



## Hadronic decays of charmed hadrons at BESIII Lei Li

#### **For BESIII Collaboration**

**Beijing Institute of Petro-chemical Technology (BIPT)** 

中国物理学会高能物理分会/第十三届全国粒子物理学术会议 2021年8月16日–19日,山东青岛

## Outline

## **BEPCII/BESIII**

## Hadronic decays of charmed hadrons

- > Measurements of strong-phase parameters
- > Amplitude analysis for  $D_s^+$  decays



#### **Beijing Electron Positron Collider (BEPC)**

#### **BESIII detector**

2004: start BEPCII construction 2009: start of BESIII data taking Center-of-mass energy: **2.0-4.95** GeV Designed luminosity :  $1 \times 10^{33}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> Achieved Design Luminosity on Apr 5<sup>th</sup>, 2016 :  $1 \times 10^{33}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

Linac

## **BESIII Detector**



#### D MDC:

#### **TOF**:

 $\sigma$ p/p: 0.5%@1GeV/c  $\sigma_{dE/dx}$ : 6% for electrons

 $\sigma_T$ : 68 ps (Barrel) 110 ps (Endcap) EMC:

 $\sigma E/\sqrt{E: 2.5(5.0)\%@1GeV}$ for barrel(endcap)

## **BESIII Collaboration**

#### Europe (17)

Carnegie Mellon Univ., Indiana Univ., Univ. of Hawaii, Univ. of Minnesota, Univ. of Rochester,

.....

**USA (5**)

Germany: Bochum Ruhr Univ., GSI Darmstadt, Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg Univ. of Mainz, Justus-Liebig-Univ. Giessen, Univ. of Münster, Univ. of Giessen; Russia: Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research; Italy: Ferrara Univ., INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Univ. of Turin; The Netherlands : KVI-CART Univ. of Groningen; Sweden: Uppsala Univ.,

Turkey: Turkish Accelerator Center Particle Factory Group; UK: Univ. of Oxford, Univ. of Manchester.

COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Pakistan.

Others In ASIA(9)

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India Institute of Physics and Technology Mongolia, Seoul National Univ., Korea, Tokyo University, Japan Univ. of Punjab, Pakistan Suranaree Univ. of Technology, Thailand University of Lahore, Pakistan South America (1) University of Tarapaca

Antarchic

China (48)

IHEP, Beihang Univ., Beijing Institute of Petrochemical Technology,

CCAST, Fudan Univ., Guangxi Normal Univ., Guangxi Univ., Hangzhou Normal Univ., Henan Normal Univ., Henan Univ. of Science and Technology, Huazhong Normal Univ., Huangshan College, Hunan Univ., Hunan Univ., Hunan Normal Univ., Institute of modern Physics, Jilin Univ., Liaoning Univ., Nanjing Normal Univ., Liaoning Univ., Nankai Univ., Peking Univ., Qufu Normal Univ., North China Electric Power Univ., Shanxi Univ., Shanxi Normal Univ., Shandong Normal Univ., Shandong Univ., Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ., Soochow Univ.,

South China Normal Univ., Southeast Univ., Sun Yat-Sen Univ., Tsinghua Univ., Univ. of Chinese Academy of Sciences ,

Univ. of Jinan, Univ. of Science and Technology of China, Univ. of Sciences and Technology Liaoning, Univ. of South China, Wuhan Univ., Xinyang Normal Univ., Zhejiang Univ., Zhengzhou Univ.

BESIII: ~500 members from 80 institutes in 17 countries.

## **Data for charm hadron studies at BESIII**





## **Measurements of strong-phase parameters**

□ Phase angle  $\gamma/\phi_3$  is the only CKM angle that can be measured in treelevel processes, in which the contribution of non-SM effects is expected to be small [JHEP 01(2014)051].

 $\Box$  Measurement of  $\gamma$  provides a benchmark of the SM with negligible theoretical uncertainty.



□ An improved knowledge of the measurement of  $\gamma$  (precision: ~1°, >5 $\sigma$ ) is important to further test the SM and probe for new physics. 7

### **Measurements of strong-phase parameters**

□ Phase angle  $\gamma/\phi_3$  can be measured by studying the interference between B<sup>-</sup>→D<sup>0</sup>K<sup>-</sup> and B<sup>-</sup>→D<sup>0</sup>K<sup>-</sup>.



where  $r_{B}$  is ratio of suppressed to favored amplitudes,  $\delta_{B}$  is the strong-phase difference between the favoured and suppressed amplitudes.

#### Generally, three methods were proposed to measure $\gamma/\phi_3$ :

- ✓ GLW <sup>[1]</sup>: via D<sup>0</sup>→CP eigenstate, K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>,  $\pi^+\pi^-$ , K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> $\pi^0$  etc.
- ✓ ADS <sup>[2]</sup>: via D<sup>0</sup>→CF and DCS, such as K<sup>+</sup> $\pi^-$ , K<sup>+</sup> $\pi^-\pi^0$ , K<sup>+</sup> $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$  etc.
- ✓ GGSZ <sup>[3]</sup>: via with D<sup>0</sup>→Multi-body self-conjugate decays,  $K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  etc.

M. Gronau, D. London, Phys. Lett. B 253, 483 (1991); M. Gronau, D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 265, 172 (1991).
 D. Atwood, I. Dunietz and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3257 (1997).
 A. Giri, Y. Grossman, A. Soffer and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054018 (2003).

Strong-

phase are

key inputs.

■ ADS approach [D. Atwood, I. Dunietz and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3257 (1997)]: B<sup>-</sup>→DK<sup>-</sup> with D→Kn $\pi$ , such as: D→K3 $\pi$ , K $\pi\pi^0$  etc.

 $\Gamma \left( \mathsf{B}^{-} \to \left( \mathsf{K}^{+} 3\pi \right)_{\mathsf{D}} \mathsf{K}^{-} \right) \propto r_{B}^{2} + \left( r_{D}^{K3\pi} \right)^{2} + 2R_{K3\pi} r_{B} r_{D}^{K3\pi} \cdot \cos \left( \delta_{B} + \delta_{D}^{K3\pi} - \gamma \right)$  where  $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{K}3\pi}$  is coherence factor, and  $\delta_{\mathsf{D}}^{\mathsf{K}3\pi}$  is averaged strong-phase difference.

□ GGSZ approach [A. Giri, Y. Grossman, A. Soffer and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054018] : B<sup>-</sup>→DK<sup>-</sup> with D→Multi-body self-conjugate decays,  $K_{s/L}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ ,  $K_{s/L}^{0}K^{+}K^{-}$  etc.

Amplitude:  $d\Gamma(B^{\pm} \to \tilde{D}^{0}K^{\pm}) = |f_{D}(m_{\pm}^{2}, m_{\mp}^{2})|^{2} + r_{B}^{2}|f_{D}(m_{\mp}^{2}, m_{\pm}^{2})|^{2} + 2r_{B}|f_{D}(m_{\pm}^{2}, m_{\mp}^{2})||f_{D}(m_{\mp}^{2}, m_{\pm}^{2})| \times [\cos\Delta\delta_{D}\cos(\delta_{B} \pm \phi_{3}) + [\sin\Delta\delta_{D}\sin(\delta_{B} \pm \phi_{3})],$ 



#### Strong-phase parameters in $D \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

Three typical binning schemes [Phys. Rev. D 82, 112006 (2010)]



no background included]

backgrounds]

- ✓ "BaBar K-matrix"  $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  model as in Ref. [Phys. Rev. D 78, 034023 (2008)].
- $\checkmark$  It should be noted that although the choice of binning is model-dependent, however, a poor choice of model results only in a loss of precision, instead of bias in measuring  $\gamma/\phi_3$ .

[1] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 231802 (2019); JHEP 04(2016) 033.

[2] V. Vorobyev et al. (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 94, 052004 (2016).

[3] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 718, 43 (2012); JHEP 10 (2014) 097; JHEP 06 (2016) 131; JHEP 08 (2018) 176.

[4] H. Aihara et al. (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 85, 112014 (2012).

#### The Quantum Correlated DD meson pairs

 $\Box \psi(3770)$  is a spin -1 state and therefore the amplitude of  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ :

 $(|D^0\rangle|\overline{D^0}\rangle - |\overline{D^0}\rangle|D^0\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  [anti-symmetric wave function]

The amplitude for two D mesons to decay to states F and G is [PRD68, 033003 (2003)]:  $\Gamma(F|G) = \Gamma_0 \left[ A_F^2 \bar{A}_G^2 + \bar{A}_F^2 A_G^2 - 2R_F R_G A_F \bar{A}_F A_G \bar{A}_G \cos[\delta_D^F - \delta_D^G] \right]$ 

□ Hence, the coherence factors  $R_F$ , the strong-phase difference  $\delta_D^F$ , can be extracted based on the study of the quantum correlated DD meson pairs.



- Single tag (ST) samples: decay products of only one D meson are reconstructed
- Double tag (DT) samples:
   decay products of both D mesons are
   reconstructed
- ✓ Some typical reconstructed D decay modes

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Tag group} \\ \hline \mbox{Flavor} & K^{+}\pi^{-}, K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}, K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{+}, K^{+}e^{-}\bar{\nu}_{e} \\ CP\mbox{-even} & K^{+}K^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-}, K^{0}_{S}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}, K^{0}_{L}\pi^{0}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0} \\ CP\mbox{-odd} & K^{0}_{S}\pi^{0}, K^{0}_{S}\eta, K^{0}_{S}\omega, K^{0}_{S}\eta', K^{0}_{L}\pi^{0}\pi^{0} \\ \mbox{Mixed-} CP & K^{0}_{S}\pi^{+}\pi^{-} \end{array}$ 

✓ Expected events for  $K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ .vs. CP-eigenstate:  $f_{CP\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [f_D(m_+^2, m_-^2) \pm f_D(m_-^2, m_+^2)]$  $M_i^{\pm} = h_{CP\pm} (K_i \pm 2c_i \sqrt{K_i K_{-i}} + K_{-i})$  11

#### Strong-phase parameters in $D \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

✓ Results of  $c_i$  and  $s_i$  in optimal binning from CLEO experiments.



✓ The systematic uncertainty in measurement of  $\gamma$  due to the input of strong-phase parameters is 3.9° for optimal binning. The overall sensitivity of  $\gamma$  is systematically limited to ~3.9° for model-independent GGSZ approach.

✓ Therefore, improved measurements in  $c_i \& s_i$  from BESIII are essential for degree-level precision of measuring  $\gamma$  via model-independent GGSZ approach.

#### $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0$ samples at BESIII

BESIII is the only machine running at τ-charm energy region. The quantum-correlated studies are key to constrain the γ/φ<sub>3</sub> measurement at LHCb upgrades 1(2) and Belle II experiments.

2.93/fb @ 3.773 GeV



beam-constrained mass distributions in data



 ✓ Good performance of BESIII detector: high tracking & PID efficiencies; high purity samples.

#### **DT** events for $D \rightarrow K_{S/L}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ in data



# Dalitz plots for $D \rightarrow K_{S/L} \pi^+ \pi^-$ observed in data Effect of quantum correlation is immediately seen in Dalitz plots.

 $M_{K_{s}^{0}\pi^{+}}^{2}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

*CP*-even vs.  $K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

 $M^{2}_{K_{t}^{0}\pi^{-}}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

 $M_{K_{c}^{0}\pi^{+}}^{2}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

 $M_{K^0_{\star}\pi^+}^2 (\text{GeV}^2/c^4)$ 

CP-odd vs.  $K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

 $M_{K_{s}^{0}\pi^{+}}^{2}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

 $M_{K'_{I}\pi^{+}}^{2}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

 $M^2_{K^0_L \pi^-}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)

Flavor vs.  $K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

 $M^{2}_{K_{L}^{0}\pi^{-}}$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>)



 $M_{K^0_{\star}\pi^+}^2 (\text{GeV}^2/c^4)$ 

#### The strong-phase parameters $(c_i^{(')}, s_i^{(')})$ in $D \rightarrow K_{S/L}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$

✓ The  $c_i^{(')}$  and  $s_i^{(')}$  measured in this work, the expected results and the CLEO results.



✓ The expected uncertainty for  $\gamma$  with B<sup>-</sup>→DK<sup>-</sup>, D→K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> $\pi^+\pi^-$ .



✓ Limited by statistical errors, especially for  $s_i$  and  $s_i'$ .

✓ On average a factor of ~2.5 (2.0) more precise for  $c_i$  ( $s_i$ ) and ~2.8 (2.2) more precise for  $c'_i$  ( $s'_i$ ) than CLEO.

✓ The associated uncertainty on  $\gamma/\phi_3$  is expected to be roughly a factor of three smaller than that from CLEO analysis.

✓ The improved precision on c'<sub>i</sub> and s'<sub>i</sub> are important for Belle-II in  $\gamma/\phi_3$ measurement with B<sup>-</sup>→DK<sup>-</sup>, D→K<sub>L</sub><sup>0</sup> $\pi^+\pi^-$ .

#### The strong-phase parameters in $D \rightarrow K_{S/L}^{0}K^{+}K^{-}$



#### Measurements of coherence factors in $D \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^$ and $D \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ [JHEP05(2021)164]

□ For D→K<sup>-</sup>n $\pi$ , the coherence factor R<sub>S</sub>, the amplitude ratio r<sub>D</sub> and strong-phase difference  $\delta_D$  between the CF and DCS amplitude averaged over phase space:

$$R_{S}e^{-i\delta_{D}^{S}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{A}_{S}^{\star}(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{A}_{\bar{S}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}}{A_{S}A_{\bar{S}}} \text{ and } r_{D}^{S} = A_{\bar{S}}/A_{S} \quad A(s) \text{ is the decay amplitude of } D \rightarrow K^{-}n\pi.$$

□ The amplitude for two D mesons decay to states S(single tag) and T(double tag):

$\Gamma(S T) = A_S^2 A_T^2 \left[ (r_D^S)^2 + (r_D^T)^2 - 2R_S R_T r_D^S r_D^T \cos(\delta_D^T - \delta_D^S) \right]$
---

Flavour	Like sign	$K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}, K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{0}, K^{-}\pi^{+}$
	Opposite sign	$K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{+}, K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}, K^{+}\pi^{-}$
$C\!P$	Even	$K^+K^-,  \pi^+\pi^-,  K^0_S\pi^0\pi^0,  K^0_L\pi^0,  K^0_L\omega,  \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
	Odd	$K^0_S \pi^0,  K^0_S \eta,  K^0_S \omega,  K^0_S \eta',  K^0_S \phi,  K^0_L \pi^0 \pi^0$
Self-conjugate		$K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-$

✓ For CP tags:  $\Gamma(S|CP) = A_S^2 A_{CP}^2 \left(1 + (r_D^S)^2 - 2\lambda R_S r_D^S \cos \delta_D^S\right)$ 

✓ For Like-sign tags:  $\Gamma(S|S) = A_S^2 A_{\bar{S}}^2 [1 - R_S^2]$ 

 $\checkmark \quad \text{For Like-sign tags:} \quad Y_i^S = H\left(K_i + \left(r_D^S\right)^2 K_{-i} - 2r_D^S R_S \sqrt{K_i K_{-i}} \left[c_i \cos \delta_D^S - s_i \sin \delta_D^S\right]\right)$ 

#### Measurements of coherence factors in D $\rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^$ and D $\rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ [JHEP05(2021)164]

#### □ Fitted central values for strong-phase parameters.





Expected uncertainty

Scans of  $\Delta \chi^2$  in the global (R<sup>K3π</sup>,  $\delta_D^{K3π}$ ) and (R<sub>Kππ0</sub>,  $\delta_D^{Kππ0}$ )



## Amplitude analysis of $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$

→ Hadronic decay  $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$  is usually used as the reference mode (Large BF). The improvement BF measurement is also important for  $D_s^+$  decay channels.

Knowledge of the decay amplitudes can reduce the systematic uncertainties related to the substructures.

➤ The BFs of D<sup>+</sup><sub>s</sub> → K̄<sup>\*</sup>(892)<sup>0</sup>K<sup>+</sup> and D<sup>+</sup><sub>s</sub> → φ(1020) π<sup>+</sup> measured in this analysis can help to refine theoretical models.
3.19/fb@4.178 GeV

DT method, recoiling against eight ST modes.





#### □ Amplitude results:



#### □ Absolute branching fraction by DT:

$\mathcal{B}_{\rm sig} = \frac{N_{\rm sig}^{\rm obsA} + }{\sum_{\alpha} Y_{\rm ST}^{\alpha} \epsilon_{\rm t}^{\alpha}}$	$\frac{2N_{\rm sig}^{\rm obsB}}{\alpha_{\rm ag,sig}/\epsilon_{\rm tag}^{\alpha}}$
$\mathcal{B} \left( D_s^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+ \right) \left( \% \right)$	Collaboration
$5.55 \pm 0.14_{stat} \pm 0.13_{svs}$	CLEO [25]
$5.06 \pm 0.15_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.21_{\text{sys}}$	Belle [26]
$5.78 \pm 0.20_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.30_{\text{sys}}$	BABAR [27]
$5.47\pm0.08_{\rm stat}\pm0.13_{\rm sys}$	BESIII(this analysis)
Input E	3F & amplitudes
Input E	BF & amplitudes
Process	BF & amplitudes BF BESIII (this analysis)
Process $D_s^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+, \ \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	BF & amplitudes BF BESIII (this analysis) 2.64 ± 0.06 <sub>stat</sub> ± 0.07 <sub>sys</sub>
Process $ \frac{Process}{D_s^+ \to \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+,  \bar{K}^*(892)^0 \to K^- \pi^+} \\ D_s^+ \to \phi(1020)\pi^+,  \phi(1020) \to K^+ K^- $	BF & amplitudes BF BESIII (this analysis) $2.64 \pm 0.06_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.07_{\text{sys}}$ $2.21 \pm 0.05_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.07_{\text{sys}}$
Process $ \frac{Process}{D_{s}^{+} \to \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0}K^{+}, \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to \phi(1020)\pi^{+}, \phi(1020) \to K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}, S(980) \to K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}, S(980)\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \to S(980)\pi^{$	BF & amplitudes BF BESIII (this analysis) $2.64 \pm 0.06_{stat} \pm 0.07_{sys}$ $2.21 \pm 0.05_{stat} \pm 0.07_{sys}$ $1.05 \pm 0.04_{stat} \pm 0.06_{sys}$
Process $ \frac{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0}K^{+},  \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \phi(1020)\pi^{+},  \phi(1020) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}(1020)\pi^{+},  S(980) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0}K^{+},  \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0}K^{+},  \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}K^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0}K^{+},  \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{$	BF & amplitudes BF & BF BESIII (this analysis) $2.64 \pm 0.06_{stat} \pm 0.07_{sys}$ $2.21 \pm 0.05_{stat} \pm 0.07_{sys}$ $1.05 \pm 0.04_{stat} \pm 0.06_{sys}$ $0.16 \pm 0.03_{stat} \pm 0.03_{sys}$ $0.10 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03_{sys}$
Process $ \frac{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0}K^{+}, \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \phi(1020)\pi^{+}, \phi(1020) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{0}(1430)^{0}K^{+}, \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{0}(1710)\pi^{+}, f_{0}(1710) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{r}^{+} \rightarrow f_{0}(1710)\pi^{+}, f_{0}(1710) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{r}^{+} \rightarrow f_{0}(1370)\pi^{+}, f_{0}(1370) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}} $	BF & amplitudes BF & BF BESIII (this analysis) $2.64 \pm 0.06_{stat} \pm 0.07_{sys}$ $2.21 \pm 0.05_{stat} \pm 0.07_{sys}$ $1.05 \pm 0.04_{stat} \pm 0.06_{sys}$ $0.16 \pm 0.03_{stat} \pm 0.03_{sys}$ $0.10 \pm 0.02_{stat} \pm 0.03_{sys}$ $0.07 \pm 0.02_{stat} \pm 0.03_{sys}$
Process $ \frac{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0}K^{+}, \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \phi(1020)\pi^{+}, \phi(1020) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow S(980)\pi^{+}, S(980) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0}K^{+}, \bar{K}_{0}^{*}(1430)^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow f_{0}(1710)\pi^{+}, f_{0}(1710) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}}{D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+} \text{ total BF}} $	$\frac{BF}{BESIII (this analysis)}$

#### The best precision to data.

Amplitude	Magnitude ( $\rho$ )	Phase $(\phi)$	FFs (%)	Significance $(\sigma)$
$D_s^+ \to \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+$	1.0 (fixed)	0.0 (fixed)	$48.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6$	>20
$D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(1020)\pi^+$	$1.09 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$	$6.22 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04$	$40.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.9$	>20
$D_s^+ \rightarrow S(980)\pi^+$	$2.88 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.17$	$4.77 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.07$	$19.3\pm1.7\pm2.0$	>20
$D_s^+ \to \bar{K}_0^* (1430)^0 K^+$	$1.26 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.16$	$2.91 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.23$	$3.0\pm0.6\pm0.5$	8.6
$D_s^+ \to f_0(1710)\pi^+$	$0.79 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.14$	$1.02 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06$	$1.9\pm0.4\pm0.6$	9.2
$D_s^+ \to f_0(1370)\pi^+$	$0.58 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08$	$0.59 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.46$	$1.2\pm0.4\pm0.2$	6.4

## Amplitude analysis of $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$

→ Hadronic decay  $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$  is usually used as the reference mode. Hence, the improvement BF measurement is also important for  $D_s^+$  decay channels.

Knowledge of the decay amplitudes can reduce the systematic uncertainties related to the substructures.

➤ The BFs of  $D_s^+ \to K^*(892)^0 \pi^+$  and  $D_s^+ \to K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$  measured in this analysis can help to refine theoretical models.

DT method, recoiling against eight ST modes.

The signals for  $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$  selected from data samples.



 $\checkmark$  Totally, about 600 signal events are observed from data.

 $\checkmark$  The purity of the selected data sample is roughly 86%.

6.32/fb@4.178-4.226 GeV

[JHEP06(2021)181]

## Amplitude analysis of $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$

#### □ Amplitude results:







#### □ Absolute branching fraction by DT:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{sig}} = \frac{N_{\text{total}}^{\text{DT}}}{\mathcal{B}_{K_{S}^{0} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}} \mathcal{B}_{\pi^{0} \to \gamma \gamma \sum_{\alpha, i} N_{\alpha, i}^{\text{ST}} \epsilon_{\alpha, \text{sig}, i}^{\text{DT}} / \epsilon_{\alpha, i}^{\text{ST}}}$$

 $Br \left( D_s^+ \to K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^0 \right) = (5.43 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ 

#### Input BF & amplitudes

Intermediate process	$BF(10^{-3})$
$D_s^+ \to K_S^0 \rho^+$	$2.73 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.22$
$D_s^+ \to K_S^0 \rho(1450)^+$	$1.11 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.24$
$D_s^+ \to K^*(892)^0 \pi^+$	$0.45 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$
$D_s^+ \to K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$	$0.25 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$
$D_s^+ \to K^* (1410)^0 \pi^+$	$0.18 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$

Amplitude	Magnitude $(\rho_n)$	Phase $(\phi_n)$	FF (%)	Significance $(\sigma)$
$D_s^+ \to K_S^0 \rho^+$	1.0(fixed)	0.0(fixed)	$50.2 \pm 7.2 \pm 3.9$	> 10
$D_s^+ \to K_S^0 \rho(1450)^+$	$2.7\pm0.5$	$2.2\pm0.2\pm0.1$	$20.4\pm4.3\pm4.4$	> 10
$D_s^+ \to K^*(892)^0 \pi^+$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$3.2\pm0.2\pm0.1$	$8.4\pm2.2\pm0.9$	5.0
$D_s^+ \to K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2\pm0.2$	$4.6\pm1.4\pm0.4$	4.0
$D_s^+ \to K^* (1410)^0 \pi^+$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.2\pm0.3\pm0.1$	$3.3\pm1.6\pm0.5$	3.7

## Summary

- BESIII had collected the largest data samples for study of charmed hadrons, including D<sup>0</sup>, D<sup>+</sup>, D<sub>s</sub><sup>+</sup> and  $\Lambda_c^+$  etc.
- A range of important and unique results had been published in recent years.
- BESIII will collected more 17/fb data at  $\psi(3770)$  resonance peak.
- More important results are expected in charmed hadron decays at BESIII.

# Thanks!