Precise theoretical predictions for Higgs processes Jian Wang (王健) **Shandong University Higgs potential and BSM opportunity Q8.28.2021**, Nanjing





Higgs boson

A cornerstone of the SM is the EWSB proposed fifty years ago by Higgs, Englert, Guralnik, Hagen and Kibble to generate the weak vector boson masses in a way that is minimal and respects the requirements of renormalizability and unitarity. The Higgs boson, as the remaining degree of freedom of the doublet scalar field, was a prediction of this mechanism.

The only sector of the SM that has not yet been probed in a satisfactory way is the scalar sector.

Given its large mass, the discovery and study of its properties rely on the high energy colliders.



Precise theoretical predictions

The current measurements indicate that a possible New Physics, if any, leaves subtle apart New Physics effects from the uncertainties of MC simulations.

progresses in the first two parts due to the limit of my expertise and time.

- imprints below TeV scale. The theoretical predictions need to be precise enough to tell
- The theoretical uncertainties may come from missing higher orders for hard scattering, large logarithms that could spoil the convergence of the perturbative series, simulation of parton showers and hadronization, fitting of parton densities. I will focus on the recent



Higgs processes at the LHC

The discovery of the Higgs boson is a quantum effect.

Ideal process:



The approximation looks good at $m_H \sim 125$ GeV with an accuracy below 1%. The bottom quark effects are around -5%.

Jian Wang, Shandong University





Precise theoretical predictions for Higgs processes



Harlander, 0311005

Higgs production: first N3LO XS at a hadron collider



Anastasiou et al, PRL 114,212001(2015)

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Quota of different corrections

Anastasiou et al, JHEP05(2016)058

$.58\mathrm{pb} =$	$16.00\mathrm{pb}$	(+32.9%)	(LO, rEFT)
	$+20.84\mathrm{pb}$	(+42.9%)	(NLO, rEFT)
	$-2.05\mathrm{pb}$	(-4.2%)	((t, b, c), exact NLO)
	$+ 9.56 \mathrm{pb}$	(+19.7%)	(NNLO, rEFT)
	$+ 0.34 \mathrm{pb}$	(+0.7%)	$(NNLO, 1/m_t)$
	$+ 2.40 \mathrm{pb}$	(+4.9%)	(EW, QCD-EW)
	$+ 1.49 \mathrm{pb}$	(+3.1%)	$(N^{3}LO, rEFT)$

Quota of theoretical uncert.





Higgs production: finite mt effect at NNLO

Why does the infinite mt limit work well? The partonic c.m. energy can be larger than mt.

channel	$\sigma^{ m NNLO}_{ m HEFT} \; [m pb] \ \mathcal{O}(lpha_s^2) + \mathcal{O}(lpha_s^3) + \mathcal{O}(lpha_s^4)$	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\sigma^{ m NNLO}_{ m HEFT})~[m pb] \ {\cal O}(lpha_s^4)$	$(\sigma_{ m exact}^{ m NNLO}/\sigma_{ m HEFT}^{ m NNLO}-1)~[\%]$
		$\sqrt{s} = 8$ T	ſeV	
gg	7.39 + 8.58 + 3.88	+0.0353	$+0.0879\pm0.0005$	+0.62
qg	0.55 + 0.26	-0.1397	-0.0021 ± 0.0005	-18
qq	0.01 + 0.04	+0.0171	-0.0191 ± 0.0002	-4
total	7.39 + 9.15 + 4.18	-0.0873	$+0.0667\pm 0.0007$	-0.10
		$\sqrt{s} = 13$ /	TeV	
gg	16.30 + 19.64 + 8.76	+0.0345	$+0.2431\pm0.0020$	+0.62
qg	1.49 + 0.84	-0.3696	-0.0115 ± 0.0010	-16
qq	0.02 + 0.10	+0.0322	-0.0501 ± 0.0006	-15
total	16.30 + 21.15 + 9.79	-0.3029	$+0.1815\pm 0.0023$	-0.26

The bottom quark contribution is readily obtained.

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Czakon, Harlander, Klappert, Niggetiedt, 2105.04436



Higgs production with Z

HZ production has been used to measure the Hbb coupling. It is of Drell-Yan type, but the gluon fusion contributes from NNLO.

$\mu_r = \mu_f$	$\sigma^{gg}_{ m LO}$	$\sigma^{gg}_{ m NLO}$	$\sigma^{\mathrm{no}\ gg}_{pp ightarrow ZH}$	$\sigma_{pp \to ZH}$	$\sigma_{ m NLO}^{gg,m_t ightarrow\infty}$	$\sigma_{pp \to ZH}^{m_t \to \infty}$
$M_{ZH}/3$	73.56(7)	129.4(3)	784.0(7)	913.4(7)	133.6(6)	917.6(9)
M_{ZH}	51.03(5)	101.7(2)	781.1(7)	882.9(7)	106.0(4)	887.2(8)
$3M_{ZH}$	36.62(4)	80.4(2)	780.7(8)	861.1(8)	84.0(3)	864.8(9)

The NLO correction is ~100% for gg channel, and improves the total ZH x-sec by 6%. The final scale uncertainties are $\sim 3\%$.



Oneloop squared ~ 50% of NLO Twoloop: Expansion in mZ, mH Exact mt: reduce x-sec by 5%

See Guoxing's talk.

Wang, Xu, Xu, Yang, 2107.08206



Higgs rapidity distribution

Fully differential predictions require special treatment for the cancellation of infrared singularities.



Jian Wang, Shandong University





Jian Wang, Shandong University

Higgs production with a c-jet



$$\sigma_{Hc} \sim g_{\text{Yuk}}^2 \, \tilde{\sigma}_1 + g_{ggH}^2 \, \tilde{\sigma}_2 + g_{\text{Yuk}} g_{ggH} \, \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Int}}$$

The interference vanishes if one uses $m_c = 0$ Keeping non-vanishing m_c is non-trivial $\Delta \sigma$

Jian Wang, Shandong University



Brivio, Goertz, Isidori, PRL115,211801(2015)

$\sigma^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]	cg	cq	gg	cc	c ar c	PDF	
const	-1.63	0.13	2.33	0.01	-0.01	0.11	
L	2.23	—	-6.33	-0.04	0.01	1.66	
L^2	-0.06	—	2.66	0.01	-0.08	—	
total	0.54	0.13	-1.34	-0.02	-0.08	1.76	

Bizon, Melnikov, Quarroz JHEP06(2021)107



$g \qquad h$	(a)			 (<i>c</i>)
	LO	NLO	NNLO	N ³
Total	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$	O
a	LOa	NLOa	NNLOa	N
	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$	${\mathcal O}$
b	_	LOb	NLOb	N
	0	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$	\mathcal{O}
С	_	_	LO _c	N
	0	0	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$	\mathcal{O}
	•			
$\left \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{s} \\ \text{order} \end{array} \right $	$13 { m TeV}$	$14 { m TeV}$	$27 { m TeV}$	100 T

LO	$13.80^{+31\%}_{-22\%}$	$17.06^{+31\%}_{-22\%}$	$98.22^{+26\%}_{-19\%}$	$2015^{+19\%}_{-15\%}$
NLO	$25.81^{+18\%}_{-15\%}$	$31.89^{+18\%}_{-15\%}$	$183.0^{+16\%}_{-14\%}$	$3724^{+13\%}_{-11\%}$
NNLO	$30.41^{+5.3\%}_{-7.8\%}$	$37.55_{-7.6\%}^{+5.2\%}$	$214.2_{-6.7\%}^{+4.8\%}$	$4322_{-5.3\%}^{+4.2\%}$
$N^{3}LO$	$31.31^{+0.66\%}_{-2.8\%}$	$38.65^{+0.65\%}_{-2.7\%}$	$220.2^{+0.53\%}_{-2.4\%}$	$4438^{+0.51\%}_{-1.8\%}$

Jian Wang, Shandong University



Chen, Li, Shao, JW, Phys.Lett.B, 803, 135292, JHEP, 03 (2020) 072







Chen, Li, Shao, JW, Phys.Lett.B, 803, 135292, JHEP, 03 (2020)072





29, 32, 37, 27, 54, 36 master integrals

For numerical calculation, using generalized polylogarithms, or its integration, or the integrals over elliptic integrarls. The accuracy can be systematically improved, though $O(m_H^4)$ seems enough.

$$(\hat{x}_{t}^{2}, m_{H}^{2}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m_{H}^{2})^{n}}{n!} \left[\frac{\partial^{n} F_{i, \text{box}}^{(1)}}{\partial (m_{H}^{2})^{n}} \right]_{m_{H}^{2} = 0} \partial_{m_{H}^{2}} = \frac{\hat{u}_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} + \hat{t}_{1} p_{2}^{\mu} + \hat{t$$

Wang, Wang, Xu, Xu, Yang, 2010.15649 13





29, 32, 37, 27, 54, 36 master integrals

For numerical calculation, using generalized polylogarithms, or its integration, or the integrals over elliptic integrarls. The accuracy can be systematically improved, though $O(m_H^4)$ seems enough.

0.04 $V_{\rm fin}$ 0.02

0.00

0.04 V_{fin} 0.02

0.00

$${}^{2}_{i}, m_{H}^{2}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m_{H}^{2})^{n}}{n!} \left[\frac{\partial^{n} F_{i,\text{box}}^{(1)}}{\partial (m_{H}^{2})^{n}} \right]_{m_{H}^{2}=0} \partial_{m_{H}^{2}} = \frac{\hat{u}_{1} p_{1}^{\mu} + \hat{t}_{1} p_{2}^{\mu} + \hat{s}_{1}}{2m_{H}^{2} \hat{s} - 2\hat{t}_{1} \hat{u}}$$

Wang, Wang, Xu, Xu, Yang, 2010.15649



Higgs decay to di-photon $\mathcal{C}^{(2)} = \mathcal{C}^{(2,0)} + n_h \, \mathcal{C}^{(2,1)} + n_l \, \mathcal{C}^{(2,2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_l} \left(\frac{Q_k}{Q_q}\right)^2 \, \mathcal{C}^{(2,3)}$ Gluon web Heavy loop Light loop $\frac{\mathrm{d}M_i(z,\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum_j A_{ij}(z,\epsilon) M_j(z,\epsilon)$ Method: $\frac{\mathrm{d}I_k(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum B_{kl}(z) I_l(z)$



Jian Wang, Shandong University



 $\operatorname{Re}(s/M^2)$

Czakon, Niggetiedt, JHEP05(2020)149, JHEP04(2021)196



Light loop Gluon web Heavy loop $\frac{\mathrm{d}M_i(z,\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum_j A_{ij}(z,\epsilon) M_j(z,\epsilon)$



Jian Wang, Shandong University





Light loop Gluon web Heavy loop $\frac{\mathrm{d}M_i(z,\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum_j A_{ij}(z,\epsilon) M_j(z,\epsilon)$



Jian Wang, Shandong University





Light loop Gluon web Heavy loop $\frac{\mathrm{d}M_i(z,\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum_j A_{ij}(z,\epsilon) M_j(z,\epsilon)$



Jian Wang, Shandong University





Light loop Gluon web Heavy loop $\frac{\mathrm{d}M_i(z,\epsilon)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum_j A_{ij}(z,\epsilon) M_j(z,\epsilon)$ $\frac{\mathrm{d}I_k(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} \equiv \sum_{i} B_{kl}(z) I_l(z)$ $\operatorname{Im}(s/M^2)$



Jian Wang, Shandong University





The $h \to f\bar{f}$ decays allows measurement of Yukawa interactions and put constrains on NP. SMEFT offers a model-independent framework for analyses of the NP effects.



Cullen, Gauld, Pecjak, Scott, PRD94,074045(2016), JHEP08(2019)173, JHEP04(2021)196

Jian Wang, Shandong University

The $h \to f\bar{f}$ decays allows measurement of Yukawa interactions and put constrains on NP. SMEFT offers a model-independent framework for analyses of the NP effects.

	Dimension-6 operators	LO bb
$Q_{H\square}$	$(H^\dagger H)\Box(H^\dagger H)$	
\tilde{Q}_{HD}	$(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)^{*}(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)$	$\bar{\mathbf{r}}^{(4,0)} = N_c m$
Q_{dH}	$(H^{\dagger}H)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}H)$	$1^{(1,2)} \equiv \frac{8\pi}{8\pi}$
Q_{HG}	$H^{\dagger}HG^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	1
$Q_{H ilde{G}}$	$H^\dagger H ilde{G}^A_{\mu u} G^{A\mu u}$	$\bar{\Gamma}^{(6,0)} = \left(2C_{1} \right)$
Q_{dG}	$q_s(\bar{q}_p\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^A d_r)HG^A_{\mu\nu}$	

Consider EW part:

$$\Delta^{\rm LO}(m_H) = 1 + \frac{(\overline{v}^{(\ell)})^2}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2} \left[3.74 \tilde{C}_{HWB} + 2.00 \tilde{C}_{H\square} - 1.41 \frac{\overline{v}^{(\ell)}}{\overline{m}_b^{(\ell)}} \tilde{C}_{bH} + \right]$$

Jian Wang, Shandong University

$$1.24 \tilde{C}_{HD}$$

Cullen, Gauld, Pecjak, Scott, PRD94,074045(2016), JHEP08(2019)173, JHEP04(2021)196

The $h \to f\bar{f}$ decays allows measurement of Yukawa interactions and put constrains on NP. SMEFT offers a model-independent framework for analyses of the NP effects.

	Dimension-6 operators	LO bb
$Q_{H\square}$	$(H^\dagger H)\Box(H^\dagger H)$	
\tilde{Q}_{HD}	$(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)^{*}(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)$	$\bar{\mathbf{r}}^{(4,0)} = N_c m$
Q_{dH}	$(H^{\dagger}H)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}H)$	$1^{(1,2)} \equiv \frac{8\pi}{8\pi}$
Q_{HG}	$H^{\dagger}HG^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	1
$Q_{H ilde{G}}$	$H^\dagger H ilde{G}^A_{\mu u} G^{A\mu u}$	$\bar{\Gamma}^{(6,0)} = \left(2C_{1} \right)$
Q_{dG}	$q_s(\bar{q}_p\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^A d_r)HG^A_{\mu\nu}$	

Consider EW part:

$$\Delta^{\rm LO}(m_H) = 1 + \frac{(\overline{v}^{(\ell)})^2}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2} \left[3.74 \tilde{C}_{HWB} + 2.00 \tilde{C}_{H\square} - 1.41 \frac{\overline{v}^{(\ell)}}{\overline{m}_b^{(\ell)}} \tilde{C}_{bH} + \right]$$

Cullen, Gauld, Pecjak, Scott, PRD94,074045(2016), JHEP08(2019)173, JHEP04(2021)196

Jian Wang, Shandong University

1

-		CN	ã	ã	ã	ã
		5M	C_{HWB}	$C_{H\square}$	C_{bH}	C_{H}
$.24C_{HD}$	NLO QCD-QED	18.2%	17.9%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2
L	NLO large- m_t	-3.1%	-4.6%	3.2%	3.5%	-9.0
	NLO remainder	-2.2%	-1.9%	-1.2~%	0.6%	-2.02
	NLO correction	12.9%	11.3%	20.2%	22.3%	7.1

The $h \to f\bar{f}$ decays allows measurement of Yukawa interactions and put constrains on NP. SMEFT offers a model-independent framework for analyses of the NP effects.

	Dimension-6 operators	LO bb
	· · · · ·	and the second second
$Q_{H\square}$	$(H^\dagger H)\Box(H^\dagger H)$	
Q_{HD}	$(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)^{*}(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)$	$\bar{\Gamma}^{(4,0)} - \frac{N_c m_c}{N_c}$
Q_{dH}	$(H^{\dagger}H)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}H)$	81
Q_{HG}	$H^{\dagger}HG^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	(
$Q_{H ilde{G}}$	$H^\dagger H ilde{G}^A_{\mu u} G^{A\mu u}$	$\bar{\Gamma}^{(6,0)} = \left(2C_{1} \right)$
Q_{dG}	$g_s(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A d_r) H G^A_{\mu\nu}$	

Consider EW part:

$$\Delta^{\rm LO}(m_H) = 1 + \frac{(\overline{v}^{(\ell)})^2}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2} \left[3.74 \tilde{C}_{HWB} + 2.00 \tilde{C}_{H\square} - 1.41 \frac{\overline{v}^{(\ell)}}{\overline{m}_b^{(\ell)}} \tilde{C}_{bH} + \right]$$

At NLO, 12 more operators will contribute.

Cullen, Gauld, Pecjak, Scott, PRD94,074045(2016), JHEP08(2019)173, JHEP04(2021)196

Jian Wang, Shandong University

1

-		CN	ã	ã	ã	ã
		5M	C_{HWB}	$C_{H\square}$	C_{bH}	C_{H}
$.24C_{HD}$	NLO QCD-QED	18.2%	17.9%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2
L	NLO large- m_t	-3.1%	-4.6%	3.2%	3.5%	-9.0
	NLO remainder	-2.2%	-1.9%	-1.2~%	0.6%	-2.02
	NLO correction	12.9%	11.3%	20.2%	22.3%	7.1

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$ ilde{\Gamma}^{FS}_t \; [{ m MeV}]$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{b\bar{b}c\bar{c}} \; [{\rm MeV}]$
-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS} \; [{ m MeV}] \qquad ilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS} \; [{ m MeV}]$		$\tilde{\Gamma}_{b\bar{b}c\bar{c}} \; [{\rm MeV}]$				
-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495				

Small

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS} \; [{\rm MeV}]$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{b\bar{b}c\bar{c}} \; [{\rm MeV}]$
-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495
Small	over ainal	at. 10/	

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

		~	
$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$ ilde{\Gamma}_{bar{b}car{c}} \ [{ m MeV}]$
-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495
Small	-lovor cinal	In	cluded in k

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

ob

		<u> </u>				$\mu = m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	ŀ
$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{b\bar{b}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]		$\overline{\Gamma}^{c\overline{c}}_{LO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1033	0.0916	
-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495		$\overline{\Gamma}_{NLO}^{c\overline{c}}[{\rm MeV}]$	0.1153	0.1103	
				h	$\overline{\Gamma}^{car{c}}_{NNLO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1162	0.1148	
Small			ciuded in L	JD				
ICI	avor cinal	ot: 10/						

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Flavor singlet: ~ 4%

				- 29. 2				
		, in the second s				$\mu~=~m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	ļ
$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{b\bar{b}c\bar{c}} \ [\text{MeV}]$		$\overline{\Gamma}^{car{c}}_{LO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1033	0.0916	
-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495		$\overline{\Gamma}_{NLO}^{c\overline{c}}[{\rm MeV}]$	0.1153	0.1103	
		lo		h	$\overline{\Gamma}^{car{c}}_{NNLO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1162	0.1148	
Small		III	ciuded in p	Q				

NNLO QCD + NLO EW

	$\mu~=~m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	$\mu = 2m_h$
$\Gamma^{c\bar{c}}_{total}$ [MeV]	0.1165	0.1151	0.1129
$\Gamma^{b\bar{b}}_{total}$ [MeV]	2.4248	2.3990	2.3533
$\left[\Gamma^{bar{b}}_{total}/\Gamma^{car{c}}_{total} ight]$	20.8137	20.8427	20.8441

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Flavor singlet: ~ 4%

			v				$\mu = m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	1
$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [Me	eV]	$\int \tilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS} [{\rm MeV}]$	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{b\bar{b}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]		$\overline{\Gamma}^{car{c}}_{LO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1033	0.0916	
-0.0482	2	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495		$\overline{\Gamma}_{NLO}^{c\bar{c}}[\text{MeV}]$	0.1153	0.1103	
				oludod in	bb	$\overline{\Gamma}^{c\overline{c}}_{NNLO}[\text{MeV}]$	0.1162	0.1148	
Small				ciuded in	U U				

NNLO QCD + NLO EW

	$\mu~=~m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	$\mu~=~2m_h$
$\Gamma^{c\bar{c}}_{total}$ [MeV]	0.1165	0.1151	0.1129
$\Gamma^{b\bar{b}}_{total}$ [MeV]	2.4248	2.3990	2.3533
$\left[\Gamma^{bar{b}}_{total} / \Gamma^{car{c}}_{total} ight]$	20.8137	20.8427	20.8441

In VH production channel, $1.5 \times 10^5 H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ events and $2.8 \times 10^4 H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ events, with 300 fb^{-1} int. lumi.

Li, Li, Lu, Si, CPC45(2021)093105

Jian Wang, Shandong University

_					S. 8 / 3	1919			and the second states of	
								$\mu = m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	μ
Γ	$\tilde{\Gamma}_b^{FS}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_t^{FS}$ [MeV	Γ] $\tilde{\Gamma}_{c\bar{c}c\bar{c}}$ [MeV]	$\tilde{\Gamma}_{bar{b}car{c}}$ [Me	eV]		$\overline{\Gamma}^{car{c}}_{LO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1033	0.0916	
	-0.0482	0.7411	0.4262	3.4495	,		$\overline{\Gamma}_{NLO}^{c\overline{c}}[{\rm MeV}]$	0.1153	0.1103	
	0 11		Ind	cluded	in h	h	$\overline{\Gamma}^{c\overline{c}}_{NNLO}[{ m MeV}]$	0.1162	0.1148	
M	F	lavor sin	glet: ~ 4%				Constrai	ints on Al	<u>_P</u>	
μ	$x = m_h$	$\mu = 2m_h$				-	10% uncertainty			$\langle $
	0.1151	0.1129			10 ⁻² 	2	170 uncertainty			
	2.3990	2.3533			/f ² [Ме	. /////				
6 4	20.8427	20.8441			(c_ _{uR}) ₂₂ ^{[2}	3				
	nel, 1.5 × ents, wit	$< 10^5 H \rightarrow$ th 300 fi	$b\bar{b}$ events b^{-1} int. lumi.	2105	 10 ⁴	4 0		20 30 m _a [GeV]	40	50
		LI, LI, LU,	51, CFC43(2021)09	5105						

NNLO QCD + NLO E

	$\mu~=~m_h/2$	$\mu = m_h$	$\mu~=~2m_h$
$\Gamma^{c\bar{c}}_{total}$ [MeV]	0.1165	0.1151	0.1129
$\Gamma^{b\bar{b}}_{total}$ [MeV]	2.4248	2.3990	2.3533
$\left[\Gamma^{bar{b}}_{total} / \Gamma^{car{c}}_{total} ight]$	20.8137	20.8427	20.8441

In VH production cha and $2.8 \times 10^4 H \rightarrow c\bar{c} e$

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Higgs EEC is a novel event shape variable that can be measured by reconstructing 4-vectors of the final state particles originating from hadronic Higgs decays.

 $\frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\Sigma_H(\chi)}{d\cos\chi} = \sum_{a,b} \int \frac{2E_a E_b}{m_H^2} \,\delta(\cos\theta_{ab} - \cos\chi) \,d\Gamma_{a+b+X}$

Gao, Shtabovenko, Yang, JHEP02(2021)210

Higgs EEC is a novel event shape variable that can be measured by reconstructing 4-vectors of the final state particles originating from hadronic Higgs decays.

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\Sigma_H(\chi)}{d\cos\chi} = \sum_{a,b} \int \frac{2E_a E_b}{m_H^2} \,\delta(\cos\theta_{ab} - \cos\chi) \,d\Gamma_{a+b+X}$$

$$\begin{split} g_1^{(1)} &= \log(1-z) \,, \\ g_2^{(1)} &= \log(z) \,, \\ g_1^{(2)} &= 2(\text{Li}_2(z) + \zeta_2) + \log^2(1-z) \,, \\ g_2^{(2)} &= \text{Li}_2(1-z) - \text{Li}_2(z) \,, \\ g_3^{(2)} &= -2 \,\text{Li}_2\left(-\sqrt{z}\right) + 2 \,\text{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{z}\right) + \log\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right) \log(z) \,, \\ g_4^{(2)} &= \zeta_2 \,, \\ g_1^{(3)} &= -6 \left[\text{Li}_3\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) - \zeta_3\right] - \log\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right) \left(2(\text{Li}_2(z) + \zeta_2) + \log^2(1-z)\right) \,, \\ g_2^{(3)} &= -12 \left[\text{Li}_3(z) + \text{Li}_3\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right)\right] + 6 \,\text{Li}_2(z) \log(1-z) + \log^3(1-z) \,, \\ g_3^{(3)} &= 6 \log(1-z) \left(\text{Li}_2(z) - \zeta_2\right) - 12 \,\text{Li}_3(z) + \log^3(1-z) \,, \\ g_4^{(3)} &= \text{Li}_3\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) - 3 \,\zeta_2 \log(z) + 8 \,\zeta_3 \,, \\ g_5^{(3)} &= -8 \left[\text{Li}_3\left(-\frac{\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right) + \text{Li}_3\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\right] + 2\text{Li}_3\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) + 4\zeta_2 \log(1-z) \,, \\ &+ \log\left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right) \log^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right) \,. \end{split}$$

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Gao, Shtabovenko, Yang, JHEP02(2021)210

Higgs EEC is a novel event shape variable that can be measured by reconstructing 4-vectors of the final state particles originating from hadronic Higgs decays.

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\Sigma_H(\chi)}{d\cos\chi} = \sum_{a,b} \int \frac{2E_a E_b}{m_H^2} \,\delta(\cos\theta_{ab} - \cos\chi) \,d\Gamma_{a+b+X}$$

$$\begin{split} g_{1}^{(1)} &= \log(1-z) \,, \\ g_{2}^{(1)} &= \log(z) \,, \\ g_{1}^{(2)} &= 2(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) + \zeta_{2}) + \log^{2}(1-z) \,, \\ g_{2}^{(2)} &= \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-z) - \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) \,, \\ g_{3}^{(2)} &= -2\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\sqrt{z}\right) + 2\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\sqrt{z}\right) + \log\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\log(z) \,, \\ g_{4}^{(2)} &= \zeta_{2} \,, \\ g_{1}^{(3)} &= -6\left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) - \zeta_{3}\right] - \log\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)\left(2(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) + \zeta_{2}) + \log^{2}(1-z)\right) \,, \\ g_{2}^{(3)} &= -12\left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}(z) + \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right)\right] + 6\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)\log(1-z) + \log^{3}(1-z) \,, \\ g_{3}^{(3)} &= 6\log(1-z)\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) - \zeta_{2}\right) - 12\operatorname{Li}_{3}(z) + \log^{3}(1-z) \,, \\ g_{4}^{(3)} &= \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) - 3\zeta_{2}\log(z) + 8\zeta_{3} \,, \\ g_{5}^{(3)} &= -8\left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\right] + 2\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) + 4\zeta_{2}\log(1-z) \right. \end{split}$$

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Gao, Shtabovenko, Yang, JHEP02(2021)210

Higgs EEC is a novel event shape variable that can be measured by reconstructing 4-vectors of the final state particles originating from hadronic Higgs decays.

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\Sigma_H(\chi)}{d\cos\chi} = \sum_{a,b} \int \frac{2E_a E_b}{m_H^2} \,\delta(\cos\theta_{ab} - \cos\chi) \,d\Gamma_{a+b+X}$$

$$\begin{split} g_{1}^{(1)} &= \log(1-z) \,, \\ g_{2}^{(1)} &= \log(z) \,, \\ g_{1}^{(2)} &= 2(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) + \zeta_{2}) + \log^{2}(1-z) \,, \\ g_{2}^{(2)} &= \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-z) - \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) \,, \\ g_{3}^{(2)} &= -2\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\sqrt{z}\right) + 2\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\sqrt{z}\right) + \log\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\log(z) \,, \\ g_{4}^{(2)} &= \zeta_{2} \,, \\ g_{1}^{(3)} &= -6\left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) - \zeta_{3}\right] - \log\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)\left(2(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) + \zeta_{2}) + \log^{2}(1-z)\right) \,, \\ g_{2}^{(3)} &= -12\left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}(z) + \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right)\right] + 6\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)\log(1-z) + \log^{3}(1-z) \,, \\ g_{3}^{(3)} &= 6\log(1-z)\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) - \zeta_{2}\right) - 12\operatorname{Li}_{3}(z) + \log^{3}(1-z) \,, \\ g_{4}^{(3)} &= \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) - 3\zeta_{2}\log(z) + 8\zeta_{3} \,, \\ g_{5}^{(3)} &= -8\left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\right] + 2\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{z}{1-z}\right) + 4\zeta_{2}\log(1-z) \right. \end{split}$$

Jian Wang, Shandong University

Gao, Shtabovenko, Yang, JHEP02(2021)210

The Higgs boson has been discovered over nine years. Measurements of Higgs processes have reached percent level. Precision is the key to a discovery of NP. Interplay between theory and experiments may boost discovery. Thank you for your attention!

Jian Wang, Shandong University

