## The threshold effects on $Z_{cs}(3985)$



Meng-Chuan Du

Jan.24th 2021

第五届强子谱和强子结构研讨会

Meng-Chuan Du, Qian Wang, and Qiang Zhao, arXiv:2011.09225

## Outline

- Introduction
- Thresholds and the triangle singularity
- Parametrization
- Fitted Results
- Summery

#### The observation of $Z_{cs}^{-}(3985)$



Fig.1. The  $K^+$  recoil-mass spectra in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+D_s^-D^{*0}[1]$ 

[1] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII], arXiv:2011.07855.

#### Theoretical studies

[1]Ming-Zhu Liu, Jun-Xu Lu, Tian-Wei Wu, Ju-Jun Xie, Li-Sheng Geng, arXiv:2011,08720.

[2]Jun-Zhang Wang, Xiang Liu, Takayuki Matsuki, arXiv:2011.08501.

[3]Bing-Dong Wan, Cong-Feng Qiao, arXiv:2011.08747.

[4]Jun-Zhang Wang, Qing-Song Zhou, Xiang Liu, Takayuki Matsuki, arXiv:2011.08628.

[5]Zhi-Yang, Feng-Kun Guo, Juan Nieves, Manuel Pavon Valderrama,

arXiv:2011.08725

[6]Xu Cao, Jian-Ping Dai, Zhi-Yang, arXiv:2011.09244.

[7]Rui-Chen, Qi Huang, arXiv:2011.09156.

[8]Zhi-Feng Sun, Chu-Wen Xiao, arXiv:2011.09404.

[9]Qi-Nan Wang, Hua-Xing Chen, arXiv:2011.10495.

[10]Bo Wang, Lu Meng, Shi-Lin Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 2, L021501

[12]Zhi-Gang Wang, arXiv:2011.10959.

[13]K.Azizi, N. Er, arXiv:2011.11488.

[14]Xin Jin, Xue-Jie Liu, Yao-Yao Xue, Hong-Xia Huang, Jia-Lun Ping, arXiv:2011.12230.

[15]Yu. A. Simonov, arXiv:2011.12326.

[16]Natsumi Jkeno, Raquel Molina, Eulogio Oset, arXiv:2011.13425.

[17]Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, Bing-Song Zou, arXiv:2011.14517.

[18]Yong-Jiang Xu, Yong-Lu, Chun-Yu Cui, Ming-Qiu Huang,

arXiv:2011.14313.

[19]Lu Meng, Bo Wang, Shi-Lin Zhu, arXiv:2012.09813.

[20]Zhi-Hui Guo, J. A. Oller, arXiv:2012.11904.

[21]Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, Bing-Song Zou, arXiv:2101.01021.

 $Z_c(3900)$  and  $Z_c(4020)$ 



Fig.2.  $Z_c(3900)$  observed in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D\overline{D}^*\pi$  [1], and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$  [2].

[3] M. Ablikim et al., PRL 112,132001.[4] M. Ablikim et al., PRL 111,242001.



Fig.3.  $Z_c(4020)$  observed in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^*\overline{D}^*\pi$  [3], and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c\pi\pi$  [4]

#### Thresholds for productions of $Z_c$ and $Z_{cs}$



 $|Y\rangle = \alpha |compact\rangle + \beta |molecule\rangle$ 

Thresholds near Y(4260)

Thresholds near Y(4660)

Mass [MeV]
4407
4295
4285
4467

Thresholds	Mass [MeV]
$D_{s0}(2317)D_s^*$	4429
$D_{s1}(2460)\overline{D_s}$	4428
$D_{s1}(2536)\overline{D_s}$	4504
$D_{s2}(2573)\overline{D_s}^*$	4685

- The production of  $D_1(2420)\overline{D}$ ,  $D_2(2460)\overline{D}^*$ ,  $D_{s1}(2536)\overline{D_s}$  and  $D_{s2}(2573)\overline{D_s}^*$  thresholds from  ${}^3S_1 c\bar{c}$  breaks HQSS.
- HQSS breaking is significant in |Y>[1]

[1] Qiang Wang, Martin Cleven, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Ulf-G. Meissner, Xiao-Gang Wu, and Qiang Zhao, Phys. Rev. D89,034001.

#### Triangle singularity for $Z_c$ and $Z_{cs}$

- The presence of TS in heavy meson sector [1].
- TS is essential for Y(4260) and  $Z_c(3900)$  [2]

• It is similar for  $Z_{cs}$ . For  $D_{s0}(2317)\overline{D_s}^*$  and  $D_{s1}(2460)\overline{D_s}$  thresholds, see Ref[3]. Ref[4] discussed the effects of the triangle with  $D_{s2}$ .



[1] Qian Wang, Christoph Hanhart, and Qiang Zhao, Phys. Lett. B 725,106

[2] Qian Wang, Christoph Hanhart, and Qiang Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111,132003

[3] Zheng Cao, and Qiang Zhao, Phys. Rev. D 99,014016

[4] Zhi Yang, Xu Cao, Feng-Kun Guo, Juan Nieves, and Manuel Pavon Valderrama,

arXiv:2011.08725

As an illustration of the pure kinematics of the triangle singularity, the triangle diagrams induced by  $D_{s1}(2536)\overline{D}_s$  and  $D_{s2}(2573)\overline{D}_s^*$  are calculated in the framework of the heavy meson effective theory.



- $D_{s1}(2536)\overline{D}_s$  and  $D_{s2}(2573)\overline{D}_s^*$  thresholds are produced via D wave  $c\overline{c}$ , which reflects the HQSS breaking.
- Both the resonance of Y and  $Z_{cs}$  are omitted to illustrate the near-threshold kinematic enhancement caused by TS.



Fig.4. The differential cross sections and the cross section of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{D}_s D^*K$ , from the triangle diagrams without the poles of *Y*(4680) and *Z*<sub>cs</sub>. The theoretical values are scaled to compare with the data [1].

- The triangle singularity leads to near-threshold enhancement in  $\overline{D}_s D^*$  final state.
- The triangle singularity causes enhancement at  $D_{s2}(2573)\overline{D}_s^*$  threshold.
- The contribution from  $D_{s1}(2536)\overline{D}_s$  threshold is not negligible.
- However, the pole contribution in Y and  $Z_{cs}$  cannot be excluded. Both the triangle singularity and the pole are essential for our understanding.

#### Parametrization

To illustrate the role play by the pole in a simple way, we adopt the following parametrization



The physical vertex is given by LS equation:

$$\mathcal{A}_{L}^{phy} = \mathcal{A}_{L}^{bare} (1 - GV)^{-1} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}_{L}^{phy} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{L}^{phy} & \mathcal{F}_{L}'^{phy} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{A}_{L}^{bare} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{L}^{bare} & \mathcal{F}_{L}'^{bare} \end{pmatrix}$$

The final-state-interaction potentials are given by heavy-light decomposition:

States	<i>HL</i> > decompositions	$I(J^{PC})$	Components
Ζ	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 01\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 10\rangle$	1(1+-)	$D\overline{D}^*$
Ζ'	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} 10\rangle$	1(1+-)	$D^*\overline{D}^*$
W <sub>0</sub>	$\frac{1}{2} 00\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} 11\rangle$	1(0++)	$D\overline{D}$
<i>W</i> <sub>0</sub> '	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} 00\rangle - \frac{1}{2} 11\rangle$	1(0++)	$D^*\overline{D}^*$
<i>W</i> <sub>1</sub>	11>	$1(1^{++})$	$D^*\overline{D}^*$
<i>W</i> <sub>2</sub>	11>	1(2++)	$D^*\overline{D}^*$

The calculated potentials are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{ZZ} & \hat{H}_{ZZ'} \\ \hat{H}_{Z'Z} & \hat{H}_{Z'Z'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_1 + C_0}{2} & \frac{C_1 - C_0}{2} \\ \frac{C_1 - C_0}{2} & \frac{C_1 + C_0}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{H}_{W_0W_0} & \widehat{H}_{W_0W'_0} \\ \widehat{H}_{W'_0W_0} & \widehat{H}_{W'_0W'_0} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 3C_1 + C_0 & \sqrt{3}(C_0 - C_1) \\ \sqrt{3}(C_0 - C_1) & 3C_0 + C_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widehat{H}_{W_1W_1} = C_1, \widehat{H}_{W_2W_2} = C_1$$

The physical amplitudes are defined by:

polariza

$$\begin{split} M_{D\bar{D}^{*}\pi} &= \epsilon_{Y}^{a} \epsilon_{\bar{D}^{*}}^{*b} \left[ A_{S}^{phy} \delta^{ab} + A_{D}^{phy} \left( p_{\pi}^{a} p_{\pi}^{b} - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} \right) |\vec{p}_{\pi}|^{2} \right] \\ M_{D^{*}\bar{D}^{*}\pi} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{Y}^{a} \epsilon^{bcd} \epsilon_{D^{*}}^{*c} \epsilon_{\bar{D}^{*}}^{*d} \left[ A'_{S}^{phy} \delta^{ab} + A'_{D}^{phy} \left( p_{\pi}^{a} p_{\pi}^{b} - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} \right) |\vec{p}_{\pi}|^{2} \right] \\ M_{D\bar{D}^{*}\pi} |^{2} &= 2 \left| \mathcal{F}_{S}^{phy} \right|^{2} + 2Re \left[ \mathcal{F}_{S}^{phy} \mathcal{F}_{D}^{phy*} \right] \left( \frac{1}{3} - \cos^{2} \theta \right) p_{\pi}^{2} + \left| \mathcal{F}_{D}^{phy} \right|^{2} \left( \frac{5}{9} - \frac{1}{3} \cos^{2} \theta \right) p_{\pi}^{4} \end{split}$$

#### Fitted Results



Fig.5. Angular distribution of  $\pi(K)$  in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+D^{*-}D^0$ ,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^-D^{*+}\overline{D}^{*0}$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+D_s^-D^{*0}$  processes at the first peak position, i.e. the mass region of  $Z_c, Z'_c$  and  $Z^-_{cs}$ , respectively. The data is taken from Ref[1].



- Both S and D wave are required.
- $\pi$  distribution is a sensitive to the interference between the S and D wave.



Fig.6.  $D^0D^{*-}$ ,  $D^{*+}\overline{D}^{*0}$  and  $\overline{D}_sD^{*0}$  invariant mass spectrum with fitted results. The data are obtained from Ref[1,2,3].

Ctotog	Poles		
States	Scheme I	Scheme II	Scheme III
Z <sub>c</sub>	3873.11 <sub>V</sub>	3875.76 <sub>V</sub>	3800.58 <sub>B</sub>
$Z_c'$			
$Z_{cs}$	3976.68 <sub>V</sub>	3979.39 <sub>V</sub>	3916.19 <sub>B</sub>
$Z_{cs}'$			
$W_{c0}$	3734.17 <sub><i>B</i></sub>	3702.63 <sub>B</sub>	3687.42 <sub><i>B</i></sub>
$W_{c0}'$		$4022.07 \pm 6.58i$	
$W_{c1}$	3869.09 <sub>B</sub>		
$W_{c2}$	4011.14 <sub><i>B</i></sub>		

Tab.1. The poles on the physical sheets and those close to the physical ones. Those indicated by "— —" are either far away from the corresponding threshold (more than 100MeV) or on a sheet far away from the physical one.

- Bound state poles of  $Z_c$  and  $Z_{cs}$
- The constraints of  $Z'_c$  from the data is very weak.
- The pole location of  $W_{c0}$  is found

M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII], PRD 92, 092006.
M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII], PRL 112, 132001.
M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII], arXiv:2011.07855



Fig.7. The predicted missing  $K^+$  distribution of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+D_s^{*-}D^{*0}$  process at  $\sqrt{s} = 4.68$ GeV. The blue dashed, green dot-dashed and red curves are for Schemes I,II and III, respectively.

### Summery

- Both  $Z_c(3900)$  and  $Z_{cs}(3985)$  are likely to be genuine states in the fitting, which are enhanced by triangle singularity. A coherent analysis including the triangle singularity is still needed to decode the riddle of Y and Z states.
- The angular distribution of  $\pi$  in  $Z'_c \pi$  and  $Z'_{cs} K$  channel is sensitive to the interference between S and D wave.
- The  $D_s^{*-}D^{*0}$  spectrum is predicted using the fitted parameters.
- $e^+e^-$  spectrum near 4.68 GeV can provide more information for the properties of Y(4660), which in turn can help us understand the production of  $Z_c$  and  $Z_{cs}$  states.

# Thank you