2-loop (EW) calculations at the LHC and prospects for e^+e^- colliders

Federico Buccioni

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics University of Oxford

The 2020 International Workshop on the High Energy CEPC





Outline

Technical complexities of NNLO calculations

integrals, amplitudes, subtraction

Recent devolpment for NNLO QCD calculations and prospects for e^+e^- colliders

what have we learnt? How much can we profit? what could be achieved in the near future? what needs substantial new work/resources/support?

Examples of processes of interests: $e^+e^- \rightarrow jets/ff$, Higgs couplings and ZH, WW

Conclusions and remarks

I would like to thank F. Caola and A. Vicini for many useful discussions



NLO (automation) and beyond

One-loop corrections can be considered a solved problem

NLO revolution + automation

² 1 loop amplitudes can be computed in a fully automated way for in principle any input theory

Several publicly available tools implement 1 loop corrections in the full SM:



Gosam [Chiesa, Greiner, Heinrich, Jahn, Jones, Kerner, Luisoni, Mastrolia, Ossola, Peraro, Schlenk, Scyboz, Tramontano] Madgraph [Alwall, Frixione, Frederix, Hirshi, Maltoni, Mattelaer, Pagani, Shao, Steltzer, Torrielli, Zaro], Recola [Actis, Denner, Hofer, Lang, Scharf, Uccirati], OpenLoops [FB, Lang, Lindert, Pozzorini, Maierhoefer, Zhang, Zoller], NLOX [Honeywell, Quackenbush, Reina, Reuschle]

NLO subtraction:

Dipole [Catani, Seymour hep-ph/9605323][Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi hep-ph/0201036] FKS [Frixione, Kunst, Signer hep-ph/9512328]

Multipurpose NLO Monte Carlo generators: e.g. Sherpa [Bothmann, Gleisberg, Krause, Hoeche, Napoletano, Krauss, Kuttimalai, Liebschner, Schonherr, Schumann, Siegert, Winter], Madgraph_@MCNLO, Powheg [Alioli, Nason, Oleari, Re]

NNLO revolution + automation? Not quite yet

From 1 to higher loops the complexity scales very fast. Although a notion of automation not applicable, we are witnessing constant and fast progress

Amplitudes, Integrals, Subtraction: new mathematical properties/structure, order of magnitude increase in complexity

As for NNLO QCD parton level Monte Carlo generators:

Matrix [Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Wiesemann] MCFM [Campbell, Ellis, Williams] Geneva [Alioli, Bauer, Berggren, Hornig, Tackmann, Vermilion, Walsh, Zuberi] NNLOJET [Chen, Cruz-Martinez, Currie, Gauld, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover, Höfer, Huss, Majer, Mo, Morgan, Niehues, Pires, Walker, Whitehead]

Only Matrix and MCFM publicy available

Federico Buccioni



Computing loop integrals



Federico Buccioni



erc

Computing loop integrals is not the whole story. At the end of the day we need **SCATTERING AMPLITUDES**

Deriving "manageable" expressions for scattering amplitudes involving many energy scales (such as EW corrections) can be extremely challenging

i) Reduction to Master Integrals (MIs), aka IBP reduction

Considerable progress over the last few years. Publicly available computer programs more and more efficient, e.g. LiteRed [Lee], Fire [Smirnov], Kira [Maierhöfer, Usovitsch, Uwer], Reduze [von Manteuffel, Studerus]

New avenues to cope with increasing complexity: construct algebraic identities using finite fields[von Manteuffel, Schabinger 1406.4513], IBP reduction via module intersections [Boehm,Georgoudis,Larsen,Schoenemann, Zhang 1805.01873] or construction of MIs coefficients using finite fields as in Kira2.0 [Klappert, Lange, Maierhöfer, Usovitsch 2008.06494]

ii) Good choice of a MI basis for the problem at hand

e.g. Henn canonical basis [Henn 1304.1806] extremely effective for multiloop QCD calculation. How about multiloop EW?

quasi-finite basis of MIs [von Manteuffel, Panzer, Schabinger 1411.7392]. Suited for numerical integration

iii) Dealing with the rapidly growing algebraic complexity of the problem

erc

Scattering amplitudes with many scales may involve hugely complicated rational functions. Reconstruction of multivariate rational functions using finite fields [Peraro 1905.08019]

all these aspects talk to each other

Computing loop integrals is not the whole story. At the end of the day we need SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

iv) Taking care of γ^5

multiloop calculation in d-dimensions involving vector-axial currents (typical of EW corrections) need a consistent treatment of γ^5

Larin's prescription [Larin hep-ph/9302240] or t'Hooft-Veltman

Altough consistent, these can make the practical calculation quite harder

v) UV renormalisation at 2-loop EW

Scattering amplitudes need to be renormalised for meaningful physical predictions

The issue of mass renormalisation in the presence of unstable particles has to be addressed:

@1loop EW: general and gauge invariant treatment in the so-called complex mass scheme (CMS) [Denner, Dittmaier hep-ph/0605312]

@2loop QCDxEW: recent results for mixed corrections to the off-shell Drell-Yan process, contribution from closed fermionic loops [Dittmaier, Schmidt, Schwarz 2009.02229] and extension of the CMS to this perturbative order

@2loop EW: a complete and systematic treatment at NNLO-EW not systematically explored (to the best of my knowledge)



Another crucial aspect of fixed order theory prediction: subtraction of IR singularities

Several frameworks developed for pp colliders at NNLO QCD:

q_T [Catani, Grazzini], N-jettiness [Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, Liu, Petriello, Williams], Antenna [Gehrmann, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Glover], Sector-improved residues [Czakon, Mitov], CoLoRFuINNLO [Del Duca, Duhr, Kardos, Somogyi, Szőr, Trócsányi, Tulipánt], Projection-to-born [Cacciari, Dreyer, Karlberg, Salam, Zanderighi], Nested soft-collinear [Caola, Melnikov, Roentsch]

Application of currently known methods to e⁺e⁻: state-of-the-art and beyond

NNLO QCD

well established and under control

N3LO QCD

state-of-the-art

NNLO EW

can borrow a lot from hadron collider studies some further technical complications

obviously easier than pp colliders.

if we restrict ourselves to QCD corrections.

Things change with higher-order EW corrections





$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z \rightarrow jets$, where do we stand

Given the presence of jets in the final state, QCD effects are very large and as such must be included.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ jets at NNLO QCD and $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3$ jets known at NNLO QCD [Garland, Gehrmann, Glover, Koukoutsakis, Remiddi hep-ph/0206067] [Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover, Heinrich 0710.0346] Well studied and technically understood. Clearly must be taken into account for high-precision analyses of e^+e^- to jets

More recently: two loop mixed QCDxEW corrections to the Neutral current Drell-Yan process at the resonance

the Z resonance Inclusive [Bonciani, FB, Rana, Vicini 2007.06518] and fully esclusive calculations [FB, Caola, Delto, Jaguier, Melnikov, Röntsch 2005.10221]

Progress in the calculation of the complete QCDxEW corrections to the DY process. This will be a crucial ingredient also for e⁺e⁻ colliders

[Bonciani, Di Vita, Mastrolia, Schubert 1604.08581]

[Mehedi Hasan, Schubert 2004.14908]

[Heller, von Manteuffel, Schabinger 1907.00491]

results expressed in terms of Goncharov polylogarithms, thus fast and reliable evaluation however, 2-loop amplitudes not available yet

Relevant for studies at

Federico Buccioni



$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z \rightarrow jets$, where we can go next

What we can achieve in the "near" future

• $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ jets with complete QCDxEW corrections (including off-shell effects)

• $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ jets at N3LO QCD

3-loop QCD form factors known [Baikov, Chetyrkin, Smirnov, Steinhauser 0902.3519] [Gehrmann, Glover, Huber, Ikizlerli, Studerus 1004.3653] Subtraction of IR singularities studied in differential $H \rightarrow bb$ @ 3loops [Mondini, Schiavi, Williams 1904.08960]

• $e^+e^- \rightarrow 4$ jets at NNLO QCD

very challenging (maybe further in the future)

However, we are witnessing constant progress in the evaluation of 2-loop 5-point amplitudes and first results on 2-loop 5-point integrals with one off-shell leg became recently available [Abreu, Ita, Moriello, Tschernov 2005.04195] [Canko, Papadopolous, Syrrakos 2009.13917]

• $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow bb$ (including b-mass effects)

interest in forward-backward asymmetry tension in Z \rightarrow bb from LEP: NNLO QCD reduces from 2.9 σ to 2.6 σ [Bernreuther, Chen, Dekkers, Gehrmann, Heisler 1611.07942]

3-loop QCD form factors with massive quarks:

available in larce N_c limit for vector, axial-vector, scalar and pseudo-scalar currents [Lee, A. Smirnov, V. Smirnov, Steinhauser 1804.07310]

2-loop QCDxEW form factors with massive quarks: not yet available. Quite cumbersome and complicated (several energy scales: s, M_Z/M_W , m_{top} , m_b) For very recent studies on the issue see 2003.13941 and 2010.08604







$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z \rightarrow ff$, higher-order EW

EW corrections are undoubtely crucial for precision measurements at lepton colliders

Complete 2-loop EW corrections to Z-boson production and decay [Dubovyk, Freitas, Gluza, Riemann, Usovitsch, 1804, 10236] complemented with detailed study of EW pseudo-observables [Dubovyk, Freitas, Gluza, Riemann, Usovitsch, 1906.0881]

From the technical point of view: computation of 2-loop integrals using numerical techniques (mostly sector-decomposition and Mellin-Barnes)

What we will need in the future for such a high-precision collider

3-loop EW and QCDxEW corrections to Z production and decay

numerical approaches are the only way with current technologies there is room for improvement on numerical methods

very demanding from the point of view of computing resources

Complete 2-loop EW corrections to $e+e-\rightarrow I$



crucial ingredient for future studies

full line-shape prediction at NNLO EW

Highly-complicated multi-loop multi-scale process It might be at the edge for current numerical approaches Need for improvements and most importantly, resources



Higgs at e+e- colliders: couplings and ZH

Lepton colliders as Higgs factories

At CEPC measurements of Higgs couplings will reach a 1% level accuracy

Astounding precision in decays to fermions of third generation a to gg.

State-of-the-art:

 $H \rightarrow bb$ at N3LO QCD at the fully differential level [Mondini, Schiavi, Williams 1904.08960]

$$\Gamma_{H \to b\bar{b}}^{\text{N3LO}} = y_b^2 (A_b + \alpha_s B_b + \alpha_s^2 C_b + \alpha_s^3 D_b) + y_b y_t (\alpha_s^2 C_{bt} + \alpha_s^3 D_{bt}) + \alpha_s^3 y_t^2 D$$

more recently: Top-induced contributions to $H \rightarrow bb/cc$ with massive b,c [Mondini, Schubert, Williams 2006.03563]

Two loop QCD corrections in the full theory, i.e. including $C_{bt}y_by_t$ [Primo, Sasso, Somogyi, Tramontano 1812.07811]

Federico Buccioni

OXFORD erc

	1	Pre	ecision of Hig	ggs coup	ling meas	surement	t (7–par	ameter F	it)		
		■ LHC 300/3000 fb ⁻¹									
Relative Error		 CEPC 240 GeV at 5.6 ab⁻¹ wi/wo HL–LHC 									
	10 ⁻¹										
	10 ⁻²										
	10 ⁻³										
		Kb	$\kappa_t \kappa_c$	Kg	K _W	K _τ		KZ	κ_{γ}		

Property	Estimated Pr	recision		
m_H	5.9 Me	eV		
Γ_H	3.1%			
$\sigma(ZH)$	0.5%			
$\sigma(\nu\bar{\nu}H)$	3.2%			
Decay mode	$\sigma(ZH) \times BR$	BR		
$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	0.27%	0.56%		
$H \to c\bar{c}$	3.3%	3.3%		
$H \rightarrow gg$	1.3%	1.4%		
$H \to WW^*$	1.0%	1.1%		
$H \to ZZ^*$	5.1%	5.1%		
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	6.8%	6.9%		
$H \to Z\gamma$	15%	15%		
$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	0.8%	1.0%		
$H \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	17%	17%		
$H \to inv$	_	< 0.30%		

CEPC CDR Oct 18

Higgs at e+e- colliders: couplings and ZH

Lepton colliders as Higgs factories	Property	Property Estimated Precision		
	m_H	5.9 Me	٤V	
For the foreseen accuracy, computation of higher orders for H decays will be needed	Γ_H	3.1%)	
	$\sigma(ZH)$	0.5%)	
N4LO QCD: massless 4/5 loop integrals for $H \rightarrow bb/gg$	$\sigma(uar{ u}H)$	3.2%	<u>, </u>	
inclusive $O(\alpha_s^4)$ corrections to $H \rightarrow bb$ [Baikov, Chetyrkin, Kuhn hep-ph/0511063]	Decay mode	$\sigma(ZH)\times \mathrm{BR}$	BR	
	$H o b \bar{b}$	0.27%	0.56%	
considering the inclusion of top mass effects at N3LO QCD	$H \to c \bar{c}$	3.3%	3.3%	
	H ightarrow gg	1.3%	1.4%	
- T T	$H \rightarrow WW^*$	1.0%	1.1%	
NNLO EW: massive 2-loop vertex correction $2 \sim 1$	$H \rightarrow ZZ^*$	5.1%	5.1%	
$ \leq \uparrow \zeta$	$H o \gamma \gamma$	6.8%	6.9%	
not available, but within reach	$H \to Z\gamma$	15%	15%	
× 2'	$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	0.8%	1.0%	
	$H o \mu^+ \mu^-$	17%	17%	
This program will depend also on advances on NNLO EW corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$	$H \to \mathrm{inv}$	_	< 0.30%	
	CEPC CDR Oct 18			

2 loop corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$



Form-factor type corrections



Could be approached with known techniques

2 loop corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z(I^+I^-)H$

Extremely challenging. Beyond current technologies and methods. It will require a huge conceptual and computing effort

Federico Buccioni



$e^+e^- \to WW$

WW production at threshold: pivotal element of future studies at CEPC.

Best theory prediction beyond NLO EW:

Dominant NNLO EW corrections for WW at threshold via unstable-particle effective theory [Actis, Beneke, Falgari, Schwinn 0807.0102]

Looking ahead:

Extension to full NNLO EW, i.e. $e^+e^- \rightarrow 4f$ is extremely challenging: 6-point 2-loop amplitudes with internal masses.

With current technologies and computing resources most likely not within the timescale of a future collider

However we can move step by step and profit from progress and technologies developed for NNLO QCD calculations

Example: gg → VV at NLO QCD with heavy-quark mass dependence [Agarwal, von Manteuffel 1912.08794] [Davies, Mishima, Steinhauser, Wellmann 2002.05558]



Potentially common features and structures



For a more detailed discussion see talk by Schwinn

Huge advance in the evaluation of two loop 4-point functions with numerical techniques. However computing is hugely demanding, resort to GPUs

Federico Buccioni



Conclusions and remarks

At future e+e- colliders such as CEPC an unprecedented level of precision will be reached

Such high precision measurements pose a serious challenge to theoretical community:

In order to fully exploit the potential of the machine and the collected high-quality data, the frontier of higher-order calculations will inevitably be pushede

We are constantly learning from pp colliders and the set of skills developed will be a crucial starting point for future colliders.

However: for the expected accuracy goal, 2loop and higher EW corrections are mandatory and the current technology might not be enough. We need more!

Practical examples (in order of complexity, according to my own taste):

N3LO EW $1 \rightarrow 2$ (form factors), NNLO EW to $e^+e^- \rightarrow ff$, NNLO EW to $e+e- \rightarrow ZH$ and NNLO EW to $e+e- \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 4f$

Timescale of these projects potentially within reach of data collection from the new collider.

However: some are extremely challenging processes, which need
new ideas, i.e. bright minds
large collaborations will be required to carry on such long term projects
cutting edge computing resources (modern CPU, GPU clusters)