GEANT4-based simulation of light production/propagation/detection in bar shaped PSD with SiPM readout @ GSSI

<u>Francesca Alemanno</u>, Ivan De Mitri, Dimitrios Kyratzis, Zhaomin Wang

Gran Sasso Science Institute (GSSI) & Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (INFN - LNGS)



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso



GEANT4-based simulation of the PSD

- GEANT4-based simulation of production, propagation and detection of photons in the bar
- Tool developed in Bari
- Adjusted in GSSI in order to simulate and optimize the bar shaped PSD geometry with SiPM readout













Characteristics of the used particles

Number of photons reaching each SiPM

Comparison between different geometries

Propagation time of photons in the bar

Conclusions and future prospects



PSD Bar geometry with 2 SiPMs

Bar: (x, y, z) = (1, *, 50) cm Wrapping thickness = $500 \,\mu m$ SiPMs: (x, y, z) = (3, 3, 0.5) mm Scintillator: EJ200



Geometry 1



Geometry 2

SiPM0 - Left



Particles

Particle: µ-Energy: 1 GeV Position of the beam: (-10, 0, 0) cm Number of particles: 1000 Scintillation + Cherenkov



Х



Ζ

S

Total number of photons (Primary + Delta) = 20986

 $\frac{Photons at the SiPMs}{Total number of photons} = 1.2\% \pm 0.1\%$

200
JIIS
1000
6816
4978

Photons at the SiPM3 - Right

Photons at SiPM0 - Left

Entries	1000
Mean	70.55
Std Dev	19.74
Sta Dev	19.74

 $\frac{Photons at the SiPMs}{Total number of photons} = 0.6\% \pm 0.1\%$

Total number of photons (Primary + Delta) = 21795

 $\frac{Photons at the SiPMs}{Total number of photons} = 0.4\% \pm 0.1\%$

COMPARISON

Geometry	N of photons at SiPM0 - Left	N of photons at SiPM3 - Right	Total N of photons	SiPM_Right / SiPM_Left	Photons in SiPMs / Total N photons
1	132.9	132.5	20986	1.01 ± 0.13	1.26% ± 0.08%
2	70.68	70.55	21370	1.02 ± 0.18	0.66% ± 0.06%
3	45.43	45.24	21795	1.03 ± 0.23	0.42% ± 0.04%

All the numbers in the table are average values

Geometry 1: (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 50) cm Geometry 2: (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 50) cm Geometry 3: (x, y, z) = (1, 3, 50) cm

COMPARISON

Geometry 1: (x, y, z) = (1, 1, 50) cm Geometry 2: (x, y, z) = (1, 2, 50) cm Geometry 3: (x, y, z) = (1, 3, 50) cm

A POSSIBLE IMPROVEMENT

The main difference between the studied geometries is in the collection of the light by the SiPMs

We might shape the bar ends in such a way to enhance photon' collection

Test will be done using this code

Light guide 1: Regular pyramid + Cone All surface contours follow straight lines

Light guide 2: Winston pyramid + Cone Parabolically shaped surface contours

Propagation time of the photons - Geometry 1 Time interval between the production of the primary particle and the absorption of the photon

Propagation time of the photons – Geometry 2

Photon_GlobalTime - SiPM3

Photon_GlobalTime - SiPM0

S G S

Propagation time of the photons - Geometry 3

Photon GlobalTime - SiPM3

Photon_GlobalTime - SiPM0

Conclusions & Future prospects

 Three PSD geometries studied. Main difference in the collection of the light by the SiPMs. In order to collect more photons a light guide could be to installed on each end of the bar. A simulation will be done to explore this possibility.

2) Results of this work obtained considering cosmic ray muons crossing the bar, consistent with the tests that we are performing at Gran Sasso National Laboratory (LNGS). Next step: simulation of the response of the bar to particles coming from accelerated beams, and particles with z > 1.

3) The obtained results will be used as a crosscheck for the test performed in the laboratory (ONGOING).

4) Additional simulations with different number of SiPMs, geometries and scintillating materials.

BACKUP

Geometry 1

PhotonsAbsorbedInSiPM3/PhotonsAbsorbedInSiPM0

Geometry 3

Geometry 2

45<u></u>

30

25

20

30

25

PhotonsAbsorbedInSiPM3/PhotonsAbsorbedInSiPM0

LNGS

Geometry 3

Geometry 2

S

Primary Photons

BACKUP

Geometry 1

Delta Photons

Geometry 2

Delta Photons

