The semi-leptonic form factors of $\Xi_b \to \Xi_c \text{ and } \Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$ in QCD sum rules

Zhen-Xing Zhao Inner Mongolia University





Motivation

- Two-point correlation function: pole residue
- Three-point correlation function: form factors
- Summary and outlook

Outline



Motivation



Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:568 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8096-2

Regular Article - Theoretical Physics

QCD Sum Rules Analysis of Weak Decays of Doubly-Heavy Baryons

4

Yu-Ji Shi^a, Wei Wang^b, Zhen-Xing Zhao^c

INPAC, SKLPPC, School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Received: 18 January 2020 / Accepted: 29 May 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

• Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 6, 568







- Cutkosky cutting rules Double Dispersion Relation (DDR)
- Dirac structures Consider negative parity baryons' contributions

Two key techniques





• Widely investigated: Quark model, HQET, Lattice, Exp. • $\mathscr{B}(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \to \Lambda_{c}^{+}e^{-}\bar{\nu}_{e}) = (6.2^{+1.4}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-2} (\text{PDG2016})$

Why $\Xi_b \to \Xi_c$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$?





wayl

 $\Pi = \Pi(p_1, p_2, q, k_1, k_2, k_1)$

$$J_{1}, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{1}') = \int \frac{d^{4}k_{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}}$$

Finite!

7



为了检验 其中, 在上式中

其中: 🚽

以口になっていたいでは、「「」」」
認知重色散关系、我们还需要得到上述关联函数如下的色散积分:

$$(4.2-1)^{a}$$

 $(4.2-1)^{a}$
我们认为 m_1 和 m_1^{a} 是重夸克。
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$
 $(4.2-2)^{a}$

way 2

8



 $p_1^2 = -100 GeV^2$, $p_2^2 = -100 GeV^2$, $q^2 = 0.0 GeV^2$, < $m_1 = 4.7 GeV, m_1' = 1.35 GeV, m_2 = 0.0 GeV < 4$ 值上验证了上述双重色散关系。

Verify the DDR

- 直接计算和色散关系形式的最终结果都约为 0-0.000151808i 左右,于是我们便从数







meson







Diagrammatica

The Path to Feynman Diagrams

CAMBRIDGE LECTURE NOTES IN PHYSICS

MARTINUS VELTMAN

Veltman: Largest Time Equation



Too many Dirac structures

- Universal when using QCDSR method to deal with baryons
- The prescription of considering negative parity baryons' contribution may be a universal solution
- Can be seen in the following slides...



Two-point correlation function



$$J_{\Lambda_Q} = \epsilon_{abc} (u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b) Q_c,$$

$$J_{\Xi_Q} = \epsilon_{abc} (q_a^T C \gamma_5 s_b) Q_c,$$

$$\Pi(p) = i \int d^4x \ e^{ip \cdot x}$$

$$\Pi^{\text{QCD}}(p) = A(p^2) \not p + B(p^2).$$

$$(M_+ + M_-)\lambda_+^2 \exp(-M_+^2/T_+^2)$$

 $\nabla^x \langle 0|T\{J(x)\overline{J}(0)\}|0\rangle.$

$$\Pi^{\text{had}}(p) = \lambda_{+}^{2} \frac{\not p + M_{+}}{M_{+}^{2} - p^{2}} + \lambda_{-}^{2} \frac{\not p - M_{-}}{M_{-}^{2} - p^{2}} + \cdots,$$

Weighted average





traditional

higher resonances and continuum spectra

this work





- dim-0,3,5 for Ξ_Q , 1 for each • dim-3,5 ~ $m_s ==> \Lambda_Q$ only dim-0 survive
- dim-4 neglected







suboptimal optimal

$\Lambda_+/{ m GeV}^3$	$M_+/{\rm GeV}$	$M_{+}^{\mathrm{exp}}/\mathrm{GeV}$
633 ± 0.0038	5.786 ± 0.016	5.793
237 ± 0.0018	2.461 ± 0.029	2.468
22 ± 0.0032	5.612 ± 0.030	5.620
27 ± 0.0009	2.292 ± 0.008	2.286

- The accuracy is very high
- For Λ_Q , only dim-0
- \overline{MS} mass for quark not pole mass
- Results of pole residues are different in the two schemes



Three-point correlation function



$$\begin{split} \Pi^{V,A}_{\mu}(p_1^2,p_2^2,q^2) &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y \ e^{-ip_1 \cdot x + ip_2 \cdot y} \langle 0|T\{J_{\Xi_c}(y)(V_{\mu},A_{\mu})(0)\bar{J}_{\Xi_b}(x)\}|0\rangle, \\ \Pi^{V,\text{had}}_{\mu}(p_1^2,p_2^2,q^2) &= \lambda_f^+ \lambda_i^+ \frac{(\not\!\!\!/_2 + M_2^+)(\frac{p_{1\mu}}{M_1^+}F_1^{++} + \frac{p_{2\mu}}{M_2^+}F_2^{++} + \gamma_{\mu}F_3^{++})(\not\!\!/_1 + M_1^+)}{(p_2^2 - M_2^{+2})(p_1^2 - M_1^{+2})} \\ &+ \lambda_f^+ \lambda_i^- \frac{(\not\!\!/_2 + M_2^+)(\frac{p_{1\mu}}{M_1^-}F_1^{+-} + \frac{p_{2\mu}}{M_2^-}F_2^{-+} + \gamma_{\mu}F_3^{-+})(\not\!\!/_1 - M_1^{-})}{(p_2^2 - M_2^{-2})(p_1^2 - M_1^{-2})} \\ &+ \lambda_f^- \lambda_i^+ \frac{(\not\!\!/_2 - M_2^-)(\frac{p_{1\mu}}{M_1^+}F_1^{-+} + \frac{p_{2\mu}}{M_2^-}F_2^{-+} + \gamma_{\mu}F_3^{-+})(\not\!\!/_1 - M_1^{-})}{(p_2^2 - M_2^{-2})(p_1^2 - M_1^{-2})} \\ &+ \lambda_f^- \lambda_i^- \frac{(\not\!\!/_2 - M_2^-)(\frac{p_{1\mu}}{M_1^-}F_1^{--} + \frac{p_{2\mu}}{M_2^-}F_2^{--} + \gamma_{\mu}F_3^{--})(\not\!\!/_1 - M_1^{-})}{(p_2^2 - M_2^{-2})(p_1^2 - M_1^{-2})} \\ &+ \cdots . \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_i^+\lambda_f^+(F_1^{++}/M_1^+)}{(p_1^2-M_1^{+2})(p_2^2-M_2^{+2})} &= \frac{\{M_1^-M_2^-, M_2^-, M_1^-, 1\}.\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}}{(M_1^++M_1^-)(M_2^++M_2^-)}, \\ \frac{\lambda_i^+\lambda_f^+(F_2^{++}/M_2^+)}{(p_1^2-M_1^{+2})(p_2^2-M_2^{+2})} &= \frac{\{M_1^-M_2^-, M_2^-, M_1^-, 1\}.\{A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8\}}{(M_1^++M_1^-)(M_2^++M_2^-)}, \\ \frac{\lambda_i^+\lambda_f^+F_3^{++}}{(p_1^2-M_1^{+2})(p_2^2-M_2^{+2})} &= \frac{\{M_1^-M_2^-, M_2^-, M_1^-, 1\}.\{A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}\}}{(M_1^++M_1^-)(M_2^++M_2^-)}.\end{aligned}$$

Weighted average





• dim-0,3,5 for $\Xi_b \to \Xi_c$, 1 for each • dim-3,5 ~ $m_s ===> \Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$ only dim-0 survives dim-4 neglected



• Pole dominance. It can be described quantitatively by

$$r \equiv \frac{\int^{s_1^0} ds_1 \int^{s_2^0} ds_2 \rho^{\text{QCD}}(s_1, s_2, q^2) \exp\left(-s_1/T_1^2 - s_2/T_2^2\right)}{\int^{\infty} ds_1 \int^{\infty} ds_2 \rho^{\text{QCD}}(s_1, s_2, q^2) \exp\left(-s_1/T_1^2 - s_2/T_2^2\right)} \gtrsim 0.5$$
(26)

- enough.
- Weak dependence on the Borel parameters. •

• OPE convergence. It can be achieved by demanding that dim-5/Total should be small

The constraint is too strong





23

The second





Transition	F	This work	LQCD [4]	HQET [12]
	F_1	(-0.176, -0.490)		-0.321
	F_2	(-0.062, -0.159)		-0.094
$\Xi_b \to \Xi_c$	F_3	(0.784, 1.928)		1.415
	G_1	(-0.218, -0.589)		-0.321
	G_2	(0.107, 0.305)		0.094
	G_3	(0.545, 1.047)		1
	F_1	(-0.163, -0.452)	(-0.174, -0.419)	-0.321
$\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$	F_2	(-0.060, -0.173)	(-0.010, -0.086)	-0.094
	F_3	(0.751, 1.891)	(0.558, 1.492)	1.415
	G_1	(-0.198, -0.576)	(-0.210, -0.493)	-0.321
	G_2	(0.097, 0.304)	(0.082, 0.196)	0.094
	G_3	(0.525, 1.244)	(0.388, 0.907)	1

 $(F(0), F(q_{max}^2))$ $(F(0), F(q_{max}^2))$ $F(q_{max}^2)$ at $1/m_Q$

24



Channel	This work	Lattice QCD $[4]$	Experimental data [5]
$\Xi_b \to \Xi_c e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	9.31 ± 1.58		
$\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	9.41 ± 2.71	5.32 ± 0.35	$6.2^{+1.4}_{-1.3}$

- $m_c(m_b) = 0.906$ GeV at 2-loop ===> $m_c(m_b) = 0.997$ GeV at 1-loop
- Consider the scale dependence of interpolating currents The definition of pole dominance should be relaxed.



Summary and outlook



• $T{Q_1(x)Q_2(0)} = \sum C_i(x)O_i(0)$, current masses for quarks

- ===> doubly heavy baryons
- The two key techniques are correct
- elements for lifetimes of baryons

• Full LO results in QCD for the Wilson coefficients are obtained Heavy quark limit can be achieved from the full QCD results

• Can be safely applied to evaluate the four-quark operator matrix

27









Backup



$$f_{1} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{2m_{c}} + \frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{2m_{b}}\right)\right]\zeta(w),$$

$$f_{2} = -\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{m_{c}}\left(\frac{1}{1+w}\right)\zeta(w),$$

$$f_{3} = -\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{m_{b}}\left(\frac{1}{1+w}\right)\zeta(w),$$

$$g_{1} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{2m_{c}} + \frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{2m_{b}}\right)\left(\frac{1-w}{1+w}\right)\right]\zeta(w),$$

$$g_{2} = -\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{m_{c}}\left(\frac{1}{1+w}\right)\zeta(w),$$

$$g_{3} = \frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}}{m_{b}}\left(\frac{1}{1+w}\right)\zeta(w).$$

$\bar{\Lambda}_{\Lambda} = 0.9 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_c = 1.4 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_b = 4.8 \text{ GeV}$

(4.47)

