

# DCI meeting: requests

João Pedro Athayde Marcondes de André

IPHC

29 April 2020

## Introduction

- At the review during last meeting, one of the points that was stress out was the need to do further tests of the DCI system → profit to also simulate useful samples for JUNO
- During the january collaboration meeting we had a request from the ML group to produce a large  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  samples for their studies
  - ▶ this was completed at the mid-end of February
  - ▶ I'd say this worked pretty well generally, took a few days of processing
  - ▶ ML group mentioned some post-processing they were doing that might be of general interest – put on the FC ?
- I have now received 2 additional requests:
  - ▶  $\mu$  production for offline
    - ★ I'm organizing this with other people working on  $\mu$  reco
    - ★ Important for a review on  $\mu$  reconstruction at the next meeting
    - ★ However, high requirement for production – not sure how much DCI can help. . .
  - ▶ Yury requested a new dataset for ML (for PID studies):
    - ★ 3 positions  $\times$  4 particles at fixed energy
    - ★ don't know details yet, but should be similar to previous ML production

## General information about the setup for $\mu$ simulation

- Software version : J20v1r0-Pre0 + few commits  $\Rightarrow$  will request new release when ready
- Number of events :  $2 \cdot 10^6$  ( $\approx$  1 day)
  - ▶ Not clear how many events per file, but likely  $\mathcal{O}(10)$
- Muon.exe options : with bundles,  $\mu$  produced in Rock around detector
- Simulation level : detsim (saved user and data-model formats)
- Status:
  - ▶ Preparing a script that handles all requests from different users
  - ▶ Big issue: requirement on resources is unknown at this point. We likely will need upwards of 10 GB of memory per job.
  - ▶ Artëm proposed some memory-reducing option, I'm looking into them now.
  - ▶ Hopefully can have this finished up with clear requirements before May 12th
  - ▶ In any case, are there ways to request specific amounts of memory to DIRAC? Or in this case we cannot use the DCI now?

## Final thoughts

- We got to a good start producing the ML electron/positron dataset
- Xiaomei: for now you need to manually intervene in all requests, do you want me to help with that? How?
- We have 2 new requests already made:
  - ▶  $\mu$  production – large memory requirements might be tricky
  - ▶ ML PID production – requirements should not be an issue, will just take some time
- I also foresee the validation group might also request some help to produce the validation samples – need to talk with Benda Xu about that, not sure about timelines for that