

Scale & Gravitational wave-genesis by Dark Matter

Jiang Zhu

Composite 2019 international workshop at Guangzhou

11/12/2019 Huazhong University of Science and Technology



- 1. Introduction
- 2. RSB triggered by Dark matter
- 3. CSI Supercooling Phase Transition
- 4. CSI Gravitational Wave
- 5. Conclusion & Outlook

Introduction



Standard Model

SM gain great success in past decades! Standard Model of Elementary Particles









• BUT, there are still questions left





• Motivation

1. The origin of EW scale- μ ?

$$\mathcal{L} = \left| D_{\mu} \phi \right|^2 + \mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi - \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2.$$

2. Hierarchy Problem

Scale of EW~100GeV <<Cut off Scale, i.e Mp~10¹⁸GeV?



Possible Solution——Classical Scale Invariance(CSI) !

Just like chiral symmetr y for the electron It may be the Scale invariance symmetry that protects the weak scale free of notorious fine-tuning, provided that there is no heavy particle significantly coupling to the SM Higgs field and thus no large quadratic term is radiatively generated. And, this symmetry is broken by quantum anomaly. Quantum anomaly breaks this symmetry and generates a scale for SM

• Dark Matter Plays an Important Role

However, SM is not consistent with this symmetry, since top quark is heavier than Higgs field. We need heavier boson! Dark matter can be a candidate to trigger the CSISB. Therefore In such a framework DM plays a vital role, and it might explain why DM is there.

• Radiative CSI Breaking by Dark Matter



To limit our discussion in perturbation area, all parameters should be smaller than π



 λ_{hx} only

influence

mass of DM,

however DM

only sensitive to

 λ_{hs} since large ν_s

Dark Matter

It is a well-known fact that the SM-Higgs and scalon mixing term is strongly constrained, rendering $\lambda_{hx} \ll 1$. And when it is small, it is nearly irrelevant with the result. So we can fix $\lambda_{hx} = 10^{-3}$

Mass of the dark matter: Other dark matter constraints:

$$m_X^2 = \frac{\lambda_{hx}}{2}h^2 + \frac{\lambda_{sx}}{2}s^2$$

① Dark matter freeze out relic

2 Dark matter direct detection

The Dark matter relic will be put as a requirement:

$$\langle \sigma_{XX} v \rangle \simeq \frac{\lambda_{sx}^2}{64\pi} \frac{1}{m_X^2} = 0.89 \text{pb} \times \left(\frac{\lambda_{sx}}{1.0}\right) \left(\frac{3 \text{TeV}}{v_s}\right)^2$$

We will also compare the DM-nucleon scattering cross section with direct detection restriction from XENON1T

$$\sigma_{SI} = \frac{4}{\pi} \mu_p^2 f_p^2, \quad f_p = \frac{m_p}{2m_X} \sum_q \frac{a_q}{m_q} f_{T_q}^{(p)} \approx \lambda_{sx} \frac{m_p}{8m_X} \frac{v_s}{v_h} \sin 2\theta \left(\frac{1}{m_{h_{SM}}^2} - \frac{1}{m_S^2}\right) \Delta^p$$

This two restriction will help us to get feasible parameter space



• Two Ways of RSB

1. United symmetry breaking: Gildener-Weinberg approach

If there is a direction---'flat direction' in this direction field H and S have non-trivial VEV at the same time. In other words, non-trivial VEV are function of field ϕ

$$\binom{h}{s} = \phi \vec{N} = \phi \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}_{s}}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}_{s} \\ \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Separate symmetry breaking: Higgs Portal approach

This case is a **decoupling limit situation** $\lambda_{hs} \ll 1$, which means one field should break firstly and generate a non-trivial VEV. Then, this VEV will provide EW scale μ for the SM Higgs field.

Both should be constrained by: SM particle mass, Mixing angle, and Dark matter data



Dark matter restriction from XENON1T

However, there are three equations which must be satisfied, so there is only one free parameter in λ_h , λ_s , λ_{hs} , and λ_{sx}



Test at Collider?





Test of our Model:

Strong interaction & light particle **Difficult** 1) Collider: LHC, FCC, CEPC e.g.



2)Gravitation: LISA, TianQin e.g.



Complement







Phase Transition

The behavior of universe at high temperature: (1) $T > T_1$ Only one minimum $\phi_0 = \phi_{min}$ (2) $T_1 > T > T_*$ there is a second extremum ϕ_- , but the minimum is still $\phi_0 = \phi_{min}$ (3) $T_c > T$ two extremums, minimum is $\phi_- = \phi_{min}$

Transition Rate

(1) Transition by quantum tunneling : $\Gamma = Ae^{-S_4}$ (2) Transition by thermal tunneling : $\Gamma = Ae^{-S_3/T}$

Three parameters in phase transition

(1) the strength of phase transition: α (2) the time scale of phase transition: β (3) the temperature of phase transition: T_n



 $\alpha = \frac{\Delta \epsilon}{\rho} |_{T=T_n} \qquad \frac{\beta}{H_n} = T_n \frac{d(S_3/T)}{dT} |_{T=T_n}$

• S₃ in thermal tunneling

There are few things we need to comment:

(1) S_3 is obtained by numerical package CosmoTransition. This program works poorly in low temperature area which, however, is the focus in our study.

(2) We use linear fit in order to get S_3 , dropping some points with very large S_3/T



• Radiative Dominance or Vacuum Dominance Era?

In standard cosmology, at the period which we are considering, universe is dominated by radiation energy. So, usually the phase transition complete condition is derived in radiative dominated period:

$$\frac{S_3(T)}{T} \sim 140$$

However, with the temperature decreasing into low temperature regime, radiative energy density decrease with T^4 , vacuum energy density nearly unchanged

$$\rho_0 = V_0^{(1)}(0, T_n) - V_0^{(1)}(\langle \phi \rangle, T_n) = \frac{1}{2} B \langle \phi \rangle^4$$

Therefore, if any model predicts a strong first order phase transition with:

$$T_n < \left(\frac{15B}{\pi^2 g_*}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} v_{\phi}$$

Universe may go through a vacuum dominated period.

So, it is necessary to reconsider the phase transition complete condition.

• CSPT at Short Vacuum Dominance Era

Usually, CSPT will generate a well strong First-order phase transition! Supercooling phase transition with parameter $\alpha \gg 1$, which means universe is dominated by vacuum.

In this case, we derived a new approximation for phase transition happened in vacuum dominated period

$$\frac{S_3(T)}{T} \sim 70$$

TABLE I. Possible Parameter

| | v_s/GeV | λ | λ_s | λ_x | λ_{hx} | λ_{sx} | α | β/H | T_n/GeV | $T_*/{\rm GeV}$ |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|
| Α | 1886 | 0.1269 | 0.000037 | 0.2 | 10^{-3} | 1.09 | $1.4*10^{8}$ | 113.124 | 0.898 | 388 |
| В | 2076 | 0.1273 | 0.000025 | 0.2 | 10^{-3} | 1.20 | $8.2*10^{7}$ | 104.087 | 1.182 | 464 |
| С | 2595 | 0.1279 | 0.000010 | 0.2 | 10^{-3} | 1.50 | $2.5*10^{7}$ | 98.157 | 2.228 | 689 |
| D | 3460 | 0.1284 | 0.000003 | 0.2 | 10^{-3} | 2.00 | 0.907 | 79.302 | 248 | 1112 |
| Е | 5433 | 0.1288 | $5.4 * 10^{-7}$ | 0.2 | 10^{-3} | 3.14 | 0.025 | 219.136 | 1170 | 2285 |
| F | 1886 | 0.1269 | 0.000037 | 1.20 | 10^{-3} | 1.09 | $6.0*10^{7}$ | 93.028 | 1.105 | 423 |
| G | 2076 | 0.1273 | 0.000025 | 1.20 | 10^{-3} | 1.20 | $3.5*10^{7}$ | 88.382 | 1.461 | 511 |

Many parameter sets Indicate Strong Supercooling!

• Sources of Gravitational Wave

Physics back ground of gravitational wave generating is CSI phase transition and releasing vacuum energy.

The total Gravitational Wave: $h^2\Omega_{GW} \simeq h^2\Omega_{\phi} + h^2\Omega_{sw} + h^2\Omega_{turb}$

Three sources of energy

- 1 Bubble collision
- ② Turbulence in plasma
- ③ Sound Speed wave in plasma

The main effect in this situation is sound speed wave

$$h^{2}\Omega_{\rm sw}(f) = 2.65 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{H_{*}}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{\kappa_{v}\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{100}{g_{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} v_{w} S_{\rm sw}(f)$$
$$S_{\rm sw}(f) = (f/f_{\rm sw})^{3} \left(\frac{7}{4+3(f/f_{\rm sw})^{2}}\right)^{7/2}$$
$$f_{\rm sw} = 1.9 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{mHz} \,\frac{1}{v_{w}} \left(\frac{\beta}{H_{*}}\right) \left(\frac{T_{*}}{100 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{g_{*}}{100}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}$$



CSI Gravitational Wave

• LISA & TianQin detectable Gravitational Wave Signal





CSI Gravitational Wave

LISA & TianQin detectable Gravitational Wave Signal

The gravitational wave difference between Vacuum dominate period and radiative dominate period





Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion

- 1. We analyze the zero temperature RSB triggered by DM in CSISB model and the dark matter model.
- 2. We re-calculated the phase transition complete condition in Vacuum dominated period.
- 3. This model would generate a strong first order phase transition and we get the gravitational spectrum which could be tested at LISA or TianQin.
- Outlook

There are more details we need to discuss in Gildener-Weinberg approach, since the traditional analysis of this model ignores the influence given by 1-loop contribution in mixing angle which may give some corrections to feasible parameter and Gravitational spectrum

