"BEPCII 亮度和能量升级可行性方案和升级后 BESIII 物理"研讨会, April 25, 2021





粲重子谱学研究

Lisheng Geng (耿立升) @ Beihang U. lisheng.geng@buaa.edu.cn



"BEPCII 亮度和能量升级可行性方案和升级后 BESIII 物理"研讨会,April 25, 2021





Selected physics cases for upgraded BEPCII

Lisheng Geng (耿立升) @ Beihang U. lisheng.geng@buaa.edu.cn





Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV ($KD\overline{D^{(*)}}$)—Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>
- **Rare processes & new physics**
 - ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
 - ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **G** Summary & outlook

3



Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV ($KD\overline{D^{(*)}}$)—Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>
- **Rare processes & new physics**
 - ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
 - ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **G** Summary & outlook

4

4



Many (if not all) of them close to thresholds



Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Ulf-G. Meißner, Qian Wang, Qiang Zhao, Bing-Song Zou. Rev.Mod.Phys. 90 (2018) 015004.

Theoretical methods for molecules

D Model dependent approaches

- ✓ One boson exchange modes
- ✓ Local Hidden gauge approaches
- ✓ Unquenched quark models

Unitary Chiral Approach (Effective Field Theory)

D Model independent approaches

- ✓ Chiral field theory theory
- ✓ Effective field theories
- ✓

 \checkmark

Unitary Chiral Approach

Very successful:

- ✓ Low-lying scalar nonet
- ✓ Double pole structure of $\Lambda(1405)$
- ✓ Molecular picture of D_{s0}^* (2317)

J. A. Oller et al. NPA 620, 438

D. Jido et al. NPA725,181

M. Altenbuchinger et al. PRD89,014021





Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV ($KD\overline{D^{(*)}}$)—Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>
- **Rare processes & new physics**
 - ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
 - ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **G** Summary & outlook

9

9

Motivation: $\Lambda_c(2595)$

Very few excited charmed baryons have been well established

 $\Lambda_c(2595), \Xi_c(2790)$ with $J^P = 1/2^-$

 $\Lambda_c(2625), \Xi_c(2815)$ with $J^P = 3/2^-$

 $\Xi_c(3055), \Omega_c(3000), \Omega_c(3050), ...$ with unknown J^P

More theoretical studies needed

Possible resonances: mass? width? J^P ? main components?



Can the interactions between a pseudoscalar meson and a singly charmed baryons generate molecules





Effective Lagrangian Lutz et al. NPA730,110

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{16f_0^2} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{H}_{[\bar{3}]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[\bar{3}]}(x), [\phi(x), (\partial_{\mu}\phi(x))]_{-}]_{+}) + \frac{i}{16f_0^2} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{H}_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[6]}(x), [\phi(x), (\partial_{\mu}\phi(x))]_{+}) + \frac{i}{16f_0^2} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{H}_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[6]}(x), [\phi(x), (\partial_{\mu}\phi(x))]_{+}) + \frac{i}{16f_0^2} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{H}_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[6]}(x), [\phi(x), (\partial_{\mu}\phi(x))]_{+}) + \frac{i}{16f_0^2} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{H}_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[6]}(x), [\phi(x), (\partial_{\mu}\phi(x))]_{+}) + \frac{i}{16f_0^2} \operatorname{Tr}(\bar{H}_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[H_{[6]}(x)\gamma^{\mu}[$



Different number of coupled channels!

Regularization: heavy quark symmery

BS equation

T=V+VGT G loop function: UV divergent

✓ Cutoff method(CUT)

$$G_{CUT}(s) = \int_0^{q_{max}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4E_M E_m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - E_M - E_m + i\epsilon}$$

✓ Dimensional regularization method(DRM, \overline{MS} scheme)

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\overline{MS}}(s) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[\frac{m^2 - M^2 + s}{2s} \log\left(\frac{m^2}{M^2}\right) \\ &- \frac{q}{\sqrt{s}} (\log[2q\sqrt{s} + m^2 - M^2 - s] + \log[2q\sqrt{s} - m^2 + M^2 - s] \\ &- \log[2q\sqrt{s} + m^2 - M^2 + s] - \log[2q\sqrt{s} - m^2 + M^2 + s]) \\ &+ \left(\log\left(\frac{M^2}{\mu^2}\right) + a \right) \right] \text{violate power counting rules and HQS} \\ &\quad \text{Cleven et al. EPJA47,19} \quad \text{Altenbuchinger et al. PRD89,014021} \end{aligned}$$

✓ Heavy quark symmetry inspired scheme(HQS)

$$G_{HQS} = G_{\overline{MS}} - \frac{2\mathring{M}}{16\pi^2} \left(\log\left(\frac{\mathring{M}^2}{\mu^2}\right) - 2 \right) + \frac{2m_{\rm sub}}{16\pi^2} \left(\log\left(\frac{\mathring{M}^2}{\mu^2}\right) + a \right)$$

Regularization: heavy quark symmery

✓ Cutoff method

- x Dimensional regularization method(DRM, \overline{MS} scheme)
- ✓ Heavy quark symmetry inspired scheme



Dynamically generated states

 q_{max} or a determined by $\Lambda_c(2595)$ for 1/2 or $\Lambda_c(2625)$ for 3/2



To further explore the nature of $\Lambda_c(2595)$

Compositeness rule

 $\Box N_c$ dependence

Compositeness of $\Lambda_c(2595)$

The relevance of hadronic components in a molecular state

Deuteron as neutron-proton bound state by Weinberg Model independent

□ For a separable potential Aceti, EPJA50, 57

$$\sum_{i} X_{i} = 1 - Z, \quad X_{i} = -\operatorname{Re} \left[g_{i}^{2} \left[\frac{\partial G_{i}^{II}(s)}{\partial \sqrt{s}} \right]_{\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{s_{0}}} \right] \quad Z = -\sum_{ij} \left[g_{i} G_{i}^{II}(\sqrt{s}) \frac{\partial V_{ij}(\sqrt{s})}{\partial \sqrt{s}} G_{j}^{II}(\sqrt{s}) g_{j} \right]_{\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{s_{0}}}$$
Sum rule

- X_i the compositeness for channel i
- g_i the coupling of the resonances to channel i
- *s*⁰ the position of resonances

- Z the field renormalization constant
- *G^{II}* the loop function in second Riemann sheet
- V the potential



Compositeness of $\Lambda_c(2595)$

 \otimes

Kernel potential

- Chiral unitary approach (2 channel)
- Local hidden gauge (3 channel)
- Extended SU(8) scheme (16 channel)

Loop function

- Cutoff
- HQS
- DR-naturalness $G[\mu(\alpha)] = 0$

$\sum_i X_i$	DR-naturalness	CUT	DR-HQS
$\rm UChPT(2\ channels)$	0.218 + i0.725	0.196 + i0.768	0.228 + i0.713
HLG(3 channels)	0.772 + i0.085	0.195 + i0.641	0.214 + i0.727
Extended $SU(8)(16 \text{ channels})$	0.843 + i0.012	0.521 + i0.602	0.607 + i0.219

Differs in different models and regularization scheme

The compositeness is model dependent!

Garcia-Recio et al. PRD92,034011

N_c dependence of $\Lambda_c(2595)$

 \Box The N_c dependence of the resonances

• Reveal $q\bar{q}$ nature of vector mesons Pelaez, PRL92, 102001 Xiao, MPLA22, 55

Ordinary qqq state: $M_R - M_B - m \sim O(1)$, $\Gamma_R \sim O(1)$ Deviation: dominant molecular component



The pole position of $\Lambda_c(2593)$ as a function of N_c









Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV ($KD\overline{D^{(*)}}$)—Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>
- **Rare processes & new physics**
 - ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
 - ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **G** Summary & outlook

21

21

Ds0*(2317)/Ds1(2460) unconventional hadrons

adding charm



Ds0*(2317) Ds1(2460)

Discovery channels



BaBar PRL90,242001(2003)

CLEO PRD68,032002(2003)

What are special about these two states

- $D_{s0}^{*}(2317), D_{s1}(2460)$
- 160/70 MeV lower than the GI quark model predictions--difficult to be understood as conventional csbar states.
- "Dynamically generated" from strong DK interaction
 - ✓ E. E. Kolomeitsev 2004, SEP
 - ✓ F. K. Guo 2006,
 - ✓ D. Gamermann 2007

 $m_{D_{s1}(2460)} - m_{D^*_{s0}(2317)} \approx m_{D^*} - m_D$



Feng-Kun Guo, EPJ Web of Conferences 202, 02001 (2019)

1309.4743

UChPT in Bethe-Salpeter equation

D Model independent DK interaction from ChPT



 $\mathcal{V}_{WT}(P(p_1)\phi(p_2) \to P(p_3)\phi(p_4)) = \frac{1}{4f_0^2} \mathcal{C}_{LO}(s-u) \quad \text{Weinberg-Tomazawa} \\
\mathcal{V}_{NLO}(P(p_1)\phi(p_2) \to P(p_3)\phi(p_4)) = -\frac{8}{f_0^2} C_{24} \left(c_2 \, p_2 \cdot p_4 - \frac{c_4}{m_P^2} \left(p_1 \cdot p_4 \, p_2 \cdot p_3 + p_1 \cdot p_2 \, p_3 \cdot p_4 \right) \right) \\
-\frac{4}{f_0^2} \mathcal{C}_{35} \left(c_3 \, p_2 \cdot p_4 - \frac{c_5}{m_P^2} \left(p_1 \cdot p_4 \, p_2 \cdot p_3 + p_1 \cdot p_2 \, p_3 \cdot p_4 \right) \right) \\
-\frac{4}{f_0^2} \mathcal{C}_6 \, \frac{c_6}{m_P^2} \left(p_1 \cdot p_4 \, p_2 \cdot p_3 - p_1 \cdot p_2 \, p_3 \cdot p_4 \right) \\
-\frac{8}{f_0^2} \mathcal{C}_0 \, c_0 + \frac{4}{f_0^2} \mathcal{C}_1 \, c_1 , \quad (11)$

Resumed in the Bethe-Salpeter equation (two-body elastic unitarity)



1309.4743

Fixing the LECs using latest LQCD* data



1309.4743

Ds0 and Ds1 dynamically generated

Charm sector

"Post-diction"

B

$D_{s0}^{*}(2317), D_{s1}(2460)$

TABLE V. Pole positions $\sqrt{s} = M - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}$ (in units of MeV) of charm mesons dynamically generated in the HQS UChPT.

(S, I)	$J^P=0^+$	$J^{P} = 1^{+}$	
(1, 0)	2317 ± 10	2457 ± 17	flavor
(0, 1/2)	$(2105 \pm 4) - i(103 \pm 7)$	$(2248 \pm 6) - i(106 \pm 13)$	B bot symmetry
			spin

Bottom Sector

TABLE VI. Pole positions $\sqrt{s} = M - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}$ (in units of MeV) of bottom mesons dynamically generated in the HQS UChPT.

(S, I)	$J^P=0^+$	$J^{P} = 1^{+}$
(1, 0)	5726 ± 28	5778 ± 26
(0, 1/2)	$(5537 \pm 14) - i(118 \pm 22)$	$(5586\pm16) - i(124\pm25)$

spin symmetr

More support from recent IQCD studies

- <u>G.K.C. Cheung et al., arXiv:2008.06432[hep-lat].</u>
- <u>G. S. Bali et al., arXiv:1706.01247 [hep-lat].</u>
- C. B. Lang et al., arXiv:1403.8103 [hep-lat].
- D. Mohler et al., arXiv:1308.3175 [hep-lat].



"DK components substantial"

FIG. 12. On the left, our final results for the lower lying D_s spectrum as detailed in Table VII. The short horizontal black lines indicate the corrected experimental values (see Section II) while the green horizontal lines give the positions of the DK and D^*K non-interacting thresholds. Our lattice results for the finite volume thresholds are labelled DKand D^*K , respectively. The errors indicated are statistical only. On the right, the negative parity spin-averaged 1S mass $m_- = \frac{1}{4} (m_{0^-} + 3m_{1^-})$ is shown and denoted -, while the same spin-average of the positive parity 0^+ and 1^+ states is labelled with + and the weighted average of the threshold is labelled as $\overline{D}K$.

See as well Miguel Albaladejo et al. arXiv:1805.07104

What about adding a $\overline{D^*}$ to the DK pair

• Fixed center approximation (FCA):

 $K(D\overline{D^*} + \overline{D}D^*) \sim KX(3872)/Zc(3900)$



Figure 2: Diagrams showing the scattering of the particle labeled "3" (K) on a cluster (X) made of particles 1 (D) and 2 (\overline{D}^*) .

1805.08330

K*(4307) as an exicted K* with large ccbar



- Treating KX and KZ as coupled channel systems
- A resonance with M=(4307 ± 2) - i(9 ± 2) MeV with I(J^P) = 1/2(1⁻)

In agreement with Li Ma, Qian Wang, Ulf-G Meißner, 1711.06143, but with completely different dynamics

Instead of a D, adding a \overline{D} to the DK pair

2012.01134

The Three Musketeers



	This work	Ref [28]	Ref [29]
Method	GEM(SE)	BOA(SE)	FCA(FE)
Interaction Models	$\chi EFT+OBE$	delocalized π bond	$\chi \rm EFT+OBE$
$\frac{1}{2}(0^{-}) D\bar{D}K$	$4181.2^{+2.4}_{-1.4}(B_3 \simeq 48.9^{+1.4}_{-2.4})$	-	-
$\frac{1}{2}(1^{-}) D\bar{D}^{*}K$	$4294.1_{-3.1}^{+6.6} (B_3 \simeq 77.3_{-6.6}^{+3.1})$	$4317.92_{-6.55}^{+6.13} (B_3 \simeq 53.52_{-6.13}^{+6.55})$	$4307 \pm 2(B_3 \simeq 64 \pm 2)$

$K_c(4180)$ decay 2012.01134





~1 MeV

~1 MeV

K*(4307)/Kc(4180)—bosonic counterpart of Pc

but with 3 constituents





Pentaquark (N*) by LHCb

Phys.Rev.Lett. 115 (2015) 072001

Prediction of narrow N* and Λ * resonances with hidden charm above 4 GeV, Jia-Jun Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset, B.S. Zou, 1007.0573

Kc(4180) can be searched for at BESIII



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow KD^*\overline{D}_s$

FIG. 3. Simultaneous unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the K^+ recoil-mass spectra in data at $\sqrt{s}=4.628$, 4.641, 4.661, 4.681 and 4.698 GeV. Note that the size of the $D^{*0}\bar{D}_1^*(2600)^0(\rightarrow D_s^-K^+)$ component is consistent with zero.

BESIII: 2011.07855: an integrated luminosity of 3.7 fb^{-1}_{34}



Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV ($KD\overline{D^{(*)}}$)—Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>

Rare processes & new physics

- ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
- ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **G** Summary & outlook

35

• 2103.12738



Implications of new evidence for lepton-universality violation in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ decays

Li-Sheng Geng,^{1,2} Benjamín Grinstein,³ Sebastian Jäger,⁴ Shuang-Yi Li,⁵ Jorge Martin Camalich,^{6,7} and Rui-Xiang Shi⁵ ¹School of Physics & Beijing Key Laboratory of Advanced Nuclear Materials and Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 102206, China ²School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China ³Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA ⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, United Kingdom ⁵School of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing 102206, China ⁶Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias, C/ Via Lactea, s/n E38205 - La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain ⁷Universidad de La Laguna, Departamento de Astrofisica, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Motivated by renewed evidence for New Physics in $b \to s\ell\ell$ transitions in the form of LHCb's new measurements of theoretically clean lepton-universality ratios and the purely leptonic $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay, we quantify the combined level of discrepancy with the Standard Model and fit values of short-distance Wilson coefficients. A combination of the clean observables R_K , R_{K^*} , and $B_s \to \mu\mu$ alone results in a discrepancy with the Standard Model at 4.0 σ , up from 3.5 σ in 2017. One-parameter scenarios with purely left-handed or with purely axial coupling to muons fit the data well and exclude the Standard Model at $\sim 5\sigma$ level. In a two-parameter fit to new-physics contributions with both vector and axial-vector couplings to muons the allowed region is much more defined than in 2017, principally due to the much more precise result on $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$, which probes the axial coupling to muons. Including angular observables data narrows the allowed region further. A by-product of our analysis is an updated average of BR($B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$) = $(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-9}$.

$\Box s \rightarrow d$ transitions are highly suppressed in the SM



As such, they are ideal for tests of the SM and searches for BSM

- G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, hep-ph/9901288
- ➤ V. Cirigliano et al., 1107.6001
- Hai-Bo Li, 1612.01775
- A. A. Alves Junior et al., 1808.03477



Latest experimental results



□ The K → π v v̄ results imply that there is still room for new physics (NP), but maybe not so much. However, they are only sensitive to the vectorial (parity even) couplings of the s → d currents.

 $K \to \pi \pi \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$



 \Box Although the K $\rightarrow \pi \pi v \overline{v}$ modes receive contributions from the axialvectorial type of NP, the current results provide little constraints on them

$K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$

□ The branching ratio of the $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay and the leptonic forwardbackward asymmetry (AFB) of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay have been measured

BR(
$$K_L \to \mu^+ \mu^-$$
) = (6.84 ± 0.11) × 10⁻⁹ PTEP 2020, 083C01 (2020)
 $|A_{FB}| < 2.3 \times 10^{-2}$, at 90% CL PLB 697, 107 (2011)

They not only are dominated by long-range contributions, but also cannot probe all the interesting axial-vectorial, scalar operators, and their spin flip structures

Hyperons might be a game changer

□ Having spin ½ (instead of spin 0), they lead to different decay modes, observables, as well as sensitivities to the underlying structure of the $s \rightarrow d$ currents



- Experimentally and theoretically more challenging, compared to their kaon siblings
 - ▶ No direct data for $B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$; first theoretical studies only appeared recently
 - $\succ~$ Latest measurement of $\Sigma^+ \to p \mu^+ \mu^-$ only with a significance of 4.1 sigma

$\boldsymbol{B}_1 \to \boldsymbol{B}_2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}}$

Different from their kaonic counterparts, they are sensitive to both vectorial and axial-vectorial couplings of the $s \rightarrow d$ currents

□ No direct data yet, but promising data from BESIII & LHCb

- BESIII/LHCb experiments in the near future
- Upper limits derived from Hyperon lifetime

Front. Phys. 12, 121301 (2017) JHEP05(2019)048 PRD 102,015023 (2020)

On the theory side, the first studies just appeared

Xiao-Hui Hu et al., CPC43(2019)093104; Jusak Tandean, JHEP04(2019)104

More theoretical studies are needed

- Constraints from/compare with more kaon modes: 2, 3, 4 final states
- The state of the art results from covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory for the relevant form factors

L. S. Geng et al., Phys. Rev. D 79, 094022 (2009) T. Ledwig et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 054502 (2014)

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$

Experimental results from HyperCP@PRL 94, 021801 (2004)



 $[8.6^{+6.6}_{-5.4}(\text{stat}) \pm 5.5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-8}$



Di-muon meson 214.3 ± 0.5 MeV

Hype

 $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$



D Experimental results from LHCb @PRL120, 221803(2018)



Our purpose

Study the hyperon rare decays and compare with their kaon counterparts and investigate their sensitivities to different structures of new physics.

 $\Box s \rightarrow d v \overline{v}$ transitions dominated by short–distance contributions



Our purpose

Study the hyperon rare decays and compare with their kaon counterparts and investigate their sensitivities to different structures of new physics.

 $\Box s
ightarrow d\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions dominated by long-distance contributions



The leptonic forward backward asymmetry can be useful to constrain new physics

The LE effective Hamiltonian $s \rightarrow d$ transitions

In SM
$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i O_i + \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} C_{\nu_\ell}^L O_{\nu_\ell}^L \right) \qquad \lambda_q = V_{qs} V_{qd}^* \quad \text{Nucl. Phys. B548, 309 (1999)}$$

 \succ $s \rightarrow d \nu \overline{\nu}$ transitions

$$C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sin^{2} \theta_{W}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{c}}{\lambda_{t}} X_{c}^{\ell} + X_{t} \right) \qquad O_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} = \alpha \left(\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) s \right) \left(\bar{\nu}_{\ell} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \nu_{\ell} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} & \flat \ s \to d\ell^+ \ell^- \ transitions \\ & \text{Short-distance} \qquad O_7 = \frac{e}{4\pi} m_s \bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma_5) s F^{\mu\nu} \qquad O_9 = \alpha \left(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) s \right) \left(\bar{\ell}^- \gamma^\mu \ell^+ \right) \\ & \text{Dong-distance} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\text{LD}} = -\frac{e^2 G_F}{q^2} \bar{B}_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu (a+b\gamma_5) B_1 \bar{\ell}^- \gamma^\mu \ell^+ - e^2 G_F \bar{B}_2 \gamma_\mu (c+d\gamma_5) B_1 \bar{\ell}^- \gamma^\mu \ell^+ \\ & \text{WRHDs: } \Sigma^+ \to p \gamma^* \end{split}$$

- □ In BSM (NP)
 - The NP operators can be obtained by a chiral flip in the quark current, and one also has scalar, pseudoscalar and their primed operators.

$$O_{S} = \alpha \left(\bar{d}(1+\gamma_{5})s \right) \left(\bar{\ell}^{-}\ell^{+} \right), \qquad O_{S}' = \alpha \left(\bar{d}(1-\gamma_{5})s \right) \left(\bar{\ell}^{-}\ell^{+} \right),$$
$$O_{P} = \alpha \left(\bar{d}(1+\gamma_{5})s \right) \left(\bar{\ell}^{-}\gamma_{5}\ell^{+} \right), \qquad O_{P}' = \alpha \left(\bar{d}(1-\gamma_{5})s \right) \left(\bar{\ell}^{-}\gamma_{5}\ell^{+} \right)$$

SM operators and Feynman diagrams for $s \rightarrow d\mathcal{V}\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ and $s \rightarrow d\mu^+\mu^-$ decays



 $\mathbf{s} \rightarrow d\mathcal{V}\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ transitions:

 $\mathbf{s} \rightarrow d\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions: u,c,t $\frac{G_F\lambda_t}{\sqrt{2}}C_7 \frac{e}{4\pi}m_s \bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_5)sF^{\mu\nu}$

u,c,t u,c,t w v,c,wz z z $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{G_F \lambda_t \alpha}{\sqrt{2}} C_{9(10)} \left(\bar{d} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) s \right) \left(\bar{\ell}^- \gamma^\mu (\gamma_5) \ell^+ \right)$ u,c,t

Wilson coefficient $C_i(\mu)$ are calculated in PT at $\mu=m_w$ and rescaled to $\mu=1$ GeV. **O**_T does not contribute to $s \rightarrow d\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions **PRL.113.241802**.



□ The total decay width in the presence of NP, expanded up to NLO in δ , can be written as

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{\alpha^2 G_F^2 |\lambda_t|^2 f_1(0)^2 \Delta^5}{60\pi^3} \cdot \left[\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta \right) \left| C_{\nu_\ell}^L + C_{\nu_\ell}^R \right|^2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta \right) \frac{g_1(0)^2}{f_1(0)^2} \left| C_{\nu_\ell}^L - C_{\nu_\ell}^R \right|^2 + O\left(\delta^2\right) \right]$$

■ These decay channels are sensitive to vectorial (parity even) and axial-vectorial (parity odd) couplings of the $s \rightarrow d$ currents

□ A reliable determination of the form factors is necessary to better control uncertainties

Form factors relevant to $B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$

Following PRL114 (2015) 161802, the form factors are obtained from covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory up to one loop order and isospin symmetry, and thus providing model independent inputs

$$f_{1}(0)^{\Lambda n} = f_{1}(0)^{\Lambda p}, \qquad g_{1}(0)^{\Lambda n} = g_{1}(0)^{\Lambda p},$$

$$f_{1}(0)^{\Sigma^{+}p} = f_{1}(0)^{\Sigma^{-}n}, \qquad g_{1}(0)^{\Sigma^{+}p} = g_{1}(0)^{\Sigma^{-}n},$$

$$f_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{0}\Sigma^{0}} = -f_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{-}\Sigma^{0}}, \qquad g_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{0}\Sigma^{0}} = -g_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{-}\Sigma^{0}},$$

$$f_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{0}\Lambda} = f_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{-}\Lambda}, \qquad g_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{0}\Lambda} = g_{1}(0)^{\Xi^{-}\Lambda},$$

	Λn	$\Sigma^+ p$	$\Xi^0 \Sigma^0$	$\Xi^0\Lambda$
$f_1(0)$	$-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$	$^{-1}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$
$g_1(0)$	-0.89(2)	0.33(2)	-0.86(3)	0.24(4)

L. S. Geng et al., Phys. Rev. D 79, 094022 (2009) T. Ledwig et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 054502 (2014)

Decay mode 2: $K \rightarrow \pi \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$

Isospin symmetry relate the form factors in the FCNC processes to those of the wellknown charge-current decays

$$\langle \pi^+ | (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} | K^+ \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle \pi^0 | (\bar{s}u)_{V-A} | K^+ \rangle,$$
$$\langle \pi^0 | (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} | K^0 \rangle = \langle \pi^0 | (\bar{s}u)_{V-A} | K^+ \rangle.$$



D Branching ratios of two $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \overline{\nu}$ processes in the presence of NP are

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{BR}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = \frac{2\alpha^2 |\lambda_t|^2 \mathrm{BR}(K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)}{|V_{us}|^2} \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} |C_{\nu_\ell}^L + C_{\nu_\ell}^R|^2, \\ & \mathrm{BR}(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) = \frac{2\alpha^2 \tau_{K_L} \mathrm{BR}(K^+ \to \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)}{\tau_{K^+} |V_{us}|^2} \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \left(\mathrm{Im}[(C_{\nu_\ell}^L + C_{\nu_\ell}^R)\lambda_t^*]\right)^2, \end{split}$$

> The 3-body decay channels are only sensitive to **vectorial couplings** of the $s \rightarrow d$ currents

 \succ Uncertainties are mainly from the measurements of the charged current $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ v$ decay.

Decay mode 3: $K \rightarrow \pi \pi \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ (I)

Isospin symmetry relate the form factors in the FCNC processes to those of the wellknown charged-current decay

$$\langle \pi^{+}\pi^{0}|(\bar{s}d)_{V-A}|K^{+}\rangle = -\sqrt{2}\langle (\pi^{+}\pi^{-})_{I=1}|(\bar{s}u)_{V-A}|K^{+}\rangle,$$

 $\langle \pi^0 \pi^0 | (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} | K^0 \rangle = \langle (\pi^+ \pi^-)_{I=0} | (\bar{s}u)_{V-A} | K^+ \rangle.$



 $\Box \text{ Four-body differential decay rate in terms of 9 angular coefficients}$ $\frac{d^5\Gamma}{ds_{\pi}ds_{\ell}d(\cos\theta_{\pi})d(\cos\theta_{\ell})d\phi} = \alpha^2 G_F^2 |\lambda_t|^2 N(s_{\pi}, s_{\ell}) J_5(s_{\pi}, s_{\ell}, \theta_{\pi}, \theta_{\ell}, \phi)$ $J_5 = I_1 + I_2 \cos 2\theta_{\ell} + I_3 \sin^2 \theta_{\ell} \cos 2\phi + I_4 \sin 2\theta_{\ell} \cos \phi + I_5 \sin \theta_{\ell} \cos \phi$ $+ I_6 \cos \theta_{\ell} + I_7 \sin \theta_{\ell} \sin \phi + I_8 \sin 2\theta_{\ell} \sin \phi + I_9 \sin^2 \theta_{\ell} \sin 2\phi,$

□ After integrating out the angle θ_{ℓ} and ϕ , only I_1 and I_2 contribute to the **total** decay width, i.e.,

$$\Gamma = \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{m_K^2} \int_0^{(m_K - \sqrt{s_{\pi}})^2} \int_{-1}^1 \alpha^2 G_F^2 |\lambda_t|^2 N(s_{\pi}, s_{\ell}) \cdot 4\pi (I_1 - \frac{1}{3}I_2) \cdot ds_{\pi} ds_{\ell} d(\cos \theta_{\pi}).$$

Decay mode 3: $K \rightarrow \pi \pi \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ (II)

\Box I_1 and I_2 in terms of helicity amplitudes

$$I_{1} = \frac{s_{\ell}}{2} \left(3|H_{+}^{V}|^{2} + 3|H_{-}^{V}|^{2} + 2|H_{0}^{V}|^{2} + 3|H_{+}^{A}|^{2} + 3|H_{-}^{A}|^{2} + 2|H_{0}^{A}|^{2} \right),$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{s_{\ell}}{2} \left(|H_{+}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{-}^{V}|^{2} - 2|H_{0}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{+}^{A}|^{2} + |H_{-}^{A}|^{2} - 2|H_{0}^{A}|^{2} \right).$$

 \Box Helicity amplitudes for $K^+
ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 v \overline{v}$

$$H_{0}^{V(A)} = \frac{i(C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} - C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{R})\left(4F \cdot m_{K}^{2}X + G \cdot \sigma_{\pi}\cos\theta_{\pi}\left(-(s_{l} - s_{\pi})^{2} + m_{K}^{4} + 4X^{2}\right)\right)}{4m_{K}^{3}\sqrt{s_{l}}},$$

$$H_{+}^{V(A)} = -\frac{i\sqrt{s_{\pi}}\sigma_{\pi}\sin\theta_{\pi}\left(G \cdot m_{K}^{2}\left(C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} - C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{R}\right) + H \cdot X\left(C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} + C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{R}\right)}{\sqrt{2}m_{K}^{3}},$$

$$H_{-}^{V(A)} = \frac{i\sqrt{s_{\pi}}\sigma_{\pi}\sin\theta_{\pi}\left(G \cdot m_{K}^{2}\left(C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} - C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{R}\right) - H \cdot X\left(C_{\nu_{\ell}}^{L} + C_{\nu}^{R}\right)}{\sqrt{2}m_{K}^{3}},$$

$$\Box \text{ Helicity amplitudes for } K_{L} \to \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\upsilon\overline{\upsilon}$$

$$H_0^{V(A)} = \frac{i\sqrt{2}X \cdot F \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(C_{\nu_\ell}^L - C_{\nu_\ell}^R \lambda_t^*\right)\right]}{m_K \sqrt{s_l}},$$
$$H_+^{V(A)} = 0,$$
$$H_-^{V(A)} = 0,$$

Decay modes 4&5: $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ (I)

 \square Branching ratio of $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ JHEP 08, 088 (2006)

$$BR(K_L \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \left[6.7 + \left(\frac{0.08 \alpha \pi^2}{\sqrt{2} G_F m_s m_\mu} Im \left[\lambda_t^* (C_S - C'_S) \right] \right)^2 + \left(1.1 (C'_{10} - C_{10}) \right)^2$$

 \Box For the $K^+
ightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay

 $\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_{\mu}} = \Gamma_0 \beta_{\mu} \sqrt{\lambda(q^2)} \left[a(q^2) + b(q^2)\cos\theta_{\mu} + c(q^2)\cos^2\theta_{\mu} \right]$

$$\begin{aligned} a(q^{2}) &= q^{2} \left(\beta_{\mu}^{2} \left| F_{S}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} + \left| F_{P}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda(q^{2})}{4} \left(\left| F_{A}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} + \left| F_{V}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} \right) \\ &+ 4m_{\mu}^{2} m_{K^{+}}^{2} \left| F_{A}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} + 2m_{\mu} \left(m_{K^{+}}^{2} - m_{\pi^{+}}^{2} + q^{2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \left[F_{P}(q^{2}) F_{A}(q^{2})^{*} \right], \\ b(q^{2}) &= 2m_{\mu} \beta_{\mu} \sqrt{\lambda(q^{2})} \operatorname{Re} \left[F_{S}(q^{2}) F_{V}(q^{2})^{*} \right], \\ c(q^{2}) &= -\frac{\beta_{\mu}^{2} \lambda(q^{2})}{4} \left(\left| F_{A}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} + \left| F_{V}(q^{2}) \right|^{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Decay modes 4&5: $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ (II)

$$F_{V}(q^{2}) = \left(C_{9} + C_{9}'\right)f_{+}(q^{2}) + \frac{2m_{s}}{m_{K^{+}} + m_{\pi^{+}}}f_{T}(q^{2})C_{7} + F_{V\gamma}(q^{2}),$$

$$F_{A}(q^{2}) = \left(C_{10} + C_{10}'\right)f_{+}(q^{2}),$$

$$F_{S}(q^{2}) = \frac{m_{K^{+}}^{2} - m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}}{2(m_{s} - m_{d})}f_{0}(q^{2})\left(C_{S} + C_{S}'\right),$$

$$F_{P}(q^{2}) = \frac{m_{K^{+}}^{2} - m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}}{2(m_{s} - m_{d})}f_{0}(q^{2})\left(C_{P} + C_{P}'\right) - m_{\mu}\left(C_{10} + C_{10}'\right)\left[f_{+}(q^{2}) - \frac{m_{K^{+}}^{2} - m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}}{q^{2}}\left(f_{0}(q^{2}) - f_{+}(q^{2})\right)\right]$$
where $\Gamma_{0} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}\alpha^{2}|\lambda|^{2}}{512\pi^{3}m_{K^{+}}^{3}}, \beta_{\mu} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^{2}}{q^{2}}} \text{ and } \lambda(q^{2}) = q^{4} + m_{K^{+}}^{4} + m_{\pi^{+}}^{4} - 2(m_{K^{+}}^{2}m_{\pi^{+}}^{2} + m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}q^{2} + m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}q^{2})$
Long-distance contribution $F_{V\gamma}(q^{2}) = -\left[\left(\alpha_{+} + \beta_{+}\frac{q^{2}}{m_{K^{+}}^{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{m_{K^{+}}^{2}}G_{F}W_{+}^{\pi\pi}(q^{2})\right]\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi\lambda_{I}^{*}}, \text{ JHEP 08, 004 (1998)}$

The branching ratio and forward-backward asymmetry are defined as

$$BR = 2\Gamma_0 \int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \beta_\mu \sqrt{\lambda(q^2)} \left(a(q^2) + \frac{1}{3}c(q^3) \right),$$
$$A_{FB} = \frac{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \beta_\mu \sqrt{\lambda(q^2)}b(q^2)}{2 \int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \beta_\mu \sqrt{\lambda(q^2)} \left(a(q^2) + \frac{1}{3}c(q^3) \right)},$$

Decay mode 6: of $\Sigma^+ ightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ (I)

Helicity basis allows for an explicit separation of long and short range contributions

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell} = \mathcal{N}(q^2) \left[I_1(q^2) + I_2(q^2)\cos\theta_\ell + I_3(q^2)\cos^2\theta_\ell \right]$$

$$\begin{split} I_{1}(q^{2}) = & \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^{A}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}^{A}|^{2} \right) \cdot 8m_{\ell}^{2} + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^{S}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}^{S}|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(2q^{2} - 5m_{\ell}^{2} \right) \\ & + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^{P}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}^{P}|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(2q^{2} - 3m_{\ell}^{2} \right) - 8m_{\ell} \sqrt{q^{2}} \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left[H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^{A*} H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^{P} + H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}^{A*} H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}^{P} \right] \\ & + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}^{V}|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(8m_{\ell}^{2} + 2q^{2}\beta^{2} \right) + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{A}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}^{A}|^{2} \right) \cdot 2q^{2}\beta^{2} \\ & + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},+}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-}^{V}|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(8m_{\ell}^{2} + q^{2}\beta^{2} \right) + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},+}^{A}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-}^{A}|^{2} \right) \cdot q^{2}\beta^{2}, \\ \hline I_{2}(q^{2}) = -8m_{\ell} \sqrt{q^{2}}\beta \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left[H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^{S} H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{V*} + H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}^{S} H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}^{V*} \right] - 4q^{2}\beta \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left[H_{\frac{1}{2},+}^{A} H_{\frac{1}{2},+}^{V*} - H_{-\frac{1}{2},-}^{A} H_{-\frac{1}{2},-}^{V*} \right] \\ & I_{3}(q^{2}) = \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{A}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}^{A}|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(-2q^{2}\beta^{2} \right) \\ & + \left(|H_{\frac{1}{2},+}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-}^{V}|^{2} + |H_{\frac{1}{2},+}^{A}|^{2} + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-}^{A}|^{2} \right) \cdot q^{2}\beta^{2}, \end{split}$$



Short-distance

 H^V includes long-distance contributions, and $H^{A,S,P}$ only contain short-distance contributions

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{V} = -\frac{iC_{7}m_{s} \left[\sqrt{Q_{-}}\left(g_{T}(q^{2}) - g_{T}^{(1)}(q^{2})\frac{M_{1}+M_{2}}{M_{1}} - g_{T}^{(2)}(q^{2})\frac{Q_{+}}{M_{1}^{2}}\right) + \sqrt{Q_{+}}\left(g_{T5}(q^{2}) + g_{T5}^{(1)}(q^{2})\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{M_{1}} - g_{T}^{(2)}(q^{2})\frac{Q_{-}}{M_{1}^{2}}\right)\right]}{2\pi\sqrt{q^{2}}} + \frac{\sqrt{Q_{-}}\left(C_{9} + C_{9}'\right)\left[f_{1}(q^{2})(M_{1} + M_{2}) + f_{2}(q^{2})\frac{q^{2}}{M_{1}}\right]}{\sqrt{q^{2}}} + \frac{\sqrt{Q_{+}}\left(C_{9} - C_{9}'\right)\left[g_{1}(q^{2})(M_{1} - M_{2}) - g_{2}(q^{2})\frac{q^{2}}{M_{1}}\right]}{\sqrt{q^{2}}} + \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi[-a\sqrt{Q_{-}} - b\sqrt{Q_{+}} - c\sqrt{Q_{-}}(M_{1} + M_{2}) + d\sqrt{Q_{+}}(M_{1} - M_{2})]}{\sqrt{q^{2}}\lambda_{t}}, \qquad \text{Long-distance}$$

Decay mode 6: $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ (II)

$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{\ell}} = \mathcal{N}\left[k_1 + k_2\cos\theta_{\ell} + k_3\cos^2\theta_{\ell}\right],$ Decay width expanded in δ reads $k_{1} = \left(\frac{137.06}{\Delta^{2} f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right) \left|\frac{a}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2} + \left(\frac{58.50}{f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right) \left|\frac{c}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2}$ $= \left(\frac{\Delta^{2}f_{1}(0)^{2}}{\Delta^{2}f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{t}}\right| + \left(\frac{1}{f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{t}}\right| \\ + \left(\frac{1221.67}{\Delta^{2}f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{b}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2} + \left(\frac{974.60}{f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{d}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2} \\ + \left(\frac{168.52}{\Delta^{2}f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right) - \left[\frac{ac^{*}}{2}\right] - \left(\frac{2199.79}{2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{d}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2} \\ + \left(\frac{9.00}{\Delta^{2}f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{b}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2} - \left(\frac{7.00}{f_{1}(0)^{2}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\delta\right)\left|\frac{d}{\lambda_{t}}\right|^{2} ,$ $+\left(\frac{168.52}{\Delta f_1(0)^2}\delta\right)\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{ac^*}{\lambda_*\lambda^*}\right] - \left(\frac{2199.79}{\Delta f_1(0)^2}\right)\left(1-\frac{3}{2}\delta\right)\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{bd^*}{\lambda_*\lambda^*}\right],$ $k_{2} = (C_{S} + C_{S}') \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\frac{2.32}{\Delta f_{1}(0)} \delta \right) \frac{f_{S}(0)}{f_{1}(0)} \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_{t}} \right)^{*} + \left(\frac{4.64}{f_{1}(0)} \right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \delta \right) \frac{f_{S}(0)}{f_{1}(0)} \left(\frac{c}{\lambda_{t}} \right)^{*} \right]$ $+ (C_S - C'_S) \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\frac{2.32}{\Delta f_1(0)} \delta \right) \frac{g_P(0)}{f_1(0)} \left(\frac{b}{\lambda_1} \right)^* - \left(\frac{2.32}{f_1(0)} \delta \right) \frac{g_P(0)}{f_1(0)} \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1} \right)^* \right]$ $+ (C_{10} + C'_{10}) \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\frac{5.51}{\Delta f_1(0)} \delta \right) \left(\frac{b}{\lambda_t} \right)^* - \left(\frac{4.68}{f_1(0)} \delta \right) \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_t} \right)^* \right]$ where $\Delta = M_1 - M_2$ and $\mathcal{N} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2 |\lambda_t|^2 \Delta^5 f_1(0)^2}{2048\pi^3}$. $+\left(\frac{11.02}{\Lambda f_1(0)}\delta\right) \left(\frac{f_2(0)}{f_1(0)}\left(\frac{b}{\lambda_1}\right)^* - \left(\frac{9.36}{f_1(0)}\delta\right) \left(\frac{f_2(0)}{f_1(0)}\left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^*\right)$ $+ (C_{10} - C'_{10}) \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\frac{11.02}{\Delta f_1(0)} \right) \frac{g_1(0)}{f_1(0)} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \delta \right) \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_1} \right)^* + \left(\frac{4.68}{f_1(0)} \delta \right) \frac{g_1(0)}{f_1(0)} \left(\frac{c}{\lambda_1} \right)^* \right],$ $\mathrm{BR}=2\tau_{B_1}\mathcal{N}(I_1+\frac{1}{3}I_3),$ $f_2(0)$ is relevant for A_{FB} . $A_{FB} = \frac{I_2}{I_1 + \frac{1}{2}I_3}.$

Five $s \rightarrow dv \overline{v}$ processes

Kao	n K	$+\pi^{+}$	$K_L \pi^0$	$K^+\pi^+\pi^0$	$K_L \pi^0 \pi^0$
SM	8.17(7	7) 10^{-11} 2.60($(2) \times 10^{-11}$ 7	$7.90(34) \times 10^{-15}$	$3.11(6) \times 10^{-13}$
Expt.	< 1.78	$\times 10^{-10} < 4$	1.9×10^{-9}	$< 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$	$< 8.1 imes 10^{-7}$
$\delta C^L_{\nu_\ell} + \epsilon$	$C^R_{\nu_\ell}$ (-3.	.4, 0.7) (-1	(5.0, 13.0) ($(-2.3, 2.3) imes 10^4$	×
$\delta C^L_{\nu_\ell} - \delta C^L_{\nu_\ell}$	$C^R_{ u_\ell}$	X	×	$-1.1, 1.1) \times 10^5$	$(-3.0, 3.0) \times 10^3$
	\neg				
Нуре	ron	Λn	Σ^+p	$\Xi^0\Sigma^0$	Ξον
	SM-NLO	$6.0(1) imes 10^{-13}$	$3.3(1) imes 10^{-1}$	³ 8.4(5) × 10^{-14}	$5.4(2) \times 10^{-13}$
	Projection	$< 0.3 10^{-6}$	$< 0.4 \ 10^{-6}$	$< 0.9 \ 10^{-6}$	$< 0.8 \ 10^{-6}$
BESIII	$\delta C^L_{\nu_\ell} + C^R_{\nu_\ell}$	$(-1.6, 1.6) \times 10^3$	(−1.8, 1.8) × 1	0^3 (-1.1, 1.1) × 10	1^4 (-1.8, 1.8) × 10 ³
>	$\delta C^L_{\nu_\ell} - C^R_{\nu_\ell}$	$(-1.3, 1.3) \times 10^3$	$(-3.4, 3.4) \times 1$	0^3 (-5.3, 5.3) × 10	0^3 (-7.4, 7.4) × 10 ³
	Data	< 8.5 10 ⁻³	< 4.9 10 ⁻³	$< 2.3 \ 10^{-4}$	$< 2.3 10^{-4}$
Hyperon lifetime	$\delta C^L_{\nu_\ell} + C^R_{\nu_\ell}$	$(-2.7, 2.7) \times 10^5$	$(-1.9, 1.9) \times 1$	0^5 (-1.7, 1.7) × 10	0^5 (-3.0, 3.0) × 10 ⁴
	$\delta C^L_{\nu_\ell} - C^R_{\nu_\ell}$	$(-2.2, 2.2) \times 10^5$	(-3.7, 3.7) 10	⁵ $(-8.5, 8.5) \times 10$	0^4 (-1.3, 1.3) × 10 ⁵
	1				

 $\Box \delta C_{vl}^L + C_{vl}^R$ is constrained more stringently by the kaon modes

 $\square B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ are better than their kaon siblings to constrain $\delta C_{vl}^L - C_{vl}^R$

Comparison of the SM predictions for $B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \mathcal{V} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$

	An	$\Sigma^+ p$	$\Xi_0 \Sigma_0$	Ξ⁰Λ
SM-NLO	$6.0(1) imes 10^{-13}$	$3.3(1) \times 10^{-13}$	$8.4(5) \times 10^{-14}$	$5.4(2) imes 10^{-13}$
In Ref. [2]	$7.1 imes 10^{-13}$	$4.3 imes 10^{-13}$	1.0×10^{-14}	$6.3 imes 10^{-13}$
In Ref. [3]	1.98×10^{-12}	5.01×10^{-13}	1.24×10^{-14}	7.35×10^{-13}

[2] Jusak Tandean, JHEP 04, 104 (2019)[3] Xiao-Hui Hu et al., Chin. Phys. C 43, 093104 (2019)

 $s \rightarrow d\mu^+\mu^-$

$a (\text{GeV}^2) \times 10^{-3} b (\text{GeV}^2) >$	10^{-3} BR $\times 10^{-3}$	$0^8 A_{FB} \times 10^5$	$BR \times 10^8$ [19, 20]	$A_{FB} \times 10^5 [19, 20]$
--	-------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------

Case 1	13.3 + 2.84 <i>i</i>	-6.0 - 1.83 <i>i</i>	1.7	-1.7(2)	1.6	3.7
Case 2	-13.3 + 2.84i	6.0 – 1.83 <i>i</i>	3.5	0.3(1)	3.5	-1.4
Case 3	6.0 + 2.84i	-13.3 - 1.83 <i>i</i>	5.5	0.4(0)	5.1	0.9
Case 4	-6.0 + 2.84i	13.3 – 1.83i	9.3	-0.4(0)	9.1	-0.3
						201
	$BR(K_L -$	$\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$)	$ A_{FB} (K$	$^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	$ A_{FB} (\Sigma$	$^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-)$
SM	(7.64 ± 1	.22) 10 ⁻⁹	$\left(\right) \right)$	0	(-1.7	~ 0.4) 10 ⁻⁵
Expt.	(6.84 ± 0)	.11) 10-9	< 2.3 10	⁻² (90% C.L.)	< 2.3 10	⁻² (90% C.L.)
$C_S + C'_S$		$\langle \rangle$	(-3	.05, 3.05)	(-5.3,	$(5.3) \times 10^3$
$C_S - C'_S$	(-0.12	2, 0.12)		×	(-1.7,	$(1.7) \times 10^3$
$\delta C_{10} + C_1'$			0	×	(-2.2,	$(2.2) \times 10^3$
$\delta C_{10} - C_1'$	(-2.35	, 0.59)		×	(-1.4,	$(1.4) \times 10^3$

D The contribution of the form factor $f_2(0)$ can be relevant for AFB.

 $\Sigma^+
ightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$

Current kaon bounds except for the $\delta C_{10} + C'_{10}$ scenario are a few orders of magnitude better than those of $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$ if measured up to the same precision. [19] X.G. He et al., PRD72, 074003 (2005)

[20] X.G. He et al., JHEP 10, 040 (2018)



Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV ($KD\overline{D^{(*)}}$)—Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>

Rare processes & new physics

- ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
- ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **G** Summary & outlook

61

$B_i \rightarrow B_f \gamma$

□ Asymmetry parameters

The effective Lagrangian for the weak radiative hyperon decay $B_i o B_f \gamma$ is written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{eG_F}{2}\bar{B}_f(a+b\gamma_5)\sigma^{\mu\nu}B_iF_{\mu\nu}$$

One can easily calculate the following decay width in helicity basis from Lagrangian above

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{e^2 G_F^2}{\pi} (|a|^2 + |b|^2) [1 + \frac{2\text{Re}(ab^*)}{|a|^2 + |b|^2} \cos\theta] \cdot |\vec{k}|^3,$$
$$\alpha = \frac{2\text{Re}(ab^*)}{|a|^2 + |b|^2}, \qquad \Gamma = \frac{e^2 G_F^2}{\pi} (|a|^2 + |b|^2) \cdot |\vec{k}|^3,$$

where α is the asymmetry parameter, G_F is the Fermi constant, and α is the angle between the spin of the initial hyperon B_i and the 3-momentum \vec{k} of the final baryon B_f .

Latest experimental results

Decay modes	Branch ratios	α	
$\Lambda \to n \gamma$	$(1.75\pm 0.15)\times 10^{-3}$	_	
$\Sigma^+ \to p \gamma$	$(1.23\pm 0.05)\times 10^{-3}$	-0.76 ± 0.08	
$\Sigma^0 \to n \gamma$	_	_	PDG 2021
$\Xi^0\to\Lambda\gamma$	$(1.17\pm 0.07)\times 10^{-3}$	-0.70 ± 0.07	
$\Xi^0\to\Sigma^0\gamma$	$(3.33\pm 0.10)\times 10^{-3}$	-0.69 ± 0.06	
$\Xi^-\to \Sigma^- \gamma$	$(1.27\pm 0.23)\times 10^{-4}$	1.0 ± 1.3	

On the theory side

Up to now, none of the theories or approaches can explain simultaneously the asymmetry parameters data.

Therefore, we studied the weak radiative hyperon decays in covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory (BChPT) together with the extended-on-mass shell renormalization scheme (EOMS).

Theoretical framework: EOMS Baryon ChPT





PartII—summary

- □ For the $s \to dv \overline{v}$ transitions, $\delta C_{vl}^L + C_{vl}^R$ can be determined well by the kaon modes but the $B_1 \to B_2 v \overline{v}$ modes are better than their kaon counterparts for the constraint on $\delta C_{vl}^L - C_{vl}^R$.
- □ For the $s \to d\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions, current kaon bounds are a few orders of magnitude better than those of $\Sigma^+ \to p\mu^+\mu^-$ if they are measured up to the same precision, except for the $\delta C_{10} + C'_{10}$ scenario. In addition, the contribution of the form factor $f_2(0)$ is relevant for A_{FB} .
- The EOMS BChPT can still not explain the present asymmetry parameters data in weak radiative hyperon decays.

PartII—outlook

- □ For the $s \to dv \overline{v}$ transitions, measurements of $B_1 \to B_2 \overline{v} \overline{v}$ decays can help better constrain the axial-vectorial coupling $\delta C_{vl}^L - C_{vl}^R$.
- **D** For the $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$ decay, a measurement of leptonic forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB} can help constrain $\delta C_{10} + C'_{10}$.
- □ For the weak radiative hyperon decays, the re-measurement of asymmetry parameters can provide some guidance for future theory studies.



Contents

Exotic hadrons

- ✓ Singly charmed baryons— $\Lambda_c(2595)$: <u>1409.3133</u>, <u>1603.05388</u>
- ✓ Excited kaons above 4 GeV $(KDD^{(*)})$ Kc(4180): <u>1805.08330</u>, <u>2012.01134</u>
- **Rare processes & new physics**
 - ✓ Searching for NP in hyperon decays
 - ✓ Weak radiative hyperon decays
- **Given Summary & outlook**



□ Updated BESIII could make important and unique contributions to studies of exotic (non-conventional) hadrons and new physics

□ We showcased a few examples in this talk.

We look forward to more collaborations between theory and experiment