

CEPC HZZ Project Update Comparison with White Paper & Yuqian's Thesis

Min Zhong, Yanxi Gu

2020.11.18

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (1)

➤ Analysis comparison (cut-based)

- Integrated luminosity: 5000 fb⁻¹ (Yuqian's thesis)
5600 fb⁻¹ (Our analysis)

➤ Cut flow comparison ($\mu\mu H\nu jj$)

Yuqian's

选择条件 \ 事例类型	signal	ZH	leptonic	hadronic	znu_sl	ze_sl	ww_sl	zz_sl	
Object Selection (1 pair of μ)	物理对象挑选	246	10527	131752	12235	2	7	68085	69190
M(miss) > M(dijet)	丢失质量>2 喷注不变质量	122	543	65381	1491	2	0	7701	1782
M(dimuon)	缪子对不变质量 (80, 100) GeV	111	494	19457	36	0	0	360	704
M(dimuon recoil)	缪子对反冲质量 (120, 160)	111	489	14621	27	0	0	307	576
N(pfo)	Particle flow object 数量	102	72	37	27	0	0	305	535
P_T(visible)	事例总横动量 > 10Gev	100	70	14	11	0	0	295	77
Angle_{min}(μ-jet)	最小缪子-喷注夹角 > 0.3	93	66	12	0	0	0	13	67
M(miss) & M(dijet)	丢失质量&喷注不变质量	88	12	1	0	0	0	1	11

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (2)

➤ Analysis comparison (cut-based)

➤ Cut flow comparison ($\mu\mu H\nu jj$) continue

Cut	Signal	ZH Background	2f Background	4f Background	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}$
<i>Expected</i>	1000.88±31.64	1140511±1067	801811977±28316	107203890±10353	
<i>Pre – selection</i>	616.68±24.83	30494 ±174	480828±693	515448±717	
<i>Signal or not</i>	211.44±14.54	30282 ±174	480828±693	515448±717	
$M_{missing} > M_{di jet}$	107.97±10.39	1608 ±40	115062±339	28809 ±169	0.283
$N(pfo)$	104.16±10.21	908 ±30	33480 ±182	14159 ±118	0.4722
M_{dimuon}	92.43 ±9.61	296 ±17	24151 ±155	1625 ±40	0.5714
$M_{di jet}$	87.58 ±9.36	280 ±16	851 ±29	819 ±28	1.9395
$M_{missing}$	71.98 ±8.48	124 ±11	97 ±9	101 ±10	3.6196
$*\cos \theta$	71.98 ±8.48	124 ±11	97 ±9	101 ±10	3.6196
$\cos\theta_{visible}$	68.7 ±8.29	118 ±10	22 ±4	39 ±6	4.349
$Angle_{\mu j}$	62.43 ±7.9	95 ±9	14 ±3	20 ±4	4.4919
M_{dimuon}^{rec}	61.2 ±7.82	79 ±8	14 ±3	8 ±2	4.7795
$M_{di jet}^{rec}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*M_{visible}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*P_{visible}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*P_{T_{visible}}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*E_{leading jet}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*P_{T_{leading jet}}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*E_{sub-leading jet}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
$*P_{T_{sub-leading jet}}$	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
<i>not qqHZZ</i>	59.24 ±7.7	69 ±8	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.1374
<i>not $\nu\nu HZZ$</i>	52.85 ±7.27	33 ±5	0 ±0	4 ±2	5.5581±0.5833

Ours

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (3)

➤ Results comparison (cut-based)

Yuqian's

- Didn't perform the fitting
- Use the formula $\sqrt{S+B}/s$

	N(signal) 信号事例数	Signal Efficiency 信号效率	Statistical Uncertainty 统计误差
$e^+e^- \nu\nu jj$	65±8	50.1%	15.1%
$\mu^+\mu^-\nu\nu jj$	88±9	67.3%	12.0%
$\nu\nu e^+e^- jj$	43±7	27.6%	18.6%
$\nu\nu \mu^+\mu^- jj$	90±9	57.4%	11.4%
$\nu\nu jj \mu^+\mu^-$	77±8	49.7%	12.9%

Other channels: >20%

Combined: 5.96%

Ours

- Precision gotten from the fitting

Category	$\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot BR)}{(\sigma \cdot BR)}$ [%]	
	cut-based	BDT
$\mu\mu H\nu\nu qq^{cut/mva}$	15.5	13.6
$\mu\mu Hqq\nu\nu^{cut/mva}$	48.0	42.1
$\nu\nu H\mu\mu qq^{cut/mva}$	11.9	12.5
$\nu\nu Hqq\mu\mu^{cut/mva}$	23.5	20.5
$qqH\nu\nu\mu\mu^{cut/mva}$	45.3	37.0
$qqH\mu\mu\nu\nu^{cut/mva}$	52.4	44.4
Combined	8.34	7.89

Comparison with Yuqian's thesis (4)

➤ Preliminary conclusions – Cut flow part

- For the cut flow comparison, Yuqian got better S/B ratio (i.e., more signals at the same background level)
- Yuqian's number ZH events is significantly less than ours since the pre-selection

➤ Preliminary conclusions – Precision part

- Yuqian got the precision by calculation instead of by fitting the m_H distributions
- Yuqian got slightly better precisions for each & combined channels (but with more channel like ee)

Comparison with CEPC White Paper (1)

➤ Analysis comparison (cut-based)

- Integrated luminosity: 5600 fb^{-1} (white paper)
 5600 fb^{-1} (Our analysis)

➤ Cut flow comparison

- In the white paper, only two channels are analyzed: $\mu\mu H\nu\nu jj$ $\nu\nu Hlljj$

$\mu\mu H\nu\nu jj$: dimuon mass (80, 100), dimuon recoil mass (120, 160),
dimuon pt (> 10), dijet mass (10, 38)

$\nu\nu Hlljj$: visible energy (< 180), missing mass (58, 138),
mass & pt cuts on lepton/jet pairs

Comparison with CEPC White Paper (2)

➤ Results comparison (cut-based)

White paper

- Precision gotten from the fitting
(seems the same as Kaili's package)

<i>ZH</i> final state	Precision
$Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \quad H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} q \bar{q}$	7.2%
$Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \quad H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- q \bar{q}$	7.9%
Combination	4.9%

Ours

- Precision gotten from the fitting

Category	$\frac{\Delta(\sigma \cdot BR)}{(\sigma \cdot BR)}$ [%]	
	cut-based	BDT
$\mu\mu H \nu\nu q q^{\text{cut}/\text{mva}}$	15.5	13.6
$\mu\mu H q q \nu\nu^{\text{cut}/\text{mva}}$	48.0	42.1
$\nu\nu H \mu\mu q q^{\text{cut}/\text{mva}}$	11.9	12.5
$\nu\nu H q q \mu\mu^{\text{cut}/\text{mva}}$	23.5	20.5
$q q H \nu\nu \mu\mu^{\text{cut}/\text{mva}}$	45.3	37.0
$q q H \mu\mu \nu\nu^{\text{cut}/\text{mva}}$	52.4	44.4
Combined	8.34	7.89

Comparison with CEPC White Paper (3)

➤ Preliminary conclusions – Cut flow part

- For the cut flow comparison, the analysis in the white paper use less cuts
- Not clear about how the cut flow like

➤ Preliminary conclusions – Precision part

- Both the white paper and our analysis use m_H fitting to get the precisions
- The precisions in the white paper for the two channels are much better than ours
- The combined precision is also much better in the white paper $v\bar{v}Hlljj$