CEPC HZZ Project Update Comparison with White Paper & Yuqian's Thesis

Min Zhong, Yanxi Gu

2020.11.18

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (1)

- > Analysis comparison (cut-based)
 - ➤ Integrated luminosity: 5000 fb⁻¹ (Yuqian's thesis) 5600 fb⁻¹ (Our analysis)
 - > Cut flow comparison (μμΗννjj)

Yuqian's

	选择条件\事例类型	signal	ZH	leptonic	hadronic	znu_sl	ze_sl	ww_sl	zz_sl
Object Selection (1 pair of μ)	物理对象挑选	246	10527	131752	12235	2	7	68085	69190
M(miss) > M(dijet)	丢失质量>2 喷注不变 质量	122	543	65381	1491	2	0	7701	1782
M(dimuon)	缪子对不变质量(80, 100)GeV	111	494	19457	36	0	0	360	704
M(dimuon recoil)	缪子对反冲质量(120, 160)	111	489	14621	27	0	0	307	576
N(pfo)	Particle flow object 数量	102	72	37	27	0	0	305	535
P _T (visible)	事例总横动量 > 10Gev	100	70	14	11	0	0	295	77
$\mathbf{Angle}_{\min}(\mathbf{\mu}\text{-}\mathbf{jet})$	最小缪子-喷注夹角 > 0.3	93	66	12	0	0	0	13	67
M(miss) & M(dijet)	丢失质量&喷注不变 质量	88	12	1	0	0	0	1	11

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (2)

> Analysis comparison (cut-based)

> Cut flow comparison (μμΗννjj) continue

Cut	Signal	ZH Background	2f Background	4f Background	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}$	
Expected	1000.88±31.64	1140511±1067	801811977±28316	107203890±10353		
Pre - selection	616.68±24.83	30494 ±174	480828±693	515448±717		
Signal or not	211.44±14.54	30282 ±174	480828±693	515448±717		
$M_{missing} > M_{dijet}$	107.97±10.39	1608 ±40	115062±339	28809 ± 169	0.283	
N(pfo)	104.16±10.21	908 ±30	33480 ± 182	14159 ± 118	0.4722	
M_{dimuon}	92.43 ±9.61	296 ±17	24151 ± 155	1625 ± 40	0.5714	
M_{dijet}	87.58 ±9.36	280 ±16	851 ±29	819 ± 28	1.9395	
$M_{missing}$	71.98 ±8.48	124 ±11	97 ±9	101 ± 10	3.6196	Ours
$*cos \theta$	71.98 ±8.48	124 ±11	97 ±9	101 ± 10	3.6196	
$cos\theta_{visible}$	68.7 ±8.29	118 ±10	22 ±4	39 ±6	4.349	
$Angle_{\mu j}$	62.43 ±7.9	95 ±9	14 ±3	20 ±4	4.4919	
M^{rec}_{dimuon}	61.2 ±7.82	79 ±8	14 ±3	8 ±2	4.7795	
	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
$*M_{visible}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
$*P_{visible}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
$*E_{leading\ jet}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
$*E_{\mathit{sub-leading}}$ jet	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
not qqHZZ	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374	
not vvHZZ	52.85 ±7.27	33 ±5	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.5581±0.5833	
	$Expected$ $Pre-selection$ $Signal or not$ $M_{missing} > M_{dijet}$ $N(pfo)$ M_{dimuon} M_{dijet} $M_{missing}$ $*cos \theta$ $cos \theta_{visible}$ $Angle_{\mu j}$ M_{rec} $dimuon$ M_{rec} $dijet$ $*M_{visible}$ $*P_{visible}$ $*P_{tvisible}$ $*E_{leading jet}$ $*E_{sub-leading jet}$ $*P_{T_{sub-leading jet}}$	Expected 1000.88 ± 31.64 Pre - selection 616.68 ± 24.83 Signal or not 211.44 ± 14.54 $M_{missing} > M_{dijet}$ 107.97 ± 10.39 $N(pfo)$ 104.16 ± 10.21 M_{dimuon} 92.43 ± 9.61 M_{dijet} 87.58 ± 9.36 $M_{missing}$ 71.98 ± 8.48 $*cos\theta$ 71.98 ± 8.48 $cos\theta_{visible}$ 68.7 ± 8.29 $Angle_{\mu j}$ 62.43 ± 7.9 M_{rec} 61.2 ± 7.82 $M_{visible}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 $*P_{visible}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 $*P_{T_{eisible}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 $*P_{T_{leading jet}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 $*P_{T_{sub-leading jet}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7	Expected 1000.88 ± 31.64 1140511 ± 1067 Pre - selection 616.68 ± 24.83 30494 ± 174 Signal or not 211.44 ± 14.54 30282 ± 174 $M_{missing} > M_{dijet}$ 107.97 ± 10.39 1608 ± 40 $N(pfo)$ 104.16 ± 10.21 908 ± 30 M_{dimuon} 92.43 ± 9.61 296 ± 17 M_{dijet} 87.58 ± 9.36 280 ± 16 $M_{missing}$ 71.98 ± 8.48 124 ± 11 $*cos\theta$ 71.98 ± 8.48 124 ± 11 $cos\theta_{visible}$ 68.7 ± 8.29 118 ± 10 $Angle_{\mu j}$ 62.43 ± 7.9 95 ± 9 M_{rec}^{rec} 61.2 ± 7.82 79 ± 8 M_{rec}^{rec} 61.2 ± 7.82 79 ± 8 $M_{visible}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8 $*P_{visible}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8 $*P_{T_{eisible}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8 $*P_{T_{leading jet}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8 $*P_{T_{sub-leading jet}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8 $*P_{T_{sub-leading jet}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8 $*P_{T_{sub-leading jet}}$ 59.24 ± 7.7 69 ± 8	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (3)

> Results comparison (cut-based)

Yuqian's

- Didn't perform the fitting
- ightharpoonup Use the formula $\sqrt{S+B}/S$

	N(signal) 信号事例数	ignal Efficiend 信号效率	y Statistical 统计误差 Uncertainty
e ⁺ e ⁻ vvjj	65±8	50.1%	15.1%
μ [†] μ ⁻ ννjj	88±9	67.3%	12.0%
vv e ⁺ e ⁻ jj	43±7	27.6%	18.6%
νν μ ⁺ μ ⁻ jj	90±9	57.4%	11.4%
vv jj μ [†] μ¯	77±8	49.7%	12.9%

Other channels: >20%

Combined: 5.96%

Ours

> Precision gotten form the fitting

Category	$\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot BR)}{(\sigma \cdot BR)}$ cut-based	[%] BDT
	cut-based	БИТ
$\mu\mu H \nu \nu q q^{\text{cut/mva}}$	15.5	13.6
$\mu\mu Hqq\nu\nu^{cut/mva}$	48.0	42.1
$ u\nu H\mu\mu qq^{\rm cut/mva}$	11.9	12.5
$\nu\nu \mathrm{H}qq\mu\mu^{\mathrm{cut/mva}}$	23.5	20.5
$qqH\nu\nu\mu\mu^{\rm cut/mva}$	45.3	37.0
$qqH\mu\mu\nu\nu^{\rm cut/mva}$	52.4	44.4
Combined	8.34	7.89

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (4)

- > Preliminary conclusions Cut flow part
 - ➤ For the cut flow comparison, Yuqian got bettern S/B ratio (i.e., more signals at the same background level)
 - > Yuqian's number ZH events is significantly less than ours since the pre-selection
- > Preliminary conclusions Precision part
 - \triangleright Yuqian got the precision by calculation instead of by fitting the m_H distributions
 - > Yuqian got slightly better precisions for each & combined channels (but with more channel like ee)

Comparison with CEPC White Paper (1)

- ➤ Analysis comparison (cut-based)
 - ➤ Integrated luminosity: 5600 fb⁻¹ (white paper) 5600 fb⁻¹ (Our analysis)
 - Cut flow comparison
 - In the white paper, only two channels are analyzed: μμΗννjj vvHlljj μμΗννjj : dimuon mass (80, 100), dimuon recoil mass (120, 160), dimuon pt (> 10), dijet mass (10, 38)
 vvHlljj : visible energy (< 180), missing mass (58, 138), mass & pt cuts on lepton/jet pairs

Comparison with CEPC White Paper (2)

> Results comparison (cut-based)

White paper

Precision gotten from the fitting (seems the same as Kaili's package)

Z1	H final state	Precision
$Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	$H\! o\! ZZ^*\! o\! uar u qar q$	7.2%
$Z\! o\! uar{ u}$	$H \! o \! ZZ^* \! o \! \ell^+ \ell^- q ar q$	7.9%
С	ombination	4.9%

Ours

> Precision gotten form the fitting

Category	$\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot BR)}{(\sigma \cdot BR)}$ [%]			
	cut-based	BDT		
$\mu\mu H \nu \nu q q^{\rm cut/mva}$	15.5	13.6		
$\mu\mu \mathrm{H} qq \nu \nu^{\mathrm{cut/mva}}$	48.0	42.1		
$\nu\nu H\mu\mu qq^{\rm cut/mva}$	11.9	12.5		
$ u\nu \mathrm{H} qq\mu\mu^{\mathrm{cut/mva}}$	23.5	20.5		
$qq \mathrm{H} u u \mu \mu^{\mathrm{cut/mva}}$	45.3	37.0		
$qq\Pi\nu\nu\mu\mu\nu^{\rm cut/mva}$	52.4	44.4		
Combined	8.34	7.89		

Comparison with CEPC White Paper (3)

- > Preliminary conclusions Cut flow part
 - For the cut flow comparison, the analysis in the white paper use less cuts
 - > Not clear about how the cut flow like
- > Preliminary conclusions Precision part
 - **▶** Both the white paper and our analysis use m_H fitting to get the precisions
 - > The precisions in the white paper for the two channels are much better than ours
 - > The combined precision is also much better in the white paper vvHlljj