#### Time Calibration Updates

Will Imoehl Indiana University

April 22, 2021

#### **Motivation**

- Time walk: low Q region disagreement between data and simulation
- Remove noise at low Q with CgemLineFit



experimental data



#### simulation data

# Testing Time Calibrations with CgemLineFit

- Only use hits selected by straight line fit
- Main issue: increases memory and run time substantially
- Solution: limiting number of clusters per sheet
- Use 3 as nominal, 1 for debugging
- Only use run 17 data here highest purity

Cluster/Sheet	% Events	Total Time Needed (minutes)
5	53.31%	90.1
4	51.17%	60.2
3	46.65%	35.2
2	37.96%	17.6
1	15.33%	6.4
No fit	-	3.7

## Time Distribution - Time Walk Low Charge



- Fit removes constant background
- Also removes events from the second/third peaks
- Size of the peak is much smaller
- Times differ by 4 ns  $(11.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ vs } 7.5 \pm 0.6)$

# Time Distribution - Time Walk High Charge



Time Distribution for 35.0<Q<40.0 fC and 2.0<Q. <3.0 fC

Time Distribution for 35.0<Q<40.0 fC and 2.0<Q\_ <3.0 fC

- Expect less of an effect
- Peak is smaller
- Lump on right side is smaller
- Time difference is less  $(1.1\pm0.6)$  vs  $(1.65\pm0.4)$

## Time Walk Summary



- Left for fit, right no fit
- Corrections at low Q are actually lower with the fit

# Summary

- Same procedure has been applied to time reference
- Iteration between time walk and reference has not been done yet
- Initial results show low Q time walk discrepancy is not due to noise