Latest Results from ATLAS





全国第十八届重味物理和CP破坏研讨会 暨南大学, 10-14 November 2021





Experiments at Large Hadron Collider

pp collider (also can collide heavy ion particles) Run 1: 7, 8 TeV Run 2: 13 TeV Run 3: to start soon

A Toroidal LHC ApparatuS



D712/mb-26/06/S

Weight : ~ 7000 tons Channels: ~ 10⁸

Total weight: 7900 T **Overall diameter: 25 m Overall length:** 46 m Magnet filed: 2, 3-8 Tesla

25 m



✓ Run 2 at 13 TeV from year 2015 to 2018

- ✓ 147 fb⁻¹ pp collision data recorded. 139 fb⁻¹ good for physics analysis (~95% efficiency)
- ✓ Also heavy-ion collisions (Pb+Pb, p+Pb, Xe+Xe)
- ✓ Very good performance for object reconstruction. Major uncertainties for typical pT region (O(10)-O(100)GeV for leptons, O(100)-O(1000)GeV for jets)
 - ✓ Electron and muon identification < 1%, b-tagging < 2%, jet energy scale ~ 1% in central region



11/11/21

ATLAS Physics Results

- ***** SM precision measurements and rare processes
- * Higgs (and di-Higgs) measurements and searches
- Heavy flavor physics
- * Searches for new physics beyond SM
- Heavy ion physics

* Can only cover a few. Full lists are available here

SM Measurements

Key to test the SM in high energy frontier with high luminosity





11/11/21

Bing Li

γγγ

Search for tftf production: Rare SM Process

Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 1085

- ATLAS Data tttt Sensitive to top Yukawa coupling with offshell Higgs ■tīZ - √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb Q mis-id Post-Fit Expect large contribution from BSM contributions Uncertaint 10000000 0000000 0000000 H0000000 0000000 Data / Pred Events selected in multi-lepton final states. BDT score
- * MVA used with input features from jet multiplicity, jet flavor and event kinematics
- ***** First evidence with 4.3σ
- * Best fit signal strength: $\mu = 2.0 \pm 0.4(\text{stat})^{+0.7}_{-0.4}(\text{syst}) = 2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$.



Observation of WWW

- Triboson measurements directly probe the gauge boson selfcoupling
- Any deviation would hint new physics at higher energy scale that is not accessible now
- Full Run 2 data used. Only events with 2 or 3 leptons are included (i.e. at least two Ws decay leptonically)

Fit	Observed (expected) significances $[\sigma]$	$\mu(WWW)$
$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	2.3 (1.4)	1.69 ± 0.79
$e^\pm \mu^\pm$	4.6 (3.1)	1.57 ± 0.40
$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	5.6 (2.8)	2.13 ± 0.47
2ℓ	6.9 (4.1)	1.80 ± 0.33
3ℓ	4.8 (3.7)	1.33 ± 0.39
Combined	8.2 (5.4)	1.66 ± 0.28

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow WWW) = 850 \pm 100 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 80 \text{ (syst.) fb.}$

CERN Courier, CERNCOURIER.COM November/December 2021 ENERGY FRONTIERS

Reports from the Large Hadron Collider experiments

ATLAS First observation of WWW production



produced through the different mechdiagrams shown in figure 1. While there are many decay modes. ATLAS used four final-state channels where the signal-to-background ratio is big enough to observe the signal. The first three channels result from the decay of two of the Ws into charged leptonneutrino pairs, with the same electric- Fig. 2. The BDT distribution of the WWW three-lepton channel. charge sign of the charged leptons, and



electroweak symmetry breaking and Fig. 1. Example Feynman diagrams for the leading-order production of WWW events.

ATLAS preliminary data √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹ WZ γ conv. 40 post-fit other -- pre-fit bkgd WWW (u = 1.66 non-prompt 30 charge-flip //. uncertainty 20 10-1.4 1.0

0.2

0

0.4

0.6

3I BDT output

0.8

and three normalisation factors for the dominant WZ background. The BDT distributions were fitted in the four signal regions simultaneously with the trilepton invariant mass distribution in three WZ control regions (WZ plus 0, 1, ≥ 2 jets). The resulting BDT distribution for the 3l channel is shown in figure 2.

The large event samples (139 fb-1) provided by the full Run-2 data set, the implementation of multivariate techniques, and an improved ATLAS detector and reconstruction performance enabled the observation and the cross-section measurement of this rare process. The observed (expected) significance of the measurement is 8.2 (5.4) standard deviations compared to the hypothesis with no WWW signal. The cross section is measured to be 850 ± 100 (stat.) ± 80 (syst.) fb, as derived from the observed signal strength (the ratio of measured to predicted yields) of 1.66 ± 0.28. The observed signal significance is within 2.4 σ of the SM prediction. The full 10 Run-3 data set is anticipated to more than double the number of signal events and will enable a more precise measurement of WWW production. Higher

Higgs



Higgs-like particle discovered at the LHC in Run 1

Since then, the major focuses have been on

- ✓ Observation in major production (ggF, VBF, VH, ttH) and decay (WW, ZZ, γγ, bb/ττ) channels
- ✓ Searches in the rare decay channels ($\mu\mu$ /cc)
- $\checkmark\,$ Improved coupling and differential cross-section measurements
- ✓ Searches for new physics using Higgs boson (LFV, dark matter .etc)

Higgs \rightarrow WW ATLAS-CONF-2021-014

- Measured in different production modes
 - * ggF: m_T used as final discriminant
 - * VBF: 2 forward jets with large m_{jj} and dY_{jj}. DNN used as final discriminant
- Events are split into different jet multiplicity categories

 ATLAS Preliminary + Da









Vector-boson fusion (VBF) q V V V V V W W W W W W W

- ✓ Cross section measured to 12% (22%) precision in the ggF (VBF) mode
 ✓ VBE observed at 6 6σ
- ✓ VBF observed at 6.6σ
 level



Bing Li

Events / bir

$H \rightarrow \pi$

ATLAS-CONF-2021-044



*

*

$\frac{\text{ATLAS-CONF-2021-021}}{\text{Searches for H} \rightarrow cc}$

- Try to probe Higgs couplings to 2nd generation fermions
- Extremely difficult at hadron collider
 - * Small BR ~2.9%, and large background
 - * c-tagging is very challenging
- Developed dedicated c-tagger
 - To select "intermediate" lifetime c hadrons between b and light flavor
 - * 27% efficiency for c, 8% for b, 1.6% for light
- Searches done in VH channel with either large MET or 1/2 leptons





Higgs Combination



Theoretical uncertainty starts to dominant

ATLAS-CONF-2021-052/

Di-Higgs Combination

- Direct probe of the Higgs self-coupling, as well as searching for new physics decays to HH in high mass.
- Combined using three channels
 - Resonant & non-resonant bbγγ: <u>ATLAS-CONF-2021-</u> 016
 - Resonant & non-resonant bbtt: <u>ATLAS-CONF-2021-</u> 030
 - Resonant bbbb: <u>ATLAS-CONF-2021-035</u>





B Physics at ATLAS

- Possible deviations from SM in the B-related decays have captured more interest
- ATLAS has access to B, Bs, Bc, Λ_b, Y etc. Typical limitations are triggers, reconstruction and identifications etc.
- Due to experimental difficulties, mostly focus on muon final states
 - Typical triggers: di-muons with pT thresholds at 4, 6 and 11 GeV. Possible with some event topology cuts (dimuon mass, angular etc.)
 - In 2018, a di-electron high-level trigger has been implemented and being analyzed now
 - Improved muon identification down to 3 GeV. Machine learning widely used.



<u>JHEP 10 (2018) 047</u>

$B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$ Angular Analysis

- Angular distribution of the 4 particles in the final state sensitive to new physics for the interference of NP and SM diagrams
- Allows measuring a large set of angular parameters sensitive to Wilson coefficients
- * Decays are described by three angular variables (θ_L , θ_K and ϕ) and dimuon mass squared (q²)
- The angular distributions are measured in different q² bins
- * LHCb reports a 3.4σ deviation from the SM





K*µµ Angular Analysis

- * Run 1 data at 8 TeV, 20.3 fb⁻¹. Selected with muon triggers starting at 4 GeV
- * In total 787 events were selected in the $q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ region
- Extended unbinned maximum likelihood fits in each of the fit variants in each q² bin to extract FL and S(P) parameters
 - * first fit of the invariant mass distributions
 - * then fit of angular distributions
- Results are compatible with predictions
- * Largest deviation seen in P'5 (2.7 σ) between 4 to 6 GeV²

q^2 [GeV ²]	<i>n</i> _{signal}	n _{background}
[0.04, 2.0]	128 ± 22	122 ± 22
[2.0, 4.0]	106 ± 23	113 ± 23
[4.0, 6.0]	114 ± 24	204 ± 26
[0.04, 4.0]	236 ± 31	233 ± 32
[1.1, 6.0]	275 ± 35	363 ± 36
[0.04, 6.0]	342 ± 39	445 ± 40



CP-violating phase ϕ_s in Bs \rightarrow J/ $\psi\phi$

Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 342



• CPV phase $\varphi_s \rightarrow$ weak phase between mixing and b \rightarrow ccs decay • $\varphi_s = -2\beta_s$ with $\beta_s = arg[-(V_{ts}V_{tb}^*)/(V_{cs}V_{cb}^*)]$ • SM: $-2\beta_s = -0.0363 \pm 0.0016$ [arXiv:1106.4041], 0.0370 ± 0.0010 [UTfit18]

> Slides taken from Marcella Bona

C

CP-violating phase φ_s in Bs $\rightarrow J/\psi\phi$

- Unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to extract the physics parameters
- Fitted variables
 - mass mB, proper decay time t and their error, tagging probability, angular





Bing Li

bsll Contact Interaction

- Inspired by the B-meson decay anomalies, a four-fermion contact interaction between two quarks (b, s) and two leptons (ee or μμ) is used as a benchmark signal model
- * Characterized by energy scale (Λ) and coupling (g_*)



FIG. 1. Representative Feynman diagrams for the decay of a B^+ meson to a K^+ meson in association with two leptons (a) in the SM and (b) in the EFT approach and for production of two leptons via a $bs\ell\ell$ contact interaction in pp collisions (c) without and (d) with a b jet in the final state.

bsll Contact Interaction

- Run 2 139 fb⁻¹ data. Event selected with two leptons and 0/1 b-tagged jet
- * \lambda/g_* below 2.0 (2.4) TeV are excluded for electrons (muons)
- Still far below the value favored by E meson decay anomalies though (~3 TeV)



Other Searches





Dark matter, heavy particles, LFV, long-lived particles ...

Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

<u>Nature Physics</u> volume 17, pages 813–818 (2021) LFV Using W from ttbar Decay

- Measure the ratio of W decay to tau/mu, from ttbar events (large statistic at LHC)
- * Using full Run 2 data
- * Using lifetime information to separate W to tau/mu decays





R(tau/m) = 0.992 + 0.013

Most precise measurement of this ratio and the only such measurement at LHC



LFV Using Z Decays

* Searching for LFV using Z decays to mutau or etau

	Observed (expected) upper limit on $\mathcal{B}(Z \to \ell \tau)$ [×10 ⁻⁶]		
Experiment, polarization assumption	eτ	μau	
ATLAS Run 2, unpolarized τ	8.1 (8.1)	9.9 (6.3)	
ATLAS Run 2, left-handed $ au$	8.2 (8.6)	9.5 (6.7)	
ATLAS Run 2, right-handed τ	7.8 (7.6)	10 (5.8)	
ATLAS Run 1, unpolarized τ		17 (26)	
ATLAS Run 1+Run 2 combination, unpolarized τ		9.5 (6.1)	
LEP OPAL, unpolarized τ	9.8	17	
LEP DELPHI, unpolarized $ au$	22	12	

These results supersede the limits from the Large Electron–Positron Collider experiments conducted more than two decades ago.

Major uncertainty still due to limited statistic Systematic uncertainty dominant by tau reconstruction and calibration



Nature Phys. 17 (2021) 819



Searches for Heavy Resonance

 Multiple BSM theories predict new gauge bosons at TeV scale to address the hierarchy problem



✓ Search for up-type vector-like quarks

✓ T mass below 1.8 TeV excluded



- ✓ Search for right-handed leptophobic W' with the same coupling strength as SM W
- ✓ Hadronic t identified from fat jets with DNN tagger
- ✓ W' mass below 4.4 TeV excluded

ATLAS-CONF-2021-032

Long-lived Particles From Displaced Vertex

- Several models (Higgs portal, hidden sector, SUSY) predict Higgs decays to longlived particles decaying to jets
- In detector those events typically have 2 displaced vertex (DV) *
- Use a dedicated Muon Rol cluster trigger. Reconstruct tracks and DV from in muon spectrometer



 10^{2}

Summary

- Many new results from ATLAS
- Many works still ongoing to take full advantage of the Run 2 data
 - Improved CP. Rare SM processes. Searches for uncovered signatures
- Run 3 is also coming
- Stay tuned

backup

B⁰ flavour eigenstate can be identified through the K^{*} → K⁻ π⁺ decay
angular distribution given by:

$$\frac{1}{d\Gamma/dq^2} \frac{d^4\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_\ell d\cos\theta_K d\phi dq^2} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \bigg[\frac{3(1-F_L)}{4} \sin^2\theta_K + F_L \cos^2\theta_K + \frac{1-F_L}{4} \sin^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_\ell}{-F_L \cos^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_\ell + S_3 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \cos 2\phi + S_4 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_\ell \cos \phi} + S_5 \sin 2\theta_K \sin \theta_\ell \cos \phi + S_6 \sin^2\theta_K \cos \theta_\ell} + S_7 \sin 2\theta_K \sin \theta_\ell \sin \phi + S_8 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_\ell \sin \phi} + S_9 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin 2\phi \bigg].$$

• the S parameters are translated into the P⁽⁾ parameters via

$$P_1 = \frac{2S_3}{1 - F_L} \qquad P'_{i=4,5,6,8} = \frac{S_{j=4,5,7,8}}{\sqrt{F_L(1 - F_L)}}$$

JHEP 06 (2021) 145, ATLAS-CONF-2021-037

Charged Higgs

Extended Higgs sectors with additional doublets or triplets

