forward hadron production



刘晓辉

Kang, Liu, 1910.10166 Liu, Kang, XL, 2004.11990



A Landscape of the strong interaction



- Highly dense region: gluon splittings and recombinations.
 - non-linear evolution, gluon saturation

At high density, gluon wave functions start to overlap and recombinations are likely to happen, which leads to the gluon saturation

$$\rho \sigma \sim 1 \sim \frac{x G(x)}{\pi R_A^2} \frac{\alpha_s}{Q_s^2}$$
$$\rightarrow Q_s^2(x) \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi R_A^2} x G(x) \sim x^{-\lambda}$$



2/26

Precision matters







$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{(1)} \propto \int^{1} \mathrm{d}z \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} P^{(1)}_{i \to j}(z) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{z^{2}} P^{(1)}_{j \to k}(z) - P^{(1)}_{i \to j}(z) \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{p_{\perp}^{2}} + \dots \right) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{1-z} \kappa^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{A} - \alpha_{s} \left[\mathcal{F}_{A} \right]^{2} }_{1-z} + \dots$$



 $z \to 1, y \to \infty$

NLO:

- Demonstrate the CGC factorization at NLO
- Rapidity divergence leads to the BK evolution

Chirilli, Xiao, Feng, 2012

- Rapidity divergence BK evolution kernel

Negative cross section problem

Breakdown of CGC?

$$\alpha_s(p_T) \frac{p_T}{\sqrt{s}} \log \left[\frac{p_T}{\sqrt{s}}\right] \sim \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-2}\right)$$

Or breakdown of the perturbation series?

Large source ?



Watanabe, Xiao, Yuan and Zaslavsky 1505.05183



Large source ? Logs to worry about

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}}{\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}^{2}p_{\perp}'} &\propto -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{2}P_{i\rightarrow i}(z)\ln\frac{r_{\perp}^{2}\mu^{2}}{c_{0}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{z^{2}}e^{i\frac{1-z}{z}}p_{\perp}'\cdot r_{\perp}}\right) \\ &-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{a}\mathbf{T}_{j}^{a'}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{\perp}}{\pi}\left\{\frac{1}{z}\tilde{P}_{i\rightarrow i}(z)e^{i\frac{1-z}{z}}p_{\perp}'\cdot r_{\perp}'}\frac{r_{\perp}'\cdot r_{\perp}''}{r_{\perp}'^{2}}r_{\perp}''^{2}}\right. \quad \text{resummed,} \\ &+\delta(1-z)\ln\frac{X_{f}}{X_{A}}\left[\frac{r_{\perp}^{2}}{r_{\perp}'^{2}}r_{\perp}''^{2}}\right]_{+}\right\}W_{aa'}(x_{\perp})+\dots \quad \text{Kang,} \\ &X_{f}\equiv\nu/P_{A} \quad \text{resummed by BK} \quad \tilde{P}\sim\frac{2}{(1-z)!} \end{aligned}$$



down the fixed order results.



Large source ? Logs to worry about



Duclou, Lappi, Zhu, 2017 Liu, Ma, Chao, 2019, Kang, Liu 2019, Kang, Liu, Liu, 2020 + ···



 $\lambda_{long} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}} \to \infty$ Crucial!! What we should expect from a perturbative calculation $\lambda_{short} \sim \frac{1}{O} \rightarrow 0$ EFT point of view:

9

$$\sigma = \sum_{i} \int dx \,\hat{\sigma}_{i}(x) f_{i/P}(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{Q}\right)$$



long ~ $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

evaluate the $\left(\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{(0)} + \alpha_{s} \right)^{1 - \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/Q} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{1 - \theta} \dots \right) f_{i/P}(x, \Lambda_{\rm QCD})$ distribution $\sigma \propto$ Large logs, mixing with nonperturbative objects







Additional perturbative re-factorization of the short distance coefficient is required to







$$\lambda \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p_{\perp}}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \ll 1$$

$$z \sim 1 - z \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Away from the threshold

Modes contribute to p_{\perp}

$$(+, \bot, -)$$

$$P_c = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(1, \lambda, \lambda^2)$$

forward rapidity

$$P_{\bar{c}} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (\lambda^2, \lambda, 1)$$

$$q \sim \Delta P_c \sim \Delta P_{\bar{c}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (\lambda^2, \lambda, \lambda^2) \cdot \frac{Small x}{Off-shell Glauber m}$$
Kang, XL, 1910.10166









$$\lambda \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p_{\perp}}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \ll 1$$

 $(+, \perp, -)$

$$z \sim 1 - z \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Away from the threshold

Modes contribute to p_{\perp}

Off-shell Glauber mode

Kang, XL, 1910.10166







Collinear Interactions $\bar{n} \cdot A = 0$











rapidity regulator Chiu, et al. 2011

$$= -g_{s}\mathbf{T}^{a}\frac{n^{\alpha}}{n\cdot k}\left(\left(\frac{\nu}{k_{\perp}}\right)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}e^{-\frac{\eta}{2}|y|}\right)$$

Nothing but eikonal approximation

$$= i \frac{g_s \mathbf{T}^b}{(4\pi)^{1-\epsilon}} \mu^{2\epsilon} \nu^{\frac{\eta}{2}} e^{-\frac{\eta}{2}|y|} \frac{\Gamma[1-\epsilon-\eta/4]}{\Gamma[1+\eta/4]} \int dx_{\perp} r_{\perp}'^{\alpha} \left[\frac{r_{\perp}'^2}{4}\right]^{-1+\epsilon+\eta/4} W_{ab}$$

Can be viewed as additional sources of soft radiation

Kang, XL, 1910.10166



 $f_{,b}(x_{\perp})e^{ik_{\perp}\cdot x_{\perp}}$



Collinear contribution

$$-\delta(1-z)\left(\frac{1}{\eta}+\ln\frac{\nu}{p^+}\right)\left(\kappa^{(1)}\otimes\mathcal{F}-\alpha_s[\mathcal{F}]^2\right)+\dots$$

• Reproduce exactly the NLO using LFPT

- Regulator to turn rapidity divergence to pole (forward)
- Generate the rapidity scale for the collinear sector, $\nu_J \sim p^+$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}y_{h}\mathrm{d}^{2}p_{h\perp}} = \sum_{i,j=g,q} \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\xi^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} zx f_{i/P}(x,\mu) D_{h/j}(\xi,\mu)$$

$$\times \int \mathrm{d}^{2}b_{\perp}\mathrm{d}^{2}b'_{\perp} e^{ip'_{\perp}\cdot r_{\perp}}$$

$$\times \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right| \mathcal{J}(z,\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \mathcal{S}(\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \right| \mathcal{M}_{0}(b_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right| \mathcal{J}(z,\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \mathcal{S}(\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right| \mathcal{J}(z,\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \mathcal{S}(\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_$$



Soft contribution

$$\delta(1-z)\left(\frac{2}{\eta} + \ln\frac{\nu^2}{p_{\perp}^2}\right) \left(\kappa^{(1)} \otimes \mathscr{F} - \alpha_s[\mathscr{F}]^2\right) \\ + \delta(1-z)\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{N_C}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\ln(r_{\perp}'^2 p_{\perp}^2/c_0^2)}{r_{\perp}'^2} + \frac{\ln(r_{\perp}''^2 p_{\perp}^2/c_0^2)}{r_{\perp}''^2} + \frac{2r_{\perp}' \cdot r_{\perp}''}{r_{\perp}'^2 r_{\perp}''^2} \ln\frac{r_{\perp}''r_{\perp}''}{c_0^2}\right)$$

- Poles (forward + backward)
- Reproduce the kinematic constraints, automatically arises
- Rapidity scale for the soft sector, $\nu_S \sim p_{\perp}$

 $\mathcal{F} - \alpha_s[\mathcal{F}]^2 + \dots$

- Remaining rap. pole to be absorbed (cancelled) by the small-x distribution
- Rap. scale arises naturally, $\nu \sim p_{\perp}^2/p^+ \sim x_A P_A \sim e^{-Y_A}$
- Reproduce the BK equation

See also, Liu, Ma, Chao 1909.02370

Kang, XL, 1910.10166





Collinear contribution

$$-\delta(1-z)\left(\frac{1}{\eta}+\ln\frac{\nu}{p^+}\right)\left(\kappa^{(1)}\otimes\mathcal{F}-\alpha_s[\mathcal{F}]^2\right)+\dots$$

• Reproduce exactly the NLO using LFPT

- Regulator to turn rapidity divergence to pole (forward)
- Generate the rapidity scale for the collinear sector, $\nu_J \sim p^+$

$$\tilde{\sigma} \sim \delta(1-z) \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + \ln \frac{\nu}{p_{\perp}^2/p^+} \right) \left(\kappa^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F} - \alpha_s [\mathcal{F}]^2 \right) + \dots$$
• Reproduce the kinematic constraints of the solution of the solu



Soft contribution

$$\delta(1-z)\left(\frac{2}{\eta} + \ln\frac{\nu^2}{p_{\perp}^2}\right) \left(\kappa^{(1)} \otimes \mathscr{F} - \alpha_s[\mathscr{F}]^2\right) \\ + \delta(1-z)\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{N_C}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\ln(r_{\perp}'^2 p_{\perp}^2/c_0^2)}{r_{\perp}'^2} + \frac{\ln(r_{\perp}''^2 p_{\perp}^2/c_0^2)}{r_{\perp}''^2} + \frac{2r_{\perp}' \cdot r_{\perp}''}{r_{\perp}'^2 r_{\perp}''^2} \ln\frac{r_{\perp}'' r_{\perp}''}{c_0^2}\right)$$

- Poles (forward + backward)
- onstraints, automatically arises
- sector, $\nu_S \sim p_{\perp}$



- Complicated evolution
- Is 1, once the CGC rap. scale is made. All logs are then absorbed into the small-x distribution

Liu, Kang, XL, 2004.11990



Threshold $1 - z \sim O(\lambda)$ Liu, Kang, XL, 2004.11990



No real energetic collinear radiations allowed. Collinear momentum occurs only in the virtual loops

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}y_{h}\mathrm{d}^{2}p_{h\perp}} &= \sum_{i,j=g,q} \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\xi^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} zx f_{i/P}(x,\mu) D_{h/j}(\xi,\mu) \\ &\times \int \mathrm{d}^{2}b_{\perp}\mathrm{d}^{2}b'_{\perp} e^{ip'_{\perp}\cdot r_{\perp}} \\ &\times \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{0}(b'_{\perp}) \right| \mathcal{J}(z,\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \mathcal{S}(\mu,\nu,b_{\perp},b'_{\perp}) \right| \mathcal{M}_{0}(b_{\perp}) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\nu} \cdot \\ &\mathcal{J}(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{thr.} \qquad \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{thr.}(z) \\ &\qquad \mathcal{C}ontains only loops \\ &\mathcal{U}_{J_{thr.}} \mathcal{U}_{S_{thr.}} = \exp \left[-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{\perp}}{\pi} \left(\ln \frac{\nu_{S}}{\nu_{J}} I_{BK,r} + \ln \frac{X_{f}}{X_{A}} I_{BK} \right) \mathbf{T}_{i}^{a} \mathbf{T}_{j}^{a'} W_{aa'} \end{split}$$

- Novel threshold resummation structure.
- CGC rap. scale choice can not resum threshold logs
- Dynamical scale X_f can be determined numerically to minimize the evolution.









Threshold

Numerics



- Dynamical scale X_f determined numerically to minimize the evolution.
- Stay positive
- good agreements with data and ready for phenomenological applications

Liu, Kang, XL, 2004.11990

Conclusions

- CGC physics to a precision physics playground
- Power counting plays the role with additional soft corrections and threshold resummation resolves the negative issue for the forward hadron inclusive production
- More to study in the future:
 - Jet, DIS, spin …
 - Push precisions with SCET, Multi-loop techniques