Axi-Higgs Cosmology

Cosmology with a changing Higgs VEV and an Axionic Solution

Lingfeng Li (HKUST)

Based on [2102.11257] and [2105.01631]

w/ Leo WH Fung, Tao Liu, Hoang Nhan Luu, Yu-Cheng Qiu and S.-H. Henry Tye

Jul. 20, 2021, 15th Workshop on TeV Physics, Beijing

Tension in ΛCDM

- From CMB and local measurements: $H_{0,\mathrm{P18}} = 67.36 \pm 0.54$ km/s/Mpc [Aghanim et al., 2020] vs. $H_{0,\mathrm{late}} = 73.3 \pm 0.8$ km/s/Mpc [Verde et al., 2019] from $z \lesssim 2$.
- From Bing Bang Nucleosynthesis(BBN): the abundance ratio ^{7}Li/H$\times 10^{10}$: 1.6 ± 0.3 (observed) vs. 5.6 ± 0.3 (theoretical) [Zyla et al., 2020, Pitrou et al., 2018, Iliadis and Coc, 2020].
- The weak lensing measurement of S_8 together with the clustering parameter σ_8 [Troxel et al., 2018] yields a value smaller $S_{8,\mathrm{DES}} = 0.773^{+0.026}_{-0.020}$ than that given by the CMB-ΛCDM value: $S_{8,\mathrm{CMB}} = 0.832 \pm 0.013$.
- Recently measurement of isotropic cosmic birefringence (ICB) based on the cross-power (parity-violating) C_l^{EB} data in CMB [Minami and Komatsu, 2020], deviate from 0 by $\sim 2.4\sigma$.









[Verde et al., 2019, Pitrou et al., 2018, Handley and Lemos, 2019, Minami and Komatsu, 2020]

Overview: Changing VEV by an Axion

Tension in H_0 can be alleviated if m_e is $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ heavier when $z \sim 1100$ (recombination) [Ade et al., 2015, Hart and Chluba, 2020]



- The Bohr radius $\downarrow$ as $m_e \uparrow$
- Earlier "freeze out" of recombination.
- During BBN, $m_q \uparrow$ makes ^{7}Li $\downarrow$.

A slowly changing field that moves $v \propto m_e$: the axion.

- Introduces a time scale $\gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10^6)$ yrs, $m_a \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-29}$ eV.
- A light scalar suppresses structure formation (σ_8 tension resolved).
- The $aF^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ coupling leading to non-zero ICB [Fujita et al., 2020]

CMB: Basic Formula

The angular sound horizon $\theta_* = \dfrac{r_*}{D_*}$ provides sensitivity to H_0 :

$$r_* = \int_{z_*}^{\infty} dz \frac{c_s(z)}{H(z)} \propto \int_{z_*}^{\infty} dz \Bigg/ \sqrt{3\left[1+\frac{3\omega_b}{4\omega_\gamma(1+z)}\right]\left[\omega_r(1+z)^4+\omega_m(1+z)^3+\omega_\Lambda\right]} \quad (1)$$

$$D_* = \int_0^{z_*} dz \frac{1}{H(z)} \propto \int_0^{z_*} dz \Big/ \sqrt{\omega_r(1+z)^4+\omega_m(1+z)^3+\omega_\Lambda}\ , \quad (2)$$

Earlier recombination $\Rightarrow$ higher H_0

- For r_*, major contribution comes from integration near z^*, earlier z_* reduces r^*.
- D_* hardly feels the change as it is dominated by late time expansion. $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \log(r_*)}{\partial \log(z_*)} \simeq -0.66\ ,\ \frac{\partial \log(D_*)}{\partial \log(z_*)} \sim -10^{-2}\ .$
- Larger cosmological constant is possible.

CMB Preference

The two most important (so far) constraint that we decide to match

$$(r_d h)_{\rm BAO} = (99.95 \pm 1.20)\ \ {\rm Mpc}\ ,\quad (\theta_*)_{\rm CMB} = (1.04110 \pm 0.00031) \times 10^{-2}\ .$$



Relative to Planck18 fit values:

- $H_0 \sim 71 \pm 1$km/s/Mpc when $\delta v \sim 4\%$.
- $H_0 \sim 69 \pm 1$km/s/Mpc when $\delta v \sim 1\%$.
- ω_c increases by $1 - 4\%$.

Axion as the Modulator of VEV

The minimal coupling (ϕ = Higgs , a = axion):

$$V(\phi, a) \sim V_{\rm SM} = \mu^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4}|\phi|^4 \ ,$$

$$\mu^2 \mapsto \mu^2(1 + \text{const} \times \frac{a^2}{M_{\rm PL}^2}) \ , \ \kappa \mapsto \kappa(1 + \text{const}' \times \frac{a^2}{M_{\rm PL}^2}) \ ,$$

$$W \supset X\left(m_s^2 G(A) - \kappa K(A) H_u H_d\right) \ \rightarrow \ \ V_\phi = \left|m_s^2 G(a) - \kappa K(a)\phi^\dagger\phi\right|^2 \ .$$

"Misalignment" with $m_a \lesssim 10^{-29}$eV:

- Higgs always in the bottom.
- $a \rightarrow$ sub-component of DM.
- $x \equiv \rho$(axion DM)/ρ(all matter).
- $x \sim \mathcal{O}(1\%)$ when $f_a \sim M_{\rm PL}$.



σ_8/S_8 Tension Resolved

The dillemma of early recombination solution of H_0 tension: more CDM to fit CMB $\Rightarrow$ higher σ_8 [Jedamzik et al., 2020]



$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_m^x(k)}{\mathcal{P}_m^0(k)} = \left(\frac{k_{\rm J}(z_{\rm eq})}{k}\right)^{10-2\sqrt{25-24x}} \tag{3}$$



The axion quantum pressure and its potential force suppress the structural formation smaller than the Jeans scale. [Marsh and Ferreira, 2010, Kobayashi et al., 2017].

BBN: An Alternative VEV

Heuristically, the neutron to proton number ratio n/p can be written as [Hall et al., 2014]:

$$\frac{n}{p} \sim e^{-\frac{\Delta m_{np}}{T_{np}}} e^{-\frac{t_D}{\tau_n}}$$

- Fermi constant $G_F \propto v^{-2}$ that affect weak interactions. Larger m_W $\Rightarrow$ earlier $n \leftrightarrow p$ freeze out & longer τ_n.
- Electron mass $m_e \propto v$. Similar effect.
- Increasing the isospin-breaking $\Delta m_q \equiv m_d - m_u \propto v$ contributes to larger $\Delta m_{np} \equiv m_n - m_p \Rightarrow$ later $n \leftrightarrow p$ freeze out & shorter τ_n.
- Averaged light quark mass $\bar{m}_q \equiv (m_u + m_d)/2 \propto v$. The change of $\bar{m}_q$ may significantly influence the rates of strong/nuclear interactions. Essentially changes m_π, making nuclei unstable.

Compatibility with BBN and ^{7}Li Problem





Heavy nuclei more fragile as $m_q \uparrow \Rightarrow m_\pi \uparrow$, ^{7}Li production harder.

[Flambaum and Wiringa, 2007]

Y \ X	m_W	m_e	Δm_q	$\bar{m}_q$	η
$Y_{\rm p}$	2.9	0.40	−5.9	−1.0	0.039
D/H	1.6	0.59	−5.3	10	−1.6
^{7}Li/H	1.7	−0.04	−5.3	−60	2.1

[Dent et al., 2007, Cheoun et al., 2011, Mori and Kusakabe, 2019]

- $\delta v \equiv (v_{\rm BBN} - v_0)/v_0 \simeq 1\%$
- $\delta\eta \simeq \delta\omega_b \simeq 1 - 3\%$

Overlaps w/ CMB preference!

Isotropic Cosmic Birefringence

If the axion has a coupling with the EM gauge field as

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\frac{a}{f_a}F\tilde{F} \ ,$$

the initial phase of axion breaks parity and rotate the linearly polarized CMB [Harari and Sikivie, 1992]:

$$\beta = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 f_a}\int_{t_{\rm ini}}^{t_0} dt\ \dot{a} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 f_a}\Big[a(t_0) - a(t_{\rm ini})\Big] \ . \qquad (4)$$

$$\beta \sim -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\frac{a_{\rm ini}}{f_a} = 0.35 \pm 0.14(\text{degree}), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{a_{\rm ini}}{f_a} \simeq 1.0 \pm 0.3 \ . \ (5)$$

[Minami and Komatsu, 2020]

Observational Limits: Atomic Clocks

$d(\delta v)/dt$ limit from atomic clocks [Lange et al., 2021]:

$$\left.\frac{d(\delta v)}{dt}\right|_{t_0} \simeq \left.\frac{d(\delta \mu)}{dt}\right|_{t_0} = -(0.08 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-16}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$$



$$m_a \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-30}\ \text{eV}$$



$\mathcal{O}(10^{-18})$ yr^{-1} precision is needed $\Rightarrow$ future improvements of atomic (nuclear) clocks.

Discovery potential within 1-2 decades.

Summary





- A higher Higgs VEV when $z \gtrsim 1100$:
  - Earlier recombination, larger H_0.
  - Compatible with BBN (and ^{7}Li)!
- An axionic solution:
  - Alleviate tension in σ_8/S_8, even with more DM.
  - Naturally explaining the non-zero ICB.
  - Great accessibility by different observations.

Ade, P. A. R. et al. (2015).
Planck intermediate results - XXIV. Constraints on variations in fundamental constants.
Astron. Astrophys., 580:A22.

Aghanim, N. et al. (2020).
Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters.
Astron. Astrophys., 641:A6.

Ali-Haïmoud, Y. and Hirata, C. M. (2010).
Ultrafast effective multilevel atom method for primordial hydrogen recombination.
Physical Review D, 82(6).

Cheoun, M.-K., Kajino, T., Kusakabe, M., and Mathews, G. J. (2011).
Time Dependent Quark Masses and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis Revisited.
Phys. Rev. D, 84:043001.

Dent, T., Stern, S., and Wetterich, C. (2007).
Primordial nucleosynthesis as a probe of fundamental physics parameters.
Phys. Rev. D, 76:063513.

Flambaum, V. and Wiringa, R. B. (2007).
Dependence of nuclear binding on hadronic mass variation.
Phys. Rev. C, 76:054002.

Fujita, T., Murai, K., Nakatsuka, H., and Tsujikawa, S. (2020).
Detection of isotropic cosmic birefringence and its implications for axion-like particles including dark energy.

Hall, L. J., Pinner, D., and Ruderman, J. T. (2014).
The Weak Scale from BBN.
JHEP, 12:134.

Handley, W. and Lemos, P. (2019).

Quantifying tensions in cosmological parameters: Interpreting the DES evidence ratio.
Phys. Rev. D, 100(4):043504.

Harari, D. and Sikivie, P. (1992).
Effects of a Nambu-Goldstone boson on the polarization of radio galaxies and the cosmic microwave background.
Phys. Lett. B, 289:67–72.

Hart, L. and Chluba, J. (2020).
Updated fundamental constant constraints from Planck 2018 data and possible relations to the Hubble tension.
Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc., 493(3):3255–3263.

Iliadis, C. and Coc, A. (2020).
Thermonuclear reaction rates and primordial nucleosynthesis.
Astrophys. J., 901(2):127.

Jedamzik, K., Pogosian, L., and Zhao, G.-B. (2020).

Why reducing the cosmic sound horizon can not fully resolve the Hubble tension.

Kobayashi, T., Murgia, R., De Simone, A., Iršič, V., and Viel, M. (2017).
Lyman-α constraints on ultralight scalar dark matter: Implications for the early and late universe.
Phys. Rev. D, 96(12):123514.

Lange, R., Huntemann, N., Rahm, J. M., Sanner, C., Shao, H., Lipphardt, B., Tamm, C., Weyers, S., and Peik, E. (2021).
Improved limits for violations of local position invariance from atomic clock comparisons.
Phys. Rev. Lett., 126(1):011102.

Marsh, D. J. E. and Ferreira, P. G. (2010).
Ultra-Light Scalar Fields and the Growth of Structure in the Universe.

Phys. Rev. D, 82:103528.

Minami, Y. and Komatsu, E. (2020).
New Extraction of the Cosmic Birefringence from the Planck 2018 Polarization Data.
Phys. Rev. Lett., 125(22):221301.

Mori, K. and Kusakabe, M. (2019).
Roles of ^{7}Be$(n, p)^7$Li resonances in big bang nucleosynthesis with time-dependent quark mass and Li reduction by a heavy quark mass.
Phys. Rev. D, 99(8):083013.

Pitrou, C., Coc, A., Uzan, J.-P., and Vangioni, E. (2018).
Precision big bang nucleosynthesis with improved Helium-4 predictions.
Phys. Rept., 754:1–66.

Troxel, M. A. et al. (2018).

Dark Energy Survey Year 1 results: Cosmological constraints from cosmic shear.
Phys. Rev. D, 98(4):043528.

Verde, L., Treu, T., and Riess, A. (2019).
Tensions between the Early and the Late Universe.
Nature Astron., 3:891.

Zyla, P. et al. (2020).
Review of Particle Physics.
PTEP, 2020(8):083C01.