Angularity in Deep-Inelastic Scattering



[2106.xxxx]

Daekyoung Kang

In collaboration with Tanmay Maji, Jiawei Zhu

Fudan University, IMP

微扰量子场论研讨会, Shanghai, May 15, 2021

QCD and hadronic jet

- QCD is dominated in collider experiments: Belle II, BESIII, ATLAS, CMS, sPHENIX, future EIC
- **q**, g turns into a group of hadrons travelling along the same direction
- \Box jets ~ q, g at short distances
- collinear and soft modes dominate jets

$$p_c = (\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)Q$$

large energy, small angle

 $p_s = (\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)Q$

small energy, wide angle



 hard, collinear, and soft parts by QCD factorization, or EFT factorization using soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)

Jet physics applications

- precision test such as α_s, heavy-quark mass determinations using event shape observables defined as a function of final-state momenta ex) thrust, broadening, angularity, EEC and more
- probe of nucleon structure, hot/cold nuclear medium: di-jet asymmetry, energy loss, jet-quenching, TMD-related jet observables and more







Event shape: thrust in e^+e^-

- □ Event shapes characterize a geometric feature of the event.
- □ Thrust is small for di-jet events while is large for multi-jet events
- □ One of most precisely studied event shapes: N³LL'+N³LO





Angularity: generalized version of thrust

$$\tau_a = \frac{1}{Q_N} \sum_i |p_\perp^i| e^{-|\eta_i|(1-a)}$$

[C. Berger, T. Kucs, G. Sterman 0303051]

- \Box a class of observables continuous parameter 'a' ranging between $-\infty$ and 2
- \Box the collinear with large rapidity is sensitive to *a* while the soft is less sensitive
- reducing to well-known observables: thrust and broadening



- □ In e+e-, SCET factorization (a < 1) [Bauer, Fleming, Lee, Sterman '08] and resummation [Hornig, Lee, Ovaneyan '09, Bell, Hornig, Lee, Talbert '18], factorization in $a \rightarrow 1$ region [Budhraja, Jain, Procura '19]
- jet angularity [Hornig, Makris, Mehen '16], various axes [Larkoski, Neill, Thaler '14], double-differential ang
 [Procura, Waalewjin, Zeune '18], jet angularity in DIS [Z. Kang, K. Lee, Ringer '18]



 $\Omega_1(2 \text{ GeV})$

0.105 0.110 0.115 0.120 0.095

 $2_1(2 \text{ GeV})$

0.105 0.110 0.115 0.120

 $\alpha_s(m_Z)$

 $\substack{\Omega_1(2 \,\, {\rm GeV}) \\ 90 \,\, 80 \,\, 80 \,\, }$

0.095 0.100 0.105 0.110 0.115

 $\alpha_s(m_Z)$

6

 $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1135 \pm 0.0011$

Some Recent tension esufrom thrust VS lattice





- □ this tension lasts for a decay and no clear resolution.
- new data in future EIC and new determination in DIS may shed light

• $\alpha_s(m_Z)$

• on this issue.

Why angularity?

- \Box correlations between α_s and nonperturbative (NP) effect
- □ leading NP effect shifts the distribution by NP parameter Ω_1 . Ω_1 is universal for angularities and C parameter.

$$d\sigma(\tau_a) \rightarrow d\sigma\left(\tau_a - \frac{2}{1-a}\frac{\Omega_1}{Q}\right)$$



8

[Lee, Sterman, 0611061]

 \Box Angularities with various 'a' and Q provides better control on the degeneracies



N-jettiness: thrust for N-jet event

$$\tau_N = \frac{2}{Q^2} \sum_i \min\{q_B \cdot p_i, q_1 \cdot p_i, \dots, q_N \cdot p_i\}$$

- \Box applicable for AB colliders A,B={e,p} and for N jets
- min. groups particles into N-jet and beam regions
- \Box reduce to thrust when N=1 and AB=ee



N-jet limit: $\tau_N \rightarrow 0$

Angularity for DIS: one final jet + ISR from beam

$$\tau_a = \frac{2}{Q^2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_B} (q_B \cdot p_i) \left(\frac{q_B \cdot p_i}{q_J \cdot p_i}\right)^{-a/2} + \frac{2}{Q^2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_J} (q_J \cdot p_i) \left(\frac{q_J \cdot p_i}{q_B \cdot p_i}\right)^{-a/2}$$

- \Box weighted by angularity parameter a
- \Box axes q_B , q_I group particles into beam and jet regions

 $q_B = x P\,, \qquad q_J = (ert ec P_J ert\,, ec P_J)\,,$

 \Box reduces to 1-jettiness in DIS when a = 0

 $\frac{q_B}{P_B} = \frac{H_B}{P_J} + \frac{q_J}{q_J}$

jet

SCET factorization for DIS angularity

 \Box small τ_a region is dominated by soft, jet-collinear, and beam-collinear modes



Angularity beam function at $O(\alpha_s)$



11

- □ Hard, Jet, Soft functions known up to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ from e^+e^- angularity while angularity beam function was not studied before
- One can literally compute one-loop diagrams



 \Box We use crossing symmetry of splitting function from $k^* \rightarrow ij$ [Ritzmann, Waalewijin 1407.3272]



$$P_{i \to k^* j}(2p_i \cdot p_j, x) = (-1)^{\Delta_f} P_{k^* \to ij}(-2p_i \cdot p_j, 1/x)$$

phase-space integral is one-body and simple

□ the crossing breaks down at 3-loops. a recovery recipe recently is found. [H. Chen, T-Z.Yang, H.X. Zhu,Y.J. Zhu 2006.10534]

()

Angularity beam function at $O(\alpha_s)$



 \Box In Laplace space with $\nu_a \leftrightarrow \tau_a$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_q^{\mathrm{bare}} = rac{lpha_s C_F}{2\pi} rac{2}{2-a} \left(1-\epsilon^2 rac{\pi^2}{12}
ight) \Gamma\left[-rac{2\epsilon}{2-a}
ight] \left(rac{Q
u_a^{-rac{1}{2-a}}}{\mu}
ight)^{-2\epsilon} h_q(z,\epsilon) \, .$$

$$\widetilde{B}_{q/P}(\nu, x, \mu) = \sum_{j=q,g} \widetilde{I}_{qj}(\nu, x) \otimes f_j(x) \,.$$

$$\widetilde{I}_{qj}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \left[\left(-j_B \kappa_B \frac{\Gamma_0}{2} L_B^2 - \gamma_0^B L_B \right) \,\mathbb{1} + 4C_{qj} P_{qj}(z) L_B + \widetilde{c}_1^{qj}(z) \right] \qquad L_B = \ln \left[\frac{(\nu_a e^{\gamma_E})^{-\frac{1}{2-a}} Q}{\mu} \right]$$

- anomalous dim and RGE are same as those of (fragmenting) jet function.
 All log terms are known.
- \Box constant terms $\tilde{c}_1(z, a)$ are newly obtained

$$\tilde{c}_{1}^{qq}(z) = 2C_{F} \left[\frac{2(1-a)}{2-a} (1+z^{2}) \mathcal{L}_{1}(1-z) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \frac{a(4-a)}{(2-a)(1-a)} \mathcal{L}_{-1}(1-z) \right]$$

$$\tilde{c}_{1}^{qg}(z) = 2T_{F} \left[1 - P_{qg}(z) + \frac{2(1-a)}{2-a} P_{qg}(z) \log\left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right) \right]$$

$$+ 1 - z - \frac{2(1-a)}{2-a} \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z} \log z \right]$$

$$12$$

Comparison to Fragmenting Jet Function

hadron-fragmenting jet function are closely related with the beam function in their crossing relations and in their factorization structures

$$\widetilde{B}_{q/P}(\nu, x, \mu) = \sum_{j=q,g} \widetilde{I}_{qj}(\nu, x) \otimes f_j(x) \,. \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^h_q(\nu_a, x, \mu) = \sum_{j=q,g} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{qj}(\nu_a, x) \otimes D^h_j(x)$$

[Bain, Dai, Hornig, Hornig, Leibovich, Makris '16]

□ all terms in the kernels are the same except for the constant term

$$\Delta_{qq}^{(1)}(a,z) = \mathcal{I}_{qq}^{(1)} - \mathcal{J}_{qq}^{(1)}$$

$$= \left[-\frac{2(1-a)}{2-a} \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \log z \right] - \left[-\frac{2}{2-a} (1+z^2) \mathcal{L}_0(1-z) \log \left(1 + \left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right)^{(1-a)}\right) \right]$$

$$\Delta_{qg}^{(1)}(a,z) = \mathcal{I}_{qg}^{(1)} - \mathcal{J}_{qg}^{(1)}$$

$$= \left[\frac{2(1-a)}{2-a} P_{qg}(z) \log \left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right) \right] - \left[\frac{2}{2-a} P_{qg}(z) \log \left(\frac{z^{(1-a)}(1-z)^{(1-a)}}{z^{(1-a)} + (1-z)^{(1-a)}} \right) \right]$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$

$$0.10$$



Resummation by RG evolution

□ RG evolution in Laplace space

similar to H,J,S

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \widetilde{B}(\mu) = \gamma_B(\mu) \widetilde{B}(\mu) \implies \widetilde{B}(\mu) \implies \widetilde{B}(\mu) = \widetilde{B}(\mu_b) e^{K(\mu_b,\mu) - \eta(\mu_b,\mu)L_B} L = \ln(\mu/\mu_J) L = \ln(\mu/\mu_J)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_q(\nu) = \sigma_0 H_q(Q^2, \mu) \tilde{B}_q(\nu, \mu) \tilde{J}_q(\nu, \mu) \tilde{S}(\nu, \mu) \,,$$



	$\Gamma[\alpha_s]$	$\gamma[\alpha_s]$	$\beta[\alpha_s]$	$\{H, J,$	B, S	δ $[\alpha_s]$	
LL	N_s	1	α_s		1		
NLL	8. 8	Q	₹ No		1		
NNLL	γ_s^3	α_s^2	O_s^3	\	α_s	this w	ork
N ³ LL	α_s^4	α_s^3	γ_s^A		α_s^2	$c_B^{(2)}$ m	issing
$\gamma_{LS}^{(3)}$ missing							15

Preliminary results for DIS angularity



□ fixed-order NLO are shown to show the effect of resummation

 \Box with 'a' decreasing, distribution falls faster and its uncertainty tends to reduces. ¹⁶

Preliminary results for DIS angularity



- uncertainty seems to saturate as a decreases because anomalous dimension and constant terms approach to fixed value
- □ uncertainty reduces with x decreasing due to PDF

Summary and outlook

- □ A decay+ lasting tension (>3 sigma) in values of α_s between thrust w/ SCET and lattice results
- New data from EIC offers an independent test that may shed a light on this tension.
- DIS angularity with a continuous parameter '*a*' provides various distributions useful to disentangle α_s from non-perturbative effect.
- □ New results:
 - □ factorization in a < 1 and angularity beam function at $\mathbf{0}(\alpha_s)$
 - □ resummed predictions at NNLL
 - \Box uncertainties sensitive to the value of a
- \Box our result valid a < 1 (SCET_I), need to study $a \sim 1$ (SCET_{II}) region
- **Fixed-order results** for large τ_a region and for scale profile setting
- □ For higher resummation, 2-loop constant of beam function and 3-loop $\gamma_{S,j}^{18}$



Angularity: soft and collinear modes

-C. F. Berger, T. Kucs and G. F. Sterman' 2003

$$\tau_a = \frac{2}{Q} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{X}} |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^i| \ e^{-|\eta_i|(1-\mathbf{a})}$$

 angularity change much for collinear particles with large rapidity, while less from soft particles with small rapidity



20



NNLL predictions



SCET factorization for jets in ep



H quarks created at SD, $H_{ee}(Q^2) \leftrightarrow H_{ep}(-Q^2)$ B, J universal for ee, ep and S universal up to α_s^2 Universality in EFT, Not in QCD!

Resummed results

