

# Investigation of $\Omega_c^0$ and X(3872) in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 13 TeV

Reporter: Hongge Xu (徐鸿鸽) Supervisor: Gang Chen (陈刚)

China University of Geosciences (Wuhan)



### Outline

### Introduction

### PACIAE and DCPC Model

### Investigation of $\Omega_c^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$

### Study on X(3872) in pp Collisions



### Introduction

AN SU, MODEL FOR STRONG INTERACTION SYMMETRY AND ITS BREAKING TT \*)

> G. Zweig CERN-Geneva

#### ABSTRACT

Both mesons and baryons are constructed from a set of three fundamental particles called aces. The aces break up into an isospin doublet and singlet. Each ace carries baryon number 1/3 and is fractionally charged. SUz (but not the Eightfold Way) is adopted as a higher symmetry for the strong interactions. The breaking of this symmetry is assumed to be universal, being due to mass differences among the aces. Extensive space-time and group theoretic structure is then predicted for both mesons and baryons, in agreement with existing experimental information. Quantitative speculations are presented concerning resonances that have not as yet been definitively classified into representations of SU,. A weak interaction theory based on right and left handed aces is used to predict rates for  $|\Delta S| = 1$  baryon leptonic decays. An experimental search for the aces is suggested.

8419/TH.412 21 February 1964

Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 214-215

Volume 8, number 3

1 February 1964

A SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS \*

PHYSICS LETTERS

M. GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

If we assume that the strong interactions of bary- ber  $n_t - n_{\bar{t}}$  would be zero for all known baryons and ons and mesons are correctly described in terms of the broken "eightfold way" 1-3, we are tempted to model is one in which the triplet has spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  and look for some fundamental explanation of the situation. A highly promised approach is the purely dynamical "bootstrap" model for all the strongly interacting particles within which one may try to derive isotopic spin and strangeness conservation and broken eightfold symmetry from self-consistency alone 4). Of course, with only strong interactions. the orientation of the asymmetry in the unitary

space cannot be specified: one hopes that in some way the selection of specific components of the Fspin by electromagnetism and the weak interactions determines the choice of isotopic spin and hypercharge directions.

Even if we consider the scattering amplitudes of strongly interacting particles on the mass shell only tations 1, 8, and 10 that have been observed, while and treat the matrix elements of the weak, electromagnetic, and gravitational interactions by means

z = -1, so that the four particles d<sup>-</sup>, s<sup>-</sup>, u<sup>0</sup> and b<sup>0</sup> exhibit a parallel with the leptons. A simpler and more elegant scheme can be

constructed if we allow non-integral values for the charges. We can dispense entirely with the basic baryon b if we assign to the triplet t the following properties: spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z = -\frac{1}{3}$ , and baryon number  $\frac{1}{3}$ . We then refer to the members  $u^{\frac{3}{3}}$ ,  $d^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ , and  $s^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  of the triplet as "quarks" 6) q and the members of the anti-triplet as anti-quarks q. Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (qqq), (qqqqq), etc., while mesons are made out of  $(q\bar{q})$ ,  $(qq\bar{q}\bar{q})$ , etc. It is assuming that the lowest baryon configuration (qqq) gives just the representhe lowest meson configuration  $(q \bar{q})$  similarly gives just 1 and 8.



#### 8419/TH.412 21/ February 1964

Version I is CERN preprint 8182/TH.401, Jan. 17, 1964.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> This work was supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.



### Introduction

#### The Categorizations of hadrons:

Hadron {	Conventional QM states	Meson : Baryon :	$q\bar{q},  Q\bar{q}, \ qqq,  Qqq,$	$Q \bar{Q}$ Q Q q,	
		َ ا	Molecular state	5	
	Evotic states				
			Glueball		
	EXOLIC STATES	)	Tetraquark		
			Pentaquark		
	l	l			



Communications

Computer Physics

### parton and hadron cascade model





### **Dynamically constrained phase space coalescence model**

As the uncertainty principle

we can estimate the yield of a single particle by

Similarly, for the yield of N particles cluster

Equation must satisfy these constraint conditions:

 $\Delta \overrightarrow{q} \Delta \overrightarrow{p} \ge h^3,$ 

$$Y_1 = \int_{H \le E} \frac{d \overrightarrow{q} d \overrightarrow{p}}{h^3}.$$

$$Y_N = \int \dots \int_{H \leq E} \frac{\mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{q_1} \, \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{p_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{q_N} \, \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{p_N}}{\mathrm{h}^{3N}}.$$

$$\int_{m_{inv}} m_0 - \Delta m \leq m_{inv} \leq m_0 + \Delta m;$$

$$q_{ij} \leq D_0, (i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2...N);$$

$$m_{inv} = \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^N E_i \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{p}_i \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$



### **Observation of new** $\Omega_c^0$ states

PRL 118, 182001 (2017)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 5 MAY 2017



**Observation of Five New Narrow**  $\Omega_c^0$  States Decaying to  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ 

#### R. Aaij et al.\*

(LHCb Collaboration) (Received 14 March 2017; published 2 May 2017)

The  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  mass spectrum is studied with a sample of pp collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>, collected by the LHCb experiment. The  $\Xi_c^+$  is reconstructed in the decay mode  $pK^-\pi^+$ . Five new, narrow excited  $\Omega_c^0$  states are observed: the  $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ ,  $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ ,  $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ ,  $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ , and  $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ . Measurements of their masses and widths are reported.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.182001

The spectroscopy of singly charmed baryons cqq' is intricate. With three quarks and numerous degrees of freedom, many states are expected. At the same time, the large mass difference between the charm quark and the light quarks provides a natural way to understand the spectrum by using the symmetries provided by the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [1,2]. In recent years, considerable improvements have been made in the predictions of the properties of these heavy baryons [3–14]. In many of these models, the heavy quark interacts with a (qq') diquark, which is treated as a single object. These models predict seven states in the mass range 2.9–3.2 GeV (natural units are used throughout this Letter), some of them narrow. Other models make use of lattice QCD of 1.0, 2.0, and 0.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> at center-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV, respectively, recorded by the LHCb experiment. The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range  $2 < \eta < 5$ , designed for the study of particles containing *b* or *c* quarks, and is described in detail in Refs. [19,20]. Hadron identification is provided by two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [21], a calorimeter system, and a muon detector. The online event selection is performed by a trigger, which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction [22]. Simulated events are produced with the software packages described in Refs. [23–28].



(2017)

182001

118

PRL

# Results of the fit to $m(\Xi_c^+K^-)$ for the mass, width, yield, and significance for each resonance.

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	Yield	$N_{\sigma}$
$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$4.5\pm0.6\pm0.3$	$1300\pm100\pm80$	20.4
$\Omega_{c}(3050)^{0}$	$3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1 \substack{+0.3 \\ -0.5}$	$0.8\pm0.2\pm0.1$	$970\pm60\pm20$	20.4
	-0.5	<1.2 MeV, 95% C.L.		
$\Omega_{c}(3066)^{0}$	$3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	$1740\pm100\pm50$	23.9
$\Omega_{c}(3090)^{0}$	$3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$8.7\pm1.0\pm0.8$	$2000\pm140\pm130$	21.1
$\Omega_{c}(3119)^{0}$	$3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	$1.1\pm0.8\pm0.4$	$480\pm70\pm30$	10.4
	-0.5	<2.6 MeV, 95% C.L.		
$\Omega_{c}(3188)^{0}$	$3188 \pm 5 \pm 13$	$60\pm15\pm11$	$1670 \pm 450 \pm 360$	
$\Omega_{c}(3066)_{fd}^{0}$			$700\pm40\pm140$	
$\Omega_c(3090)_{\rm fd}^{\rm la}$			$220\pm60\pm90$	
$\Omega_c(3119)_{\rm fd}^0$			$190\pm70\pm20$	



Determine the appropriate parameter to simulate the *pp* collision by PACIAE model:

The value of five important and special parameters in PACIAE model

Parameter	Κ	eta	P(qq)/P(q)	P(s)/P(u)	Gaussian width
Value	$0.1~{\rm GeV}$	$0.58 GeV^{-2}$	0.10	0.42	$0.36~{\rm GeV}$

The yield of  $\mathcal{Z}_c^+$  and  $K^-$  computed by PACIAE in mid-rapidity pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

particles	PACIAE	Experiment data
$K^{-}$	0.286	$0.286 \pm 0.016$
$\Xi_c^+$	$7.40 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.47 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{-5}$



The yield of  $\Xi_c^+ K^-$  bound states ( $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states) in the DCPC model can be calculated by

$$Y_{\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}} = \int_{H \le E} \frac{dq_{1}dP_{1}dq_{2}dP_{2}}{h^{6}} \delta_{12}$$
  
With  $\delta_{12} = f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \equiv \Xi_{c}^{+}, 2 \equiv K^{-} \\ 1, & m_{\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}} - \Delta m \le m_{inv} \le m_{\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}} + \Delta m, \\ q_{12} \le D_{0}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

### Study on $\Omega_c(X)^0$ States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$



Distribution of the yields of the five narrow excited resonant  $\Omega_c^0$  states increase linearly with  $\Delta m$ , in pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV



## The yields of five new resonant $\Omega_c^0$ states varies with $\Delta m$ from 0.4 MeV to 8 MeV in *pp* collision at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 13 TeV

c.m. energy	$\Delta m$ (MeV)	0.4	0.55	1.0	1.75	2.25	4.35	8.0
	$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$2.03 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.69 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.10 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.83 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.16 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.24 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.14 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_{c}(3050)^{0}$	$2.90 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.22 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.28 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.62 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.12 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.71 \times 10^{-6}$
7 TeV	$\Omega_{c}(3066)^{0}$	$3.15 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.37 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.65 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.32 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.72 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.32 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.06 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_{c}(3090)^{0}$	$3.17 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.37 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.28 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.46 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.88 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.58 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.43 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_{c}(3119)^{0}$	$3.66 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.88 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.54 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.48 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.92 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.70 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.79 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$2.20 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.95 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.44 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.61 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.24 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.44 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.48 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_{c}(3050)^{0}$	$3.21 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.52 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.98 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.38 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.78 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.35 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.10 \times 10^{-6}$
13 TeV	$\Omega_c(3066)^0$	$3.48 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.52 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.15 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.41 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.82 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.57 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.53 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_{c}(3090)^{0}$	$3.48 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.68 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.97 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.52 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.96 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.70 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.84 \times 10^{-6}$
	$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3.70 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.28 \times 10^{-7}$	$9.57 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.61 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.06 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.95 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.35 \times 10^{-6}$



Tab I. The value of the five new excited resonant  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states in *pp* collision from LHCb collaboration.

$\Omega_c(X)^0$	Mass(MeV)	$\Gamma({\rm MeV})$	Yield
$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	$3000.4\pm0.2$	$4.5\pm0.6$	$1300\pm100$
$\Omega_c(3050)^0$	$3050.2\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$970\pm60$
$\Omega_{c}(3066)^{0}$	$3065.6\pm0.1$	$3.5\pm0.4$	$1740 \pm 100$
$\Omega_{c}(3090)^{0}$	$3090.2\pm0.3$	$8.7\pm1.0$	$2000 \pm 140$
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	$3119.1\pm0.3$	$1.1\pm0.8$	$480\pm70$

Tab II. The value of the five  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states in *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV using PACIE and DCPC model, amusing  $\Delta m = \Gamma/2$ .

Resonance	$\Delta m$ (MeV)	Yield (7 TeV)	Yield (13 TeV)
$\Omega_{c}(3000)^{0}$	2.25	$1.16 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.24 \times 10^{-6}$
$\Omega_{c}(3050)^{0}$	0.40	$2.90 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.21 \times 10^{-7}$
$\Omega_{c}(3066)^{0}$	1.75	$3.32 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.57 \times 10^{-6}$
$\Omega_{c}(3090)^{0}$	4.35	$1.46 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.52 \times 10^{-6}$
$\Omega_c(3119)^0$	0.55	$4.88 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.28 \times 10^{-7}$

Study on  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  States Decaying to  $\Xi_c^+K^-$ 



Transverse momentum distributions of the five excited resonant  $\Omega_c^0$  states

Study on  $\Omega_{c}(X)^{0}$  States Decaying to  $\Xi_{c}^{+}K^{-}$ 



Rapidity distribution of the five resonant  $\Omega_c^0$  states



### Conclusions

- Generate the *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV by PACIAE generator and study the  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  during  $\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi_c^+ K^-$  decay channel by DCPC.
- The yield per event of different  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states are increase linearly with the  $\Delta m$ , and the yield at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV is bigger than it at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV.
- The Transverse momentum distributions or rapidity distribution of five different excited  $\Omega_c(X)^0$  states in *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV are similar. (Phys. Rev. C 102, 054319 (2020))





Belle collaboration, Observation of a narrow charmoniumlike state in exclusive  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$  decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 262001.

Study of the  $\psi_2(3823)$  and  $\chi_{c1}(3872)$  states in  $B^+ \to (J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) \, K^+$  decays

*LHCb* ГНСр

The LHCb collaboration

E-mail: Ivan.Belyaev@itep.ru

ABSTRACT: The decays  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- K^+$  are studied using a data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected with the LHCb detector in proton-proton collisions between 2011 and 2018. Precise measurements of the ratios of branching fractions with the intermediate  $\psi_2(3823)$ ,  $\chi_{cl}(3872)$  and  $\psi(2S)$  states are reported. The values are

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to \psi_2(3823)K^+} \times \mathcal{B}_{\psi_2(3823) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}}{\mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to \chi_2(3823)K^+} \times \mathcal{B}_{\chi_{c1}(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}} = (3.56 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2} \,, \\ &\frac{\mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to \psi_2(3823)K^+} \times \mathcal{B}_{\psi_2(3823) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}}{\mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to \psi(2S)K^+} \times \mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}} = (1.31 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3} \,, \\ &\frac{\mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to \chi_{c1}(3872)K^+} \times \mathcal{B}_{\chi_{c1}(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}}{\mathcal{B}_{B^+ \to \psi(2S)K^+} \times \mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-}} = (3.69 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2} \,, \end{split}$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic. The decay of  $B^+ \rightarrow \psi_2(3823) K^+$  with  $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$  is observed for the first time with a significance of 5.1 standard deviations. The mass differences between the  $\psi_2(3823), \, \chi_{c1}(3872)$  and  $\psi(2S)$  states are measured to be

$$\begin{split} m_{\chi c1(3872)} &- m_{\psi 2(3823)} = 47.50 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.13 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2\,, \\ m_{\psi 2(3823)} &- m_{\psi (2\mathrm{S})} = 137.98 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.14 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2\,, \\ m_{\chi c1(3872)} &- m_{\psi (2\mathrm{S})} = 185.49 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03 \,\,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2\,, \end{split}$$





N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart et al. / Physics Reports 873 (2020) 1–154)

molecule, nucleus-like states.



The yield of  $D^0$ ,  $D^+$ ,  $D^{*+}$ ,  $\pi^+$  and  $K^+$  computed by PACIAE in midrapidity in

*pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV which consist with experimental data.

	P	$p_T$	Yield		
particles	Experiment	PACIAE	Experiment	PACIAE	
$D^0$	$0 < P_T < 36 \text{ GeV/c}$	$0 < P_T < 36 \text{ GeV/c}$	$8.039 \pm 1.576 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.55 \times 10^{-3}$	
$D^+$	$1 < P_T < 24 \text{ GeV/c}$	$1 < P_T < 24 \text{ GeV/c}$	$2.926 \pm 0.723 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.674 \times 10^{-3}$	
$D^{*+}$	$1 < P_T < 24 \text{ GeV/c}$	$1 < P_T < 24 \text{ GeV/c}$	$3.328 \pm 0.868 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.888 \times 10^{-3}$	
$\pi^+$	$0.1 < P_T < 3 \text{ GeV/c}$	$0.1 < P_T < 3 {\rm GeV/c}$	$2.26\pm0.1$	2.168	
$K^+$	$0.2 < P_T < 6 \text{ GeV/c}$	$0.2 < P_T < 6 \text{ GeV/c}$	$0.286 \pm 0.016$	0.280	



The yield of  $D\overline{D}^*$  bound states(*X*(3872)states) in the DCPC model can be calculated by :

$$Y_{X(3872)} = \int_{H \le E} \frac{dq_1 dP_1 dq_2 dP_2}{h^6} \delta_{12}$$

With 
$$\delta_{12} = f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & if \quad 1 \equiv D, 2 \equiv \overline{D}^* \\ m_{X(3872)} - \Delta m \le m_{inv} \le m_{X(3872)} + \Delta m, \\ q_{12} \le D_0, \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$





Distribution of the yields of the  $D^{*0}\overline{D}^0$  and  $D^0\overline{D}^{*0}$  bound states as different structure increase with  $\Delta m$ , in pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV





Distribution of the yields of the  $D^-D^{*+}$  and  $D^+D^{*-}$  bound states as different structure increase with  $\Delta m$ , in *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV



The predicted yield of the X(3872) states as three different structure in pp collision at

 $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV using PACIE and DCPC model, amusing  $\Delta m = \Gamma/2$ .  $(\Gamma_{\chi_{c1(3872)}}^{BW} = 0.96^{+0.19}_{-0.18} \pm 0.21 \text{MeV})$ 

c.m. energies		$\sqrt{s} = 7 \mathrm{TeV}$			$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$	
bound state	tetraquark state	nucleus-like state	molecular state	tetraquark state	nucleus-like state	molecular state
$D^{*0} ar{D}^0$	$3.4 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.85 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.52 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.6 \times 10^{-8}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.49 \times 10^{-7}$
$D^0 ar{D}^{*0}$	$3.4 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.76 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.16 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.93 \times 10^{-8}$	$2.16 \times 10^{-7}$	$5.33 \times 10^{-7}$
$D^- \bar{D}^{*+}$	$6.23 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.38 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.71 \times 10^{-9}$	$7.19 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.63 \times 10^{-9}$	$3.34 \times 10^{-9}$
$D^+ \bar{D}^{*-}$	$6.41 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.32 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.73 \times 10^{-9}$	$7.07 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.59 \times 10^{-9}$	$3.38 \times 10^{-9}$





Transverse momentum distributions of X(3872) in pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV





Transverse momentum distribution of *X*(3872) in *pp* collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV





Rapidity distribution of X(3872) in pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV





Rapidity distribution of X(3872) in pp collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV



### Summary

- The yields of the X(3872) increase with the c.m. energy from 7 to 13 TeV.
- The yields of the X(3872) as tetraquarks, nucleus-like state, molecular state in in pp collisions are  $7.503 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $3.637 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $8.734 \times 10^{-7}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and  $8.673 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $4.492 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $10.887 \times 10^{-7}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, respectively.
- The transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the *X*(3872) as different structure is similar in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 13 TeV. (arxiv:2105.06261[hep-ph])



# **Thanks for your attention!**

7th workshop on the XYZ particles

2021.05.18