# **CEPC timing detector R&D**





Yunyun Fan, on behalf on IHEP-HGTD team (IHEP, Chinese Academy of Sciences)

Chinese Academy of Sciences

## $\triangleright$ CEPC will produce 10<sup>12</sup> Z boson at Z pole $\rightarrow$ Rich flavor physics program > CEPC International Advisory Committee: one of the key recommendations Precision timing detector should be determined as a matter of urgency ➢ Gas detector is responsible for particle identification in flavor (dE/dx) → Challenge: 0.5-2GeV for K/pi separation, >1.5GeV for K/p separation > Timing detector is complementary to gas detector $\rightarrow$ 0-4GeV for K/pi separation, 0-8GeV for K/p separation



**CEPC timing detector : motivation** 





# **CEPC timing detector : Concept**

> Timing detector: Between tracker and calorimeter  $\rightarrow$  Close to SET tracker, Radius ~1.8m > Target time resolution: 20 pico-second(ps) Area of detector (Barrel: 50m<sup>2</sup>, Endcap 20m<sup>2</sup>)

#### **Baseline detector concept in CDR**



#### **Timing detector in Barrel region**







# CEPC timing detector : Concept > Each module size : ~5cm \* 15cm > Further optimization is on going



Flexible cable Flexible cable +carbon fiber structure

**ASIC Readout chip** 

Ultra fast silicon sensors



# Impact parameter resolution in silicon detector

The precision of a sensor,  $\sigma_{Sensor}$ , depends upon two factors:

 $\sigma_{Sensor}^2 \sim \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_{MS}^2$ 

The accuracy of the single hit  $\sigma_r$ 

The average multiple scattering  $\sigma_{MS}$  depends on the material budget of the sensors and services

• Smallest possible  $\sigma_x$ : very accurate sensors

The sensors need to be very accurate and very thin

• Smallest possible  $\sigma_{MS}$ : very thin sensors





# Sensor accuracy $\sigma_x$ and readout

#### **Binary readout**

where the only information is hit/miss (0,1)



$$\sigma_x = k \frac{pitch}{\sqrt{12}}, k \sim 0.5 - 1$$

•  $\sigma_x$  depend on the pixel size pixel = 100  $\mu m \rightarrow \sigma_x = 20 \ \mu m$  $\sigma_{MS}$  small : sensors might be thin Thin, NOT accurate

#### Analog readout

where the amplitude of the signal is recorded



$$x_i = \frac{A_i x_i}{\sum_{1}^{2} A_l x_l}$$

- $\sigma_x \ll pixel size$
- $\sigma_{MS}$  large

Sensors have to be thick to maintain efficiency

Need B field (or floating electrodes)

Accurate, NOT thin

#### The sensors are either very accurate OR very thin





## Ultra Fast Silicon sensor: Low gain avalanche diode (LGAD)



- (first proposed by CNM, Barcellona, 2015).
- current is low.

• The low-gain mechanism, obtained with a moderately doped p-implant, is the defining feature of the design

• The low gain allows segmenting and keeping the shot noise below the electronic noise, since the leakage



### **CEPC timing detector : R & D status** > IHEP and Beijing Normal U. developed IHEP-NDL LGAD sensors → Can reach 25 pico-second(ps), similar performance compared to HPK sensors > IHEP and Institute of micro-electronics (IME) developed IHEP-IME sensors ightarrow JHEP team (Mei Zhao ...) designed, IME fabricated, about 32 ps

#### IHEP-NDL sensor IHEP-IME sensors 8 inch wafer









-> Tuning the beam, taking shifts, commissioning the detectors Postdoc: Bo Liu, Yunyun Fan, Xuan Yang Students: Mengzhao Li, Shuqi Li, Han Cui, Chengjun Yu



# **CEPC timing detector :IHEP Test beam 2020** Timing detector team (3 postdocs and 4 students) participate IHEP test beam





**CEPC timing detector :IHEP Test beam 2020** ➢ IHEP E3 Beam line: Proton/ pion mixed beams, 0.5-1GeV > Four detectors are used, data taking with Oscilloscope → One IHEP-IME 2×2 LGAD. Area: 3mm×3mm, time resolution: 39ps  $\rightarrow$ Two HPK 5×5 LGAD. Area: 6.5mm×6.5mm, time resolution: 60-70ps  $\rightarrow$ One HPK 15×15 LGAD. Area: 20 mm ×20 mm, low signal level

#### **IHEP-IME** 2×2 LGAD

# HPK 5×5 LGAD





**Timing detector in IHEP test beam** 



# **Typical signal events for silicon timing detector**





### Day 1 in test beam: particle identification Distance of two HPK sensors: 40cm Most of E3 line particles are proton Well separated from Kaon and pion



		_
	CFD-0.3	
tries	16	
ean	2.1	
l Dev	0.1114	
ob	0.3821	
nstant	$7.01 \pm 2.18$	
ean	$2.052 \pm 0.030$	
gma	$0.08197 \pm 0.01904$	
3	3.5	4
	Delta time [ns	]





# Day 2 in test beam

## Energy 500MeV ~21h

 double triggered events : 55 Time resolution: 125ps





#### **Distance 17mm**

- Energy 800MeV ~14h
- double triggered events: 49
- Time resolution: 91ps



beam

49 0.09014 0.1302 0.9757  $24.94 \pm 4.43$  $0.09035 \pm 0.01883$  $0.1306 \pm 0.0139$ 





# **IHEP-IME LGAD performance**

#### **Distance 17mm**

- 5 days
- double triggered events: 20
- Time resolution: 90ps



#### 17mm

#### HPK 5\*5 IHEP-IME

	CFD-0.3
Entries	20
Mean	0.1433
Std Dev	0.1387
Prob	0.9764
Constant	$5.066 \pm 1.851$
Mean	$0.1409 \pm 0.0285$
Sigma	$0.1296 \pm 0.0341$

1.5

Delta time [ns]







# **Radiation tolerance study**

#### Radiation tolerance (per year): 1 MRad & 2×10<sup>12</sup> 1 MeV neg/cm2



#### 0.8 e15 Collected charge: 4fC @ 400V Resolution: 28ps @ 650V





# Summary

## One option of CPEC timing detector using LGAD technology has been studied Verify silicon timing detector in test beam >IHEP team has developed IHEP-NDL and IHEP-IME LGAD sensors prototypes, and both can reach 20~30 ps timing resolution >The radiation tolerance is OK



# Thank you for your attention !

#### Beta test results for IHEP-IME sensor





# Outlook: Testbeam with ATLAS HGTD module

## ► Time resolution: 45ps Position resolution: ~1mm Area : 6.5mm\*6.5mm --> (2cm\*4cm)











