Experimental status of Z pole and W measurements





Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences

Zhijun Liang (IHEP, Chinese Academy of Sciences)

Updated CEPC collider parameters since CDR

	Higgs		Z (2T)	
	CDR	Updated	CDR	Updated
Beam energy (GeV)	120	-	45.5	-
Synchrotron radiation loss/turn (GeV)	1.73	1.68	0.036	-
Piwinski angle	2.58	3.78	23.8	33
Number of particles/bunch N _e (10 ¹⁰)	15.0	17	8.0	15
Bunch number (bunch spacing)	242 (0.68µs)	218 (0.68µs)	12000	15000
Beam current (mA)	17.4	17.8	461.0	1081.4
Synchrotron radiation power /beam (MW)	30	-	16.5	38.6
Cell number/cavity	2		2	1
β function at IP β_x^* / β_y^* (m)	0.36/0.0015	0.33/0.001	0.2/0.001	-
Emittance ε _x /ε _y (nm)	1.21/0.0031	0.89/0.0018	0.18/0.0016	
Beam size at IP σ _x /σ _y (μm)	20.9/0.068	17.1/0.042	6.0/0.04	
Bunch length σ _z (mm)	3.26	3.93	8.5	11.8
Lifetime (hour)	0.67	0.22	2.1	1.8
Luminosity/IP L (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	2.93	5.2	32.1	101.6

Luminosity increase factor:

× 3.2

4

The CEPC Program



100 km e⁺e⁻ collider

 \bigcirc

2 IPs



Center of Mass Energy [GeV]

: 1.5 >	< 10 ¹² eve	ents (~45	ab ⁻¹) —		
				2 Tes	la
				• 3 Tes	a
- W: 2	× 10 ⁷ eve	ents (2.6	ab ⁻¹) —		
			Hig	gs: ~2 × '	10 ⁶ event
			(~1(ab^{-1}	



CEPC: Detector Concepts > New ! Crystal calorimeter concept is being studied. □ Higher precision on EM energy scale □ Useful for precision measurements Long crystal bars with optical Image: More in Calorimetry section tomorrow readout at both ends

Tracking p2Tesla magnetic field @ Z > erformance to be revised

Particle Flow Approach

Baseline detector ILD-like (3 Tesla)



CEPC plans for **2** interaction points



IDEA Concept

Low magnetic field concept (2 Tesla)





Prospect of CEPC EWK physics Expect to have 1~2 order of magnitude better than current precision





5





- \triangleright Small conflict in Higgs mass (2 σ) between direct measurement and EWK fit.

Need CEPC Z pole and WW runs : Precise measurements on EWK observables.

undamental constant	δx/x	measure
$\alpha = 1/137.035999139 (31)$	1×10 ⁻¹⁰	e [±] g
$G_F = 1.1663787 (6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$	1×10-6	µ± lifet
$M_Z = 91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}$	1×10-5	LE
$M_W = 80.379 \pm 0.012 \text{ GeV}$	1×10-4	LEP/Tevat
$in^2\theta_W = 0.23152 \pm 0.00014$	6×10-4	LEP/S
$n_{top} = 172.74 \pm 0.46 \text{ GeV}$	3×10-3	Tevatron
$M_H = 125.14 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV}$	1×10-3	LH





Weak mixing angle measure		
Weak mixing angle measurement is		
$>$ ~3 σ tension between LEP and SLC		
Experimental syst. much larger that		
$Sin^2 \theta_W$		
LEP 0.23221 ± 0.00029		
SLC 0.23098 ± 0.00026		
Theory 0.23121 ± 0.00004		





ments $(Sin^2\theta_W)$ well motivated

measurements







Weak mixing angle measurements ($Sin^2\theta_W$) Stat. Unc. dominated in LEP and Tevatron measurements • Syst. Unc. (PDF) will become dominated systematics for LHC measurements • CEPC has potential to improve $Sin^2\theta_W$ by two order of magnitudes • Theory unc. is about 4×10^{-5} level with two loop calculation

Experiment	Stat. (10 ⁻⁵)	Syst. (10 ⁻⁵)	Theory unc. (PDF+QCD) (10 ⁻⁵)	Total unc. (10 $\delta sin^2 \theta_W$
LEP	29	~ 1	~0	29
Tevatron	27	5	18	33
LHC 8TeV	36	18	35	53
LHC 13TeV By Projection	~15	> 20	> 25	~ 20
CEPC	~0.2	~0.2	4	~0.3





Weak mixing angle measurements \geq Sin² θ_{w} can be extracted very precisely from A_e and A_t using tau polarization \blacktriangleright Major systematics of A_e precisely:



Tau purity was already very good in LEP even better at CEPC with better tau performance

	Number	Purity of
τ decay mode	selected decays	the samples $(\%)$
$\tau \to e \nu_e \nu_\tau$	18434	89.4 ± 0.1
$ au o \mu u_\mu u_ au$	19811	94.3 ± 0.1
$\tau \to \pi/K\nu_{\tau}$	14850	73.2 ± 0.1
$\tau \to \rho \nu_{\tau}$	26548	75.4 ± 0.1
$\tau \to a_1 \nu_{\tau}$	9446	53.2 ± 0.2

Tau ID efficiency and fake rate (expected to be comparable to stat. unc.)

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{e}|}{\mathcal{P}_{e}|}}$$

$$\frac{1}{L - (\sigma_{F} - \sigma_{B})_{R}} \frac{1}{\langle |\mathcal{P}_{e}| \rangle}$$



9

Branching ratio (R^b): motivation • At LEP measurement 0.21594 ±0.00066 CEPC aim to improve the precision by a factor 10~20 (0.02%) • R^b measurement is sensitive to New physics models (SUSY) \blacktriangleright SUSY predicts corrections to Z \rightarrow bb vertex

➢ Through gluino and chargino loop ...



Arxiv:1601.07758v2



Branching ratio (R^b): systematics

Source	$\Delta \epsilon^{\rm c} / \epsilon^{\rm c} ~ (\%)$	$\Delta \epsilon^{\rm uds} / \epsilon^{\rm uds}$ (%)	Δ
Tracking resolution	1.24	4.0	0.0
Tracking efficiency	0.80	4.0	0.0
Silicon hit matching efficiency	0.82	2.8	0.0
Silicon alignment	0.58	2.1	0.0
Electron identification efficiency	1.11	0.5	0.0
Muon identification efficiency	0.64	0.2	0.0
c quark fragmentation	2.26	-	0.0
c hadron production fractions	3.66	-	0.0
c hadron lifetimes	0.55	-	0.0
c charged decay multiplicity	1.09	-	0.0
c neutral decay multiplicity	2.39	-	0.0
Branching fraction $B(D \to K^0)$	1.20	-	0.0
c semileptonic branching fraction	2.44	-	0.0
c semileptonic decay modelling	2.34	-	0.0
Gluon splitting to $c\overline{c}$	0.34	6.3	0.0
Gluon splitting to $b\overline{b}$	0.50	9.3	0.0
\mathbf{K}^{0} and hyperon production	-	0.3	0.0
Monte Carlo statistics (c, uds)	0.66	2.5	0.0
Subtotal $\Delta \epsilon^{\rm c}$ and $\Delta \epsilon^{\rm uds}$	6.65	13.3	0.0
Electron identification background			0.0
Muon identification background			0.0
Efficiency correlation $\Delta C^{\rm b}$			0.0
Event selection bias			0.0
Total			0.0

OPAL collaboration, Eur.Phys.J.C8:217-239,1999





Tracker resolution and efficiency(~0.1%)

Lepton identification (~0.1%)

Charm modeling (~0.4%)

Gluon splitting (~0.1%)

Background (~0.2%)

b-tagging corrections (~0.3%)



Branching ratio (R^b): detector requirement

- Two ways to tag the b quarks in Z->qq events
 - Secondary Vertex tag (Average decay length of b meson of 2mm level at Z pole)
 - \triangleright Multi-variant analysis : Impact parameter in R/ ϕ and Z, mass of vertex ...
 - Lepton tag
 - High momentum Electron and muon with pT>1GeV in a jet ...

Vertex distance to IP



Vertex distance significance



SLD, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.46:395–469,1996





R^b: b tagging hemisphere correlations

- Hemisphere is taken to be tagged
- if it is tagged by either one or both of the secondary vertex and lepton tags. Major systematics: hemisphere correlations
 - The tagging efficiency correlation between the two hemispheres in one event: Angular effects : due to inefficient regions of detector QCD effects (g->bb) Vertex effects : due to vertex fitting

Single (N_t) and double tagged events

 $N_{\rm t} = 2N_{\rm had} \{ \epsilon^{\rm b} R_{\rm b} + \epsilon^{\rm c} R_{\rm c} + \epsilon$ $N_{\rm tt} = N_{\rm had} \{ C^{\rm b} \ (\epsilon^{\rm b})^2 \ R_{\rm b} + C^{\rm c} (\epsilon^{\rm c})^2 \ R_{\rm c} + C^{\rm uds} (\epsilon^{\rm uds})^2 \ (1 - R_{\rm b} - R_{\rm c}) \},$

$$C_{b} = \frac{\varepsilon_{2jet} - tagged}{(\varepsilon_{1jet} - tagged)^{2}}$$

$$\varepsilon^{\text{uds}} (1 - R_{b} - R_{c})\},$$

$$\varepsilon^{2} R_{c} + C^{\text{uds}} (-\text{uds})^{2} (1 - R_{c} - R_{c}))$$

R^b: b tagging hemisphere correlations •Hemisphere correlations depends on b tagging efficiency • with 95% purity working points efficiency> 70% in CEPC This systematics will not be dominated



OPAL collaboration, Eur.Phys.J.C8:217-239,1999

$$C_b = \frac{\varepsilon_{2jet-tag}}{(\varepsilon_{1jet-tag})}$$





R^b: tracker systematics • Alignment systematics: \blacktriangleright LEP study : 20 µm mis-alignment \rightarrow 0.04% systematics \triangleright CEPC aim for 2um mis-alignment (at least 5µm) \rightarrow <0.005% syst. • Hit Efficiency : • LEP study 1% syst. \rightarrow 0.007% syst. In R^b • CEPC < 0.5% syst. \rightarrow 0.003% syst. In R^b Lepton efficiency • LEP: 3% syst. \rightarrow 0.03% systematics in R^b • CEPC: 0.5% syst \rightarrow 0.005% syst. in Rb















W mass measurement in lepton collider

Two approaches to measure W mass at lepton collider (developed by LEP)

Direct measurement performed in ZH runs (240GeV) **Precision 2~3MeV**



WW threshold scan WW threshold runs (157~172GeV) **Expected Precision 1MeV level**





17

 W mass measurement in I Optimization of data taking strategy Assuming one year data taking in W Four energy scan points: 157.5, 161.5, 162.5(W mass, W width me 172.0 GeV (α_{QCD} (m_W) measurement, Br 14M WW events in total(400 times large) 				
E _{cm} (GeV)	Lumiosity (ab ⁻¹)	Cross section (pb)	Number pairs	
157.5	0.5	1.25	0	
161.2	0.2	3.89	0	
162.3	1.3	5.02	6	
172.0	0.5	12.2	6	

epton collider in WW threshold scan W threshold (2.6 ab⁻¹)

```
easurements)
(W->had), CKM [Vcs])
r than LEP2 comparing WW runs)
```





W mass measurements

- Four energy scan points:
- > 157.5, 161.5, 162.5(W mass, W width measurements)
- \succ 172.0 GeV (α_{QCD} (m_W), Br (W−>had), CKM |Vcs|) □ 14M WW events in total

□ 400 times larger than LEP2 WW runs)

Observable	m_W	Γ_W
Source	Uncertain	ty (MeV)
Statistics	0.8	2.7
Beam energy	0.4	0.6
Beam spread		0.9
Corr. syst.	0.4	0.2
Total	1.0	2.8

Expect to reach 1MeV precision on W mass (12 MeV unc. in PDG fit in PDG2020)

P.X.Shen, P.Azzuri, G.Li et, al, Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 1, 66 Joint study of CEPC/Fcc-ee









Snowmass 2021

CEPC electroweak community is very active in Snowmass 2021 > One working group in snowmass (EF04) is for precision measurements

https://snowmass21.org/

Over the next year, the U.S. particle physics community will be engaged in Snowmass 2021, an in-depth process to define the most important questions for our field and to identify the most promising opportunities to address these questions in a global context. The process will have its roots in a series of preparatory meetings organized by Snowmass conveners, starting with a Snowmass Planning Meeting at Fermilab on November 4 - 6, 2020, and ending with a Snowmass Summer Study at the University of Washington, Seattle, on July 11 - 20, 2021.

To optimally engage all participants in the process, the Division of Particles and Fields invites the international community to submit written documents as described below. Given the increasing importance of interdisciplinary work in related fields such as astrophysics, cosmology, gravity, nuclear physics, accelerator physics, AMO, and materials science, members of the Divisions of Astrophysics, Gravitational Physics, Nuclear Physics, Physics of Beams and members of other units with a connection to particle physics are strongly encouraged to participate in this process.



Snowmass 2021

- Submit five letter of intent (LOI) in snowmass 2021
- Weak mixing angle measurements at Z pole
 - More study with more realistic simulations
 - More detailed study on experimental and theory systematics
- High order EWK calculation (NNLO EWK corrections)
- aTGCs/QGCs in WW events

Bounds in aQGCs • Z->bb branching ratio

CEPC LOI of $Sin^2\theta_{W}$

Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

Measurement of the leptonic effective weak mixing angle at CEPC

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box/\blacksquare)

- □ (EF01) EW Physics: Higgs Boson properties and couplings
- \Box (EF02) EW Physics: Higgs Boson as a portal to new physics \Box (EF03) EW Physics: Heavy flavor and top quark physics
- □ (EF04) EW Precision Physics and constraining new physics
- \Box (EF05) QCD and strong interactions: Precision QCD
- □ (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD
- \Box (EF07) QCD and strong interactions: Heavy Ions
- \Box (EF08) BSM: Model specific explorations \Box (EF09) BSM: More general explorations
- \Box (EF10) BSM: Dark Matter at colliders
- □ (Other) [*Please specify frontier/topical group*]

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Siqi YANG (University of Science and Technology of China) Collaboration (optional):

Authors:

Manqi Ruan, Siqi Yang, Zhenyu Zhao, Liang Han

Abstract:

We present a study of the measurement of the leptonic effective weak mixing angle, θ_{aff}^{ℓ} , at CEPC. Taking the advantage of the CEPC's high luminosity, the relative precision of $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell}$ can be at least one order of magnitude better than $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ which has been achieved at LEP, SLC and Tevatron. It will be the first time that experimental observation and the standard model theoretical calculation on the Z pole electroweak symmetry breaking can be directly compared at two-loop level. CEPC can also provide a O(0.1%) precision on the comparison between $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell}$ from different decay channels, including muon and electron, τ , heavy quarks (b and c), and light quarks (u and d). Besides, $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ can be measured at off-pole energy points, providing direct observations on the running effect of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff.}}^{\ell}$

CEPC LOI : Z->bb

Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

[Measurement of R_b in hadronic Z decays at the CEPC]

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box / \blacksquare)

- \Box (EF01) EW Physics: Higgs Boson properties and couplings
- \Box (EF02) EW Physics: Higgs Boson as a portal to new physics
- \Box (EF03) EW Physics: Heavy flavor and top quark physics
- (EF04) EW Precision Physics and constraining new physics
- \Box (EF05) QCD and strong interactions: Precision QCD
- \Box (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD
- \Box (EF07) QCD and strong interactions: Heavy Ions
- \Box (EF08) BSM: Model specific explorations
- \Box (EF09) BSM: More general explorations
- \Box (EF10) BSM: Dark Matter at colliders
- \Box (Other) [*Please specify frontier/topical group*]

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Bo Li (Yantai University) [boli@ytu.edu.cn] Collaboration (optional):

Authors: Zhijun Liang, Bo Li, Bo Liu

Abstract: With an integrated luminosity of 45 ab^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV, more than 10^{12} Z bosons will be produced at the Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC). As a real Z boson factory, the precise study of Z boson physics can be achieved. The relative partial width, R_b , of Z boson into b quarks is measured on the CEPC Monte Carlo (MC) level. Based on the latest CEPC detector concept, the Z hadronic decay channel is simulated and reconstructed by the CEPC software framework. By using the double-tagging method, R_b can be solved from several equations referring to the ratios of b-tagged jet hemispheres in Z hadronic events. With the high performance of the b-tagging algorithm for CEPC, the precision of R_b measurement can be improved accordingly.

CEPC LOI : TGC in WW

Probing new physics with the measurements of $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ at CEPC with optimal observables

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box/\blacksquare)

- □ (EF01) EW Physics: Higgs Boson properties and couplings
- \Box (EF02) EW Physics: Higgs Boson as a portal to new physics
- □ (EF03) EW Physics: Heavy flavor and top quark physics
- (EF04) EW Precision Physics and constraining new physics
- \Box (EF05) QCD and strong interactions: Precision QCD
- □ (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD
- □ (EF07) QCD and strong interactions: Heavy Ions
- \Box (EF08) BSM: Model specific explorations
- \Box (EF09) BSM: More general explorations □ (EF10) BSM: Dark Matter at colliders
- (Other) [Please specify frontier/topical group]

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Collaboration (optional):

Authors: (long author lists can be placed after the text)

Jiayin Gu^a, Lingfeng Li^b, Shuqi Li^c, Zhijun Liang^c, Manqi Ruan^c, Dan Yu^c, Yudong Wang^c iagu@uni-mainz.de

¹ PRISMA⁺ Cluster of Excellence, Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz. German

^b Jockey Club Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong S.A.R., P.R.China

² Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, China

Abstract: (maximum 200 words)

We propose to study the prospectives of the diboson $(e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-)$ measurements at the CEPC in the effective-field-theory framework. We plan to implement the method of optimal observables to extract useful information in the differential distributions and obtain the best possible reach on the coefficients of the corresponding dimension-six operators. The impact of systematic uncertainties due to detector resolutions and beamstrahlung effects will be thoroughly investigated.

CEPC LOI : unitarity

Positivity bounds on quartic-gauge-boson couplings

Snowmass letter of intent

Cen Zhang^{1, 2, 3, *} and Shuang-Yong Zhou^{4, 5, †}

¹Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ³Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ⁴Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China ⁵Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Dim-8 Wilson coefficients in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) are not allowed to take arbitrary values. By assuming that the SMEFT admits a UV completion that satisfies the fundamental principles of quantum field theory (QFT), including analyticity, unitarity, crossing symmetry, locality and Lorentz invariance, the so-called positivity bounds can be derived [1], determining the signs of certain linear combinations of dim-8 coefficients. Since the ultimate goal of the SMEFT is to determine its UV completion, one should restrict the search for operators only within these bounds, and optimize the search strategy accordingly. Alternatively, one might also use these bounds to experimentally test the fundamental principles of QFT [2]. In either case, as the LHC has started to probe the dim-8 SMEFT operators in many occasions, it has become increasingly important to understand the positivity bounds on their coefficients. A particular relevant topic at the LHC is the vector boson scattering (VBS) and the measurement of the quartic-gauge-boson couplings (QGCs). Searching for possible beyond the SM physics in the form of anomalous QGCs is one of the main goals of the current as well as the future electroweak program at the LHC and HL-LHC. These couplings can be measured in the VBS or the triboson production channels. Knowing their bounds from positivity will undoubtedly provide guidance for relevant future theoretical and experimental studies.

The conventional approach to derive positivity bounds makes use of the elastic 2-to-2 forard scattering amplitude. One can show that its second derivative w.r.t. s, the Mandelster variable, is positive, and this leads to, at the tree level, a set of linear homogeneous inequalities for dim-8 coefficients. This approach has been adopted in Refs. [3-5], and the allowed parameter space of the Wilson coefficients has been reduced to only about 2%. However, these results are still far from complete. The reason is that the notion of elasticity depends on the particle basis, and therefore the scattering amplitudes between arbitrary superpositions of particle states should be explored, in order to obtain the full set of elastic positivity bounds. So far, this procedure has not been done systematically, and only a limited set of superposed states have been investigated in the literature.

Recently, we have proposed a new approach to extract positivity bounds [6]. This approach has the advantage that one is guaranteed to obtain the best bounds allowed by the fundamental QFT principles. Indeed, bounds tighter than the full set of elastic positivity bounds can be obtained in certain cases, and an explicit example has been presented in [6]. In this approach, instead of using elastic channels to probe the bounds, one essentially

* cenzhang@ihep.ac.cn zhoushy@ustc.edu.cn

21

Summary Luminosity @ Z pole is now 3.2 times higher compared to CDR design □ Instant luminosity > 100*10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹ $\Box > 1.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ Z boson}$ (Two year Z pole running) Potential of electroweak measurement at CEPC □ 1~2 order of magnitude better than current precision **D** May solve the puzzle in W mass and $Sin^2\theta_W$



22

Weak mixing angle measurement at Z pole sin² θ_{eff} and AFB

Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

Measurement of the leptonic effective weak mixing angle at CEPC

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box/\blacksquare)

□ (EF01) EW Physics: Higgs Boson properties and couplings \Box (EF02) EW Physics: Higgs Boson as a portal to new physics \Box (EF03) EW Physics: Heavy flavor and top quark physics □ (EF04) EW Precision Physics and constraining new physics \Box (EF05) QCD and strong interactions: Precision QCD □ (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD \Box (EF07) QCD and strong interactions: Heavy Ions \Box (EF08) BSM: Model specific explorations \Box (EF09) BSM: More general explorations \Box (EF10) BSM: Dark Matter at colliders □ (Other) [*Please specify frontier/topical group*]

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Siqi YANG (University of Science and Technology of China) Collaboration (optional):

Authors:

Manqi Ruan, Siqi Yang, Zhenyu Zhao, Liang Han

Abstract:

We present a study of the measurement of the leptonic effective weak mixing angle, θ_{eff}^{ℓ} , at CEPC. Taking the advantage of the CEPC's high luminosity, the relative precision of $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{\ell}$ can be at least one order of magnitude better than $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ which has been achieved at LEP, SLC and Tevatron. It will be the first time that experimental observation and the standard model theoretical calculation on the Z pole electroweak symmetry breaking can be directly compared at two-loop level. CEPC can also provide a $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ precision on the comparison between $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{\ell}$ from different decay channels, including muon and electron, τ , heavy quarks (b and c), and light quarks (u and d). Besides, $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{\ell}$ can be measured at off-pole energy points, providing direct observations on the running effect of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff.}}^{\ell}$

By Dr. Siqi Yang (USTC)

I. Motivation and introduction

The leptonic effective weak mixing angle is the key parameter in the electroweak global fitting. It is important not only to the standard model global fitting, but also predictions in potential new physics. It is defined as an effective parameter which could absorb standard model or beyond standard model higher order effects. The experimental precisions of the $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ measurements at the Z mass pole region are at $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ level, including 0.23221 ± 0.00029 from the LEP combined $e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ results, 0.23098 ± 0.00026 from the SLC $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ polarization asymmetry observation, and 0.23179 ± 0.00033 from the combined D0 and CDF measurements, dominated by the light quark $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ processes ^{1;2}. The theoretical uncertainty on $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ can be reduced to 0.00005 around Z pole by performing complete two-loop level calculations³ As a conclusion, the $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ related global fittings are now limited by the experimental precision in the past two decades. By the discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012, all parameters in the standard model predictions are experimentally fixed. As direct new physics searches have been going on for almost 10 years at the Large Hadron Collider but no obvious clue found, precise comparison between experiment and theoretical results in the global fitting becomes important. This requires significant improvements on the experimental measurements on $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell}$.

CEPC is an ideal collider to provide high precision measurements on $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$. It is planning a two-year run period around the Z pole, which can generate $3 \sim 6 \times 10^{11}$ single Z boson events. With such a large data sample, the statistical uncertainty, which is the dominant uncertainty in the LEP and SLC measurement, we can easily reduce the statistical uncertainty around 0.00001 on $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$. High precisions can be achieved independently in different decay channels, including muon and electron, τ , light quarks (u and d), and heavy quarks (b and c). The comparison channels is part of the standard model global test. The running effect on the translated $\sin^2 \theta_W$ as a function of the energy scale is another physics interest. By now, there is no direct weak mixing angle measurement at an energy scale higher than the Z pole region. It would be very important to experimentally test the theoretical prediction that $\sin^2 \theta_W$ would run to a higher value as the energy scale goes up.

LHC also has possibility to achieve a high precision on $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$, but would be very difficult. Uncertainties from parton distribution functions which models the initial state quark momentum are at $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ level with respect to the $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ value. Systematic uncertainties under high instantaneous luminosity collisions are expected to be same large with that from PDFs. In general, trying to measure $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ at hadron colliders requires a series of long term studies. Besides, hadron colliders could not provide direct observations on auand heavy quark couplings.

As a conclusion, CEPC could bring a relative precision of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$ at $\mathcal{O}(0.01\%)$ level, and at $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$ level for comparison between different channels and for observation on the energy running effect.

II. Measurements and Expected precisions

The effective weak mixing angle can be observed from the forward-backward asymmetry (A_{FB}) via the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ process. A_{FB} is defined as:

$$A_{FB} = \frac{N_F - N_B}{N_F + N_B} \tag{1}$$



R^b measurements

Snowmass2021 - Letter of Interest

[Measurement of R_b in hadronic Z decays at the CEPC]

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box/\Box)

- \Box (EF01) EW Physics: Higgs Boson properties and couplings
- \Box (EF02) EW Physics: Higgs Boson as a portal to new physics
- □ (EF03) EW Physics: Heavy flavor and top quark physics
- (EF04) EW Precision Physics and constraining new physics
- □ (EF05) QCD and strong interactions: Precision QCD
- □ (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD
- □ (EF07) QCD and strong interactions: Heavy Ions
- \Box (EF08) BSM: Model specific explorations
- \Box (EF09) BSM: More general explorations
- □ (EF10) BSM: Dark Matter at colliders
- □ (Other) [*Please specify frontier/topical group*]

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Bo Li (Yantai University) [boli@ytu.edu.cn] Collaboration (optional):

Authors: Zhijun Liang, Bo Li, Bo Liu

Abstract: With an integrated luminosity of 45 ab^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV, more than 10^{12} Z bosons will be produced at the Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC). As a real Z boson factory, the precise study of Z boson physics can be achieved. The relative partial width, R_b , of Z boson into b quarks is measured on the CEPC Monte Carlo (MC) level. Based on the latest CEPC detector concept, the Z hadronic decay channel is simulated and reconstructed by the CEPC software framework. By using the double-tagging method, R_b can be solved from several equations referring to the ratios of b-tagged jet hemispheres in Z hadronic events. With the high performance of the b-tagging algorithm for CEPC, the precision of R_b measurement can be improved accordingly.

By Dr. Bo Li (Yantai U.)

Introduction

The Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) is one of the next-generation e^+e^- colliders, that have been proposed to perform precision measurements of the Higgs boson properties. The CEPC will be hosted in China with a circumference of 100 km and two interaction points(IP)¹. By operating at $\sqrt{s} = 240$ GeV, the CEPC is expected to produce approximately 10^6 Higgs bosons with an integrated luminosity of 5.6 ab^{-1} in about 7 years. The CEPC will also produce about more than 10^{12} Z bosons in about 2 years with an expected integrated luminosity of 45 ab⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 91.2 \text{GeV}^1$. With the high statistics of Z bosons, high-precision electroweak measurements of the Z boson properties can be achieved, such as the R_b measurement.

The relative decay width of $Z \to b\bar{b}$ in hadronic Z decays, $R_b = \Gamma(Z \to b\bar{b})/\Gamma(Z \to hadrons)$, is a sensitive electroweak parameter to test the Standard Model (SM) and find new physics ^{2–4}. For example, the existence of stop-quarks or charginos in supersymmetry can result in a deviation between the measured R_b and the one in the SM⁵. The LEP and SLD collaborations have made accurate measurements of the R_b^{6-10} with a combined value of $R_b = 0.21629 \pm 0.00066^{11}$. The measurement of R_b at the CEPC is expected to be more precise owing to its high statistics of the Z boson and high performance of the b-tagging.

Monte Carlo simulation 2

The CEPC conceptual detector, following the Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA)¹⁶, is composed of a silicon pixel vertex detector, a silicon tracking system, a TPC, an electromagnetic calorimeter and a hadronic calorimeter. The latest version of the conceptual detector is CEPC_v4¹, which has been updated and optimized from the preliminary conceptual detector CEPC_v1¹⁷. More information about the studies on the conceptual detector can be found in Ref. $^{18-22}$.

The Monte Carlo particles are generated from physics models by using Whizard¹² at the parton level and then interfaced with Pythia¹³ for hadronization simulation. The MC particles are simulated by the detector simulation framework MokkaPlus¹⁴ based on Geant4¹⁵. MokkaPlus is a simulation framework used for linear colliders and has been updated to match the CEPC detector concept.

The final physics objects, such as the lepton, photon and jet, are reconstructed by using a dedicated particle flow reconstruction framework Arbor^{23;24}. A final state classification framework, FSClasser²⁵, is used for the reconstruction of the final physics events.

Analysis method 3

The R_b measurement is based on the double-tagging method. The procedure of the method is described as follows: The jets in the hadronic decay events are divided into two kinds of hemispheres, namely, hemisphere I and hemisphere J, according to the plane perpendicular to the thrust axis. By applying the b-tagging cuts on the two hemisphere samples separately, we can then retrieve two b-tagged hemispheres. The number of b-tagged hemisphere I samples is named N_t^I . For the opposite hemisphere J, the number of tagged samples is named N_t^J . For the two kinds of hemispheres, the b-tagging cut points can be applied differently. The number of events in which both hemispheres are tagged can be counted as $N_{tt}^{I,J}$. Three equations can

TGC with optimal observables A refined TGC analysis using Optimal Observables

TGCs are sensitive to the differential distributions!

- Current method: fit to binned distributions of all angles.
- Correlations among angles are ignored.
- What are optimal observables?

(See e.g. Z.Phys. C62 (1994) 397-412 Diehl & Nachtmann)

- For a given sample, there is an upper limit on the precision reach of the parameters.
- In the limit of large statistics (everything is Gaussian) and small parameters (leading order dominates), this "upper limit" can be derived analytically!

$$rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = S_0 + \sum_i S_{1,i} g_i,$$

► The optimal observables are given by $\mathcal{O}_i = \frac{S_{1,i}}{S_0}$, and are functions of the 5 angles.

By jiaYing Gu, lingfeng Li Dan Yu, Shuqi Li, Manqi, Zhijun



By jiaYing Gu, lingfeng Li TGC with optimal observables Dan Yu, Shuqi Li, Manqi, Zhiju

Probing new physics with the measurements of $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ at CEPC with optimal observables

Thematic Areas: (check all that apply \Box / \blacksquare)

- \Box (EF01) EW Physics: Higgs Boson properties and couplings \Box (EF02) EW Physics: Higgs Boson as a portal to new physics \Box (EF03) EW Physics: Heavy flavor and top quark physics
- (EF04) EW Precision Physics and constraining new physics
- \Box (EF05) QCD and strong interactions: Precision QCD
- \Box (EF06) QCD and strong interactions: Hadronic structure and forward QCD
- \Box (EF07) QCD and strong interactions: Heavy Ions
- \Box (EF08) BSM: Model specific explorations
- \Box (EF09) BSM: More general explorations
- \Box (EF10) BSM: Dark Matter at colliders
- □ (Other) [*Please specify frontier/topical group*]

Contact Information:

Name (Institution) [email]: Collaboration (optional):

Authors: (long author lists can be placed after the text)

Jiayin Gu^a, Lingfeng Li^b, Shuqi Li^c, Zhijun Liang^c, Manqi Ruan^c, Dan Yu^c, Yudong Wang^c

jiagu@uni-mainz.de

^a PRISMA⁺ Cluster of Excellence, Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz, Germany

^b Jockey Club Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong S.A.R., P.R. China

^c Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, China

Abstract: (maximum 200 words)

We propose to study the prospectives of the diboson $(e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-)$ measurements at the CEPC in the effective-field-theory framework. We plan to implement the method of optimal observables to extract useful information in the differential distributions and obtain the best possible reach on the coefficients of the corresponding dimension-six operators. The impact of systematic uncertainties due to detector resolutions and beamstrahlung effects will be thoroughly investigated.

Our first step would be to compare the parton level and detector level results of the optimal observable analyses and understand the impact of systematics in terms of both the reconstructed central values of the EFT parameters (i.e. whether a bias can be induced by the systematics) and their uncertainties. In this comparison, we will also study the impacts of the selection cuts, such as the requirements on invariant mass that ensures the correct reconstruction of the W boson, on reducing the systematic uncertainties on the optimal observables. If the impacts of systematics are large and difficult to remove with selection cuts, we will also explore on the use of more sophisticated methods, such as machine learning techniques to estimate the precision reach on the EFT parameters and compare those with the ideal reach from the optimal observables.

Our results on the optimal observable analyses of the diboson measurements will serve as a crucial component of a realistic global EFT analyses at the CEPC. It is also possible to generalize our analysis to include

1 Background

The Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) is a proposed future lepton collider based in China¹. With runs at the Z-pole, WW threshold and around 240 GeV, it can reach unprecedented precisions for the measurements of the Higgs boson and the electroweak gauge bosons. For the electroweak gauge boson, the future prospectives of the measurements at the Z-pole and the WW threshold have already been studied in the conceptual design report¹. Meanwhile, there is no projection for the set of observables in the diboson process, $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$, at the CEPC. These observables are conventionally parameterized in terms of the anomalous triple Gauge couplings (aTGCs), and can be well measured at energies above the WW threshold, such as 240 GeV. They contain important information on the properties of the electroweak gauge bosons and provide crucial inputs for global effective-field-theory (EFT) analyses. A recent study² pointed out the importance of implementing the full EFT parameterization instead of the conventional three aTGC parameterization for the diboson process at future lepton colliders, and demonstrated the usefulness of the so-called optimal observables³ for extracting information in the differential distributions of the diboson events. However, due to the absence of experimental inputs, Ref.² only performed a simplified diboson analyses based on statistical uncertainties. A more realistic analysis, which takes account of the systematics and detector effects, is desired to fully understand the potential of CEPC in probing the EFT parameters in the diboson measurements.

Proposed Study

We plan to focus on the semi-leptonic decay channel of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ process, which has a sizable branching fraction and good event reconstructions. While the optimal observable analysis in Ref.² gives an estimation on the precision reaches of the corresponding EFT parameters, our main focus will be on the investigation of the impacts of systematic uncertainties. This is a nontrivial task given the complicated nature of the optimal observables and their sensitivity to the differential distributions. In particular, the optimal observables at the parton level may be significantly different from those at detecter level, if the 4-momenta of the final state particles are not very well reconstructed. As such, it is important to understand the impacts of the resolutions of the jet energy and momentum, as well as the reconstruction of the missing momentum of the neutrino.

3 Outlook

Positivity bounds on quartic-gauge-boson couplings

Snowmass letter of intent

Cen Zhang^{1, 2, 3, *} and Shuang-Yong Zhou^{4, 5, †}

¹Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ³Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ⁴Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China ⁵Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Dim-8 Wilson coefficients in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) are not allowed to take arbitrary values. By assuming that the SMEFT admits a UV completion that satisfies the fundamental principles of quantum field theory (QFT), including analyticity, unitarity, crossing symmetry, locality and Lorentz invariance, the so-called positivity bounds can be derived [1], determining the signs of certain linear combinations of dim-8 coefficients. Since the ultimate goal of the SMEFT is to determine its UV completion, one should restrict the search for operators only within these bounds, and optimize the search strategy accordingly. Alternatively, one might also use these bounds to experimentally test the fundamental principles of QFT [2]. In either case, as the LHC has started to probe the dim-8 SMEFT operators in many occasions, it has become increasingly important to understand the positivity bounds on their coefficients. A particular relevant topic at the LHC is the vector boson scattering (VBS) and the measurement of the quartic-gauge-boson couplings (QGCs). Searching for possible beyond the SM physics in the form of anomalous QGCs is one of the main goals of the current as well as the future electroweak program at the LHC and HL-LHC. These couplings can be measured in the VBS or the triboson production channels. Knowing their bounds from positivity will undoubtedly provide guidance for relevant future theoretical and experimental studies.

The conventional approach to derive positivity bounds makes use of the elastic 2-to-2 forward scattering amplitude. One can show that its second derivative w.r.t. s, the Mandelstem variable, is positive, and this leads to, at the tree level, a set of linear homogeneous inequalities for dim-8 coefficients. This approach has been adopted in Refs. [3–5], and the allowed parameter space of the Wilson coefficients has been reduced to only about 2%. However, these results are still far from complete. The reason is that the notion of elasticity depends on the particle basis, and therefore the scattering amplitudes between arbitrary superpositions of particle states should be explored, in order to obtain the full set of elastic positivity bounds. So far, this procedure has not been done systematically, and only a limited set of superposed states have been investigated in the literature.

Recently, we have proposed a new approach to extract positivity bounds [6]. This approach has the advantage that one is guaranteed to obtain the best bounds allowed by the fundamental QFT principles. Indeed, bounds tighter than the full set of elastic positivity bounds can be obtained in certain cases, and an explicit example has been presented in [6]. In this approach, instead of using elastic channels to probe the bounds, one essentially

Bounds in aQGCs (by Cen Zhang)

describes the allowed parameter space as a convex cone via the *extremal representation* of cones, and thus we will call it the extremal positivity approach. This approach is efficient because the extremal rays of the cone can be directly written down via group theoretical considerations. So far, this approach has been applied to the 4-W and the 4-H operator sets in Ref. [6]. More general applications of this approach are yet to be explored.

For Snowmass 21, we propose to study the full set of positivity bounds on aQGC operators in the SMEFT framework, by applying the new extremal positivity approach. We expect these results to unify and supersede all previous results in the literature. While providing guidance for future theoretical and experimental studies on VBS and relevant SMEFT fits, we also hope that this study will establish the general methodology for obtaining complete positivity bounds for dim-8 SMEFT operators.

- [1] A. Adams, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis, and R. Rattazzi, JHEP 10, 014 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0602178 [hep-th].
- [2] J. Distler, B. Grinstein, R. A. Porto, and I. Z. Rothstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 041601 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0604255.
- [3] C. Zhang and S.-Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **D100**, 095003 (2019), arXiv:1808.00010 [hep-ph].
- [4] Q. Bi, C. Zhang, and S.-Y. Zhou, JHEP 06, 137 (2019), arXiv:1902.08977 [hep-ph].
- [5] G. N. Remmen and N. L. Rodd, JHEP **12**, 032 (2019), arXiv:1908.09845 [hep-ph].
- [6] C. Zhang and S.-Y. Zhou, (2020), arXiv:2005.03047 [hep-ph].

^{*} cenzhang@ihep.ac.cn

[†] zhoushy@ustc.edu.cn

Backup: Track momentum resolution @ Z pole

- Current optimization based on ZH runs @ 240GeV
- Most demanding case for low momentum track resolution is flavor physics
- Current design is good enough for EWK and flavor physics at Z pole

$\Delta(1/p_T) =$ Momentum resolution in CEPC $2 \times 10^{-5} \oplus \frac{0.001}{p(\text{GeV}) \sin^{3/2} \theta}$

Z mass measurement

- LEP precision : 91.1876±0.0021 GeV
 CEPC goal : 0.5 MeV (CDR) → 0.1MeV (TDR)
- Beam energy uncertainty is major systematics (0.1MeV)
- Luminosity measurement

/ / (TDR) stematics (0.1MeV)

Luminosity measurement: Lumical detector

- For luminosity $\delta L/L = 10-4$
- $30 < \theta < 100$ mRad
- Lumical : silicon strip disks + LYSO+SiPM calorimeter
- > strip Resolution dr = $.75 \,\mu m$

Branching ratio (R^b): theory systematics • QCD related systematics • High order QCD corrections gives impact to hemisphere correlations Impact to Backward-forward asymmetry

Error source	$C_{ m QCD}^{ m quark}$ (%)		$C_{ m QCD}^{ m part,T}$ (%)	
	$b\bar{b}$	$c\bar{c}$	$b\bar{b}$	$c\bar{c}$
Theoretical error on m_b or m_c	0.23	0.11	0.15	0.08
$\alpha_s(m_{\rm Z}^2) \ (0.119 \pm 0.004)$	0.12	0.16	0.12	0.16
Higher order corrections	0.27	0.66	0.27	0.66
Total error	0.37	0.69	0.33	0.68

Timing of detector for Z pole running

From Auguste Besson's talk in Fcc workshop

CEPC vertex prototype

- CEPC Vertex prototype R & D project, optimized for Z pole running
- Taichu sensor chip designed (based one standard CMOS MAPS tech.)
- Readout time: 75ns~150ns
- Consider to use Depleted CMOS

CEPC vertex detector prototype

Resolution

Readout Speed

Taichu-1 (TJ 180nm) 3~5µm

~50ns@40MHz Digital readout

Taichu chip readout

CMOS MAPS sensor

tested

Modified : full depletion, faster charge collection

Challenges in vertex detectors

Vertex detector design driven by needs of flavor tagging

- Extremely accurate/precise
- Extremely light

Large surfaces: ~ 1 m²

Single point resolution $\sigma < 3 - 5 \mu m$

Pixel pitch ~ 16 – 25 µm

Low material budget 0.1 — 0.3%X₀ per layer Thin sensors and ASICs Light-weight support

Power pulsing (LC) Air cooling

Low power dissipation ≤ 50 mW/cm²

Time stamping ~10 ns (CLIC) ~300 ns — µs (ILC/CC)

Circular colliders: continuous operation \rightarrow more cooling \rightarrow more material

R^b: gluon splitting • Gluon splitting systematics is estimated by comparing data and MC simulation

DELPHI Z->4b analysis Gluon splitting measurements

Detector requirement at Z pole

- Most of detector requirement is based on Higgs physics at ZH run
- New requirement for Z pole
- \triangleright Particle identification requested by flavor physics (k/ π separation, $\pi 0/\gamma$ separation)
- Detector timing (Z pole @40MHz collision)

Physics process	Measurands
$ZH, Z \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$ $H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	$m_H, \sigma(ZH)$ BR $(H \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$
$H \rightarrow b \bar{b} / c \bar{c} / g g$	$BR(H \rightarrow b\bar{b}/c\bar{c}/gg)$
$H \rightarrow q \bar{q}, WW^*, ZZ^*$	$BR(H \rightarrow q\bar{q}, WW^*, ZZ^*)$
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	${\rm BR}(H \to \gamma \gamma)$

R^b: charm modelling and lepton ID • Charm modelling : depends on input from flavor experiments (BELLEII...) • C hadron fractions (factions of D⁺, D⁰, D⁺, \rightarrow 0.2% syst. In R^b • LEP: Tagging efficiency for D+ is three times higher than D0 Need more study to check D meson tagging efficiency in Fcc-ee/CEPC

$\epsilon^{\rm c}/\epsilon^{\rm c}$ (%)	$\Delta \epsilon^{\rm uds} / \epsilon^{\rm uds}$ (%)	$\Delta R_{\rm b}$
3.66	_	0.00046
0.55	-	0.00007
1.09	-	0.00014
2.39	-	0.00030
1.20	_	0.00015
2.44	_	0.00031
2.34	_	0.00029