim <i>et al.</i> , 2013b, 2014c) BESIII (Ablikim <i>et al.</i> , 2014a, 2015d)	X(3915)	3918.4 ± 1.9	20 ± 5	0.4	$B \to K(J/\psi\omega)$	Belle (Choi et al., 2005), BABAR (Aubert et al., 2008b; del Amo Sanchez et al., 2010a)
$Z^{+}(4050)$	4051_{-43}^{+24}	82^{+51}_{-55}	$?^{?+}$	$B \to K(\chi_{c1}\pi^+)$	Belle (Mizuk et al., 2008), BABAR (Lees et al., 2012a)	X(3940)	30 149	37+27	$0^{-+}(?)$	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-(J/\psi\omega)$ $e^+e^- \to J/\psi(D^*\bar{D})$ $e^+e^- \to J/\psi()$	Belle (Uehara <i>et al.</i> , 2010), <i>BABAR</i> (Lees <i>et al.</i> , 2012c) Belle (Pakhlov <i>et al.</i> , 2008) Belle (Abe <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
$Z^{+}(4200)$	4196^{+35}_{-32}	370^{+99}_{-149}	1^+	$egin{array}{lll} B ightarrow K(J/\psi\pi^+) \ B ightarrow K(\psi'\pi^+) \end{array}$	Belle (Chilikin <i>et al.</i> , 2014) LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2014b)	X(4140	41.6 5 -5.3	83+27	1++	$B \to K(J/\psi\phi)$	CDF (Aaltonen <i>et al.</i> , 2009a), CMS (Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i> , 2014), 2014),
$Z^{+}(4250)$	4248^{+185}_{-45}	177^{+321}_{-72}	$?^{?+}$	$B \to K(\chi_{c1}\pi^+)$	Belle (Mizuk et al., 2008), BABAR (Lees et al.,		trib	itor	0== (0)	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow (J/\psi\phi) + \cdots$	D0 (Abazov <i>et al.</i> , 2014), LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2017a, 2017d) D0 (Abazov <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
$Z^{+}(4430)$	4477 ± 20	181 ± 31	1^+	$B \to K(\psi' \pi^+)$	2012a) Belle (Choi <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Mizuk <i>et al.</i> , 2009), Belle (Chilikin <i>et al.</i> , 2013), LHCb (Aniet al.	Y (4260)	See 7 (422	0) entry	0 (?) 1-	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$	Belle (Yaknov <i>et al.</i> , 2008) <i>BABAR</i> (Aubert <i>et al.</i> , 2005a; Lees <i>et al.</i> , 2012b), CLEO (He <i>et al.</i> , 2006), Belle (Yuan <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
				$B \to K(J\psi \pi^+)$	2014b, 2015b) Belle (Chilikin <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	Y(4220)	4222 ± 3	48 ± 7	1	$e^+e^- \to (J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ $e^+e^- \to (h_c\pi^+\pi^-)$ $e^+e^- \to (\chi_{c0}\omega)$	BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2017c) BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2017a) BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2015g) BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2015g)
$P_c^+(4380)$ $P_c^+(4450)$	$\begin{array}{c} 4380\pm30\\ 4450\pm3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 205\pm88\\ 39\pm20 \end{array}$	$(\frac{3}{2}/\frac{5}{2})^{\mp}$ $(\frac{5}{2}/\frac{3}{2})^{\pm}$	$ \Lambda_b^0 \to K(J/\psi p) \Lambda_b^0 \to K(J/\psi p) $	LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2015c) LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2015c)					$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma X(3872))$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\pi^- Z_c^+(3900))$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\pi^- Z_c^+(4020))$	BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2014d) BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2013a), Belle (Liu et al., 2013) BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2013b)
$Y_b(10860)$	$10891.1\substack{+3.4\\-3.8}$	$53.7^{+7.2}_{-7.8}$	1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-)$	Belle (Chen et al., 2008; Santel et al., 2016)	X(4274)	4273^{+19}_{-9}	56^{+14}_{-16}	1++	$B \to K(J/\psi \phi)$	CDF (Aaltonen et al., 2017), CMS (Chatrchyan et al., 2014), LHCb (Aaij et al., 2017a, 2017d)
$Z_b^{+,0}(10610)$	10607.2 ± 2.0	18.4 ± 2.4	1^{+-}	$Y_b(10860) \to \pi^{-,0}(\Upsilon(nS)\pi^{+,0})$	Belle (Bondar <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Garmash <i>et al.</i> , 2015),	X(4350) Y(4360)	$4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1}$ 4341 ± 8	$13.3^{+18.4}_{-10.0}$ 102 ± 9	$(0/2)^{++}$ 1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-(J/\psi\phi)$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi \psi' \pi^+\pi^-)$	Belle (Shen et al., 2010) BABAR (Aubert et al., 2007: Lees et al., 2014).
				$Y_b(10860) \to \pi^-(h_b(nP)\pi^+)$	Belle (Bondar <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	. ()				$e^+e^- \rightarrow (J/\psi\pi^-\pi^-)$	Belle (Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2007, 2015) BESIII (Ablikim <i>et al.</i> , 2017c)
				$Y_b(10860) \to \pi^-(BB^*)^+$	Belle (Garmash et al., 2016)	Y(4390)	4392 ± 6	140 ± 16	1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (h_c\pi^+\pi^-)$	BESIII (Ablikim et al., 2017a)
$Z_{+}^{+}(10650)$	10652.2 ± 1.5	11.5 ± 2.2	1+-	$Y_{k}(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}(\Upsilon(nS)\pi^{+})$	Belle (Bondar <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Garmash <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	X(4500)	4506^{+16}_{-19}	92^{+30}_{-21}	0++	$B \to K(J/\psi \phi)$	LHCb (Aaij et al., 2017a, 2017d)
Σ_b (19090)	1000212 1 110	11.0 ± 2.2		$Y_{b}(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}(h_{b}(nP)\pi^{+})$	Belle (Bondar <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	X(4700)	4704^{+17}_{-26}	120_{-45}^{+52}	0++	$B \to K(J/\psi\phi)$	LHCb (Aaij <i>et al.</i> , 2017a, 2017d)
				$Y_b(10860) \to \pi^- (B^* \bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle (Garmash <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	r (4060)	404 <i>3</i> ± 9	12 ± 11	1	$e^+e^- \to \gamma(\psi \pi^+\pi^-)$ $e^+e^- \to \gamma(\Lambda^+_+\Lambda^)$	Belle (wang <i>et al.</i> , 2007, 2015), BABAR (Aubert <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Lees <i>et al.</i> , 2014) Belle (Pakhlova <i>et al.</i> , 2008)

Pros of hadron spectroscopy at LHCb



Vertex Locator

 $200 \ \mu m$ n-on-n Si short strips double metal layer for readout with Beetle chip (1/4 μm CMOS)



They have to be placed in secondary vacuum \rightarrow complex mechanics

RICH detector

Performance of particle ID



Invariant mass [GeV/c²



> Λ^* structures dominate low m_{Kp}^2 region, interferences unlikely generate the horizontal band at high m_{Kp}^2 region

Fits with interferences

- No interferences considered for nominal fits
- Fits with coherent sum between various BW amplitudes, including the broad P⁺_c state with the same J^P are also tried
- No significant evidence for interferences
 - but it provides the source of the largest systematic uncertainty on the mass and width determinations.

Example of the fit with interference: $P_c(4312)^+$ interfering with the broad P_c^+

