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## CP violation for neutral B-mesons

The CP symmetric system in time $t_{2}$ is not CP symmetric at time $t_{1}$


## Sin $2 \beta$ and the Unitarity Triangle

- Constructed from CKM matrix

$$
V_{u d} V_{u b}^{*}+V_{c d} V_{c b}^{*}+V_{t d} V_{t b}^{*}=0
$$

- Angles and sides are well-defined (physical) quantities



## Hints for BSM physics

- Do the angles sum to $180^{\circ}$ ?
- Are sides consistent with angles?
- Do all processes indicate a consistent picture?
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## Trick of asymmetric beams

- $\Upsilon(4 S)$ is a first $b \bar{b}$ resonance above $m_{B}+m_{\bar{B}}$
$\rightarrow$ Bs nearly in rest in $\Upsilon(4 \mathrm{~S})$ frame


## Time-measurement <br> ```distance-measurement```

## Entangled system

 like in EPR exp.$$
\Upsilon(4 S)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(B^{0} \bar{B}^{0}-\bar{B}^{0} B^{0}\right)
$$



Belle II : $\Delta z \approx 130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Belle : $\Delta z \approx 200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

|  | $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ <br> energy <br> $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\mathrm{e}^{+}$ <br> energy <br> $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | Lumi |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| BaBar | 9.0 | 3.1 | $477 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| Belle | 8.0 | 3.5 | $866 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| Belle II | 7.0 | 4.0 | $50,000 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ <br> $\left(50 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}\right)$ |



## The $\Delta t$ Measurement

- At Belle II there is smaller boost, but better


## Pixel

 Vertex Detector (PXD) vertex resolution than at Belle

- We continuously measure the probability density for:
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(4 \mathrm{~S})$ velocity (boost vector)
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(4 \mathrm{~S})$ energy (CM energy)
$\rightarrow \Upsilon(4 \mathrm{~S})$ vertex position (beam spot)

Difference of vertex positions

Boost vector direction

$$
\beta \gamma=0.43 \rightarrow \beta \gamma=0.29
$$




$$
\Delta t=\frac{\left(\vec{v}_{\mathrm{CP}}-\vec{v}_{\mathrm{tag}}\right) \cdot \vec{n}_{\mathrm{boost}}}{\gamma^{*} \gamma \beta c}
$$

## Tracker Alignment

- Alignment is a data driven method to determine positions of sensors/wires of the Tracker
$\rightarrow$ Crucial for precise TD-CPV measurements
- Recently all the 14336 wires has been included into the alignment $\rightarrow$ 60,000 parameters (for Pixel Detector, Strip Detector \& Central Drift Chamber)

Monte Carlo


## Beam spot constraint

## Belle

- At Belle II the much higher peak luminosity is achieved by so-called nano-beam scheme
- The small beam size can be used to better constraint the kinematics of the event (e.g. improving $B_{\text {tag }}$ vertex precision and consequently $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ resolution)

$$
\sigma_{Y^{\prime}}=0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{X^{\prime}}=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \sigma_{Z^{\prime}}=240 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



## Beam spot calibration

- Based on $\mu \mu$ events with high-stat
- Calibrated every ~30min
- All parameters of the 3D Gaussian PDF measured (3 sizes + 3 angles)


## Flavor tagging

- Determination of the $\mathrm{B}_{\text {tag }}$ flavor using all the particles not belonging to signal B
- The |qr| is split into 7 bins to test the performance in hadronic $B$ decays data
- The efficiency evaluated from $B B / B \bar{B}$ asymmetries in all |qr| bins

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{eff}} & =\sum_{i \in|q r| \text { bins }} \epsilon_{i}\left(1-2 w_{i}\right)^{2} \\
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\text {Belle }} & =(30.1 \pm 0.4) \% \\
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\text {Belle II }} & =(33.8 \pm 3.9) \%
\end{aligned}
$$



Dilution factor: $r_{\text {FBDT }} \approx 1-2 w$ Flavor tag: $\quad q= \pm 1$

## Mixing measurement: $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{-} \pi^{+}$

- Measurement dominated by sys. unc. at Belle already with $140 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$
$\rightarrow$ Mixing measurement in hadronic B decays probes the TD analysis framework
- Both B mesons in the flavor eigenstate, one fully reconstructed

$$
\Delta m_{d}=(0.531 \pm 0.046(\text { stat. }) \pm 0.013(\text { syst. })) \mathrm{ps}^{-1}
$$




Results consistent with PDG, soon competitive with Belle/BaBar

## CPV measurement: $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \Psi \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$

- Performed on $35 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of data
- Both $\mathrm{J} / \Psi \rightarrow \mu \mu$ and $\mathrm{J} / \Psi \rightarrow$ ee analyzed
$S_{f}=\sin 2 \beta=0.55 \pm 0.21$ (stat.) $\pm 0.04$ (sys.)
BELLE2-NOTE-PL-2020-11



## PDG value:

$$
0.670 \pm 0.029 \text { (stat.) } \pm 0.013 \text { (sys.) }
$$



First CPV measurement consistent with PDG, more data needed

## Penguin-dominated processes

$B^{0} \rightarrow J / \psi K_{\mathrm{S}}$

$$
B^{0} \rightarrow\left(\phi, \eta^{\prime}\right) K_{\mathrm{S}}
$$

$(\sin 2 \beta)_{\mathrm{PDG}}=0.70 \pm 0.02$

Tree channels \& loop processes should give consistent $\beta$
$\rightarrow$ New particle in loop can shift the SM phase
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## Time-integrated $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{s}}$

- Belle II performed the time-integrated analyses of the $b \rightarrow s$ penguin decay channels $\rightarrow$ work on the time-dependent CPV analyses


## arXiv: 2104.06224

BR

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B^{0} \rightarrow\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right) K_{s}^{0} & (65 \pm 8 \pm 7) 10^{-6} \\
B^{0} \rightarrow\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \rho \gamma\right) K_{s}^{0} & (67 \pm 9 \pm 8) 10^{-6}
\end{array}
$$

PDG value: $(66 \pm 4) 10^{-6}$

$$
\mathrm{BR}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \phi K_{s}^{0}\right)=(5.9 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.7) 10^{-6}
$$

PDG value: $(7.3 \pm 0.7) 10^{-6}$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow \phi \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \\
\int \mathrm{Ldt}=34.6 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

- data
— total pdf
-     - . signal pdf
........ continuum pdf
arXiv: 2008.03873

Observed branching fractions compatible with the world average

## Conclusions

- The analysis of $35 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of Belle II data shows better vertex resolution \& comparable flavor tagging performance to Belle
$\rightarrow$ First CPV analysis in the $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ decays
- First time-integrated analysis of the rare penguin $\mathrm{B}^{0} \rightarrow\left(\eta^{\prime}, \phi\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ performed
$\rightarrow$ first step towards CPV measurement in the $b \rightarrow s$ decays
- With increasing data statistics the systematic unc. more and more matter
$\rightarrow$ Detector alignment


[^0]$\rightarrow$ Flavor tagging

## Belle2 \& SuperKEKB

- The target luminosity $6 * 10^{35} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (50 $\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$ in total) (continuous injection allows long runs)


Crucial for $\Delta t$ measurement



[^0]:    https://confluence.desy.de/display/BI/Belle+II+Luminosity

