



中国科学技术大学  
University of Science and Technology of China



# The Y states including Y(2175) at BESIII

Xuhong Li


University of Science and Technology of China

State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics



2021, 07-11 June

# Introduction

Rev. Mod. Phys., 2018, 90, 015003




- Since the discovery of  $J/\psi$ , a series of excited charmonium states ( $\psi(2S)$ ,  $\psi(3770)$ , ...)
- Many charmonium-like states are observed beyond the prediction of potential model
- A series of charmonium-like Y states (Y(4220), Y(4390), Y(4660)...) are found




# Some history of Y-states


Phys. Rev. D 86, 051102(R) (2012)




Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 252002 (2013)



Phys. Rev. D 91, 112007 (2015)







Phys. Rev. D 89, 111103(R) (2014)




- BaBar and Belle study  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$  by ISR,  $Y(4260)$  was observed
- BaBar and Belle study  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$  by ISR,  $Y(4360)$  and  $Y(4660)$  were observed

# Y(4220) and Y(4390)




- The Y(4260) observed by Belle and BaBar consists of Y(4220) and Y(4320)
- The Y(4360) observed by Belle and BaBar consists of Y(4220) and Y(4390)

# BESIII data sets for XYZ study




- BESIII can directly generate Y states ( $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ ) by  $e^+e^-$  annihilation
- Search for more possible Y states and more decay modes



above 3.8 GeV,  $L_{tot} \sim 22 \text{ fb}^{-1}$   
 29 energy points with  $L > 400 \text{ pb}^{-1}$



# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-}$



Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 102002 (2019)

$$\sigma_{\text{dress}}(m) = \left| c\sqrt{P(m)} + e^{i\phi_1} B_1(m)\sqrt{P(m)/P(M_1)} + e^{i\phi_2} B_2(m)\sqrt{P(m)/P(M_2)} \right|^2,$$


Parameter	Solution I	Solution II	Solution III	Solution IV
$c$ ( $\text{MeV}^{-3/2}$ )		$(6.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$		
$M_1$ ( $\text{MeV}/c^2$ )		$4228.6 \pm 4.1$		
$\Gamma_1$ ( $\text{MeV}$ )		$77.0 \pm 6.8$		
$M_2$ ( $\text{MeV}/c^2$ )		$4404.7 \pm 7.4$		
$\Gamma_2$ ( $\text{MeV}$ )		$191.9 \pm 13.0$		
$\Gamma_1^{\text{el}}$ (eV)	$77.4 \pm 10.1$	$8.6 \pm 1.6$	$99.5 \pm 14.6$	$11.1 \pm 2.3$
$\Gamma_2^{\text{el}}$ (eV)	$100.4 \pm 13.3$	$64.2 \pm 8.0$	$664.2 \pm 80.0$	$423.0 \pm 47.0$
$\phi_1$ (rad)	$-2.0 \pm 0.1$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$	$-0.9 \pm 0.1$	$-2.2 \pm 0.1$
$\phi_2$ (rad)	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	$-2.3 \pm 0.1$	$-1.9 \pm 0.1$

- Replace  $Y(4390)$  by other resonances
- Add one additional resonance  
 $Y(4260), Y(4320), Y(4360), \psi(4415)$

- $D^0$  is reconstructed by channel  $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ ,  $D^{*-}$  is reconstructed by recoiling  $\pi^+ D^0$
- Two resonant structures are in good agreement with  $Y(4220)$  and  $Y(4390)$
- The first observation of  $Y(4220)$  associated with an open-charm final states
- The parameters of second enhancement are strongly dependent on the model assumptions, and need further analysis to understand

# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^+D^-$ & $\pi^+\pi^-D^0\bar{D}^0$

Phys. Rev. D. 100, 032005 (2019)





- (a)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^+D^-$
- (b)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420)^0\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^0\bar{D}^0$
- (c)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420)^0\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow D^{*\mp}\bar{D}^0\pi^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^0\bar{D}^0$
- (d)  $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420)^+D^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^+D^-$

- **Double D tag method** to reconstruct D mesons:
  - $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+, K^-\pi^+\pi^0, K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-, K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
  - $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+, K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0, K_S^0\pi^+, K_S^0\pi^+\pi^0, K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$
- $\bar{D}^0$  and  $D^-$  mesons are reconstructed in charge conjugate final states

$\Upsilon(4390)$  or  $\psi(4415)$ ?

- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3770)$  is observed with  $5.2\sigma$  at 4.42 GeV
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_1(2420)^0\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^0\bar{D}^0$  is observed with  $7.4\sigma$  at 4.42 GeV
- Cross section line shape are shown

# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^+D^-$



$$\psi(3770) \rightarrow D^+D^-$$

$$D_1(2420)^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-D^+$$

$\Upsilon(4390)$  or  
 $\psi(4415)$ ?

Phys. Lett. B 804, 135395 (2020)

- $D^+$  is reconstructed by channel  $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ ,  $D^-$  is reconstructed by recoiling mass
- Clear signals of the  $D_1(2420)$  and  $\psi(3770)$
- The contributions of  $D_1(2420)^+D^-$  and  $\psi(3770)\pi^+\pi^-$  are determined using fits to the  $D^+$  recoil mass spectra
- Some indications of **enhanced cross sections** for between **4.36 and 4.42 GeV**





Fig. 2. (a), (b) and (c) correspond to the simultaneous fits to the  $RM(D^+)$  distributions at  $E_{c.m.} = 4358.3, 4415.6$  and  $4599.5$  MeV, respectively. The points with error bars are data, the (gray) shaded histograms are backgrounds, the (red) dash-dotted lines are  $D_1(2420)^+D^- + c.c. \rightarrow D^+D^-\pi^+\pi^-$  signal process and the (blue) dotted lines are  $\psi(3770)\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\pi^+\pi^-$ . The (black) solid lines are the result of fit.




# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$



$$M = (4220.4 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.3)\text{MeV}/c^2$$

$$\Gamma = (46.2 \pm 4.7 \pm 2.1)\text{MeV}$$



$$M = (4231.9 \pm 5.3 \pm 4.9)\text{MeV}/c^2$$

$$\Gamma = (41.2 \pm 16.0 \pm 16.4)\text{MeV}$$

Phys. Rev. D 102, 012009 (2020)




$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)} = 0.48 \pm 0.02$$

➤ The average ratio consistent with the isospin symmetry


The relationship of  $Y(4220)$  and  $Z_c^0(3900)$  is established for the first time

- Fit with two resonant structures, mass and width of  $Y(4320)$  are fixed to results of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$
- PWA is performed to extract the cross section of  $Z_c^0(3900)$
- $Y(4220)$  is confirmed in both  $\pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$  and  $\pi^0 Z_c^0(3900)$  line shape

# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\eta_c \pi^0 \gamma$



Phys. Rev. D 103, 032006 (2021)





$$M = (4236.3 \pm 8.9) \text{MeV}/c^2 \quad \Gamma = (70.0 \pm 32.1) \text{MeV}$$

- The process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  is observed for the first time ( $5.1\sigma$  @ 4.23 GeV)
- The cross sections of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^0 \gamma$  are found to be consistent with zero
- The Born cross section is consistent with the production via the **intermediate Y(4220)**

Decay	$B_i$ [%] [39]	Mode No. $i$
$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	01
$2(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$	$17.4 \pm 3.3$	02
$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$	$4.7 \pm 1.0$	03
$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$0.97 \pm 0.12$	04
$K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-$	$2.43 \pm 0.17$	05
$K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$0.69 \pm 0.11$	06
$K^+ K^- \pi^0$	$1.21 \pm 0.83$	07
$K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$2.75 \pm 0.74$	08
$2(\pi^+ \pi^-) \eta$	$4.4 \pm 1.3$	09
$\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	10
$K^+ K^- \eta$	$1.35 \pm 0.16$	11
$K^+ K^- K^+ K^-$	$0.146 \pm 0.030$	12
$K^+ K^- 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$0.75 \pm 0.24$	13
$\rho \bar{\rho}$	$0.150 \pm 0.016$	14
$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^+ \pi^-$	$0.53 \pm 0.18$	15
$\rho \bar{\rho} \pi^0$	$0.36 \pm 0.13$	16
Summed up	$\sum_i B_i = 41.34 \pm 3.93$	


$\sim 40\%$  of the total  $\eta_c$  branching fraction

# $\Phi(2170)/Y(2175)$




**Eur. Phys. J. C72, 2008**

$$e^+e^- \Rightarrow \begin{cases} Y(2175) \rightarrow \phi(1020)\pi^+\pi^- & \text{strange,} \\ Y(4260) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^- & \text{charm,} \\ \Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S)\pi^+\pi^- & \text{bottom,} \end{cases}$$



- $\phi(2170)$  as strange analogue of  $Y(4220)$
- The nature of  $\phi(2170)$  is still not fully understood

# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$





PRD 99, 032001 (2019)

➤  $1^{--}$  resonance observed in  $K^+K^-$  lineshape:

- Differs from the world average parameters of  $\phi(2170)$  by more than  $3\sigma$  in mass and more than  $2\sigma$  in width


• Interpreted as isoscalar :  $\omega^*$ ,  $\phi(2170)$

Or isovector :  $\rho(2150)$




# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta'$ and $\phi\eta$

PRD 102, 012008 (2019)



Parameter	Solution I	Solution II
$M_R$ (MeV/ $c^2$ )	$2177.5 \pm 4.8(\text{stat}) \pm 19.5(\text{syst})$	
$\Gamma_{\text{tot}}^R$ (MeV)	$149.0 \pm 15.6(\text{stat}) \pm 8.9(\text{syst})$	
$\mathcal{B}_R \Gamma_{e^+e^-}^R$ (eV)	$7.1 \pm 0.7(\text{stat}) \pm 0.7(\text{syst})$	
$\varphi$ (rad)	$3.13 \pm 2.01$	$-0.01 \pm 2.36$

arxiv:2104.05549




Parameter	Solution I	Solution II
$\chi^2/\text{n.d.f}$	86.8/97	
$a_0$	$-0.11^{+0.09}_{-0.22}$	$-0.24 \pm 0.58$
$a_1$	$1.91^{+0.61}_{-0.76}$	$2.54^{+2.86}_{-1.55}$
$\mathcal{B}_{\phi\eta}^{\phi(2170)} \Gamma_{e^+e^-}^{\phi(2170)}$	$0.24^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$ eV	$10.11^{+3.87}_{-3.13}$ eV
$m_{\phi(2170)}$	$2163.5 \pm 6.2$ MeV/ $c^2$	
$\Gamma_{\phi(2170)}$	$31.1^{+21.1}_{-11.6}$ MeV	
$\Phi_{\phi(2170)}$	$1.82^{+0.35}_{-0.31}$	$-2.92^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$

## ➤ $1^{--}$ resonance observed in $\phi\eta$ and $\phi\eta'$

- Isoscalar  $\omega^*$  is suppressed due to OZI rule
- Conflict with  $s\bar{s}g$  hybrid prediction on  $\mathcal{B}_{\phi\eta} / \mathcal{B}_{\phi\eta'}$



# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$



$$M = (2126.5 \pm 16.8 \pm 12.4)\text{MeV}/c^2 \quad \Gamma = (106.9 \pm 32.1 \pm 28.1)\text{MeV}$$

- Mass is consistent with the  $\phi(2170), \rho^*, \omega^*$
- Width is only consistent with  $\phi(2170)$  and different from others



Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 112001(2020)

Channel	$\mathcal{B}_r \Gamma_R^{e^+e^-}$ (eV)	$\phi$ (rad)	significance ( $\sigma$ )
$K^+(1460)K^-$	$3.0 \pm 3.8$	$5.6 \pm 1.5$	4.4
$K_1^+(1400)K^-$	Solution 1 $4.7 \pm 3.3$	$3.7 \pm 0.4$	4.8
	Solution 2 $98.8 \pm 7.8$	$4.5 \pm 0.3$	
$K_1^+(1270)K^-$	Solution 1 $7.6 \pm 3.7$	$4.0 \pm 0.2$	1.4
	Solution 2 $152.6 \pm 14.2$	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	
$K^{*+}(892)K^{*-}(892)$	$0.04 \pm 0.2$	$5.8 \pm 1.9$	1.2

- PWA for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0$  at multiple energy points
- Simultaneous fit is applied for 4 processes
- Cross section lineshapes for intermediate states

# Summary


- With the data collected by BESIII, lots of progress in study of Y states are made
- The nature of charmonium-like Y states and  $\phi(2170)$  are still unknown
- More results of BESIII are coming soon



BACKUP


# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{cJ}$

Phys. Rev. D 99, 091103(R) (2019)





- The study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{cJ}$  ( $J=0,1,2$ )
- $\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-/K^+K^-$ ,  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
- A resonant structures are observed in the fit to the cross section
  - $M = (4218.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 4.0)\text{MeV}/c^2$ ,
  - $\Gamma = (28.2 \pm 3.9 \pm 1.6)\text{MeV}$
- The **clear Y(4220)** can be seen

Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 092003 (2015)  
Phys. Rev. D 93, 011102(R) (2016)



# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 092001 (2017)




- Simultaneous fit to XYZ data(left) and R-scan data (right)
  - Two resonant structures are observed in the fit to the cross section
    - $M = (4222.0 \pm 3.1 \pm 1.4)\text{MeV}/c^2$ ,  $\Gamma = (44.1 \pm 4.3 \pm 2.0)\text{MeV}$
    - $M = (4320.0 \pm 10.4 \pm 7.0)\text{MeV}/c^2$ ,  $\Gamma = (101.4_{-19.7}^{+25.3} \pm 10.2)\text{MeV}$
  - The significance of the second resonance is  $7.6\sigma$
  - The Y(4220) agrees with the Y(4260)
  - The Y(4320) agrees with the Y(4360)
- Y(4260)  $\rightarrow$  Y(4220) + Y(4360) ?



# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 092002 (2017)




Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 242001 (2013)

TABLE I.  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$  cross sections (or upper limits at the 90% confidence level). The third errors are from the uncertainty in  $\mathcal{B}(h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c)$  [11].

$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	$\mathcal{L}$ (pb $^{-1}$ )	$n_{h_c}^{\text{obs}}$	$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c)$ (pb)
3.900	52.8	<2.3	<8.3
4.009	482.0	<13	<5.0
4.090	51.0	<6.0	<13
4.190	43.0	$8.8 \pm 4.9$	$17.7 \pm 9.8 \pm 1.6 \pm 2.8$
4.210	54.7	$21.7 \pm 5.9$	$34.8 \pm 9.5 \pm 3.2 \pm 5.5$
4.220	54.6	$26.6 \pm 6.8$	$41.9 \pm 10.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 6.6$
4.230	1090.0	$646 \pm 33$	$50.2 \pm 2.7 \pm 4.6 \pm 7.9$
4.245	56.0	$22.6 \pm 7.1$	$32.7 \pm 10.3 \pm 3.0 \pm 5.1$
4.260	826.8	$416 \pm 28$	$41.0 \pm 2.8 \pm 3.7 \pm 6.4$
4.310	44.9	$34.6 \pm 7.2$	$61.9 \pm 12.9 \pm 5.6 \pm 9.7$
4.360	544.5	$357 \pm 25$	$52.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 4.8 \pm 8.2$
4.390	55.1	$30.0 \pm 7.8$	$41.8 \pm 10.8 \pm 3.8 \pm 6.6$
4.420	44.7	$29.1 \pm 7.3$	$49.4 \pm 12.4 \pm 4.5 \pm 7.6$

- $h_c$  is reconstructed by  $h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c$ ,  $\eta_c$  is reconstructed by 16 exclusive hadronic final states
- The cross sections are found to be of the same order of magnitude as those of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
- Two resonant structures are observed in the fit to the cross section
  - $M = (4218.4_{-4.5}^{+5.5} \pm 0.9)\text{MeV}/c^2$ ,  $\Gamma = (66.0_{-8.3}^{+12.3} \pm 0.4)\text{MeV}$
  - $M = (4391.5_{-6.8}^{+6.3} \pm 1.0)\text{MeV}/c^2$ ,  $\Gamma = (139.5_{-20.6}^{+16.2} \pm 0.6)\text{MeV}$
- The Y(4220) here is consistent with state in  $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
- The Y(4390) is different from Y(4360) and  $\psi(4415)$

# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3686)$




Parameters	Solution I	Solution II
$M(Y4220)$ (MeV/ $c^2$ )	$4209.5 \pm 7.4$	
$\Gamma(Y(4220))$ (MeV)	$80.1 \pm 24.6$	
$\mathcal{B}\Gamma^{e^+e^-}(Y(4220))$ (eV)	$0.8 \pm 0.7$	$0.4 \pm 0.3$
$M(Y4390)$ (MeV/ $c^2$ )	$4383.8 \pm 4.2$	
$\Gamma(Y(4390))$ (MeV)	$84.2 \pm 12.5$	
$\mathcal{B}\Gamma^{e^+e^-}(Y(4390))$ (eV)	$3.6 \pm 1.5$	$2.7 \pm 1.0$
$\phi_1$ (rad)	$3.3 \pm 1.0$	$2.8 \pm 0.4$
$\phi_2$ (rad)	$0.8 \pm 0.9$	$4.7 \pm 0.1$

- The fit to the cross section shows contributions from two structures,  $Y(4220)+Y(4390)$
- The  $Y(4360)$  observed by Belle and BaBar consists of two structure.

# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$


Parameters	Solution 1	Solution 2	Solution 3
$M_1(\text{MeV}/c^2)$		4039(fixed)	
$\Gamma_1(\text{MeV})$		80(fixed)	
$\Gamma_1^{e^+e^-} Br_1$ (eV)	$1.5 \pm 0.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$7.0 \pm 0.6$
$\phi_1$ (rad)	$3.3 \pm 0.3$	$3.1 \pm 0.3$	$4.5 \pm 0.2$
$M_2(\text{MeV}/c^2)$		$4218.6 \pm 3.8$	
$\Gamma_2(\text{MeV})$		$82.0 \pm 5.7$	
$\Gamma_2^{e^+e^-} Br_2$ (eV)	$8.0 \pm 1.7$	$4.8 \pm 1.0$	$7.0 \pm 1.5$
$\phi_2$ (rad)	$4.2 \pm 0.4$	$3.6 \pm 0.3$	$2.9 \pm 0.3$
$M_3(\text{MeV}/c^2)$		$4382.0 \pm 13.3$	
$\Gamma_3(\text{MeV})$		$135.8 \pm 60.8$	
$\Gamma_3^{e^+e^-} Br_3$ (eV)	$3.4 \pm 2.2$	$1.5 \pm 1.0$	$1.7 \pm 1.1$
$\phi_3$ (rad)	$2.8 \pm 0.4$	$3.3 \pm 0.4$	$3.0 \pm 0.4$

- The new study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
- $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  channels are used for reconstruction
- Simultaneous fit is performed to the XYZ data and scan data
- The  $Y(4220)$  and  $Y(4390)$  are observed for the first time in the  $\eta J/\psi$  final states



# Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi$ , $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \psi(2S)$


Phys. Rev. D 101, 012008 (2020)



Parameter	Solution I	Solution II
$\Gamma_{ee}^{\psi(4160)} \mathcal{B}(\psi(4160) \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi)$ (eV)	$0.17 \pm 0.04$	$1.07 \pm 0.09$
$\Gamma_{ee}^{\psi(4260)} \mathcal{B}(\psi(4260) \rightarrow \eta' J/\psi)$ (eV)	$0.06 \pm 0.03$	$1.38 \pm 0.11$
$\phi$ (rad)	$-0.03 \pm 0.44$	$2.54 \pm 0.04$

- Can't describe by a single  $\psi(4160)$  or  $\psi(4260)$  (Fixed mass and width)
- A coherent sum of  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4260)$  provides a reasonable description of data
- The significance of  $\psi(4160)$  and  $Y(4260)$  are  $6.3\sigma$  and  $4.0\sigma$ , respectively

arXiv:2103.01480



- The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \psi(2S)$  process is observed for the first time ( $5\sigma$  for 14 data points)
- Impossible to extract the  $Y$  state due to limitation of statistics