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## I. Introduction

## Hadrons

- Quark model:

Mesons


Baryons


- Exotic hadrons:


Tetraquark



Clear exotic signature:
e.g. Exotic quantum numbers


- Exotic states

Dibaryons: H, d*, di- $\Omega, N \Omega, \ldots .$.
Pentaquarks: $\Theta^{+}$, $\Xi^{--}, P_{c}, \Omega c, N \phi \ldots .$.
Charmonium-like states: X(3872), Y(3940), Z(3900), Z(4430),......

Plausible molecular baryons: $\Sigma \mathrm{cD}, \Lambda_{c D}$, DN, DsN, ......
Dibaryons with heavy quarks: $\Lambda c \Lambda c, \Sigma c \Sigma c, \Xi c \Xi c$,
$N \Lambda c, N \Sigma c, N \Xi c c, N \Omega c, \ldots \ldots$

Exotic hadrons
-long standing challenge in hadron physics!

- Theoretical methods Lattice QCD
QCD sum rule
Dyson-Schwinger equation
Heavy quark efficient field theory
Phenomenological models of two approaches:
On the hadron level:
One-boson-exchange (OBE) model, One-pion-exchange (OPE) model


## On the quark level:

Isgur quark model , Chiral quark model,
Quark delocalization color screening model

## - Quark Models

## (1) Quark delocalization color screening model (QDCSM)

- QDCSM was developed by Nanjing-Los Alamos collaboration in1990s aimed to multi-quark study. (PRL 69, 2901, 1992)
- Two new ingredients (based on quark cluster model configuration):
quark delocalization (orbital excitation)
color screening (color structure)
- Apply to the study of baryon-baryon interaction and dibaryons

```
deuteron, d*, NN, N\Lambda,N\Omega, ...
```

- Apply to the study of baryon-meson interaction and pentaquarks
NK, Npi, Pc, ...

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =\sum_{i=1}^{6}\left(m_{i}+\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{2 m_{i}}\right)-T_{c}+\sum_{i<j}\left[V^{G}\left(r_{i j}\right)+V^{\chi}\left(r_{i j}\right)+V^{C}\left(r_{i j}\right)\right], \\
V^{G}\left(r_{i j}\right) & =\frac{1}{4} \alpha_{s} \lambda_{i} \cdot \lambda_{j}\left[\frac{1}{r_{i j}}-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{j}^{2}}+\frac{4 \sigma_{i} \cdot \sigma_{j}}{3 m_{i} m_{j}}\right) \delta\left(r_{i j}\right)-\frac{3}{4 m_{i} m_{j} r_{i j}^{3}} S_{i j}\right], \\
V^{\chi}\left(r_{i j}\right) & =\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{c h} \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}-m_{\chi}^{2}} m_{\chi}\left\{\left[Y\left(m_{\chi} r_{i j}\right)-\frac{\Lambda^{3}}{m_{\chi}^{3}} Y\left(\Lambda r_{i j}\right)\right] \sigma_{i} \cdot \sigma_{j}+\left[H\left(m_{\chi} r_{i j}\right)-\frac{\Lambda^{3}}{m_{\chi}^{3}} H\left(\Lambda r_{i j}\right)\right] S_{i j}\right\} \mathbf{F}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{j}, \chi=\pi, K, \eta, \\
V^{C}\left(r_{i j}\right) & =-a_{c} \lambda_{i} \cdot \lambda_{j}\left[f\left(r_{i j}\right)+V_{0}\right], \\
f\left(r_{i j}\right) & = \begin{cases}r_{i j}^{2} & \text { if } i, j \text { occur in the same baryon orbit, } \\
\frac{1-e^{-\mu_{j} r_{i j}}}{\mu_{i j}} & \text { if } i, j \text { occur in different baryon orbits, }\end{cases} \\
S_{i j} & =\frac{\left(\sigma_{i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i j}\right)\left(\sigma_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i j}\right)}{r_{i j}^{2}}-\frac{1}{3} \sigma_{i} \cdot \sigma_{j}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

## (2) Chrial Quark Model (ChQM)

## Provide the intermediate-range attraction by $\sigma$ meson-exchange.

Rep. Prog. Phys. 68, 965 (2005)
SU(2) ChQM: only $\sigma$ meson-exchange;

$$
V_{i j}^{\sigma}=-\frac{g_{c h}^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{2}}{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{2}-m_{\sigma}^{2}} m_{\sigma}\left[Y\left(m_{\sigma} r_{i j}\right)-\frac{\Lambda_{\sigma}}{m_{\sigma}} Y\left(\Lambda_{\sigma} r_{i j}\right)\right]
$$

SU(3) ChQM: full scalar octet meson-exchange.

$$
V_{i j}^{\sigma_{a}}=V_{a_{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right) \sum_{a=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{a} \cdot \lambda_{j}^{a}+V_{\kappa}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right) \sum_{a=4}^{7} \lambda_{i}^{a} \cdot \lambda_{j}^{a}+V_{f_{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right) \lambda_{i}^{8} \cdot \lambda_{j}^{8}+V_{\sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i j}\right) \lambda_{i}^{0} \cdot \lambda_{j}^{0}
$$

## II. Dibaryon relevant studies

1. Nonstrange dibaryon ( $\mathrm{d}^{*}$ )
> WASA-at-COSY measurements

- 2009 WASA-at-COSY found the signal of the dibaryon resonance.

M. Bashkanov et al.,

PRL 102(2009)052301

## - 2011 WASA-at-COSY found evidence of the d* exotic.

M. Bashkanov et al., arxiv:1104.0123[nucl-ex];


PRL 106(2011)242302;

FIG. 2 (color online). Total cross sections obtained from this experiment on $p d \rightarrow d \pi^{0} \pi^{0}+p_{\text {spectator }}$ for the beam energies $T_{p}=1.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ (triangles), 1.2 GeV (dots), and 1.4 GeV (squares) normalized independently. Shown are the total cross section data after acceptance, efficiency and Fermi motion corrections. The hatched area indicates systematic uncertainties. The drawn lines represent the expected cross sections for the Roper excitation process (dotted) and the $t$-channel $\Delta \Delta$ contribution (dashed) as well as a calculation for a $s$-channel resonance with $m=2.37 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\Gamma=68 \mathrm{MeV}$ (solid).

$$
m=2.37 \mathrm{GeV}, \Gamma \approx 70 \mathrm{MeV} \text { and } I\left(J^{P}\right)=0\left(3^{+}\right)
$$

- 2014 WASA-at-COSY confirmed the existence of the d* sixquark state.
P. Adlarson et al, arXiv:1402.6844[nucl-es];PRL 112(2014)202301;

CERN Courier 2014, July 23.


FIG. 4: (Color online) Energy dependence of the $n p$ analyzing power at $\Theta_{n}^{c m}=83^{\circ}$. The solid symbols denote the results from this work, the open symbols those from previous work $[7-9,[21-25]$. For the meaning of the curves see Fig. 1. Vertical arrow and horizontal bar indicate pole and width of the resonance.

The experiment was carried out with the WASA detector setup at COSY having a polarized deuteron beam impinged on the hydrogen pellet target and utilizing the quasifree process

$$
\vec{d} p \rightarrow n p+p_{\text {spectator }}
$$




FIG. 3: (Color online) Changes to the (dimensionless) ${ }^{3} D_{3}$ (top) and ${ }^{3} G_{3}$ (middle) partial waves including their mixing amplitude $\epsilon_{3}$ (bottom). Solid (dotted) curves give the real (imaginary) part of the partial-wave amplitudes from SP07, whereas the dashed (dash-dotted) curves represent the new (weighted) solution. Results from previous single energy fits [16] are shown by solid circles (real part) and inverted triangles (imaginary part). Vertical arrow and horizontal bar indicate pole and width of the resonance.

## > A2 Collaboration at MAMI

> M. Bashkanov et al., PRL 124, 132001 (2020)

## Signatures of the $d^{*}(\mathbf{2 3 8 0})$ Hexaquark in $d(\gamma, p \vec{n})$

## (A2 Collaboration at MAMI)

We report a measurement of the spin polarization of the recoiling neutron in deuterium photodisintegration, utilizing a new large acceptance polarimeter within the Crystal Ball at MAMI. The measured photon energy range of $300-700 \mathrm{MeV}$ provides the first measurement of recoil neutron polarization at photon energies where the quark substructure of the deuteron plays a role, thereby providing important new constraints on photodisintegration mechanisms. A very high neutron polarization in a narrow structure centered around $E_{\gamma} \sim 570 \mathrm{MeV}$ is observed, which is inconsistent with current theoretical predictions employing nucleon resonance degrees of freedom. A Legendre polynomial decomposition suggests this behavior could be related to the excitation of the $d^{*}(2380)$ hexaquark.

## > Theoretical side

- 1964, Dyson \& Xuong, $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{D}_{03}\right)=2350 \mathrm{MeV}$ (PRL13, 815)
naïve quark model prediction almost forgotten in last 50 years
- 1989, T. Goldman,...,Fan Wang, (PRC 39, 1889)
"inevitable nonstrange dibaryon" d*
- 1992, Fan Wang, et al., (PRL 69, 2901) confirmed by QDCSM
- 1995, Fan Wang, JL Ping, et al, (PRC 51, 3411)
further confirmed by u,d,s 3-flavor world systematic searching
- 2002, JL Ping, Fan Wang, et al., (PRC 65, 044003) improved d* calculation
- 2009, JL Ping et al, (PRC 79, 024001) $d^{*}$ in np resonance scattering
- 2013, Bashkanov, S. Brodsky, H. Clement, (PLB 727, 438)
hidden-color $\rightarrow$ narrow width
- 2013, A.Gal, H. Garcilaso, (PRL 111, 12301)
- 2014, F. Huang et al, arXiv:1408.0458
- 2015, Y.B. Dong, (PRC 91, 064002)
- 2015, S.L. Zhu, et al.,(PRC 91, 025204)


## $>\mathrm{d}^{*}$ in quark models

(1) d* mass and width in NN- $\Delta \Delta$ channel coupling scattering

PRC 79 (2009) 024001

| $N_{c h}$ | ChQM2 |  | ChQM2a |  | QDCSM0 |  | QDCSM1 |  | QDCSM3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | $\Gamma$ | M | $\Gamma$ | M | $\Gamma$ | M | $\Gamma$ | M | $\Gamma$ |
| 1c | 2425 | - | 2430 | - | 2413 | - | 2365 | - | 2276 | - |
| 2cc | 2428 | 17 | 2433 | 10 | 2416 | 20 | 2368 | 20 | 2278 | 19 |
| 4 cc | 2413 | 14 | 2424 | 9 | 2400 | 14 | 2357 | 14 | 2273 | 17 |
| 10cc | 2393 | 14 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $10 \mathrm{cc}^{\prime}$ | 2353 | 17 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $10 \mathrm{cc}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2351 | 21 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |


(2) Total decay width of $d^{*}$

|  | ChQM2: |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{R}$ |  | 2393 |
| $\Gamma_{N N}$ |  | 14 |
| $\Gamma_{\text {inel }}$ |  | 136 |
| $B_{N N}$ |  | 0.09 |
|  | QDCSM1: |  |
| $M_{R}$ |  | 2357 |
| $\Gamma_{N N}$ |  | 14 |
| $\Gamma_{\text {inel }}$ |  | 96 |
| $B_{N N}$ |  | 0.13 |

## Only phase space reduction:

(structure of $d^{*}$ is not considered)

$$
\Gamma_{b \Delta}\left(M_{b \Delta}\right) \approx \Gamma_{f \Delta} \frac{k_{b}^{2 \ell} \rho\left(M_{b \Delta}\right)}{k_{f}^{2} \rho\left(M_{f \Delta}\right)},
$$

The rms radius is about 1 fm .
$d^{*}$ is a compact six quark state, two baryon clustering is a crude approximation.

## (3) d* in the D-G partial waves of NN scattering

PRC 90 (2014) 064003


FIG. 1. ${ }^{3} D_{3}^{N N}$ and ${ }^{3} G_{3}^{N N}$ phase shifts including their mixing angles $\varepsilon_{3}$ in QDCSM.


FIG. 2. ${ }^{3} D_{3}^{N N}$ and ${ }^{3} G_{3}^{N N}$ phase shifts including their mixing angles $\varepsilon_{3}$ in ChQM .


FIG. 3. ${ }^{3} D_{3}^{N N}$ and ${ }^{3} G_{3}^{N N}$ amplitudes including their mixing amplitude $\varepsilon_{3}$ in two quark models. Solid (dotted) curves give the real (imaginary) part of partial-wave amplitudes in QDCSM, whereas the dashed (dash-dotted) curves represent the real (imaginary) part of partial-wave amplitudes in ChQM. Results from Ref. [6] are shown as solid circles (real part) and solid triangles (imaginary part).
2. Strange dibaryon ( $\mathrm{N} \Omega$ )

- Might be a narrow resonance. PRL 59,627 (1987)
- A very narrow resonance.

PRC 51, 3411 (1995); PRC 69,065207 (2004)

- A weakly bound state. EPJA 8, 417 (2000)
- A narrow resonance in $\Lambda \Xi$ scattering. PRC 83, 015202 (2011)
- Further theoretical work to confirm the $N \Omega$. PRC 92, 065202 (2015)
( $N \Omega$ scattering phase shifts, the scattering length and the effective range)
HALQCD Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A 928, 89 (2014)
Being searched by RHIC-STAR Collaboration, Phys.Lett. B 790, 490 (2019).
> Systematically searching for strange dibaryon states PRC 83,015202 (2011)

| $S$ | $I$ | $J$ | State | $M(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $\Gamma(\mathrm{MeV})$ | Decay channels |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | $\Delta \Sigma^{*}$ | $2440-2540$ | $48-118$ | $N \Lambda$ or $N \Sigma$ |
| -2 | 0 | 2 | $N \Xi^{*}$ | $2400-2430$ | $10-11$ | $N \Xi$ or $\Lambda \Lambda$ |
| -2 | 1 | 3 | $\Delta \Xi^{*}$ | $2620-2660$ | $50-90$ | $N \Xi$ |
| -3 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $N \Omega$ | $2528-2547$ | $2-4$ | $\Lambda \Xi$ |
| -3 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 3 | $\Sigma^{*} \Xi^{*}$ | $2788-2795$ | $50-60$ | $\Sigma \Xi$ |

$>$ The $\mathrm{N} \Omega$ scattering phase shifts
PRC 92,065202 (2015)


FIG. 2. The phase shifts of $S$-wave $N \Omega$ dibaryon.

HALQCD Collaboration
Nucl. Phys. A 928, 89 (2014)
implies the existence of a bound state
> The scattering length, the effective range and the binding energy

$$
k \cot \delta=-\frac{1}{a_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} r_{0} k^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(k^{4}\right)
$$

$$
B=\frac{\hbar^{2} \alpha^{2}}{2 \mu}
$$

$$
r_{0}=\frac{2}{\alpha}\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha a_{0}}\right)
$$

TABLE VI. The scattering length $a_{0}$, effective range $r_{0}$, and binding energy $B^{\prime}$ of the $N \Omega$ dibaryon.

|  | $a_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $r_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $B^{\prime}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| QDCSM | 2.8007 | 0.5770 | -5.2 |
| ChQM1 | 0.8103 | 0.3609 | -110.3 |
| ChQM2 | 1.3808 | 0.6018 | -37.3 |
| ChQM3 | 1.9870 | 0.7064 | -13.7 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{N \Omega} & =18.9(5.0)\left({ }_{-1.8}^{+12.1}\right) \mathrm{MeV} \\
a_{N \Omega} & =-1.28(0.13)\left({ }_{-0.15}^{+0.14}\right) \mathrm{fm} \\
\left(r_{e}\right)_{N \Omega} & =0.499(0.026)\left({ }_{\left({ }_{-0.048}^{+0.029}\right)} \mathrm{fm}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

HALQCD Collaboration
Nucl. Phys. A 928, 89 (2014)

## Physics Letters B

The proton $-\Omega$ correlation function in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{S_{\mathrm{NN}}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$

STAR Collaboration

## A B S T R A C T

We present the first measurement of the proton- $\Omega$ correlation function in heavy-ion collisions for the central ( $0-40 \%$ ) and peripheral ( $40-80 \%$ ) Au +Au collisions at $\sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ by the STAR experiment at the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC). Predictions for the ratio of peripheral collisions to central collisions for the proton- $\Omega$ correlation function are sensitive to the presence of a nucleon- $\Omega$ bound state. These predictions are based on the proton $-\Omega$ interaction extracted from $(2+1)$-flavor lattice QCD calculations at the physical point. The measured ratio of the proton $-\Omega$ correlation function between the peripheral (small system) and central (large system) collisions is less than unity for relative momentum smaller than $40 \mathrm{MeV} / c$. Comparison of our measured correlation ratio with theoretical calculation slightly favors a proton $-\Omega$ bound system with a binding energy of $\sim 27 \mathrm{MeV}$.
3. Dibaryon with heavy quarks
(1) H-like dibaryon states: $\Lambda c \Lambda c, \Lambda b \Lambda b$

PRC 89 ,035201,2014
Is the $H$ dibaryon $\Lambda \Lambda$ a bound state?
First proposed by R. L. Jaffe
PRL 38, 195, 1977
Revived by Lattice QCD calculations of different collaborations:
NPLQCD, PRL 106, 162001, 2011
HALQCD, PRL 106, 162002, 2011
Reexamined in a chiral constituent quark model
PRC 85, 045202, 2012
Is the H-like dibaryon $\Lambda c \Lambda c$ a bound state?
Answers of two hadron level models
No in One-boson-exchange model
Yes in One-pion-exchange model
PRD 84, 014031, 2011
PLB 704, 547, 2011
What about the quark level study of the $\Lambda c \Lambda c$ system?
${ }^{2 S+1} L_{J}$
TABLE III. The $\Lambda_{c} \Lambda_{c}$ and $\Lambda_{b} \Lambda_{b}$ states and the channels coupled to them.

| Channels | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $J^{P}=0^{+}$ | $\left.\Sigma_{c} \Sigma_{c}{ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $\left.N \Xi_{c c}{ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $\Lambda_{c} \Lambda_{c}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $\left.\Sigma_{c}^{*} \Sigma_{c}^{*}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $N \Xi_{c c}^{*}\left(5 D_{0}\right)$ | $\Sigma_{c} \Sigma_{c}^{*}\left({ }^{5} D_{0}\right)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} \Sigma_{c}^{*}\left({ }^{5} D_{0}\right)$ |
| $J^{P}=0^{+}$ | $\Sigma_{b} \Sigma_{b}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $N \Xi_{b b}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $\Lambda_{b} \Lambda_{b}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} \Sigma_{b}^{*}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ | $N \Xi_{b b}^{*}\left({ }^{5} D_{0}\right)$ | $\Sigma_{b} \Sigma_{b}^{*}\left({ }^{5} D_{0}\right)$ | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} \Sigma_{b}^{*}\left({ }^{5} D_{0}\right)$ |

$>$ The individual S-wave $\Lambda c \Lambda c$ is unbound. A bound state is obtained for the $\Lambda c \Lambda c$ system by channel-coupling.

TABLE IV. The binding energy B.E. ( MeV ) of every ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ channel of the $\Lambda_{c} \Lambda_{c}$ system, and channel coupling (c.c.).

| Channels | $\Sigma_{c} \Sigma_{c}$ | $N \Xi_{c c}$ | $\Lambda_{c} \Lambda_{c}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} \Sigma_{c}^{*}$ | c.c. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| QDCSM1 | -35.4 | ub | ub | -30.4 | -3.3 |
| QDCSM2 | -75.4 | ub | ub | -87.0 | -19.4 |

$>$ Similar to $H$ dibaryon: $\Lambda \Lambda$ system

| $S, I, J$ | Coupling channels | Mass $_{s c}$ | Mass $_{c c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-2,0,0$ | $\Lambda \Lambda-N \Xi-\Sigma \Sigma$ | - | 2225.5 |

The single channel $\Lambda \Lambda$ is unbound, but when coupled to the channels $N \Xi$ and $\Sigma \Sigma$, it becomes a weakly bound state.
It is possible to form a bound state in the $\Lambda_{c} \Lambda_{c}$ system, with a binding energy of $3.0 \sim 20 \mathrm{MeV}$, which is a $H$-like dibaryon state.

TABLE V. The binding energy B.E. (MeV) of every ${ }^{1} S_{0}$ channel of the $\Lambda_{b} \Lambda_{b}$ system, and channel coupling (c.c.).

| Channels | $\Sigma_{b} \Sigma_{b}$ | $N \Xi_{b b}$ | $\Lambda_{b} \Lambda_{b}$ | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} \Sigma_{b}^{*}$ | c.c |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| QDCSM1 | -36.0 | ub | ub | -28.2 | -3.6 |
| QDCSM2 | -78.8 | ub | ub | -83.0 | -19.7 |

The results are similar to the $\Lambda_{c} \Lambda_{c}$ system. There is also an $H$-like dibaryon state in the $\Lambda_{b} \Lambda_{b}$ system with a binding energy of $3.0 \sim 20 \mathrm{MeV}$ in our quark model.

## (2) $\mathrm{N} \Omega$-like dibaryons with heavy quarks: $\mathrm{N} \Omega$ ccc, $\mathrm{N} \Omega$ bbb

$$
\dot{I J^{P}}=\frac{1}{2} 2^{+}
$$

PRC 101, 015204, 2020
TABLE III. Channels of the $N \Omega_{c c c}$ system.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Xi_{c c}^{*} \Sigma_{c}$ | $\Xi_{c c} \Sigma_{c}^{*}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{*} \Lambda_{c}$ | $N \Omega_{c c c}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{*} \Sigma_{c}^{*}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime \prime} \Sigma_{c}^{* \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{* \prime} \Sigma_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime \prime} \Sigma_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| $\Xi^{\prime \prime} \Sigma$ | $N^{\prime} \Omega_{c c c}^{\prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{* \prime} \Lambda_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime} \Lambda_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda_{c}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime} \Sigma_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Xi_{c c}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda_{c}^{\prime}$ |

TABLE IV. The binding energies of the $N \Omega_{c c c}$ system with channel coupling.

|  | $B_{\text {sc }}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $B_{5 c c}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $B_{16 c c}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ChQM | ub | ub | -16.4 |
| QDCSM | -0.6 | -30.9 | $\cdots$ |

TABLE VI. The binding energies of the $N \Omega_{b b b}$ system with channel coupling.

|  | $B_{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $B_{5 c c}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $B_{16 c c}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ChQM | ub | ub | -16.4 |
| QDCSM | ub | -50.7 | - |

- Both of these two states are bound in two quark models: ChQM and QDCSM.
- The binding energy increases as the quark of the system becomes heavier.
- The effect of the channelcoupling is important for forming bound states.


## The scattering phase shifts, scattering length, the effective range and the binding energy



FIG. 2. The phase shifts of the $N \Omega_{c c c}$ state in both the ChQM and QDCSM.

TABLE V. The scattering length $a_{0}$, effective range $r_{0}$, and binding energy $B^{\prime}$ of the $N \Omega_{c c c}$ state.

|  | $a_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $r_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $B^{\prime}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ChQM | 1.4989 | 0.40810 | -15.5 |
| QDCSM | 1.3347 | 0.43343 | -21.6 |



FIG. 3. The phase shifts of the $N \Omega_{b b b}$ state in both the ChQM and QDCSM.

TABLE VII. The scattering length $a_{0}$, effective range $r_{0}$, and binding energy $B^{\prime}$ of the $N \Omega_{b b b}$ state.

|  | $a_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $r_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $B^{\prime}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ChQM | 1.5981 | 0.66427 | -40.1 |
| QDCSM | 1.1608 | 0.53617 | -40.1 |

## III. Pentaquark relevant studies

## $>$ Experimental results

- 2015 LHCb Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 072001

- The two $P_{c}^{+}$states are found to have masses and widths of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{P_{c}(4380)}=4380 \pm 8 \pm 29 \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \Gamma_{P_{c}(4380)}=205 \pm 18 \pm 86 \mathrm{MeV} \\
& M_{P_{c}(4450)}=4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \Gamma_{P_{c}(4450)}=39 \pm 5 \pm 19 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The preferred spin-parity $J^{P}$ are of opposite values, with one state having spin $3 / 2$ and the other $5 / 2$.
- 2019 LHCb Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122222001


Figure 6: Fit to the $\cos \theta_{P_{C}}$-weighted $m_{J / \psi_{p}}$ distribution with three BW amplitudes and a sixth-order polynomial background. This fit is used to determine the central values of the masses superimposed.

- The Pc(4312) was discovered with $7.3 \sigma$ significance by analyzing the $J / \psi p$ invariant mass spectrum.
- The previously reported $\operatorname{Pc}(4450)$ structure was resolved at $5.4 \sigma$ significance into two narrow states: the $P c(4440)$ and $P c(4457)$.

Table 1: Summary of $P_{c}^{+}$properties. The central values are based on the fit displayed in Fig. 6.

| State | $M[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\Gamma[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $(95 \% \mathrm{CL})$ | $\mathcal{R}[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{c}(4312)^{+}$ | $4311.9 \pm 0.7_{-0.6}^{+6.8}$ | $9.8 \pm 2.7_{-4.5}^{+3.7}$ | $(<27)$ | $0.30 \pm 0.07_{-0.09}^{+0.34}$ |
| $P_{c}(4440)^{+}$ | $4440.3 \pm 1.3_{-4.7}^{+4.1}$ | $20.6 \pm 4.9_{-10.1}^{+8.7}$ | $(<49)$ | $1.11 \pm 0.33_{-0.10}^{+0.22}$ |
| $P_{c}(4457)^{+}$ | $4457.3 \pm 0.6_{-1.7}^{+4.1}$ | $6.4 \pm 2.0_{-1.9}^{+5.7}$ | $(<20)$ | $0.53 \pm 0.16_{-0.13}^{+0.15}$ |
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- One bound state: $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \mathrm{N} \eta \mathrm{c}$
- Resonance states? (Strong attraction between $\Sigma c / \Sigma c^{*}$ and $D / D^{*}$ )
$J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Sigma c \mathrm{D}, \Sigma \mathrm{CD} \mathrm{D}^{*}, \Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*}$
$J^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{C} * \mathrm{D}, \Sigma \mathrm{CD} *, \Sigma \mathrm{C} * \mathrm{D} *, \mathrm{NJ} / \psi$
$J^{P}=5 / 2^{-} \quad \Sigma c^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*}$
These states should couple to open channels to check whether they are resonance states or not.

2) Resonance states in the scattering process
H. X. Huang and J. L. Ping, Phys. Rev. D. 99, 014010 (2019) , arXiv: 1811.04260.

Six resonance states were found:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{P} & =1 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{cD}, \Sigma \mathrm{cD}^{*}, \Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*} \\
J^{P} & =3 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{c} * \mathrm{D}, \Sigma \mathrm{cD} *, \Sigma \mathrm{c} * \mathrm{D}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Hidden-charm pentaquarks

- The hidden charm pentaquark channels with $\mathrm{I}=1 / 2$

Table 3 The channels involved in the calculation

| $S=\frac{1}{2}$ | $N \eta_{c}$ | $N J / \psi$ | $\Lambda_{c} D$ | $\Lambda_{c} D^{*}$ | $\Sigma_{c} D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\Sigma_{c} D^{*}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| $S=\frac{3}{2}$ | $N J / \psi$ | $\Lambda_{c} D^{*}$ | $\Sigma_{c} D^{*}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ |
| $S=\frac{5}{2}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |

$\checkmark$ The state with the positive parity is unbound in present calculations.

- The effective potentials


Fig. 1 The potentials of different channels for the $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}^{-}$system


Fig. 2 The potentials of different channels for the $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{3^{-}}{}{ }^{-}$system


Fig. 3 The potential of a single channel for the $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{5}{2}}$ - system
$\checkmark$ The potentials are repulsive between $\Lambda c$ and $D / D^{*}$. So no bound states or resonances can be formed in these two channels $\Lambda c D$ and $1 c D^{*}$.
Strong attractions between $\Sigma c / \Sigma c^{*}$ and $D / D^{*}$.
$\checkmark$ It is possible for $\Sigma c / \Sigma c^{*}$ and D/ D* to form bound states or resonance states.

- The single channel calculation

| $J^{p}=\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ |  |  |  | $J^{p}=\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{c c}$ | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | $\mu_{c C}$ | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.0001 |
| $N \eta_{c}$ | ub | ub | ub | $N J / \psi$ | ub | ub | ub |
| NJ/ $\psi$ | ub | ub | ub | ${ }^{\prime} C^{-D^{*}}$ | ub | ub | ub |
| $\Lambda_{c} D$ | ub | ub | ub | $\Sigma_{c} D^{*}$ | -16/4446 | -11/4451 | -10/4452 |
| $\Lambda_{c} D^{*}$ | ub | ub | ub | $\Sigma_{r}^{*} D$ | -17/4367 | -14/4370 | -12/4372 |
| $\Sigma_{C} D$ | $-19 / 4300$ | $-15 / 4304$ | $-13 / 4306$ | $\Sigma^{*} D^{*}$ | $-17 / 4510$ | -15/4512 | $-13 / 4514$ |
| $\left.\Sigma_{C} D^{*}\right)$ | $-21 / 4441$ | $-19 / 4443$ | $-18 / 4444$ | $J^{p}=\frac{5}{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ | -24/4503 | -23/4504 | -21/4506 | $\Sigma^{*} D^{*}$ | $-15 / 4512$ | -10/4517 | $-10 / 4517$ |

Comparing with the LHCb's result in 2015
The main component of the $\operatorname{Pc}(4380)$ maybe $\Sigma c^{*} \mathrm{D}$ with $J^{P}=3 / 2^{-}$.
$\checkmark$ The mass of the $\Sigma \mathrm{cD} D^{*}$ with $J^{P}=3 / 2^{-}$is close to the reported $\operatorname{Pc}(4450)$, but the opposite parity of this state to $\mathrm{Pc}(4380)$ may prevent one from making this assignment at that time.

## - The channel-coupling calculation

Table 6 The masses (in MeV ) of the hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks with all channels coupling and the percentages of each channel in the eigen-states

| $J^{p}=\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ |  |  |  | $J^{P}=\frac{3}{7}^{-}$ |  |  |  | $J^{P}=\frac{5}{7}^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{c c}$ | 0.01 | 000 | 0.0001 | $\mu_{c c}$ | 0.01 | 0.00 | 00001 | $\mu_{c c}$ | 0.01 | 0.00 | 00001 |
| $M_{c c}$ | 3881 | 3883 | 3884 | $M_{c c}$ | 3997 | 3998 | 3998 | $M_{c c}$ | 4512 | 4517 | 4517 |
| $N \eta_{c}$ | 41.7 | 49.7 | 35.2 | $N J / \psi$ | 80.8 | 71.0 | 62.1 | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $\overline{N J /} \psi$ | 23.1 | 24.4 | 29.3 | $\Lambda_{c} D^{*}$ | 8.7 | 11.9 | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Lambda_{c} D$ | 14.6 | 11.7 | 14.5 | $\Sigma_{c} D^{*}$ | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Lambda_{c} D^{*}$ | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.0 | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D$ | 3.5 | 5.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma_{C} D$ | 0.1 | 4.8 | 6.0 | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ | 5.8 | 9.4 | 12.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma_{C} D^{*}$ | 4.5 | 6.4 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ | 15.1 | 2.6 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\checkmark$ A bound state: $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \mathrm{Nnc}$
$\checkmark J^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \mathrm{NJ} / \psi$ (decay to open channels: $D$-wave $\mathrm{N} \eta \mathrm{c}$ )
$\checkmark J^{P}=5 / 2^{-} \Sigma c^{*} D^{*}$ (decay to open channels: some $D$-wave channels)
$\checkmark$ Where are these states?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Sigma c \mathrm{C}, \Sigma \mathrm{CD} *, \Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (decay to open channels: } S \text {-wave } \mathrm{Nnc}, \mathrm{NJ} / \psi, \Lambda c D, \\
\\
\\
J^{P} D^{*} \text { and some } D \text {-wave channels) }
\end{array} \\
J^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{c} * \mathrm{D}, \Sigma \mathrm{cD} *, \Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (decay to open channels: } S \text {-wave } \mathrm{NJ} / \psi, \Lambda c D * \text { and } \\
\text { some } D \text {-wave channels) }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

They maybe the resonance states.
To check whether they are resonance states or not, the study of scattering process of the corresponding open channels are needed!

- Resonance states in the scattering process
(1) $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-}$
arXiv: 1811.04260, Phys. Rev. D. 99, 014010 (2019)


FIG. 2. The $N \eta_{c}, N J / \psi, \Lambda_{c} D$, and $\Lambda_{c} D^{*} S$-wave phase shifts with four-channel coupling for the $I J^{P}=\frac{11}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ system.

- There are three resonance states: $\Sigma c \mathrm{D}, \Sigma \mathrm{c} \mathrm{D}^{*}$, and $\Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*}$ in the N $\eta \mathrm{c}$ scattering phase shifts.
- In other scattering channels there are only two resonance states: $\Sigma c D$ and £cD*.
- There is only a cusp around the threshold of the third state $\sum c^{*} D^{*}$, because the channel coupling pushes the higher state above the threshold.

TABLE II. The masses and decay widths (in MeV) of the $I J^{P}=\frac{11}{2} \frac{1}{-}$ resonance states in the $N \eta_{c}, N J / \psi, \Lambda_{c} D$, and $\Lambda_{c} D^{*} S$-wave scattering process.

(2) $J^{P}=3 / 2^{-}$


- There are two resonance states: $\Sigma c D^{*}$ and $\Sigma c^{*} D$ in the $\mathrm{NJ} / \psi$ scattering phase shifts.
- There are three resonance states: $\Sigma c D^{*}, \Sigma c^{*} D$ and $\Sigma c^{*} D^{*}$ in the $\Lambda c D^{*}$ scattering phase shifts.

FIG. 4. The $N J / \psi$ and $\Lambda_{c} D^{*} S$-wave phase shifts with fourchannel coupling for the $I J^{P}=\frac{13-}{2}-$ system.

TABLE III. The masses and decay widths (in MeV ) of the $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{3-}{2}$ resonance states in the $N J / \psi$ and $\Lambda_{c} D^{*} S$-wave scattering process.

|  | Two-channel coupling |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Sigma_{c} D^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D$ |  | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ |  |
|  | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $N J / \psi$ | 4453.8 | 1.7 | 4379.7 | 4.5 | 4526.4 | 2.5 |
| $\Lambda_{c} D^{*}$ | 4452.7 | 0.8 | 4377.6 | 3.2 | 4522.7 | 1.8 |
| $\underline{\Gamma_{\text {total }}}$ |  | 2.5 |  | 7.7 |  | 4.3 |
|  | Four-channel coupling |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\Sigma_{c} D^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D$ |  | $\Sigma_{c}^{*} D^{*}$ |  |
|  | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{N J / \psi} \\ & \Lambda_{c} D^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4445.7 \\ & 4444.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | 4376.4 4374.4 | 1.5 0.9 | nr <br> 4523.0 | 1.0 |
| $\underline{\underline{\Gamma_{\text {total }}}}$ | / | 1.8 | 1 |  |  | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## - Compare with the experiment



Figure 6: Fit to the $\cos \theta_{P_{c}}$-weighted $m_{J / \psi_{p}}$ distribution with three BW amplitudes and a sixth-order polynomial background. This fit is used to determine the central values of the masses and widths of the $P_{c}^{+}$states. The mass thresholds for the $\Sigma_{c}^{+} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $\Sigma_{c}^{+} \bar{D}^{+0}$ final states are superimposed.
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## 2. Hidden-bottom pentaquarks

(1) $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-}$


FIG. 6. The $N \eta_{b}, N \Upsilon, \Lambda_{b} B$ and $\Lambda_{b} B^{*} S$-wave phase shifts with four-channel coupling for the $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}-$ system.

TABLE IV. The masses and decay widths (in MeV ) of the $I J^{P}=\frac{11^{-}}{2}$ resonance states in the $N \eta_{b}, N \Upsilon, \Lambda_{b} B$, and $\Lambda_{b} B^{*} S$-wave scattering process.

|  | Two-channel coupling |  |  |  |  |  | Four-channel coupling |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Sigma_{b} B$ |  | $\Sigma_{b} B^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} B^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{b} B$ |  | $\Sigma_{b} B^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} B^{*}$ |  |
|  | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |  | $\Gamma_{i}$ | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $N \eta_{b}$ | 11083.3 | 4.0 | 11123.9 | 1.4 | 11154.5 | 4.7 | 1079.8 | 1.2 | 11120.8 | 0.4 | 11156.9 | 2.0 |
| $N \Upsilon$ | 11080.4 | 1.4 | 11135.4 | 6.6 | 11146.2 | 2.0 | 11077.5 | 0.1 | 11125.8 | 0.8 | 11153.5 | 3.0 |
| $\Lambda_{b} B$ | 11079.0 | 0.0003 | 11125.4 | 2.0 | 11145.1 | 0.49 | 11077.2 | 0.001 | 11122.0 | 0.6 | $11141.8)$ | 0.1 |
| $\Lambda_{b} B^{*}$ | 11082.2 | 2.6 | 11126.2 | 2.3 | 11142.7 | 0.22 | 1078.3 | 0.3 | 11123.0 | 1.2 | 11141.5 | 0.4 |
| $\Gamma_{\text {total }}$ |  | 7.0 |  | 12.3 |  | 7.4 |  | 1.6 |  | 3.0 |  | 5.5 |

(2) $J^{P}=3 / 2^{-}$


FIG. 8. The $N \Upsilon$ and $\Lambda_{b} B^{*} S$-wave phase shifts with fourchannel coupling for the $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2}$ system.

TABLE V. The masses and decay widths (in MeV) of the $I J^{P}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3-}{2}$ resonance states in the $N \Upsilon$ and $\Lambda_{b} B^{*} S$-wave scattering process.

|  | Two-channel coupling |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Sigma_{b} B^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} B$ |  | $\sum_{b}^{*} B^{*}$ |  |
|  | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $N \Upsilon$ | 11126.3 | 1.7 | 11105.8 | 4.4 | 11155.7 | 3.8 |
| $\Lambda_{b} B^{*}$ | 11125.5 | 0.9 | 11103.5 | 2.6 | 11152.0 | 2.7 |
| $\Gamma_{\text {total }}$ |  | 2.6 |  | 7.0 |  | 6.5 |

Four-channel coupling

|  | $\Sigma_{b} B^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma_{b}^{*} B$ |  | $\sum_{b}^{*} B^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M^{\prime}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l\|lll\|lll} \hline N \Upsilon & 11 & 122.7 & 0.2 & 11 & 103.6 & 0.8 \\ \Lambda_{b} B^{*} & 1 & 1 & 122.2 & 0.2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} 102.4 \quad 10.3$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{nr}}{11150.0}$ | 1.8 |
| $\Gamma_{\text {total }}$ |  | 0.4 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.8 |

- The results are similar to the hidden-charm pentaquarks.
- Some narrow hidden-bottom pentaquark resonances above 11 GeV are found from corresponding scattering process.


## 3. Hidden-strange pentaquark $\mathbf{N} \boldsymbol{\phi}$

$>$ The N $\phi$ bound state was first studied by H. Gao .

$$
\text { PRC } 63 \text { (2001) 022201(R) }
$$

The QCD van der Waals attractive potential is strong enough to bind a $\phi$ meson onto a nucleon inside a nucleus to form a bound state.
$>$ The feasibility of experimental search for the $N \phi$ bound state at Jefferson Lab was demonstrated by H. Gao . PRC 75 (2007) 058201
$>$ Measurement of coherent $\phi$-meson photoproduction from the deuteron.

CLAS Collaboration, PRC 76 (2007) 052202(R) PLB 680 (2009) 417-422, PLB 696 (2011) 338-342
$>$ The $N \phi$ was a quasi-bound state in the extended chiral $S U(3)$ quark model.

PRC 73 (2006) 025207
$>$ Search for a hidden strange baryon-meson bound state from $\varphi$ production in a nuclear medium.

PRC 95 (2017) 055202

## - The hidden strange pentaquark channels

TABLE II. The coupling channels of each quantum number.

| $J^{P}$ | ${ }^{2 S+1} L_{J}$ | Channels |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | ${ }^{2} S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $N \eta^{\prime}, N \phi, \Lambda K, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
|  | ${ }^{4} D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $N \phi, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
| $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | ${ }^{2} D_{\frac{3}{2}}$ | $N \eta^{\prime}, N \phi, \Lambda K, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
|  | $\left.{ }^{4} S_{\frac{3}{2}}{ }^{4} D_{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ | $N \phi, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
| $\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ | ${ }^{2} D_{\frac{5}{2}}$ | $N \eta^{\prime}, N \phi, \Lambda K, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
|  | ${ }^{4} D_{\frac{5}{2}}$ | $N \phi, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ | ${ }^{2} P_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $N \eta^{\prime}, N \phi, \Lambda K, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
|  | ${ }^{4} P_{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $N \phi, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
| $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | ${ }^{2} P_{\frac{3}{2}}$ | $N \eta^{\prime}, N \phi, \Lambda K, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
|  | ${ }^{4} P_{\frac{3}{2}}$ | $N \phi, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |
| $\frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | ${ }^{4} P_{\frac{5}{2}}$ | $N \phi, \Lambda K^{*}, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K, \Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |

$\checkmark$ The states of $P$ and $D$ wave are unbound in present calculations.

- The effective potentials


FIG. 1: The potentials of different channels for the $I=\frac{1}{2}$, $J^{P}=\frac{1}{2}^{-}, \frac{3}{2}^{-}, \frac{5}{2}^{-}$systems.

- The bound state calculation

TABLE III. The binding energy and the total energy of each individual channel and all coupled channels for the two $S$-wave bound states with the quantum numbers $J^{P}=\frac{1}{2}^{-}$and $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$. The values are provided in units of MeV , and "ub" represents unbound.

| Channel | $J^{P}=\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ |  |  | $J^{P}=\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QDCSM1 | QDCSM2 | QDCSM3 | QDCSM1 | QDCSM2 | QDCSM3 |
| $N \eta^{\prime}$ | ub | ub | ub | - | - | - |
| $N \phi$ | ub | ub | ub | ub | ub | ub |
| $\Lambda K$ | ub | ub | ub | - | - | - |
| $\Lambda K^{*}$ | ub | ub | ub | ub | ub | ub |
| $\Sigma K$ | -6.7/1681.3 | -26.8/1661.2 | -4.9/1683.1 | - | - | - |
| $\Sigma K^{*}$ | -8.9/2076.1 | -30.6/2054.4 | -22.4/2062.2 | -21.6/2063.4 | -21.1/2063.9 | -21.2/2063.8 |
| $\Sigma^{*} K$ | - | - | - | -10.4/1869.6 | -15.5/1864.5 | -11.1/1868.9 |
| $\Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ | -17.3/2259.7 | -87.0/2190.0 | -73.9/2203.1 | -11.3/2265.7 | -18.4/2258.6 | -27.2/2249.8 |
| Coupled | -16.0/1881.0 | -20.0/1877.0 | -24.3/1872.7 | -10.1/1948.9 | -7.7/1951.3 | -1.6/1957.4 |

$\checkmark N n^{\prime}$ is a bound state by channel-coupling calculation.
$\mathrm{N} \phi$ may be a resonance state.

- Resonance states in the scattering process
(1) $\mathrm{N} \phi$


TABLE IV. The $N_{s \bar{s}}$ bound state mass calculated from the ${ }^{2} D_{\frac{3}{2}}$ scattering channels. The values are provided in units of MeV .

| Scattering channel | QDCSM1 | QDCSM2 | QDCSM3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N \eta^{\prime}$ | 1947.998 | 1949.485 | 1955.988 |
| $\Lambda K$ | 1947.975 | 1949.480 | 1955.910 |
| $\Sigma K$ | - | 1949.597 | - |

FIG. 1. The phase shifts of different scattering channels for the $J^{P}=\frac{3}{2}^{-}$systems

TABLE V. The decay widths and branch ratios of each decay channel of $N_{s \bar{s}}$ bound state.

| Decay channel | QDCSM1 |  | QDCSM2 |  | QDCSM3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Gamma_{i}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $\Gamma_{i} / \Gamma(\%)$ |  | $\Gamma_{i}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $\Gamma_{i} / \Gamma(\%)$ | $\Gamma_{i}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| $N \eta^{\prime}$ | 0.002 | 0.1 | 0.022 | 0.5 | 0.009 | 0.2 |
| $\Lambda K$ | 0.011 | 0.3 | 0.120 | 2.9 | 0.055 | 1.2 |
| $\Sigma K$ | - | 0.0 | 0.060 | 1.5 | - | 0.0 |
| $\phi$ decays | 3.619 | 99.6 | 3.892 | 9.1 | 4.616 | 98.6 |

## (2) Pc-like resonances
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TABLE IV. The resonance mass and decay width (in MeV ) of the molecular pentaquarks with $J^{P}=\frac{1}{2}$.

|  | $\Sigma K$ |  | $\Sigma K^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ wave | $M_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $N \eta^{\prime}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2079.4 | 1.1 | 2246.8 | 20.0 |
| $N \phi$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2080.0 | 3.6 | 2237.0 | 30.0 |
| $\Lambda K$ | 1668.0 | 1.3 | 2083.4 | 1.0 | 2261.5 | 20.0 |
| $\Lambda K^{*}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2056.6 | 0.2 | 2219.0 | 58.0 |
| $\Sigma K$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2071.6 | 4.6 | 2252.3 | 6.0 |
| $\Sigma K^{*}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2253.9 | 16.0 |
| $D$ wave |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N \phi$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2076.3 | 0.3 | 2254.4 | 0.006 |
| $\Lambda K^{*}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2076.3 | 0.4 | 2253.6 | 0.6 |
| $\Sigma K^{*}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2254.0 | 0.06 |
| $\Sigma^{*} K$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2076.8 | 0.01 | 2253.3 | 0.8 |

TABLE V. The resonance mass and decay width (in MeV ) of the molecular pentaquarks with $J^{P}=\frac{3}{2}$.

|  | $\Sigma K^{*}$ |  | $\Sigma^{*} K$ |  | $\Sigma^{*} K^{*}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ wave | $M_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ | $M_{r}$ | $\Gamma_{i}$ |
| $N \phi$ | 2060.6 | 10.4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2270.5 | 0.03 |
| $\Lambda K^{*}$ | 2046.1 | 15.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2256.5 | 2.0 |
| $\Sigma K^{*}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2270.6 | 0.1 |
| $\Sigma^{*} K$ | 2054.1 | 2.3 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2263.6 | 3.7 |
| $D$ wave |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N \eta^{\prime}$ | 2061.4 | 0.001 | 1875.7 | 0.0004 | 2269.2 | 0.01 |
| $N \phi$ | 2061.0 | 0.2 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2269.3 | 0.01 |
| $\Lambda K$ | 2060.6 | 0.9 | 1871.6 | 0.08 | 2269.2 | 0.02 |
| $\Lambda K^{*}$ | 2059.1 | 0.3 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2269.1 | 0.05 |
| $\Sigma K$ | 2060.3 | 0.9 | 1871.6 | 0.05 | 2269.2 | 0.02 |
| $\Sigma K^{*}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2269.2 | 0.003 |

## VI. Summary

## > Dibaryons

1. Nonstrange dibaryon

A compact six quark state $\quad I \boldsymbol{J}^{\boldsymbol{P}}=03^{+} \mathrm{d}^{*}$
2. Strange dibaryon

A narrow resonance $I J^{P}=\frac{1}{2} 2^{+} \mathrm{N} \Omega$
3. Dibaryon with heavy quarks:

H-like dibaryon states: $\Lambda c \Lambda c$ and $\Lambda b \Lambda b$
$\mathrm{N} \Omega$-like dibaryons states: $\mathrm{N} \Omega_{\mathrm{ccc}}$ and $\mathrm{N} \Omega_{\mathrm{bbb}}$

## > Pentaquarks

## 1. Hidden-strange pentaquark

1 bound state: $\quad J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} N \eta^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 \text { resonance states: } & J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Sigma K, \Sigma K^{*}, \Sigma^{*} K^{*} \\
& J^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \Sigma * K(N *(1875)), \Sigma K *(N *(2100)), \Sigma * K *, N \phi \\
& J^{P}=5 / 2^{-} \Sigma^{*} K^{*}
\end{array}
$$

2. Hidden-charm pentaquark

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { bound state: } & J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \mathrm{Nnc} \\
8 \text { resonance states: } & \boldsymbol{J}^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{cD}(\operatorname{Pc}(4312)), \Sigma \mathrm{cD} *(\operatorname{Pc}(4457)), \Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*} \\
& \boldsymbol{J}^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{c} * \mathrm{D}(\operatorname{Pc}(4380)), \Sigma \mathrm{cD} *(\operatorname{Pc}(4440)), \Sigma \mathrm{c} * \mathrm{D} *, \mathrm{NJ} / \psi \\
& \boldsymbol{J}^{P}=5 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{D}^{*}
\end{array}
$$

3. Hidden-bottom pentaquark

1 bound state: $\quad J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \mathrm{N} \eta b$
8 resonance states: $J^{P}=1 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{bB}, \Sigma \mathrm{b} B^{*}, \Sigma \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{~B}^{*}$

$$
J^{P}=3 / 2^{-} \Sigma \mathrm{b} * \mathrm{~B}, \Sigma \mathrm{bB} *, \Sigma \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{~B} *, N Y
$$

$$
J^{P}=5 / 2^{-} \Sigma b^{*} B^{*}
$$

## Thanks for your attention!

