

Hidden-charm molecule with

strangeness

Zhi Yang

University of Electronic Science and Technology of China (电子科技大学)

Based on arXiv: 2011.08725 In collaboration with Xu Cao, Feng-Kun Guo, Juan Nieves, Manuel Pavon Valderrama

第五届"强子谱和强子结构研讨会", 2021/1/24



□ Hadron structure

- **D** Zc family
- \Box Molecular interpretation of Zc(3900)
- \Box Line shape and pole position of Zcs(3985)
- □ Summary

Hadron structures



Conventional hadrons



Proposals for the heavy exotic hadrons

- Hadron structure is a platform to study the QCD in low energy region.
- Quark model classified the hadrons very well.
- However, many new hadrons can not fit into the conventional hadrons (mass and properties).



hybrid



Compact multiquark



Hadro-Quarkonium



Hadronic molecule

Exotic hadrons in Zc family



- Data

Global Fit

M(DD*) (GeV/c2)

total fit

Z_(4025)

PHSP signal

RM(π⁰)(GeV/c²)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 (D^*\overline{D}^*)^0$

 $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 h_c$



Lebed, Mitchell, Swanson, PPNP93(2017)143







> Zc states were observed in the hidden- and open-charm channel;

Unsuccessful searches for Zcs by Belle and BES3 in the hidden channel.
PRD77, 011105(2008); PRD89,072015(2014); PRD97, 071101(2018)

Zc(3900): kinematical effect or molecular?

The charged one was observed in J/ $\psi\pi^{\,\pm}$ mass distribution by BESIII and Belle.

BESIII, PRL110(2013)252001; Belle, PRL110(2013)252002

- Must contain at least 4 quarks, $c\overline{c}u\overline{d}$, slightly above the $D^*\overline{D}$ threshold, mainly $D^*\overline{D}$ molecular? Or tetraquark, hybrid...?
- Kinematical cusp effect? In this scenario, it is not self consistent. Guo, Hanhart, Wang and Zhao, PRD91(2015)051504
- Hadronic molecule, not triangle singularity



6



- ≻ The Z_c(3900) was found through e⁺e⁻ → $J/\psi \pi \pi$ and $D^* \overline{D} \pi$.
- ➤ However, it was not found in the B → KZc(Z_c → J/ψπ) decay.
 Instead, the Z_c(4200) and Z_c(4430) were found.

Belle, PRD90(2014)112009

- The absence may have something to do with its internal structure.
- > Under the hadronic molecular picture, both X(3872) and $Z_c(3900)$ have $D^*\overline{D}$ constituent. The isospin of the $Z_c(3900)$ is 1, while for the X(3872) is 0.
- > The production of the $D^*\overline{D}$ pair with isospin 1 is highly suppressed in B decays.
 - \rightarrow The Zc(3900) being a $D^*\overline{D}$ hadronic molecule naturally explains

its absence in the B decays.



Zcs signal in $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+(D_s^-D^{*0} + D_s^{*-}D^0)$





- The recoil mass distribution was studied by BES3;
- A clear peak was found at energy point 4.681GeV;
- Search at other 4 energies was also performed.





> The Zcs structure was also observed in other four energy points.



\sqrt{s} (GeV)	$\mathcal{L}_{ m int}({ m pb}^{-1})$
4.628	511.1
4.641	541.4
4.661	523.6
4.681	1643.4
4.698	526.2

arXiv: 2011.07855

> There exists one particle in the energy range:

 $\psi(4660)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(1^{--})$

ψ(4660) MASS ψ(4660) WIDTH 4633 ± 7 MeV (S = 1.4) 64 ± 9 MeV

Triangle singularity in Zcs production

- There is such triangle diagram which appears as peak around threshold at c.m. energy 4.681 GeV;
- It can enhance the production of near-threshold hadronic molecules.

Guo, Liu and Sakai, PPNP112,103757; Guo, Hanhart, Meissner, Wang, Zhao and Zou, RMP90,015004



Energy points: [4.628, 4.641, 4.661, <u>4.681</u>, 4.698] GeV 10

Zcs in $e^+e^- \to K^+(D_s^-D^{*0} + D_s^{*-}D^0)$



K''

 D^{0}/D^{*0}

 \bar{D}_s^*/\bar{D}_s

 \overline{D}_s^*

Constant-contant EFT: (for virtual/bound state) \bar{D}_s^* $V_{\rm virtual}^{(O)} = C^{(O)}$ (b)(a)Resonance EFT: K^+ $V_{\rm res}^{(O)} = C^{(O)} + 2D^{(O)} k^2$ (c)(d)

$$\underbrace{\stackrel{e^-}{\underset{e^+}{}}_{(e)} \underbrace{\stackrel{K^+}{\underset{D_s^*}{}}_{v}}_{(e)} \underbrace{\stackrel{D^0/D^{*0}}{\underset{D_s^*}{}}_{v}} \underbrace{\stackrel{\mathbb{LSE:}}{\underset{\tau(E_{cm})}{}} = \frac{1}{V^{(O)}} - I_0(E_{cm};\Lambda)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \hline \hline \sqrt{s}(\,{\rm GeV}) & \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}(\,{\rm pb}^{-1}) & n_{\rm sig} & f_{\rm corr} \bar{\varepsilon}(\%) & \sigma^B \cdot \mathcal{B}(\,{\rm pb}) \\\hline \hline 4.628 & 511.1 & 4.2^{+6.1}_{-4.2} & 1.03 & 0.8^{+1.2}_{-0.8} \pm 0.6\,(<3.0) \\\hline 4.641 & 541.4 & 9.3^{+7.3}_{-6.2} & 1.09 & 1.6^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \pm 1.3\,(<4.4) \\\hline 4.661 & 523.6 & 10.6^{+8.9}_{-7.4} & 1.28 & 1.6^{+1.3}_{-1.1} \pm 0.8\,(<4.0) \\\hline 4.681 & 1643.4 & 85.2^{+17.6}_{-15.6} & 1.18 & 4.4^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \pm 1.4 \\\hline 4.698 & 526.2 & 17.8^{+8.1}_{-7.2} & 1.42 & 2.4^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \pm 1.2\,(<4.7) \\\hline \end{array}$$

arXiv: 2011.07855

Other fit parameters:

- N: overall constant (e+evertex);
- r: relative weight between diagrams (d,e) and diagrams (a,b,c);

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}m_{23}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}m_{23}} \ \mathcal{L}_{int} \ \bar{\epsilon} \ f_{\mathrm{corr}}$$

11

Fits of Zcs line shapes

Constant-contact EFT: (for virtual/bound state)

$$V_{\text{virtual}}^{(O)} = C^{(O)}$$

Resonance EFT:





> The fits are quite well, $\chi^2/dof \approx 0.6$

for both cases.



Energy points: [4.628, 4.641, 4.661, 4.698] GeV





The LECs in fitting Zcs line shapes: for constant-contact EFT:

 $C^{(O)}(\Lambda) = -0.77^{+0.12}_{-0.10} \left(-0.45^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \right) \,\mathrm{fm}^2 \,,$

for resonant EFT:

 $\begin{aligned} C^{(O)}(\Lambda) &= -0.72^{+0.18}_{-0.13} \left(-0.44^{+0.06}_{-0.05} \right) \, \text{fm}^2 \,, \\ D^{(O)}(\Lambda) &= -0.17^{+0.21}_{-0.21} \left(-0.025^{+0.066}_{-0.049} \right) \, \text{fm}^4 \,, \end{aligned}$

Potential	States	Thresholds	Masses $(\Lambda = 0.5 \text{ GeV})$	Masses $(\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV})$	Experiment
$V_{ m virtual}^{(O)}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})$	3875.8	3871^{+2}_{-3}	3867^{+4}_{-7}	$3884.4 \pm 2.5 \ [11]$
	$D^* ar{D^*}$	4017.2	4014^{+2}_{-3}	4012^{+3}_{-6}	4024.1 ± 1.9 [11]
	$Dar{D}_s^*$ - $D^*ar{D}_s$	3979.4,3976.9	3974^{+2}_{-3}	3971^{+3}_{-6}	
	$D^* ar{D}^*_s$	4120.8	4117^{+3}_{-5}	4115^{+3}_{-6}	
Potential	States	Thresholds	Masses $(\Lambda = 0.5 \text{ GeV})$	Masses $(\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV})$	Experiment
$V_{ m res}^{(O)}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^* - D^*\bar{D})$	3875.8	$3861^{+20}_{-0} - i6^{+14}_{-6} \ ({ m R/V})$	$3861^{+16}_{-35} - i0^{+29}_{-0} \ ({ m R/V})$	3884.4 ± 2.5 [11]
	$D^* ar{D^*}$	4017.2	$4004^{+18}_{-0} - i0^{+20}_{-0} (\text{R/V})$	$4006^{+10}_{-37} - i0^{+28}_{-0} \ ({\rm R/V})$	4024.1 ± 1.9 [11]
	$Dar{D}_s^*$ - $D^*ar{D}_s$	3979.4,3976.9	$3963^{+20}_{-0} - i3^{+16}_{-3} \ ({ m R/V})$	$3966^{+12}_{-36} - i0^{+20}_{-0} \ ({\rm R/V})$	$3982.5^{+2.8}_{-3.3} - i25.6^{+12.1}_{-10.6}$ [4]
	$D^*ar{D}^*_s$	4120.8	$4110^{+14}_{-0} - i0^{+19}_{-0} (R/V)$	$4111^{+9}_{-25} - i0^{+15}_{-0} (\text{R/V})$	

Zc(3900): line shape in $J/\psi\pi$ and $D^{*-}D^0$ channels



Albaladejo, Guo, Hidalgo and Nieves, PLB755,337(2016)



M_{Z_c} (MeV)	$\Gamma_{Z_c}/2$ (MeV)	Ref.	Final state
$\begin{array}{c} 3894\pm 6\pm 1\\ 3886\pm 4\pm 1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30\pm12\pm6\\ 22\pm6\pm4\end{array}$	$\Lambda_2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ $\Lambda_2 = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$	$J/\psi \pi, ar{D}^*D$ $J/\psi \pi, ar{D}^*D$
$\begin{array}{r} 3831 \pm 26^{+7}_{-28} \\ 3844 \pm 19^{+12}_{-21} \end{array}$	virtual state virtual state	$\Lambda_2 = 1.0 \text{ GeV}$ $\Lambda_2 = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$	$J/\psi \ \pi, \ ar{D}^*D$ $J/\psi \ \pi, \ ar{D}^*D$

LECs and Poles from Zc(3900) case

The LECs in reproducing the pole position of Zc(3900): for constant-contact EFT:

 $C^{(O)}(\Lambda) = -0.29^{+0.15}_{-0.32} \left(-0.28^{+0.08}_{-0.39}\right) \,\mathrm{fm}^2$

for resonant EFT:

 $C^{(O)}(\Lambda) = -0.06^{+0.24}_{-0.16} \left(-0.22^{+0.10}_{-0.06} \right) \text{ fm}^2,$ $D^{(O)}(\Lambda) = -0.31^{+0.10}_{-0.17} \left(-0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.07} \right) \text{ fm}^4.$

Potential	States	Thresholds	Masses $(\Lambda = 0.5 \text{ GeV})$	Masses $(\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV})$	Experiment
$V_{ m virtual}^{(O)}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D\bar{D}^*-D^*\bar{D})$	3875.8	Input [19]	Input [19]	$3888.4 \pm 2.5 \; [11]$
	$D^* \bar{D^*}$	4017.2	3988^{+21}_{-27}	3978^{+25}_{-36}	4024.1 ± 1.9 [11]
	$Dar{D}_s^*/D^*ar{D}_s$	3979.4/3976.9	3948^{+22}_{-27}	3937^{+25}_{-36}	
	$D^* ar{D}^*_s$	4120.8	4092^{+21}_{-26}	4083^{+24}_{-35}	
Potential	States	Thresholds	Masses $(\Lambda = 0.5 \text{ GeV})$	Masses $(\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV})$	Experiment
$V_{ m res}^{(O)}$	$rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Dar{D}^*-D^*ar{D})$	3875.8	Input [19]	Input [19]	3888.4 ± 2.5 [11]
	$D^* \bar{D^*}$	4017.2	$4025 \pm 4 - i(21 \pm 7)$	$4035 \pm 6 - i(29 \pm 13)$	4024.1 ± 1.9 [11]
	$Dar{D}_s^*/D^*ar{D}_s$	3979.4/3976.9	$3986 \pm 4 - i(22 \pm 7)$	$3996 \pm 6 - i(30 \pm 13)$	$3982.5^{+2.8}_{-3.3} - i25.6^{+12.1}_{-10.6}$ [4]
	$D^* ar{D}^*_s$	4120.8	$4129 \pm 4 - i(21 \pm 7)$	$4138 \pm 6 - i(28 \pm 12)$	

[19] Albaladejo, Guo, Hidalgo and Nieves, PLB755,337 16





- Two EFTs correspond to two origins: virtual/bound and resonance states. Both can fit the line shapes very well.
- > Triangle singularity plays an important role.
- Zc and Zcs are partners in SU(3)-flavor symmetry with molecular configurations.
- High statistic measurements from different channels or energies are needed to:
- classify the origin of Zcs;
- \circ reduce the error of pole position.

Thank you!