Accelerator physics design of the CEPC collider ring

Yiwei Wang

IHEP

on behalf the CEPC Accelerator Physics Group

8th annual conference of the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics, 4 Dec. 2020

Outline

- Overview of CEPC
- History of collider rings
- Design requirement, key issues of CEPC collide ring
- CDR
- Beyond CDR
- Summary

Overview of the CEPC

- Double ring collider with 2 IPs
- Compatible with the geometry of SPPC



History of e+e- collider rings

• CEPC goal reach both the energy and luminosity frontier of the e+e- collider rings: $120 \text{GeV} \times 120 \text{GeV}$, $>3 \times 10^{34}/cm^2/s$

Location	Accelerator	Energy (GeV × GeV)	Luminosity (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Operation Period	
CERN	LEP	104.5×104.5	1×10^{32}	1989-2000	
	SuperKEKB	$7(e^{-}) \times 4(e^{+})$	8×10^{35} (*)	2018-present	
KEK	KEKB	$8 (e^{-}) \times 3.5 (e^{+})$	2.1×10^{34}	1998-2010	
	TRISTAN	32×32	3.7×10^{31}	1986-1995	
SLAC	PEP-II	$9(e^{-}) \times 3.1(e^{+})$	1.2×10^{34}	1999-2008	
SLAC	SLC	46.2×46.2	3×10^{30}	1988-1998	
DESY	DORIS	5.6×5.6	3.3×10^{31}	1974-1992	
Cornell	CESR	1.8×1.8 to	1.3×10^{33}	1979-2008	
Conten		5.5×5.5	1.3 × 10	1979-2008	
INFN	DAFNE	0.51×0.51	2.4×10^{32}	1999-present	
IHEP/China	BEPC &	1.5×1.5 to	1×10^{33}	1988-2005,	
IIILI7Ciiiia	BEPC-II	2.5×2.5	1 × 10	2008-present	
	VEPP-2000	0.2×0.2 to	1.2×10^{32}	2010-present	
BINP	V EI I -2000	1×1	1.2 × 10		
	VFPP_4M	1.5×1.5 to	5×10^{30}	1984 -present	
	VEFF-4WI 5×5		5 ~ 10	1904 -present	

e+e- colliders built and operated since 1991

(*) Design goal

Beam effects at energy frontier

• Radiation due to quadrupole • Strong beamstrahlung Sawtooth orbit Horizontal separation in LEP2 HP/UX version 8.14/7 29/05/96 10.28.09 C.015 Ē .0025 ĴFPII Pt .0020 stable particle P 0.01 .0015 stable particle Q .0010 0.005 .0005 0.0 0 -.0005 -.0010 -0.005 Ζ; -.0015 Z_{i+1} unstable particle R -0.01 -.0020 -.0025 z_t/m 15.0 20.0 0.0 5.0 10.0 25.0 30. -0.015 ----s (m) $\delta_{\epsilon}/p_{o}c = 0$. LEP design report, VIII *10**(3) Table name = TWISS J. Jowett, Conf. Proc. C9401174 (1994) 47-71 350 350 damp w/o quad damp w/ quad Δp/p₀ (%) damp w/ quad CEPC damp+fluc w/ duad 300 300 $\Delta p/p_0$ 250 250 Δx 200 200 DA_y/σ_y DA_y/σ_y ð. $\langle \overline{B_x}, \sqrt{B_y} (\sqrt{m})$ 150 150 100 100 50 50 (mm) × 1 -200 -0.02 -0.015 -0.01 -0.005 0 0.005 0.01 0.015 0.0 -0.015 -0.01 -0.02 -0.005 0 0.005 0.01 0.015 0.02 100 kn $\Delta P/P$ $\Delta P/P$

Luminosity frontier



 Local chromaticity correction for the interaction region: FFTB proposed for linear collider and 1st applied to circular collider on KEKB



V. Balakin et al, Vol 74, Num 13 PRL, 1995

KEKB DR

Design requirement of the CEPC collider ring

- SR power 30MW (50 MW upgradable), 100km, 2 IPs
- Crab waist collision
- Local chromaticity correction for the interaction region
- Non-interleaved sextupoles
- Correction of sawtooth orbit
- Shared cavities for two beam @ tt, Higgs and W
- Dual aperture dipole and quadrupole magnets
- Spin polarized beam @ Z
- Asymmetric interaction region
- Compatible of $t\bar{t}/H/W/Z$ modes
- Compatible with SPPC



Key issues of accelerator physics design for the CEPC collider ring

- Large enough dynamic aperture of lattice
- Low emittance tuning
- Machine detector interface
- On axis injection
- Beam collective instability

CDR parameter

by D. Wang et al

	Higgs	W	Ζ		
Number of IPs	2				
Beam energy (GeV)	120	80	45.5		
Circumference (km)		100			
Synchrotron radiation loss/turn (GeV)	1.73	0.34	0.036		
Crossing angle at IP (mrad)		16.5×2			
Piwinski angle	3.48	7.0	23.8		
Number of particles/bunch N_e (10 ¹⁰)	15.0	12.0	8.0		
Bunch number (bunch spacing)	242 (0.68µs)	1524 (0.21µs)	12000 (25ns+10% gap)		
Beam current (mA)	17.4	87.9	461.0		
Synchrotron radiation power /beam (MW)	30	30	16.5		
Bending radius (km)		10.7			
Momentum compact (10 ⁻⁵)		1.11			
β function at IP β_x^* / β_y^* (m)	0.36/0.0015	0.36/0.0015	0.2/0.001		
Emittance $\varepsilon_{\rm r}/\varepsilon_{\rm v}$ (nm)	1.21/0.0024	0.54/0.0016	0.18/0.0016		
Beam size at IP $\sigma_x / \sigma_v (\mu m)$	20.9/0.06	13.9/0.049	6.0/0.04		
Beam-beam parameters ξ_{v}/ξ_{v}	0.018/0.109	0.013/0.123	0.004/0.079		
RF voltage V_{RF} (GV)	2.17	0.47	0.10		
RF frequency f_{RF} (MHz) (harmonic)	650 (216816)				
Natural bunch length σ_z (mm)	2.72	2.98	2.42		
Bunch length σ_z (mm)	4.4	5.9	8.5		
HOM power/cavity (2 cell) (kw)	0.46	0.75	1.94		
Energy spread (%)	0.134	0.098	0.080		
Energy acceptance requirement (%)	1.35	0.90	0.49		
Energy acceptance by RF (%)	2.06	1.47	1.7		
Photon number due to beamstrahlung	0.082	0.050	0.023		
Beamstruhlung lifetime /quantum lifetime* (min)	80/80	>400			
Lifetime (hour)	0.43	1.4	2.5		
F (hour glass)	0.89	0.94	0.99		
Luminosity/IP L (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	3	10	32		

CDR lattice of CEPC collider ring





RF region





Injection region



4/Dec/2020

Dynamic aperture with errors

Robust correction of error effects

IR=50µm

Component	$\Delta x (mm)$	$\Delta y (mm)$	$\Delta \theta_{z}$ (mrad)	Field error
Dipole	0.10	0.10	0.1	0.01%
Arc Quadrupole	0.10	0.10	0.1	0.02%
IR Quadrupole	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Sextupole	0.10	0.10	0.1	

IR=100μm

Component	$\Delta x (mm)$	Δy (mm)	$\Delta \theta_{z}$ (mrad)	Field error
Dipole	0.10	0.10	0.1	0.01%
Arc Quadrupole	0.10	0.10	0.1	0.02%
IR Quadrupole	0.10	0.10	0.10	
Sextupole	0.10	0.10	0.1	







by B. Wang, Y. Wei

Key parameters of CDR scheme

by C. Yu, Y. Wang, S. Bai, Y. Zhu, D. Wang et al

- L*=2.2m, θc =33mrad, βx *=0.36m, βy *=1.5mm, Emittance=1.2nm
 - Strength requirements of anti-solenoids $B_z \sim 7.2T$
 - Two-in-one type SC quadrupole coils (Peak field 3.8T & 136T/m)



Key parameters of high luminosity scheme

- L*=1.9m, θc =33mrad, βx *=0.33m, βy *=1.0mm, Emittance=0.68nm
 - Strength requirements of anti-solenoids $B_z \sim 7.2T$
 - Two-in-one type SC quadrupole coils (Peak field 3.8T & 141T/m) with room temperature vacuum chamber & Iron yoke

Lower emittance and smaller beam pipe aperture within the region of SCQ 8th annual conference of CCEPP, Yiwei Wang

Change of final doublet cryostat

Anti-solenoid coils **CDR OF1** Coils Beam lines of e+ and e-0.264m Cos0=0.993 IP 0.5m **QD0** Coils 1.11m 4.89m Anti-solenoid coils Beam lines of e+ and e-**High luminosity** 0.264m Cos0=0.993 IP 0.5m Q1a Q1b 1.11m 5.2 m

For the part of cryostat inside the detector, the shape and size stay the same as CDR. The detector design won't be affected.

by S. Bai, Y. Zhu, H. Wang et al



- With gravity, deformation=190µm
- Installation
- Vacuum situation at the IP

We hope the length of cryostat to be as shorter as possible and as

Change of IP chamber

by H. Wang, Y. Liu et al



Be pipe: 28mm, SCQ Beam pipe:20mm



Be pipe: 28mm, Beam pipe:17mm





8th annual conference of CCEPP, Yiwei Wang

Change of HOM deposition nearby the IP

by Y. Liu et al

HOM Power	CI Be pipe: 28mm S	OR SCQ Pipe:20mm	High luminosity Be pipe: 28mm SCQ Pipe:17mm		
distribution	Higgs	Z	Higgs	Z	
Be pipe	2.66w	40.74 w	3.46w	52.1w	
Al	21.32w	317.2w	22.62w	335.4w	
Y-shape crotch	12.9w	191.5w	13.4w	199w	
Total power	36.88w	549.5w	39.48w	586.5w	

Shrinking the SCQ pipe aperture from 20mm to 17mm, the power deposition will increase 10% which is acceptable.

Change of final focusing quadrupole coils

by S. Bai, Y. Zhu et al

Coil	Central field gradient (T/m)	Magnetic length (m)	Width of GFR (mm)	Minimal distance between two aperture beam lines (mm)
Q1a	141	1.21	15.21	62.71
Q1b	84.7	1.21	17.92	105.28
Q2	94.8	1.5	24.14	155.11

- Q1a is the most challenging.
- The design of quadrupoles and antisolenoid is similar to that in CDR.
- Iron yoke is adopted to eliminate the field crosstalk between the two apertures.



High luminosity scheme at Higgs energy Change of ARC region

- Shorter cell length to squeeze the emittance from CDR 1.2nm to 0.68nm
- Optimization of the quadrupole radiation effect
 - Interaction region: longer Q1a quadrupoles, from 2m to 2.5m
 - ARC region: longer quadrupoles, from 2m to 3m
- Reduction of dynamic aperture requirement from injection
 - Straight section region: larger βx at injection point
- Optimization of high order chromaticity for arc region
- Better correction of energy dependent aberration

	Higgs (CDR)	Higgs (high luminosity)
Number of IPs	2	2
Beam energy (GeV)	120	120
Circumference (km)	100	100
Synchrotron radiation loss/turn (GeV)	1.73	1.8
Crossing angle at IP (mrad)	16.5×2	16.5
Piwinski angle	3.48	4.87
Number of particles/bunch N_e (10 ¹⁰)	15.0	16.3
Bunch number (bunch spacing)	242 (0.68µs)	214
Beam current (mA)	17.4	16.8
Synchrotron radiation power /beam (MW)	30	30
Bending radius (km)	10.7	10.2
Momentum compact (10 ⁻⁵)	1.11	7.34
β function at IP $\beta_x * / \beta_y * (m)$	0.36/0.0015	0.33/0.001
Emittance e_x/e_v (nm)	1.21/0.0024	0.68/0.0014
Beam size at IP $s_x/s_v(\mu m)$	20.9/0.06	15.0/0.037
Beam-beam parameters ξ_x/ξ_y	0.018/0.109	0.018/0.115
RF voltage V_{RF} (GV)	2.17	2.27
RF frequency f_{RF} (MHz) (harmonic)	650 (216816)	650 (217500)
Natural bunch length σ_z (mm)	2.72	2.25
Bunch length σ_{z} (mm)	4.4	4.42
HOM power/cavity (2 cell) (kw)	0.46	0.48
Energy spread (%)	0.134	0.19
Energy acceptance requirement (%)	1.35	1.7
Energy acceptance by RF (%)	2.06	2.5
Beamstruhlung lifetime /quantum lifetime*	80/80	41
Lifetime (hour)	0.43	21
F (hour glass)	0.89	0.88
Luminosity/IP L (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	2.93	5.0

by D. Wang et al

Dynamic aperture

by J. Wu, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang

Dynamic aperture optimization with diffusion map analysis at CEPC using differential evolution algorithm



Achieved (without errors): $16\sigma_x \times 32\sigma_y \times 1.9\%$ Goal (with errors): $8\sigma_x \times 15\sigma_y \times 1.7\%$ Enough margin to start error correction study

8th annual conference of CCEPP, Yiwei Wang



by D. Wang



- Standard TME cells are chosen for lower booster emittance to relax the DA requirement of collider ring.
- **1.4nm** is expected (CDR: 3.6nm)



In order to relax the DA requirement of booster the beam emittance of Linac should be controlled as **10 nm** with the damping ring of energy 1.1GeV. (CDR: 40nm)

SAS	T	AS	
Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Designed
e ⁻ /e ⁺ beam energy	E_{e}/E_{e+}	GeV	10
Repetition rate	f_{rep}	Hz	100
o- /o+ hunch population	N_{e}/N_{e+}		$>9.4\times10^9$ / $>9.4\times10^9$
e /e ⁺ bunch population		nC	>1.5
Energy spread (e ⁻ /e ⁺)	Σ_{e}		$< 2 \times 10^{-3} / < 2 \times 10^{-3}$
Emittance (e ⁻ /e ⁺)	\mathcal{E}_r	nm∙ rad	10
Bunch length (e^{-}/e^{+})	Σ_l	mm	1/1
e- beam energy on Target		GeV	4
e ⁻ bunch charge on Target		nC	10

Compatible schemes base on high luminosity higgs scheme



Z mode: Q1a=Vertical focusing quadrupole, Q1b+Q2=Horizontal focusing quadrupole W & H mode: Q1a+Q1b=Vertical focusing quadrupole, Q2=Horizontal focusing quadrupole $t\bar{t}$ mode: Q1a+Q1b+Q2=Vertical focusing quadrupole

High luminosity Z: HOM at SCQ Pipe will be one of the dominant constraint. Replacing the whole cryostat is the best option. The shape and size of cryostat stay the same and the inner aperture of SCQ beam pipe can be made large enough since Z beam energy is low enough.

4/Dec/2020

Compatible schemes base on high luminosity higgs scheme

by J. Zhai, Y. Wang



高频腔 bypass 升级方案

Stage 1:Higgs优先运行,中低亮度Z。高频布局和参数与CDR相同,加长中段。
Stage 2:高亮度Z升级。安装强流超导腔模组。Bypass Higgs腔(方案关键点)。
Stage 3:ttbar升级。安装铌三锡超导腔模组,显著降低低温造价和功耗。

解决CEPC亮度提升的瓶颈,设计理念和方案与FCC高频系统不同

- 确保Higgs优先运行,降低初期造价,逐步增加技术难度。
- 实现各能量最高亮度、释放机器潜力的同时,模式可切换、灵活运行。

Spin Polarization

by W. Xia, Z. Duan, Y. Wang

• 基于螺线管的自旋旋转器的设计



螺线管解耦合结构

Solenoids		Quadrupoles		Drifts	
Length (m)	Field strength (T)	$\frac{\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x}}{(m^{-2})}$	Length (m)	Length (m)	Total Length (m)
1.48895	8	Q1: -0.83 Q2: 1.35 Q3: -0.90 Q4: -0.82	0.8	D1: 0.2 D2: 0.2 D3: 0.2 D4: 0.1	9.97796

• 自旋旋转器在储存环中的分布

横向极化束流在进入对撞点之前需要在自旋旋转器 的作用下偏转为纵向,经过对撞点之后,在另一个 自旋旋转器的作用下偏转回横向。



Beam dump

by X. H. Cui, Z. J. Ma et al

通过调节冲击磁铁的强度和频率可以使得正负电子束流在垃圾桶截面尽量分布均匀,从而降低垃圾桶截面上的粒子密度和温度。



Beam-beam effects

by Y. Zhang, N. Wang et al

- 系统地模拟研究了对撞中的轫致辐射对 X-Z 不稳定性的影响,独立的纵向尾场对 X-Z 不稳定性的影响,以及完全自治的模拟研究(即同时考虑轫致辐射、纵向尾场)
- 模拟研究了bootstrapping注入过程中的X-Z 不稳定性情况,对比了考虑和不考虑纵向尾场效应的差别。结果提示在**注入过程中可能需要对水平工作点进行调制**。



Bootstrapping 注入过程中的水平尺寸增长速度随工作点的变化。

Summary

- The high luminosity scheme of CEPC collider ring with 5×10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹ & 30MW has been designed. It doesn't meet strong limitation and also won't affect the current mechanical design of detector.
- More detailed studies will be done. DA optimization with errors, detector background evaluation, etc.