




# *Status of the CEPC: a future Higgs/Z factory*

Manqi Ruan

# The Higgs field: one of the two pillars of the SM

Gauge




Higgs



# Higgs: linked to many known unknowns of the SM



- Hierarchy: From neutrinos to the top mass, masses differs by 13 orders of magnitude
- Naturalness: Fine tuning of the Higgs mass
- Masses of Higgs and top quark: meta-stable of the vacuum
- Unification?
- Dark matter candidate?
- Not sufficient CP Violation for Matter & Antimatter asymmetry

$$\begin{aligned} m_H^2 &= 36,127,890,984,789,307,394,520,932,878,928,933,023 \\ &\quad - 36,127,890,984,789,307,394,520,932,878,928,917,398 \\ &= (125 \text{ GeV})^2! ? \end{aligned}$$



- **Most issues related to Higgs**

# Higgs measurement at e+e- & pp





|      | Yield                                 | efficiency                  | Comments  |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| LHC  | Run 1: $10^6$<br>Run 2/HL: $10^{7-8}$ | $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ | High Productivity & High background, Relative Measurements, Limited access to width, exotic ratio, etc, Direct access to $g(\text{ttH})$ , and even $g(\text{HHH})$ |
| CEPC | $10^6$                                | $\sim \mathcal{O}(1)$       | Clean environment & Absolute measurement, Percentage level accuracy of Higgs width & Couplings  |

# Electron Positron Higgs factories

## High-priority future initiatives

An electron-positron Higgs factory is the **highest-priority** next collider. For the longer term, the European particle physics community has the ambition to operate a proton-proton collider at the highest achievable energy. Accomplishing these compelling goals will require innovation and cutting-edge technology:


|           |            |
|-----------|------------|
| ILC (a):  | TDR @ 2013 |
| FCC (b):  | CDR @ 2019 |
| CEPC (c): | CDR @ 2018 |
| CLIC (d): | CDR @ 2013 |





# Key figures of the CEPC-SPPC

- Tunnel ~ **100 km**
- CEPC (90 – 250 GeV)
  - Higgs factory: **1M** Higgs boson
    - Absolute measurements of Higgs boson width and couplings
    - Searching for exotic Higgs decay modes (New Physics)
  - Z & W factory: ~ **1 Tera** Z boson
    - Precision test of the SM
    - Rare decay
  - Flavor factory: b, c, tau and QCD studies
- SPPC (~ **100 TeV**)
  - Direct search for new physics
  - Complementary Higgs measurements to CEPC  $g(\text{HHH})$ ,  $g(\text{Htt})$
  - ...




**Complementary**

• Heavy ion, e-p collision...



# Timeline

## CEPC Project Timeline






# CDR released in Nov. 2018




- Baseline designs for the Accelerator, Detector & Software
  - Subsystems' designs supported with Prototype construction & test
- Physics potential


# CEPC Accelerator Baseline Layout



CEPC collider ring (100km)



CEPC booster ring (100km)






# CEPC CDR Parameters

D. Wang

|   | <i>Higgs</i>        | <i>W</i>             | <i>Z (3T)</i>              | <i>Z (2T)</i>    |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Number of IPs   | 2                   |                      |                            |                  |
| Beam energy (GeV)   | <b>120</b>          | <b>80</b>            | <b>45.5</b>                |                  |
| Circumference (km)  | 100                 |                      |                            |                  |
| Synchrotron radiation loss/turn (GeV)   | 1.73                | 0.34                 | 0.036                      |                  |
| Crossing angle at IP (mrad)   | 16.5×2              |                      |                            |                  |
| Piwinski angle  | 2.58                | 7.0                  | 23.8                       |                  |
| Number of particles/bunch $N_e$ ( $10^{10}$ )   | 15.0                | 12.0                 | 8.0                        |                  |
| <b>Bunch number (bunch spacing)</b>   | <b>242 (0.68μs)</b> | <b>1524 (0.21μs)</b> | <b>12000 (25ns+10%gap)</b> |                  |
| Beam current (mA)   | 17.4                | 87.9                 | 461.0                      |                  |
| <b>Synchrotron radiation power /beam (MW)</b>   | <b>30</b>           | <b>30</b>            | <b>16.5</b>                |                  |
| Bending radius (km)   | 10.7                |                      |                            |                  |
| Momentum compact ( $10^{-5}$ )  | 1.11                |                      |                            |                  |
| <b>β function at IP <math>\beta_x^*/\beta_y^*</math> (m)</b>                          | <b>0.36/0.0015</b>  | <b>0.36/0.0015</b>   | <b>0.2/0.0015</b>          | <b>0.2/0.001</b> |
| Emittance $\epsilon_x/\epsilon_y$ (nm)  | 1.21/0.0031         | 0.54/0.0016          | 0.18/0.004                 | 0.18/0.0016      |
| Beam size at IP $\sigma_x/\sigma_y$ (μm)  | 20.9/0.068          | 13.9/0.049           | 6.0/0.078                  | 6.0/0.04         |
| Beam-beam parameters $\xi_x/\xi_y$  | 0.031/0.109         | 0.013/0.106          | 0.0041/0.056               | 0.0041/0.072     |
| RF voltage $V_{RF}$ (GV)  | 2.17                | 0.47                 | 0.10                       |                  |
| RF frequency $f_{RF}$ (MHz) (harmonic)  | 650 (216816)        |                      |                            |                  |
| Natural bunch length $\sigma_z$ (mm)  | 2.72                | 2.98                 | 2.42                       |                  |
| Bunch length $\sigma_z$ (mm)  | 3.26                | 5.9                  | 8.5                        |                  |
| HOM power/cavity (2 cell) (kw)  | 0.54                | 0.75                 | <b>1.94</b>                |                  |
| Natural energy spread (%)   | 0.1                 | 0.066                | 0.038                      |                  |
| Energy acceptance requirement (%)   | <b>1.35</b>         | <b>0.4</b>           | <b>0.23</b>                |                  |
| Energy acceptance by RF (%)   | 2.06                | 1.47                 | 1.7                        |                  |
| Photon number due to beamstrahlung  | 0.1                 | 0.05                 | 0.023                      |                  |
| Lifetime _simulation (min)  | 100                 |                      |                            |                  |
| Lifetime (hour)   | <b>0.67</b>         | <b>1.4</b>           | <b>4.0</b>                 | <b>2.1</b>       |
| $F$ (hour glass)  | 0.89                | 0.94                 | 0.99                       |                  |
| <b>Luminosity/IP <math>L</math> (<math>10^{34}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}</math>)</b> | <b>2.93</b>         | <b>10.1</b>          | <b>16.6</b>                | <b>32.1</b>      |



# Detector & Software



Full simulation reconstruction Chain functional, iterating/validation with hardware studies

$Z \rightarrow 2 \text{ muon},$   
 $H \rightarrow 2 b$   
 $\sim 2\%$


$Z \rightarrow 2 \text{ jet},$   
 $H \rightarrow 2 \text{ tau}$   
 $\sim 5\%$

$ZH \rightarrow 4 \text{ jets}$   
 $\sim 50\%$

$Z \rightarrow 2 \text{ muon}$   
 $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow eevv$   
 $\sim 1\%$



# Reconstructed Higgs Signatures






Clear Higgs Signature in all SM decay modes

Massive production of the SM background (2 fermion and 4 fermions) at the full Simulation level

*Right corner: di-tau mass distribution at qqH events using collinear approximation*

# Quantify the physics potential



70 OVERVIEW OF THE PHYSICS CASE FOR CEPC

| Particle         | Tera-Z             | Belle II  | LHCb               |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| <b>b hadrons</b> |                    |   |                    |
| $B^+$            | $6 \times 10^{10}$ | $3 \times 10^{10}$ (50 $\text{ab}^{-1}$ on $\Upsilon(4S)$ ) | $3 \times 10^{13}$ |
| $B^0$            | $6 \times 10^{10}$ | $3 \times 10^{10}$ (50 $\text{ab}^{-1}$ on $\Upsilon(4S)$ ) | $3 \times 10^{13}$ |
| $B_s$            | $2 \times 10^{10}$ | $3 \times 10^8$ (5 $\text{ab}^{-1}$ on $\Upsilon(5S)$ )     | $8 \times 10^{12}$ |
| b baryons        | $1 \times 10^{10}$ |   | $1 \times 10^{13}$ |
| $\Lambda_b$      | $1 \times 10^{10}$ |   | $1 \times 10^{13}$ |
| <b>c hadrons</b> |                    |   |                    |
| $D^0$            | $2 \times 10^{11}$ |   |                    |
| $D^+$            | $6 \times 10^{10}$ |   |                    |
| $D_s^+$          | $3 \times 10^{10}$ |   |                    |
| $\Lambda_c^+$    | $2 \times 10^{10}$ |   |                    |
| $\tau^+$         | $3 \times 10^{10}$ | $5 \times 10^{10}$ (50 $\text{ab}^{-1}$ on $\Upsilon(4S)$ ) |                    |

| Observable  | Current sensitivity                | Future sensitivity                                 | Tera-Z sensitivity                |
|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow ee)$   | $2.8 \times 10^{-7}$ (CDF) [438]   | $\sim 7 \times 10^{-10}$ (LHCb) [435]              | $\sim \text{few} \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu)$   | $0.7 \times 10^{-9}$ (LHCb) [437]  | $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$ (LHCb) [435]            | $\sim \text{few} \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \tau\tau)$   | $5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (LHCb) [441]  | $\sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ (LHCb) [435]               | $\sim 10^{-5}$                    |
| $R_K, R_{K^*}$  | $\sim 10\%$ (LHCb) [443, 444]      | $\sim \text{few}\%$ (LHCb/Belle II) [435, 442]     | $\sim \text{few}\%$               |
| $\text{BR}(B \rightarrow K^* \tau\tau)$   | –                                  | $\sim 10^{-5}$ (Belle II) [442]                    | $\sim 10^{-8}$                    |
| $\text{BR}(B \rightarrow K^* \nu\nu)$   | $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ (Belle) [449] | $\sim 10^{-6}$ (Belle II) [442]                    | $\sim 10^{-6}$                    |
| $\text{BR}(B_s \rightarrow \phi \nu\bar{\nu})$  | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (LEP) [452]   | –  | $\sim 10^{-6}$                    |
| $\text{BR}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \nu\bar{\nu})$   | –                                  | –  | $\sim 10^{-6}$                    |
| $\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma)$   | $4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ (BaBar) [475] | $\sim 10^{-9}$ (Belle II) [442]                    | $\sim 10^{-9}$                    |
| $\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow 3\mu)$  | $2.1 \times 10^{-8}$ (Belle) [476] | $\sim \text{few} \times 10^{-10}$ (Belle II) [442] | $\sim \text{few} \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $\frac{\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \mu\nu\bar{\nu})}{\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu})}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-3}$ (BaBar) [464] | $\sim 10^{-3}$ (Belle II) [442]                    | $\sim 10^{-4}$                    |
| $\text{BR}(Z \rightarrow \mu e)$  | $7.5 \times 10^{-7}$ (ATLAS) [471] | $\sim 10^{-8}$ (ATLAS/CMS)                         | $\sim 10^{-9} - 10^{-11}$         |
| $\text{BR}(Z \rightarrow \tau e)$   | $9.8 \times 10^{-6}$ (LEP) [469]   | $\sim 10^{-6}$ (ATLAS/CMS)                         | $\sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-11}$         |
| $\text{BR}(Z \rightarrow \tau\mu)$  | $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ (LEP) [470]   | $\sim 10^{-6}$ (ATLAS/CMS)                         | $\sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-10}$         |

Table 2.5: Order of magnitude estimates of the sensitivity to a number of key observables for which the tera-Z factory at CEPC might have interesting capabilities. The expected future sensitivities assume luminosities of 50  $\text{fb}^{-1}$  at LHCb, 50  $\text{ab}^{-1}$  at Belle II, and 3  $\text{ab}^{-1}$  at ATLAS and CMS. For the tera-Z factory of CEPC we have assumed the production of  $10^{12}$  Z bosons.

# Recent Progresses

- Pursue higher luminosity... and develop upgrading plan to 360 GeV center of mass energies
- Accelerator Critical R&D
  - SRF
  - Klystron
  - High Temperature Iron Based Super Conductor & Magnets
- Detector & Software innovative R&D
- Physics studies: white papers, etc

# New beam parameters: in progress

- Luminosity @ Higgs: increases by 60% ( $3 \rightarrow 5 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} / \text{IP}$ ) by squeezing the beam size at IP
- Luminosity @ Z: increased by ~4 times ( $32 \rightarrow 115 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} / \text{IP}$ ) by increasing bunch charge
- Upgrading option: Luminosity @ top  $\sim 0.5 \cdot 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} / \text{IP}$

## Stage 1 (H/W run)


- Layout and parameters are same with CDR except longer central part
- Medium or low luminosity at Z

## Stage 2 (HL-Z upgrade)



- Move Higgs cavities to center and add high current Z cavities.
- By-pass low current H cavities.

## Stage 3 (ttbar upgrade)

- Add ttbar cavities (low current, high gradient, high Q)
- Nb3Sn@4.2 K or others to significant reducing the cost of cryo-system and AC power.



# High Performance SRF Cavity



RF Cavity for both  
Booster &  
Collider ring reaches  
Design goal




# High efficiency Klystron




## Tests show


- the output power reaches pulsed power of 800kW (400kW CW due to test load limitation)
- efficiency 62% and band width  $\pm 0.5\text{Mhz}$ .



CST 3维动力学设计 效率77%



高效率样管零部件加工




- High efficiency prototype (eff~77%) in construction: to be delivered soon!
- New design (eff ~ 81%) starts mechanic design

# IHEP SC Lab @ Huairou: in operation

Facility: CEPC SCRF test facility (lab) is located in IHEP Huairong Area of 4500m<sup>2</sup>



New SC Lab Design (4500m<sup>2</sup>)



New SC Lab will be fully functional in 2021





Cryogenic system hall in Jan. 16, 2020






# Joint test of critical components







# $J_c$ of IBS: 2016-2025





# Performance of the 1st IBS solenoid Coil

## Fabrication and test of IBS solenoid coil at 24T



IOP Publishing

Supercond. Sci. Technol. 32 (2019) 04LT01 (5pp)

Superconductor Science and Technology

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6688/ab09e4>

Letter

### First performance test of a 30mm iron-based superconductor single pancake coil under a 24T background field

Dongliang Wang<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Zhan Zhang<sup>3,5</sup>, Xianping Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Donghui Jiang<sup>4</sup>, Chiheng Dong<sup>1</sup>, He Huang<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenge Chen<sup>4</sup>, Qingjin Xu<sup>3,6</sup> and Yanwei Ma<sup>1,2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Applied Superconductivity, Institute of Electrical Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China


<sup>3</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup>High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, People's Republic of China

### Viewpoint by NHMFL

‘From a practical point of view, IBS are ideal candidates for applications. Indeed, some of them have quite a high critical current density, even in strong magnetic fields, and a low superconducting anisotropy.

Moreover, the cost of IBS wire can be four to five times lower than that of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn.....



IOP Publishing

Supercond. Sci. Technol. 32 (2019) 070501 (3pp)

Superconductor Science and Technology

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6688/ab11c9>

Viewpoint

### Constructing high field magnets is a real tour de force

Jan Jaroszynski  
National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, FL, 32310, United States of America  
E-mail: [jaroszy@magnet.fsu.edu](mailto:jaroszy@magnet.fsu.edu)

This is a viewpoint on the letter by Dongliang Wang *et al* (2019 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **32** 04LT01).




Following the discovery of superconductivity in 1911, Heike Kamerlingh Onnes foresaw the generation of strong magnetic fields as its possible application. He designed a 10 T electromagnet made of lead-tin wire, citing only the difficulty



CrossMark



# The 1st High-Field Model Dipole LPF1 in China

## Twin aperture model dipole magnet with NbTi+Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn



Magnet fabrication @ Beijing

Common coil dipole magnet



Shell-based Support structure


- Performance limited by the outermost NbTi coil.
- Very possibly due to the less of pre-stress.



Magnet under test @ Hefei





# Status of the China-CERN HL-LHC CCT Project



Layout of the HL-LHC Magnets and Contributors

China will provide 12+1 units CCT superconducting magnets for the HL-LHC project

After more than 1 month test and training at 4.2K, both apertures reached the design current and ultimate current, and the field quality is within the limit.



Qingjin XU,  
also  
merged  
slide from  
Jie.

# Collaboration with industry



The CEPC Industrial Promotion Consortium (CIPC) is established in Nov 2017. Till now, More than 60 companies joined CIPC, with expertise on superconductor, superconducting cavities, cryogenics, vacuum, klystron, electronics, power supply, civil engineering, precise machinery, etc. The CIPC serves as a communication forum for the industrial and the HEP community.



# Physics white papers



- CEPC is a high precision Higgs factory, and a Discovery machine!
  - Need to quantify CEPC physics potential at Higgs, EW, Flavor, QCD & BSM, with benchmark analyses, and Global interpretation
  - Guide the optimization & Maximize the physics output: to quantify the requirements on Luminosity, beam quality, & detector performance
- White paper activities:
  - 2019.3 Higgs White Paper delivered
  - 2019.7 WS @ PKU: EW 、 Flavor 、 QCD working group formed
  - 2020.1 WS @ HKIAS: Review progress & iterate. EW Draft Ready
  - 2021.4 WS @ Yangzhou: BSM working group formed

# Higgs white paper delivered


Chinese Physics C Vol. 43, No. 4 (2019) 043002

## Precision Higgs physics at the CEPC\*


Fenfen An(安芬芬)<sup>4,23</sup> Yu Bai(白羽)<sup>9</sup> Chunhui Chen(陈春晖)<sup>23</sup> Xin Chen(陈新)<sup>5</sup> Zhenxing Chen(陈振兴)<sup>3</sup>  
 Joao Guimaraes da Costa<sup>4</sup> Zhenwei Cui(崔振威)<sup>3</sup> Yaquan Fang(方亚泉)<sup>4,6,34,1</sup> Chengdong Fu(付成栋)<sup>4</sup>  
 Jun Gao(高俊)<sup>10</sup> Yanyan Gao(高艳彦)<sup>22</sup> Yuaning Gao(高原宁)<sup>3</sup> Shaofeng Ge(葛韶锋)<sup>15,29</sup>  
 Jiayin Gu(顾嘉荫)<sup>13,2</sup> Fangyi Guo(郭方毅)<sup>1,4</sup> Jun Guo(郭军)<sup>10</sup> Tao Han(韩涛)<sup>5,31</sup> Shuang Han(韩爽)<sup>4</sup>  
 Hongjian He(何红建)<sup>11,10</sup> Xianke He(何显柯)<sup>10</sup> Xiaogang He(何小刚)<sup>11,10,20</sup> Jifeng Hu(胡继峰)<sup>10</sup>  
 Shih-Chieh Hsu(徐士杰)<sup>32</sup> Shan Jin(金山)<sup>8</sup> Maoqiang Jing(荆茂强)<sup>4,7</sup> Susmita Jyotishmati<sup>33</sup> Ryuta Kiuchi<sup>4</sup>  
 Chia-Ming Kuo(郭家铭)<sup>21</sup> Peizhu Lai(赖培筑)<sup>21</sup> Boyang Li(李博扬)<sup>5</sup> Congqiao Li(李聪乔)<sup>3</sup> Gang Li(李刚)<sup>4,34,3</sup>  
 Haifeng Li(李海峰)<sup>12</sup> Liang Li(李亮)<sup>10</sup> Shu Li(李数)<sup>11,10</sup> Tong Li(李通)<sup>12</sup> Qiang Li(李强)<sup>3</sup> Hao Liang(梁浩)<sup>4</sup>  
 Zhijun Liang(梁志均)<sup>4</sup> Libo Liao(廖立波)<sup>4,23</sup> Bo Liu(刘波)<sup>4,23</sup> Jianbei Liu(刘建北)<sup>1</sup> Tao Liu(刘涛)<sup>14</sup>  
 Zhen Liu(刘真)<sup>26,30,4</sup> Xinchou Lou(娄辛丑)<sup>4,6,33,34</sup> Lianliang Ma(马连良)<sup>12</sup> Bruce Mellado<sup>17,18</sup> Xin Mo(莫欣)<sup>4</sup>  
 Mila Pandurovic<sup>16</sup> Jianming Qian(钱剑明)<sup>24,5</sup> Zhuoni Qian(钱卓妮)<sup>19</sup> Nikolaos Rompotis<sup>22</sup>  
 Manqi Ruan(阮曼奇)<sup>4,6</sup> Alex Schuy<sup>32</sup> Lianyou Shan(单连友)<sup>4</sup> Jingyuan Shi(史静远)<sup>9</sup> Xin Shi(史欣)<sup>4</sup>  
 Shufang Su(苏淑芳)<sup>25</sup> Dayong Wang(王大勇)<sup>3</sup> Jin Wang(王锦)<sup>4</sup> Liantao Wang(王连涛)<sup>27,7</sup>  
 Yifang Wang(王贻芳)<sup>4,6</sup> Yuqian Wei(魏戡巍)<sup>4</sup> Yue Xu(许悦)<sup>5</sup> Haijun Yang(杨海军)<sup>10,11</sup> Ying Yang(杨迎)<sup>4</sup>  
 Weiming Yao(姚为民)<sup>28</sup> Dan Yu(于丹)<sup>4</sup> Kaili Zhang(张凯栗)<sup>1,6,8</sup> Zhaoru Zhang(张照茹)<sup>4</sup>  
 Mingrui Zhao(赵明锐)<sup>2</sup> Xianghu Zhao(赵祥虎)<sup>4</sup> Ning Zhou(周宁)<sup>10</sup>



precision reach of the 12-parameter EFT fit (Higgs basis)




95% C.L. upper limit on selected Higgs Exotic Decay BR



- $g(HXX), g(HHH), Br(H \rightarrow \text{exo})$

# CEPC @ Snowmass



| title  | ID     | author                | link                  |
|--|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Study of electroweak phase transition in exotic Higgs decays with CEPC Detector simulation                     | 229-v1 | Michael Ramsey-Musolf | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Exclusive Z decays   | 226-v1 | Qin Qin               | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Measurement of the leptonic effective weak mixing angle at CEPC  | 233-v1 | Siqi Yang             | <a href="#">URL</a> ★ |
| Heavy Neutrino search in Lepton-Rich Higgs Boson Rare Decays   | 244-v1 | Yu Gao                | <a href="#">URL</a> ★ |
| Higgs boson CP properties at CEPC  | 227-v1 | Xin Shi               | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Measurement of branching fractions of Higgs hadronic decays  | 228-v1 | Yanping Huang         | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Feasibility study of CP-violating Phase $\phi_s$ measurement via $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ channel at CEPC | 230-v1 | Mingrui Zhao          | <a href="#">URL</a> ★ |
| Probing top quark FCNC couplings $tq$ , $tqZ$ at future $e+e-$ collider  | 231-v1 | Peiwen Wu             | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Searching for $B_s \rightarrow \phi \nu \nu$ and other $b \rightarrow d \nu \nu$ processes at CEPC             | 232-v1 | Yanyun Duan           | <a href="#">URL</a> ★ |
| Probing new physics with the measurements of $e+e- \rightarrow W+W-$ at CEPC with optimal observables          | 234-v1 | Jiayin Gu             | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| NNLO electroweak correction to Higgs and Z associated production at future Higgs factory                       | 235-v1 | Zhao Li               | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| SUSY global fits with future colliders using GAMBIT  | 237-v1 | Peter Athron          | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Probing Supersymmetry and Dark Matter at the CEPC, FCCee, and ILC  | 238-v1 | Waqas Ahmed           | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Search for $t + j + \text{MET}$ signals from dark matter models at future $e+e-$ collider                      | 239-v1 | Peiwen Wu             | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Search for Asymmetric Dark Matter model at CEPC by displaced lepton jets                                       | 240-v1 | Mengchao Zhang        | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Dark Matter via Higgs portal at CEPC   | 241-v1 | Tianjun Li            | <a href="#">URL</a>   |
| Lepton portal dark matter, gravitational waves and collider phenomenology                                      | 242-v1 | Jia Liu               | <a href="#">URL</a> ★ |
| CEPC Detectors Letter of Intent  | 245-v1 | Jianchun Wang         | <a href="#">URL</a>   |

# Key detector Performance for flavor physics

- Acceptance:  $|\cos(\theta)| < 0.99$
- Tracks:
  - Pt threshold,  $\sim 100$  MeV
  - $\delta p/p \sim o(0.1\%)$
- Photons:
  - Energy threshold,  $\sim 100$  MeV
  - $\delta E/E: 3 - 15\%/sqrt(E)$
- Pi-Kaon separation: 3-sigma
- Pi-0: rec. eff\*purity @  $Z \rightarrow qq > 60\%$  @ 5GeV
- B-tagging: eff\*purity @  $Z \rightarrow qq: 70\%$
- C-tagging: eff\*purity @  $Z \rightarrow qq: 40\%$
- Jet charge:  $eff*(1-2\omega)^2 \sim 15\%/30\%$  @  $Z \rightarrow bb/cc$
- Lepton inside jets: eff\*purity @  $Z \rightarrow qq \sim 90\%$  (energy  $> 3$  GeV)
- Tau: eff\*purity @  $WW \rightarrow \text{tauvqq}: 70\%$ , mis id from jet fragments  $\sim o(1\%)$
- Reconstruction of simple combinations: Ks/Lambda/D with all tracks @  $Z \rightarrow qq: 40 - 85\%$








Figure 13: Energy differential maximal  $\epsilon \times p$  for  $Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  (left) and  $Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  (right).





# Bc->Tau nu



Chinese Physics C Vol. 45, No. 2 (2021)

## Analysis of $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau$ at CEPC\*

Taifan Zheng(郑太范)<sup>1</sup> Ji Xu(徐吉)<sup>2</sup> Lu Cao(曹璐)<sup>3</sup> Dan Yu(于丹)<sup>4</sup> Wei Wang(王伟)<sup>2</sup> Soeren Prell<sup>5</sup>  
Yeuk-Kwan E. Cheung(张若筠)<sup>1</sup> Manqi Ruan(阮曼奇)<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China

<sup>2</sup>INPAC, SKLPPC, MOE KLPPC, School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

<sup>3</sup>Physikalisches Institut der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA

**Abstract:** Precise determination of the  $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau$  branching ratio provides an advantageous opportunity for understanding the electroweak structure of the Standard Model, measuring the CKM matrix element  $|V_{cb}|$ , and probing new physics models. In this paper, we discuss the potential of measuring the process  $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau$  with  $\tau$  decaying leptonically at the proposed Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC). We conclude that during the Z pole operation, the channel signal can achieve five- $\sigma$  significance with  $\sim 10^9$  Z decays, and the signal strength accuracies for  $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau$  can reach around 1% level at the nominal CEPC Z pole statistics of one trillion Z decays, assuming the total  $B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau$  yield is  $3.6 \times 10^6$ . Our theoretical analysis indicates the accuracy could provide a strong constraint on the general effective Hamiltonian for the  $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$  transition. If the total  $B_c$  yield can be determined to  $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$  level of accuracy in the future, these results also imply  $|V_{cb}|$  could be measured up to  $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$  level of accuracy.

28/12/2020

Taifan, etc, Accepted by CPC.  
Collaborate with Wei Wang, et.al.



**Fig. 10.** (color online) Constraints on the real and imaginary parts of  $C_{V_2}$ . The red shaded area corresponds to the current constraints using available data on  $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$  decays. If the central values in Eq. (9) remain while the uncertainty in  $\Gamma(B_c^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$  is reduced to 1%, the allowed region for  $C_{V_2}$  shrinks to the dark-blue regions.

# CP measurement with Bs->J/psi Phi

$$\Delta\Gamma_s \equiv \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H, \phi_s = -2 \arg(-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*/V_{cs}V_{cb}^*)$$


SM: small CPV phase  $\phi_s$

Contributions from physics beyond the SM could lead to much larger values of  $\phi_s$ .



## Flavour tagging power

- LHCb: 3~4%
- CEPC: 15% (Previous estimation)
- B factory: ~30%
- For Bs:
  - OS lepton
  - OS kaon
  - SS kaon
- A naïve algorithm developed to validate the robustness of the estimation



- With a decent Pid, the effective tagging power on jet Charge can be 5-6 times better than LHCb, which can compensate the statistic difference between LHCb & CEPC.
- Strong motivation to higher Luminosity at Z pole

# Summary

- CEPC, a precision & upgradable Higgs/W/Z factory, and a Discover machine!
  - Boost the Higgs/EW precision by  $\sim 10$  times w.r.t HL-LHC/current boundary
  - Huge potential on QCD, Flavor, BSM
- CDR released
  - Accelerator baseline secures high productivity for Higgs, Z and W bosons.
  - Detector baseline fulfills the requirements: clear physics objects + Higgs signal
- Key technology development:
  - Towards the TDR & significant progresses & link to industrial
- White paper studies in good shape: progress with Performance analyses and benchmark studies
  - Your input is more than welcome!
- Giving the importance of electron positron Higgs/Z factory, we hope at least one of them (ILC, CLIC, CEPC, FCC) can be realized. We fully support this global effort, no matter where it will be constructed

# Backup



# PAPS SRF Facility implementation

## - Beam test system

- Complete the development of a 650MHz test Cryomodule
- A 1.3GHz CW operation buncher, a 1.3GHz coupler and a 1.3GHz/10kW solid state amplifier have been developed
- A 150kW beam collector, magnets and vacuum boxes for beam line is ready




A 650MHz test cryomodule





1.3GHz/10kW amplifier




150kW beam collector



1.3GHz-CW buncher and coupler



Magnets



[http://ias.usthk.cn/program/shared\\_doc/2020/202001hep/conf/20200121\\_It\\_pm\\_Yunlong\\_CHI.pdf](http://ias.usthk.cn/program/shared_doc/2020/202001hep/conf/20200121_It_pm_Yunlong_CHI.pdf)

# 1<sup>st</sup> 650MHz Klystron Manufacturer and Infrastructure Preparation Progress

Z.S. Zhou



Modulator anode components



Klystron output window



Assembly plant construction



Cavities components



Large size baking furnace commissioning





# Civil Engineering & Site Selection



## Factors affecting site selection:

### 1、 Social factors:

National planning, Regional economic conditions, Cultural environment, Immigration, Environmental protection.

### 2、 Natural conditions and engineering factors:

Climate, Traffic, Topographical geology, Engineering layout, Construction Conditions, Engineering investment.


### 3、 Operating factor:

Water supply, power supply, operating costs

In China, there are many sites that meet the construction conditions.



# Comparison: Linear & Circular






A. Blondel, F. Zimmermann, arXiv:1112.2518 (2011).  
 A. Blondel et al., arXiv:1208.0504 (2012). TLEP → ESPP2012  
 A. Bogomyagkov, E. Levichev, and D. Shatilov, *Beam-beam effects investigation and parameters optimization for a circular  $e^+e^-$  collider at very high energies*, *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **17**, 041004 (2014).  
 K. Ohmi, N. Kuroo, K. Oide, D. Zhou, and F. Zimmermann, *Coherent Beam-Beam Instability in Collisions with a Large Crossing Angle*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 134801 (2017).  
 D. Shatilov, *FCC-ee Parameters Optimization*, ICFA Beam Dynamics Newsletter #72 (2017).



From A. Blondel's presentation at CEPC Oxford WS



# CEPC SCRF Cavities







**30 MW Higgs:**  
**Collider:** 240 650 MHz 2-cell cavities in 40 cryomodules (6 cav./ module).  
**Booster:** 96 1.3 GHz 9-cell cavities in 12 cryomodules (8 cav. / module).



For higher Z lumi, look at 1-cell cavity design.

# Two classes of Concepts

- PFA Oriented concept using High Granularity Calorimeter
  - + TPC (ILD-like, **Baseline**)
  - + Silicon tracking (SiD-like)
- Low Magnet Field Detector Concept (IDEA)
  - Wire Chamber + Dual Readout Calorimeter



<https://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/6618/>

<https://agenda.infn.it/conferenceOtherViews.py?view=standard&confid=14816>

11/6/2021

FPCP 2021@Shanghai

40



# Platform of Advanced Photon Source Technology R&D

: 500M CNY funded by Beijing Gov., from 2017.5-2020.6




[http://ias.usthk.cn/program/shared\\_doc/2020/202001hep/conf/20200121\\_lt\\_pm\\_Yunlong\\_CHI.pdf](http://ias.usthk.cn/program/shared_doc/2020/202001hep/conf/20200121_lt_pm_Yunlong_CHI.pdf)



- 4500m<sup>2</sup> SRF Lab for Superconducting Accelerator Projects R&D
- Cryogenic system with a capacity of 2.5kW@4.5K/300W@2K
- Beam Test System
- Precision Magnet center for precision machining and measurement for HEPS magnets
- X-ray research center for advanced X-ray rel

Main structure of HEPS booster RF system complete





# White paper Status

- CEPC Physics/Detector WS, April 2021 @ Yangzhou
  - ~ 45 Physics reports
  - ~ 10 Performance/Optimization study
  - Significant Fresh
- *Higgs: Impact of 360 GeV Runs*
- *EW: Draft ready*
- *QCD: intensive discussions...*
- *Flavor + BSM:*
  - *Many Performance & Benchmark analyses*





# Domestic Collaboration for HTS R&D

## *Applied High Temperature Superconductor Collaboration (AHTSC)*

- R&D from Fundamental sciences of superconductivity, advanced HTS superconductors to Magnet & SRF technology.
- Regular meetings every 3 months from Oct. 2016
- Goal:
  - Increasing  $J_c$  of iron-based superconductor by 10 times.
  - Reducing the cost of HTS conductors to be similar with “NbTi conductor”
  - Industrialization of the advanced superconductors, magnets and cavities



Proposal for  
Strategic Priority Research Program  
of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)  
Science and Technology Frontier  
Research  
for High Field Applications of High  
Temperature Superconductors

中科院B类先导专项  
360M RMB for 2018-2023  
Ranked No. 1 in 7 candidates

The National Key Research and  
Development Program of China

科学技术部  
高技术研究中心  
43M RMB for 2019-2024  
国科高发字〔2019〕55号

关于印发国家重点研发计划  
“变革性技术关键科学问题”重点专项  
2018年度项目立项的通知

