

# Study on $\Omega_c^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 13 TeV

Reporter: Hongge Xu (徐鸿鸽) Supervisor: Gang Chen (陈刚) Dikai Li (李迪开)

China University of Geosciences (Wuhan)

6th workshop on the XYZ particles

2020. 01. 13



### Outline

### Introduction

### • Observation of New $\Omega_c^0$ States

### PACIAE and DCPC Model

## • Study on $\Omega_c^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$



### Introduction

AN SU, MODEL FOR STRONG INTERACTION SYMMETRY AND ITS BREAKING TT \*)

> G. Zweig \*\* CERN-Geneva

#### ABSTRACT

Both mesons and baryons are constructed from a set of three fundamental particles called aces. The aces break up into an isospin doublet and singlet. Each ace carries baryon number 1/3 and is fractionally charged. SUz (but not the Eightfold Way) is adopted as a higher symmetry for the strong interactions. The breaking of this symmetry is assumed to be universal, being due to mass differences among the aces. Extensive space-time and group theoretic structure is then predicted for both mesons and baryons, in agreement with existing experimental information. Quantitative speculations are presented concerning resonances that have not as yet been definitively classified into representations of SU,. A weak interaction theory based on right and left handed aces is used to predict rates for  $|\Delta S| = 1$  baryon leptonic decays. An experimental search for the aces is suggested.

8419/TH.412 21 February 1964

Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 214-215

Volume 8, number 3

1 February 1964

A SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS \*

PHYSICS LETTERS

M. GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

If we assume that the strong interactions of bary- ber  $n_t - n_{\bar{t}}$  would be zero for all known baryons and ons and mesons are correctly described in terms of the broken "eightfold way" 1-3, we are tempted to model is one in which the triplet has spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  and look for some fundamental explanation of the situation. A highly promised approach is the purely dynamical "bootstrap" model for all the strongly interacting particles within which one may try to derive isotopic spin and strangeness conservation and broken eightfold symmetry from self-consistency alone 4). Of course, with only strong interactions. the orientation of the asymmetry in the unitary space cannot be specified: one hopes that in some

way the selection of specific components of the Fspin by electromagnetism and the weak interactions determines the choice of isotopic spin and hypercharge directions.

Even if we consider the scattering amplitudes of strongly interacting particles on the mass shell only tations 1, 8, and 10 that have been observed, while and treat the matrix elements of the weak, electromagnetic, and gravitational interactions by means

z = -1, so that the four particles d<sup>-</sup>, s<sup>-</sup>, u<sup>0</sup> and b<sup>0</sup> exhibit a parallel with the leptons. A simpler and more elegant scheme can be

constructed if we allow non-integral values for the charges. We can dispense entirely with the basic baryon b if we assign to the triplet t the following properties: spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z = -\frac{1}{2}$ , and baryon number  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We then refer to the members  $u^{\frac{3}{2}}$ ,  $d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  of the triplet as "quarks" 6) q and the members of the anti-triplet as anti-quarks q. Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (qqq), (qqqqq), etc., while mesons are made out of  $(q\bar{q})$ ,  $(qq\bar{q}\bar{q})$ , etc. It is assuming that the lowest baryon configuration (qqq) gives just the representhe lowest meson configuration  $(q \bar{q})$  similarly gives just 1 and 8.



#### 8419/TH.412 21/ February 1964

Version I is CERN preprint 8182/TH.401, Jan. 17, 1964.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> This work was supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.



### Introduction

### The Categorizations of hadrons:

Hadron {	Conventional QM states	$\begin{cases} \text{Meson}: & q\bar{q}, & Q\bar{q}, & Q\bar{Q} \\ \text{Baryon}: & qqq, & Qqq, & QQq, & \dots \end{cases}$			
		Molecular state			
	Exotic states	Hybrid meson			
		Glueball			
		Tetraquark			
		Pentaquark			
	l	l			



### **Observation of new** $\Omega_c^0$ states

PRL 118, 182001 (2017)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 5 MAY 2017



**Observation of Five New Narrow**  $\Omega_c^0$  States Decaying to  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ 

#### R. Aaij et al.\*

(LHCb Collaboration) (Received 14 March 2017; published 2 May 2017)

The  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  mass spectrum is studied with a sample of pp collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>, collected by the LHCb experiment. The  $\Xi_c^+$  is reconstructed in the decay mode  $pK^-\pi^+$ . Five new, narrow excited  $\Omega_c^0$  states are observed: the  $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ ,  $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ ,  $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ , and  $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ . Measurements of their masses and widths are reported.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.182001

The spectroscopy of singly charmed baryons cqq' is intricate. With three quarks and numerous degrees of freedom, many states are expected. At the same time, the large mass difference between the charm quark and the light quarks provides a natural way to understand the spectrum by using the symmetries provided by the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [1,2]. In recent years, considerable improvements have been made in the predictions of the properties of these heavy baryons [3–14]. In many of these models, the heavy quark interacts with a (qq') diquark, which is treated as a single object. These models predict seven states in the mass range 2.9–3.2 GeV (natural units are used throughout this Letter), some of them narrow. Other models make use of lattice QCD of 1.0, 2.0, and 0.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> at center-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV, respectively, recorded by the LHCb experiment. The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range  $2 < \eta < 5$ , designed for the study of particles containing *b* or *c* quarks, and is described in detail in Refs. [19,20]. Hadron identification is provided by two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [21], a calorimeter system, and a muon detector. The online event selection is performed by a trigger, which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction [22]. Simulated events are produced with the software packages described in Refs. [23–28].



### **Observation of new** $\Omega_c^0$ states

Candidates / (1 MeV)

80000

60000

40000

20000



Distribution of the reconstructed invariant mass  $m(\Xi_c^+K^-)$  for all candidates passing the likelihood ratio selection

The Distribution of the reconstructed invariant mass  $m(pK^{-}\pi^{+})$  for all candidates in the inclusive  $\Xi_{c}^{+}$  sample passing the likelihood ratio selection.

 $m(pK^{-}\pi^{+})$  [MeV]

2480

2460

2440

LHCb

2500



(2017)

182001

118

PRL

# Results of the fit to $m(\Xi_c^+K^-)$ for the mass, width, yield, and significance for each resonance.

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	Yield	$N_{\sigma}$
$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5\pm0.6\pm0.3$	$1300\pm100\pm80$	20.4
$\Omega_{c}(3050)^{0}$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1 \substack{+0.3 \\ -0.5}$	$0.8\pm0.2\pm0.1$	$970\pm60\pm20$	20.4
	-0.5	<1.2 MeV, 95% C.L.		
$\Omega_{c}(3066)^{0}$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	$1740\pm100\pm50$	23.9
$\Omega_{c}(3090)^{0}$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7\pm1.0\pm0.8$	$2000\pm140\pm130$	21.1
$\Omega_{c}(3119)^{0}$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1\pm0.8\pm0.4$	$480\pm70\pm30$	10.4
	-0.5	<2.6 MeV, 95% C.L.		
$\Omega_{c}(3188)^{0}$	$3188 \pm 5 \pm 13$	$60\pm15\pm11$	$1670 \pm 450 \pm 360$	
$\Omega_{c}(3066)_{\rm fd}^{0}$			$700\pm40\pm140$	
$\Omega_{c}(3090)_{fd}^{n}$			$220\pm60\pm90$	
$\Omega_c(3119)_{\rm fd}^{\rm la}$			$190\pm70\pm20$	



Communications

Computer Physics

### parton and hadron cascade model





### **Dynamically constrained phase space coalescence model**

As the uncertainty principle

we can estimate the yield of a single particle by

Similarly, for the yield of N particles cluster

Equation must satisfy these constraint conditions:

 $\Delta \overrightarrow{q} \Delta \overrightarrow{p} \ge h^3,$ 

$$Y_1 = \int_{H \le E} \frac{d \overrightarrow{q} d \overrightarrow{p}}{h^3}.$$

$$Y_N = \int \dots \int_{H \le E} \frac{\mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}_1} \, \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}_N} \, \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_N}}{\mathrm{h}^{3N}}.$$

$$\int_{m_{inv}} m_0 - \Delta m \le m_{inv} \le m_0 + \Delta m;$$

$$q_{ij} \le D_0, (i \ne j; i, j = 1, 2...N);$$

$$m_{inv} = \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^N E_i \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{p}_i \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$



Determine the appropriate parameter to simulate the pp collision by PACIAE model:

The value of five important and specifical parameter in PACIAE modle

Parameter	Κ	eta	P(qq)/P(q)	P(s)/P(u)	Gaussian width
Value	$0.1~{\rm GeV}$	$0.58 GeV^{-2}$	0.10	0.42	$0.36~{\rm GeV}$

The yield of  $\mathcal{Z}_c^+$  and  $K^-$  computed by PACIAE in mid-rapidity pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV

particles	PACIAE	Experiment data
$K^{-}$	0.286	$0.286 \pm 0.016$
$\Xi_c^+$	$7.40 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.47 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{-5}$



The yield of  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  bound states( $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states) in the DCPC model can be calculated by

$$Y_{\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}} = \int_{H \le E} \frac{dq_{1}dP_{1}dq_{2}dP_{2}}{h^{6}} \delta_{12}$$
  
With  $\delta_{12} = f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \equiv \Xi_{c}^{+}, 2 \equiv K^{-} \\ 1, & m_{\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}} - \Delta m \le m_{inv} \le m_{\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}} + \Delta m, \\ q_{12} \le D_{0}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

### Study on $\Omega_c(X)^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$





The yields of four new resonant  $\Omega_c^0$  states varies with  $\Delta m$  from 0.4 MeV to 100 MeV in *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV

$\Delta m$	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$			$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$				
(MeV)	$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$\Omega_c(3090)^0$
0.4	$3.40 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.87 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.4 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.30 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.1 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.06 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.93 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.20 \times 10^{-7}$
1	$8.23 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.17 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.33 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.18 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.44 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.49 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.77 \times 10^{-6}$
1.75	$1.43 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.14 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.25 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.96 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.44 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.69 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.10 \times 10^{-6}$
2.25	$1.84 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.58 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.79 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.88 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.52 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.02 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.47 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.93 \times 10^{-6}$
4.35	$3.79 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.91 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.26 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.53 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.94 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.04 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.46 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.40 \times 10^{-6}$
10	$8.04 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.13 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.17 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.24 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.08 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.45 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.50 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.68 \times 10^{-5}$
15	$1.21 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.67 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.75 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.83 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.61 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.14 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.25 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.47 \times 10^{-5}$
30	$2.36 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.27 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.46 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.64 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.08 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.22 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.49 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.80 \times 10^{-5}$
60	$4.08 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.19 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.56 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.99 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.32 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.11 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.59 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.15 \times 10^{-5}$
100	$6.32 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.19 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.00 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.10 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.22 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.20 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.31 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.42 \times 10^{-4}$



Tab I. The value of the four new excited resonant  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states in *pp* collision form LHCb collaboration.

$\Omega_c(X)^0$	Mass(MeV)	$\Gamma(MeV)$	Yield
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$3000.4{\pm}0.2$	$4.5{\pm}0.6$	$1300{\pm}100$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2{\pm}0.1$	$0.8{\pm}0.2$	$970{\pm}60$
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3065.6 {\pm} 0.1$	$3.5{\pm}0.4$	$1740{\pm}100$
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$3090.2{\pm}0.3$	$8.7{\pm}1.0$	$2000 \pm 140$

Tab II. The value of the four  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states in *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV using PACIE and DCPC model, amusing  $\Delta m = \Gamma/2$ .

Resonance	$\Delta m ({ m MeV})$	Yield(7 TeV)	Yield(13  TeV)
$\Omega_c(3000)^0$	$2.25\pm0.30$	$1.84 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.52 \times 10^{-6}$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$0.40\pm0.10$	$4.87\times10^{-7}$	$5.06 \times 10^{-7}$
$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$1.75\pm0.20$	$2.14 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.69\times10^{-6}$
$\Omega_c(3090)^0$	$4.35\pm0.52$	$5.53 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.40 \times 10^{-6}$

### Study on $\Omega_c(X)^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$



### Study on $\Omega_c(X)^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$



Rapidity distribution of the four resonant  $\Omega_c^0$  states



### Summary

- Generate the pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV by PACIAE generator and study the  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  during  $\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi_c^+ K^-$  decay channel by DCPC.
- The yield per event of different  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states are increase linearly with the  $\Delta m$ , and the yield at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV is bigger than it at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV.
- The Transverse momentum distributions or rapidity distribution of four different excited  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states in pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV are similar.
  - We are studying the pentaquarks and tetraquarks by PACIAE+DCPC model.



# **Thanks for your attention!**

6th workshop on the XYZ particles

2020.01.13