



Testing Spacetime Symmetries via GWs

Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

第十五届TeV物理工作组学术研讨会

Modern Physics Landscape



How the Universe is Ruled

Particles of strong, weak, electromagnetic interactions

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{lepton}} &= \frac{1}{2} i e e_a^{\mu} \left[\bar{L}_A \gamma^a \stackrel{a}{D}_{\mu} L_A + \bar{R}_A \gamma^a \stackrel{a}{D}_{\mu} R_A \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}} &= \frac{1}{2} i e e_a^{\mu} \left[\bar{Q}_A \gamma^a \stackrel{a}{D}_{\mu} \dot{Q}_A + \bar{U}_A \gamma^a \stackrel{a}{D}_{\mu} U_A + \bar{D}_A \gamma^a \stackrel{a}{D}_{\mu} D_A \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}} &= -e \left[(G_L)_{AB} \bar{L}_A \dot{\varphi} R_B + (G_U)_{AB} \bar{Q}_A \phi^c U_B + (G_D)_{AB} \bar{Q}_A \phi D_B \right] + h.c. \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} &= -e \left[(D_{\mu} \dot{\varphi})^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \phi - \mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \dot{\varphi} + \frac{\lambda}{3!} \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi \right)^2 \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} &= -\frac{1}{2} e \left[\text{Tr} \left(G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \right) + \text{Tr} \left(W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{2} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \right] \end{split}$$

Spacetime of gravitational interaction

$$S_{\text{gravity}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, e(R - 2\Lambda + \cdots)$$

Absence of Quantum Gravity

- On one hand, we have Quantum Field Theory to describe the electromagnetic, strong, and weak interactions
- On the other hand, we have General Relativity to describe the gravity, as the dynamics of curved spacetime

Absence of Quantum Gravity

- On one hand, we have Quantum Field Theory to describe the electromagnetic, strong, and weak interactions
- On the other hand, we have General Relativity to describe the gravity, as the dynamics of curved spacetime
- However, QFT and GR are Not Compatible at their face values!



[Planck & Einstein]

Theoretical physics is beautiful, but not yet complete

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 4 / 29

Theoretical physics is beautiful, but not yet complete

Gravity may be hold in g

the key

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 4 / 29

Parameter Space in Gravity Tests



Gravitational-wave Data

First detection!

9:50:45 UTC, 14 September 2015

LIGO Hanford signal

withi MMMMM LIGO Livingston signal

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 6 / 29





Effective-one body (EOB): Buonanno & Damour 1999, 2000 Bohé, Shao, Taracchini et al. 2017 "Merge" Numerical relativity

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 7 / 29

Inspiral: post-Newtonian expansion

Merger: numerical relativity

"Inspiral" post-Newtonian method "Ringdown" BH perturbation



Effective-one body (EOB): Buonanno & Damour 1999, 2000 Bohé, Shao, Taracchini et al. 2017 "Merge" Numerical relativity

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 7 / 29



Merger: numerical relativity

Ringdown: black hole perturbation



Bohé, Shao, Taracchini et al. 2017 Lijing Shao (等立曲) Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 7 / 29

Eccentric Waveform (Time Domain)



SEOBNRE: Cao & Han 2017; Liu, Cao, Shao 2020; Liu, Cao, Zhu 2021

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

Matched Filter

Matched fitlering is a standard analysis method for wideband

time series data [Finn 1992]

$$(\mathbf{g}|\mathbf{k}) \equiv 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{g}^*(f)\tilde{k}(f) + \tilde{g}(f)\tilde{k}^*(f)}{S_n(f)} \mathrm{d}f$$



Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Matched Filter

The power of matched fitlering lays in its ability/sensitivity to the phase of time-series data



Credit: Vivien Raymond / Cardiff U.

Parameter Estimation



Credit: Vivien Raymond / Cardiff U.

TeV Workshop 11 / 29

Parameter Estimation: GW150914

- GW data encode plenty of information of GW sources
 - Apply matched filter to data & theory

Parameter Estimation: GW150914

- GW data encode plenty of information of GW sources
 - Apply matched filter to data & theory

Primary black hole mass	$36^{+5}_{-4} M_{\odot}$
Secondary black hole mass	$29^{+4}_{-4}{M}_{\odot}$
Final black hole mass	$62^{+4}_{-4}{M}_{\odot}$
Final black hole spin	$0.67\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.07}$
Luminosity distance	$410^{+160}_{-180} { m Mpc}$
Source redshift z	$0.09\substack{+0.03\\-0.04}$

LIGO/Virgo 2016, PRL

GW Transient Catalog GWTC-1 (LIGO/Virgo 2019)

	Туре	<i>m</i> ₁ [<i>M</i> _☉]	<i>m</i> ₂ [<i>M</i> _☉]	$d_L [{ m Mpc}]$	Redshift z
GW150914	BBH	$35.6^{+4.8}_{-3.0}$	$30.6^{+3.0}_{-4.4}$	430^{+150}_{-170}	$0.09\substack{+0.03\\-0.03}$
GW151012	BBH	$23.3^{+14.0}_{-5.5}$	$13.6^{+4.1}_{-4.8}$	1060^{+540}_{-480}	$0.21\substack{+0.09 \\ -0.09}$
GW151226	BBH	$13.7^{+8.8}_{-3.2}$	$7.7^{+2.2}_{-2.6}$	440^{+180}_{-190}	$0.09\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.04}$
GW170104	BBH	$31.0^{+7.2}_{-5.6}$	$20.1^{+4.9}_{-4.5}$	960^{+430}_{-410}	$0.19\substack{+0.07 \\ -0.08}$
GW170608	BBH	$10.9^{+5.3}_{-1.7}$	$7.6^{+1.3}_{-2.1}$	320^{+120}_{-110}	$0.07^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
GW170729	BBH	$50.6^{+16.6}_{-10.2}$	$34.3^{+9.1}_{-10.1}$	2750^{+1350}_{-1320}	$0.48\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.20}$
GW170809	BBH	$35.2^{+8.3}_{-6.0}$	$23.8^{+5.2}_{-5.1}$	990^{+320}_{-380}	$0.20\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.07}$
GW170814	BBH	$30.7^{+5.7}_{-3.0}$	$25.3^{+2.9}_{-4.1}$	580^{+160}_{-210}	$0.12\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.04}$
GW170817	BNS	$1.46\substack{+0.12\\-0.10}$	$1.27\substack{+0.09 \\ -0.09}$	40^{+10}_{-10}	$0.01\substack{+0.00 \\ -0.00}$
GW170818	BBH	$35.5^{+7.5}_{-4.7}$	$26.8^{+4.3}_{-5.2}$	1020^{+430}_{-360}	$0.20\substack{+0.07 \\ -0.07}$
GW170823	BBH	$39.6^{+10.0}_{-6.6}$	$29.4_{-7.1}^{+6.3}$	1850^{+840}_{-840}	$0.34\substack{+0.13 \\ -0.14}$

Signals of GW Events (Frequency Domain)



Liu, Shao, Zhao, Gao 2020, MNRAS [arXiv:2004.12096]

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

GWTC-1: Sky Position (LIGO/Virgo 2019)



GR: massless spin-2 metric field $\Rightarrow E = p$

- **GR**: massless spin-2 metric field $\Rightarrow E = p$
- Lorentz-invariant massive graviton

 $\Rightarrow E = p$ $\Rightarrow E^2 = p^2 + m^2$

- **GR**: massless spin-2 metric field $\Rightarrow E = p$
- Lorentz-invariant massive graviton $\Rightarrow E^2 = p^2 + m^2$
 - Both the phase velocity *E*/*p* and the group velocity ∂*E*/∂*p* depend on the energy/frequency of graviton

- **GR**: massless spin-2 metric field $\Rightarrow E = p$
- Lorentz-invariant massive graviton $\Rightarrow E^2 = p^2 + m^2$
 - Both the phase velocity E/p and the group velocity $\partial E/\partial p$
 - depend on the energy/frequency of graviton
 - GWs gain *frequency-dependent* time delays when they arrive at the Earth



- **GR**: massless spin-2 metric field $\Rightarrow E = p$
- Lorentz-invariant massive graviton $\Rightarrow E^2 = p^2 + m^2$
 - Both the phase velocity E/p and the group velocity $\partial E/\partial p$ depend on the energy/frequency of graviton
 - GWs gain *frequency-dependent* time delays when they arrive at the Earth
 - In a FRW spacetime, one has [Will 1998, PRD57:2061]

$$\Delta t_a = (1+z) \left[\Delta t_e + \frac{D}{2\lambda_g^2} \left(\frac{1}{f_e^2} - \frac{1}{f_e'^2} \right) \right]$$



Propagation of GWs

The extra time delay results in a phase shift in $h(f) \propto e^{i\Psi(f)}$

$$\Psi(f) = \Psi_{\mathrm{GR}}(f) - rac{\pi^2 D \mathcal{M}}{\lambda_g^2(1+z)} (\pi \mathcal{M} f)^{-1}$$



Propagation of GWs

The extra time delay results in a phase shift in $h(f) \propto e^{i\Psi(f)}$

$$\Psi(f) = \Psi_{\mathrm{GR}}(f) - rac{\pi^2 D \mathcal{M}}{\lambda_g^2(1+z)} (\pi \mathcal{M} f)^{-1}$$

On the other hand, the waveform is *totally* calculable and deterministic in GR



Propagation of GWs

The extra time delay results in a phase shift in $h(f) \propto e^{i\Psi(f)}$

$$\Psi(f) = \Psi_{\mathrm{GR}}(f) - rac{\pi^2 D \mathcal{M}}{\lambda_g^2(1+z)} (\pi \mathcal{M} f)^{-1}$$

- On the other hand, the waveform is *totally* calculable and deterministic in GR
- Therefore, GWs provide an observational window to the dispersion relation of graviton



Propagation of GWs with Lorentz Violation

Lorentz violation occurs in a few quantum gravity candidate

theories [Kostelecký & Samuel 1989; Amelino-Camelia 2013]

Propagation of GWs with Lorentz Violation

Lorentz violation occurs in a few quantum gravity candidate theories is a second se

theories [Kostelecký & Samuel 1989; Amelino-Camelia 2013]

Dispersion relation of GWs with isotropic Lorentz violation

[Mirshekari, Yunes, Will 2012]

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_g^2 c^4 + \mathbb{A} p^\alpha c^\alpha$$

where m_g is the graviton mass; \mathbb{A} and α are two Lorentz-violating parameters

Lorentz-violating Propagation of GWs



LIGO/Virgo 2021

Lorentz-violating Propagation of GWs



LIGO/Virgo 2021

Lorentz-violating Propagation of GWs



But... such a combination is problematic in general

LIGO/Virgo 2021

TeV Workshop 20 / 29

Standard-model Extension

The most generic linearized gravity has the Lagrangian

[Kostelecký & Mewes 2018]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}} = rac{1}{4} h_{\mu
u} \hat{\mathcal{K}}^{(d)\mu
u
ho\sigma} h_{
ho\sigma}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{K}}^{(d)\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathcal{K}^{(d)\mu\nu\rho\sigma i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{d-2}} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_{d-2}}$

Standard-model Extension

The most generic linearized gravity has the Lagrangian

[Kostelecký & Mewes 2018]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}} = rac{1}{4} h_{\mu
u} \hat{\mathcal{K}}^{(d)\mu
u
ho\sigma} h_{
ho\sigma}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{K}}^{(d)\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathcal{K}^{(d)\mu\nu\rho\sigma i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{d-2}} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \cdots \partial_{i_{d-2}}$

It predicts a modified dispersion relation for GWs

$$\omega = \left(1-\zeta^{0}\pm\sqrt{\left(\zeta^{1}
ight)^{2}+\left(\zeta^{2}
ight)^{2}+\left(\zeta^{3}
ight)^{2}}
ight)$$
 p

Standard-model Extension

$$\omega = \left(1 - \zeta^{0} \pm \sqrt{(\zeta^{1})^{2} + (\zeta^{2})^{2} + (\zeta^{3})^{2}}\right) \rho$$

$$\zeta^{0} = \sum_{djm} \omega^{d-4} Y_{jm}(\hat{n}) k^{(d)}_{(1jm}$$

$$\zeta^{1} \mp i\zeta^{2} = \sum_{djm} \omega^{d-4}_{\pm 4} Y_{jm}(\hat{n}) \left[k^{(d)}_{(E)jm} \pm ik^{(d)}_{(B)jm}\right]$$

$$\zeta^{3} = \sum_{djm} \omega^{d-4} Y_{jm}(\hat{n}) k^{(d)}_{(V)jm}$$

Therefore, gravitons of different polarization or frequency, coming from different directions have different velocity

GWTC-1 Events

A simplified/naive approach: $|\omega_{\rm GW} \Delta t| \leq 2\pi/\rho$



We have all the information available to perform the test

Shao 2020, PRD101:104019

Anisotropic Birefringence Combined Search



We have all the information available to perform the test

Shao 2020, PRD101:104019

Masses in the Stellar Graveyard in Solar Masses



Matched Filter Analysis



Mewes, PRD99:104062

TeV Workshop 25 / 29

Monte Carlo Markov-Chain Runs



Liu et al., PRD102:024028

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

TeV Workshop 26 / 29

Summary

■ The most generic propagation of GWs depends on

- GW frequency
- GW polarization
- GW source direction

Summary

■ The most generic propagation of GWs depends on

- GW frequency
- GW polarization
- GW source direction

For the first time, we combined 11 GW events in the catalog GWTC-1, and bounded *multiple* coefficients for generic Lorentz/CPT violation



Summary

■ The most generic propagation of GWs depends on

- GW frequency
- GW polarization
- GW source direction

For the first time, we combined 11 GW events in the catalog GWTC-1, and bounded *multiple* coefficients for generic Lorentz/CPT violation

GWTC-2 improves Lorentz/CPT-violating bounds by another

factor of 5 [Wang, Shao, Liu, in prep.]





Only a tiny part of GW spectrum was revealed by now Stay tuned!

Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs



Lijing Shao (邵立晶)

Spacetime Symmetries with GWs

29 / 29 **TeV Workshop**